

**PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 7
TO THE
CANTERBURY LAND AND WATER REGIONAL PLAN**

**Summary of Decisions Requested Report
PROVISION ORDER**

Notified 16 November 2019

SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED BY SUBMITTERS ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 7 TO THE CANTERBURY LAND AND WATER REGIONAL PLAN

This report has been prepared in accordance with Clause 7 of Schedule 1 to the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and sets out a summary of all decisions requested by submitters on proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan.

Please note this report is not a summary of the submissions in their entirety, nor does this report include reasons for a submitter’s request, or supporting material included with the submission. The original submission should be referred to if you are seeking to understand the issues raised by a submitter, or reasons for a request. You can find copies of all submissions received on proposed Plan Change 7 at www.ecan.govt.nz/lwrppc7

How to Read the Summary of Decisions Requested (SoDR) Report

The table below is an example of how the information in this SoDR has been laid out.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.091	PC7-123.12	Mr J Smith	Support	Retain Rule 5.91 as notified

The SODR is split into four parts:

- Submission points relating to the Entire Plan Change
- Submission points relating to Omnibus
- Submission points relating to the Waimakariri sub-region
- Submission points relating to the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region

Within each Part, submission points have been grouped under ‘topic’ or ‘plan provision’ headings. Submission points that are general, or not specific to a plan provision, are generally grouped under ‘topic’ headings. Submission points that relate to a specific provision (eg. a policy or rule), or part of a provision are located under the relevant plan provision (e.g. Rule 5.91 Plan provisions are numbered and ordered according to their location in the proposed Plan Change (Section, Subsection, Provision). For example Rule 5.91 is displayed as “05.091” and Rule 8.5.3 is displayed as “08.05.03”.

How to read the ‘Support / Oppose / Oppose in Part’ column

The Support / Oppose column is used to indicate the submitter’s level of support or opposition to a matter or provision in the proposed plan change. To maintain a level of consistency in the way these statements are expressed the following protocols have been followed when summarising the submitter’s position:

- Requests to retain provisions are indicated by the text ‘Support’.
- Requests to delete a provision, or part of a provision, are indicated by the text ‘Oppose’.
- Requests to amend a provision are indicated by the text ‘Oppose in Part’.

How to interpret the ‘Summary of Decisions Requested’ column.

The ‘Summary of Decision Requested’ contains a brief summary of the relief (or outcome) the submitter seeks from the Council. In some cases it has been necessary for the Council to include additional text within the summary so as to clarify or provide context to the request. Where a summary includes text added by the Council this text is indicated in square brackets.

How amendments sought by submitters have been indicated in the ‘Summary of Decisions Requested’ column.

Where a submitter requests a change to the wording of Proposed Plan Change 7 (as notified for public submission) and has included the specific wording for the change, this has been included in the summary. Changes are indicated as follows:

- Text requested to be deleted by the submitter is shown in ~~strikethrough~~
- Text requested to be inserted by the submitter is shown in underline

List of Submitters

The table below contains the name and contact details for all persons who have lodged a primary submission on proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Address Line 1	Address Line 2	Address Line 3	Address Line 4	Post Code	Email
434	Aberdeen Farm Limited - Richard Redfern	34 Rakaia River Road	RD12 Rakaia			7782	4redferns@gmail.com
140	Ackerley, G	153 Victoria Street	Ashburton				geoffackerley@gmail.com
26	Ackroyd, A	22 Roosevelt Ave	St Albans			8014	alika_nick@hotmail.com
154	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC) - Eva Harris	326 Burnett St	Ashburton			7700	eva@irrigo.co.nz
379	Acton-Adams, T L	PO Box 10	Sefton			4744	amc555@xtra.co.nz
554	Adams, M	51 Tondros Road	RD 17	Fairlie			mark.rocklands@gmail.com
385	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG) - Georgina Hamilton	c/- Gresson Dorman & Co	PO Box 244	Timaru		7940	georgina@gressons.co.nz
151	Adriaens, G	34 Radley St	Woolston	Christchurch			graemeadriaens@hotmail.com
458	Aggregate and Quarry Association - Jeremy Harding	jeremy@straterra.co.nz	jeremy@straterra.co.nz				jeremy@straterra.co.nz
131	Agri Magic Limited - Charlotte Glass	c/- 707 McLaughlins Rd	RD 1	Darfield		7571	charlotte@agrimagic.co.nz
290	Alex Mason Contracting - Alexander Mason	1092 Wolffs Road	RD 5	Rangiora			alexmasoncontracting@gmail.com
6	Alex McDonald Ltd -Kerry Hughes	171 Waterholes Road	Springston	P.O.Box 92013, Farrington	Rolleston	7650	kerry.hughes@almac-nz.com
86	Alkington Ltd - Martin John & Joanne Rachel Ashby	260 Mairaki Road	RD1 Rangiora			7471	m.jash@xtra.co.nz
327	Alps Seeds Limited - Andrew McKay	522 Four Peaks Road	RD21 Geraldine			7991	moanadowns@farmside.co.nz
543	Alsop, J	30 Edward Street	Prebbleton	Christchurch			jeremy.alsop@outlook.com
74	Anderson, G W	23 Clayton Settlement Road	RD17 Fairlie			7987	gregsandyanderson@gmail.com
373	Annfield Dairies - Liam Hewson	611 Winchester Geraldine Highway	RD 21	Geraldine		7991	Annfield@hotmail.co.nz
286	Aoraki Development Business and Tourism - Nigel Davenport	2c Sefton Street East	PO Box 560	Timaru		7490	nigel@aorakidevelopment.co.nz
209	Aotearoa Water Action - Peter Richardson	aotearoawateraction@gmail.com	aotearoawateraction@gmail.com				aotearoawateraction@gmail.com
544	Aqualinc Research Limited - Matt Bubb	PO Box 557	Ashburton			7740	m.bubb@aqualinc.co.nz
199	Aratika Trust - Clarence and Linda Timperley	383 Coutts Island Road	RD1 Belfast			7670	timperley@xtra.co.nz
242	Ardleigh Deer Farm - Ben Hudson	ardleighfarm@hotmail.com	ardleighfarm@hotmail.com				ardleighfarm@hotmail.com
520	Armstrong, B	163A Foremans Road	Islington	Christchurch			brian.armstrong@xtra.co.nz
508	Artz, R	60 Peter Street	Ashurton				rene.artz@outlook.com
387	As One Incorporated - Duncan Cotterill	148 Victoria Street	Christchurch			8140	
390	Ashburton Lyndhurst Irrigation Limited (ALIL) - Ben Williams & Rachel Robilliard	c/- Ben Williams/Rachel Robilliard	Chapman Tripp, 5th Floor	PwC Centre, 60 Cashel Street	PO Box 2510, Christchurch	8140	ben.williams@chapmantripp.com/rachel.robilliard@chapmantripp.com
343	Ashburton River Irrigators Association - Eva Harris	326 Burnett St	Ashburton			7700	eva@irrigo.co.nz
195	Ashley Gorge Farming Company - Robert & Sis Johnston	476 Ashley Gorge Road	Oxford			7495	info@thegorgenursery.co.nz

330	Ashley Oaks Farm - Carolyne Latham	234 Ashworth Bush Road	RD7 Rangiora			7477	avlink@xtra.co.nz
283	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms - Charlotte Steetskamp	85 Strathallan Road	RD17 Fairlie			7987	ashwickdairyfarms@gmail.com
406	Austin, J	431 Harmans Gorge Road	View Hill			7495	theaustins@scorch.co.nz
91	Avon-Otakaro Network - Kyle Sutherland	kyleavon@outlook.com	kyleavon@outlook.com				kyleavon@outlook.com
277	B A Murray Ltd - Steve Murray	177 Mt Thomas Road	RD 1	Rangiora		7471	office@bamurray.co.nz
304	Bain, G	164 Wrights Road	RD 1	Oxford		7475	graham.bain@xtra.co.nz
268	Baird, M	75 Greendale Ave	Avonhead	Christchurch		8042	mbairdnz@gmail.com
441	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited - Dominic Adams	Hewletts Road, Mt Maunganui	Private Bag 12503	Tauranga Mail Centre	Taurange	3143	dominic.adams@ballance.co.nz
185	Balle Bros Group Limited - Reece Balle	185 Heights Road	Pukekohe			2676	Reece.Balle@ballebros.co.nz
391	Barker Fruit Processing Ltd - Georgina Hamilton	c/- Gresson Dorman	PO Box 244	Timaru		7940	georgina@gressons.co.nz
94	Barnes Family Farms Limited - Gaylene Barnes	172 Highbank School Road	34 Chichester Street	Christchurch			gayleneabarnes@gmail.com
461	Barnes, J	jacqui.m.barnes@gmail.com	jacqui.m.barnes@gmail.com				jacqui.m.barnes@gmail.com
153	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL) - Eva Harris	326 Burnett Street	Ashburton			7700	eva@irrigo.co.nz
298	Barwoods Limited - Tim Cassie	114 Allandale Road	Fairlie			7925	tim@barwoods.co.nz
15	Basil-Jones, M	2/159 Barrington St	Somerfield	Christchurch		8024	basil_jones@hotmail.com
563	Batchelor, J	41 Kirkwood Avenue	Upper Riccarton	Christchurch			Janelbatch@gmail.com
289	Bay, P S	942 Steffens Road	RD 1	Oxford		7495	nanandphil.bay@gmail.com
320	Bebbington, C	charlotte.bebbington@gmail.com	charlotte.bebbington@gmail.com				charlotte.bebbington@gmail.com
446	Beckenham Neighbourhood Association Incorporated - Petter Tuffley	114 Birdwood Avenue	Christchurch			8023	ptuffley@xtra.co.nz
214	Beef + Lamb New Zealand - Lauren Phillips	PO Box 39085	Christchurch			8545	lauren.phillips@beeflambnz.com
66	Bell, C C	46 Becmead Drive	Harewood	Christchurch		8051	bellc@xtra.co.nz
90	Bell, M	102 Opihi Gorge Rd	Fairlie			7987	lambrook@maxnet.co.nz
120	Belpher Farm Ltd - Leo Gaffaney	472 Levels Plain Rd	Timaru				gaffaney@farmside.co.nz
100	Benaia, M	68b Condell Avenue	Papanui	Christchurch			merav.benaia@gmail.com
552	Benmore Irrigation Company - Craig Evans	26 Glenavy-Tawai Road	RD 10	Waimate			craig@mgiirrigation.co.nz
33	Benns, C	Clinical Psychology Services Ltd	Rosewarne House	303 Selwyn St	Christchurch	8024	cherie.benns@gmail.com
282	Berry, I J	56 Sparks Road	Christchurch			8025	salmonmadnz@gmail.com
221	Biggs Agriculture Ltd - Ashley Biggs	Ashley and Rebecca Biggs	33 Hamilton Road	RD17 Fairlie		7987	biggsag@yahoo.co.nz
325	Bishop Farms Oxford Limited - Darcy Bishop	284 German Road	RD1 Oxford			7495	oxford@bishopfarms.co.nz
128	Black, L	lewis.black.94@gmail.com	lewis.black.94@gmail.com				lewis.black.94@gmail.com
326	Blackhills (2012) Limited - Nicki Carter	PO Box 2726	Christchurch				nicki@cartergroup.co.nz
155	Blair Farming Company - Angela Blair	191 Burdon Road	RD21 Geraldine			7991	bfcdeer@gmail.com
546	Blake, P	6 Cullahill Street	Christchurch				blakesfamily6@gmail.com
213	Bluebird Foods Ltd (PepsiCo) - Debbie Johnston	124 Wiri Station Road	Manukau City	Auckland			debbie.johnston@pepsico.com
336	Bonifacio Family Trust - Peter Bonifacio	156 Milford Lagoon Road	RD26 Temuka			7986	bonifacio@farmside.co.nz
84	Bowden Environmental - John Talbot	PO Box 404	Kaiapoi			7644	john@bowden.co.nz

548	Bray, A	26A Strowan Road	Strowan	Christchurch			andybray88@yahoo.co.nz
150	Brook & Rupert Partnership - Kiri Rupert	42 Thew Road	RD22 Geraldine			7992	rupertreddeer@outlook.co.nz
496	Brorens, F	17 Moyna Ave	Dallington	Christchurch		8061	fbrorens@gmail.com
248	Brosnahan, P	274 Timaru-Temuka Highway	RD3 Seadown	Timaru		7973	shereebrosie@xtra.co.nz
477	Brough, M J	4 Logans Road	RD2 Rangiora	RD2 Kaiapoi		7692	peter.brough@xtra.co.nz
109	Brown, A	34 Thatcher Road	RD21 Geraldine			7991	drewsallybrown@gmail.com
38	Brown, I D	6 Hurst Seager Lane	Clifton	Christchurch		8081	ian.gabby@xtra.co.nz
527	Bubb, M	PO Box 557	Ashburton			7740	m.bubb@aqualinc.co.nz
525	Buck, V	14 Jane Deans Close	Riccarton	Christchurch			vicki.buck@xtra.co.nz
1	Burke, C	craigbu@icloud.com	craigbu@icloud.com				craigbu@icloud.com
511	Buxton, A	74 St Martins Raod	Christchurch			8022	angus.buxton@icloud.com
175	Caird, B	60 Georgetown Road	RD 25	Temuka		7985	cairdb@farmside.co.nz
538	Caldelari, S	31 Marae Road	Greenhithe	Auckland			s.s.s@xtra.co.nz
158	Callaghan, P & S	361 Fairlie Geraldine Highway	RD 17	Fairlie		7987	
380	Camp, M	pcamp@xtra.co.nz	pcamp@xtra.co.nz				pcamp@xtra.co.nz
7	Campbell, A	66 Rocky Hundreds Road	RD2 Timaru			7972	allancampbell.thehill@yahoo.com
164	Campbell, S	Lyttelton	Lyttelton				sarasski@hotmail.com
138	Canterbury Aoraki Conservation Board - Dr Robert Wynn-Williams	Private Bag 4715	Christchurch Mail Centre	Christchurch		8140	canterburyaorakiboard@doc.govt.nz
347	Canterbury District Health Board - Alizon Paterson	Community and Public Health	C/- Canterbury District Health Board	PO Box 1475	Christchurch	8140	alizon.paterson@cdhb.health.nz
132	Canterbury Fly Fishing Club - John Roche	C1 - 18 Hussey Road	Christchurch			8051	john.roche@nzpost.co.nz
273	Carleton Daries Ltd - Tim Wells	1200 Wrights Road	Oxford				tim.c.wells@gmail.com
549	Carstens, J	9 Kotare Avenue	Rangiora				lightdawns@gmail.com
49	Carter, G	NZ Federation of Freshwater Anglers	5 Crimea Street	Wyndham			tboli@tboliorganiccoffee.com
294	Cascade Creek Limited - Ron Smith	PO Box 12263	Beckenham	Christchurch		8242	rondsmith@xtra.co.nz
159	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited - Charlotte Steetskamp	C/O 85 Strathallan Rd	RD17 Fairlie				ashwickdairyfarms@gmail.com
376	Caseley, D & V	146 Sladdens Bush Road	RD1 Oxford			7495	d.vcaseley@scorch.co.nz
193	Cashmere Stream Care Group - Ken Rouse	rouseken@xtra.co.nz	rouseken@xtra.co.nz				rouseken@xtra.co.nz
351	Central South Island Fish and Game Council - Jay Graybill & Angela Christensen	32 Richard Pearse Drive	PO Box 150	Temuka		7948	achristensen@csifgc.org.nz
263	Chambers, D A	14 Davie St	Temuka	South Canterbury		7920	jonathonchambers@gmail.com
519	Chambers, J	126 Weston Road	St Albans	Christchurch			jonathonchambers@gmail.com
182	Chapman, J L	Waitohi	RD25 Temuka				chapman@waitohi.com
337	Christchurch City Council - Peter Kingsbury	Strategy and Transformation	Christchurch City Council	PO Box 73012	Christchurch	8154	peter.kingsbury@ccc.govt.nz
321	Christensen, C	5 Aldgate Street	Redwood	Christchurch			connievince@yahoo.com
433	Claxby Irrigation Ltd - Sam Spencer-Bower	120 Two Chain Road	RD6 Rangiora			7476	samsb@xtra.co.nz
251	Clearwater, B	793 Peek Forest Road	RD22 Geraldine				bryan@clearwaters.co.nz
500	Cleaver, C	2513 Birchwood Road	Ahuriri Conservation Park	Omarama			carlandclaire@gmail.com
163	Cockburn, A	Lyttelton	Lyttelton				andy.cockburn@gmail.com
2	Colee Farming Ltd - John & Hamish Colee	john.mj@xtra.co.nz	john.mj@xtra.co.nz				john.mj@xtra.co.nz
223	Coles Farms - Frances Coles	17 Barker Road	RD26 Temuka			7986	admin@colesfarms.co.nz
117	Coles, R	colesr12@gmail.com	colesr12@gmail.com				colesr12@gmail.com
498	Collier, K	91 Aston Drive	Waimairi Beach	Christchurch			kevin.collier100@gmail.com

430	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand - Dr Lionel Hume	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	PO Box 414	Ashburton		7740	lhume@fedfarm.org.nz
284	Cotter, D & D	225 Connolly Road	RD 3	Timaru		7973	dee.doug@farmside.co.nz
106	Cotter, J	31 Blake St	New Brighton	Christchurch		8061	southernzephyr@gmail.com
526	Cragg, P	22 Richmond Hill Road	Sumner	Christchurch			sumnerpaul@hotmail.com
299	Cresslands Farms Limited - Graham Stewart	196 Tulls Road	RD 3	Rangiora		7473	cresslands@xtra.co.nz
324	Croft Farming Ltd - Katie & Johnny Croft	663 Steffens Road	RD1 Oxford			7475	jkcroft@scorch.co.nz
454	Croft, B & W	142 Rotherham Rd	RD1 Culverden			7391	benandwendy@farmside.co.nz
139	Croft, W D	345 Ashley Road	RD 1	Rangiora		7471	crofty5@xtra.co.nz
489	Cull, P	6/477 Hereford Street	Linwood	Christchurch		8011	paul@paulcull.org
463	Currie, M	32 Howe Street	Christchurch			8083	currance@xtra.co.nz
241	Curtis, D	daniel.curtis@windowslive.com	daniel.curtis@windowslive.com				daniel.curtis@windowslive.com
301	Curtis, Y	32 Howe St	Christchurch			8083	curty1retired@gmail.com
219	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd - Kirsty Martin	PO Box 37	Darfield			7541	kirsty@dfms.co.nz
415	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL) - Ben Williams	Chapman Tripp	PO Box 2510	Christchurch		8041	ben.williams@chapmantripp.com
357	DairyNZ Limited - Charlotte Wright	PO Box 85066	Lincoln University	Canterbury		7647	charlotte.wright@dairynz.co.nz
215	Dalziel, J	jdalziel@snap.net.nz	jdalziel@snap.net.nz				jdalziel@snap.net.nz
464	Darby Farm Partnership - John De Veth	Unit 5	20 Hampton Downs Road	RD2 Tekauwhata		3782	devethgroup@gmail.com
168	Davies, D	200 Strathallen Road	Fairlie				joanne.dan@yahoo.com
79	Dawson, A A	48E Champion Street	Edgeware	Christchurch	Canterbury	8013	aaron.d@hotmail.com
378	Dawson, J & E	450 Falvey Road	RD5 Timaru			7975	dawsonclantimaru@gmail.com
48	de Joux, P R	212 Beaconsfield Road	RD2 Timaru			7972	phil.dejoux@xtra.co.nz
211	de Kort, A	annebel.dek@gmail.com	annebel.dek@gmail.com				annebel.dek@gmail.com
36	De Lu, D	4 Tisbury Lane	Cracroft	Christchurch		8022	tisberries@gmail.com
256	De Lu, K	75 Greendale Ave	Avonhead	Christchurch		8042	katiadelu@gmail.com
10	De Veth, J	Unit 5/20 Hampton Downs Rd	Te Kauwhata			3782	devethgroup@gmail.com
24	de Vocht, J	joris.devocht@gmail.com	joris.devocht@gmail.com				joris.devocht@gmail.com
494	Deans, C	Homebush	RD 1	Darfield		7571	crispin@homebush.net
497	Deans, T	69 Rowallan Road	Darfield				timdeans52@gmail.com
37	Dee, C	cathy@cathydee.com	cathy@cathydee.com				cathy@cathydee.com
275	Demeter, J	demeters@xtra.co.nz	demeters@xtra.co.nz				demeters@xtra.co.nz
126	Dennis, G	7 Wilding Street	St Martins	Christchurch		8022	gabby.dennis@hotmail.com
560	Densem Contracting (2010) Limited - Ian Densem	267 Bealey Road	Leeston			7683	Densem.i.e@xtra.co.nz
160	Department of Conservation - Geoff Deavoll	Private Bag 4715	Christchurch Mail Centre			8140	gdeavoll@doc.govt.nz
56	Devlin, R	21 Kensington Ave	Rangiora			7400	bob-margaretdevlin@outlook.co.nz
183	Dewit, J	12 Barbington St	Timaru			7910	dewitjed@gmail.com
227	Didham, T	49 Yarmouth St	Aranui	Christchurch		8061	monkeyfishnz@gmail.com
561	Dingle, C	Main Race Road	Eyrewell	Rangiora			cathy@cathydee.com
29	Doig, A	anneliese@citadel.nz	anneliese@citadel.nz				anneliese@citadel.nz
30	Doig, M	michaelqdoig@gmail.com	michaelqdoig@gmail.com				michaelqdoig@gmail.com
28	Donaldson, B	263 Stockgrove Rd	Waipara Valley	RD2 Amberley		7482	belinda@pegasusbay.com
32	Donaldson, E	ed@pegasusbay.com	ed@pegasusbay.com				ed@pegasusbay.com
258	Dopleach, D	dana@bluefusion.co.nz	dana@bluefusion.co.nz				dana@bluefusion.co.nz
261	Downard, J	angulijulie@gmail.com	angulijulie@gmail.com				angulijulie@gmail.com
112	Dowson, G	gbdowson@gmail.com	gbdowson@gmail.com				gbdowson@gmail.com

230	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited - DR & RE Clark	710 Woodfields Road	RD5 Rangiora			7475	dave.roseclark@gmail.com
22	Driver, P	phil@OpenStrategies.com	phil@OpenStrategies.com				phil@OpenStrategies.com
505	Duckmanton, D	7 Hazelnut Avenue	Prebbleton				ducko@xtra.co.nz
144	E C Briden & Sons Ltd - Warren Briden	1249 Wolffs Road	RD5 Rangiora			7475	wsbriden@farmside.co.nz
201	Eder, M / Morriss, G D	82 Dicks Road	RD7 Sefton	Rangiora		7472	mdeder3@gmail.com, gandbmorris@gmail.com
197	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ - Rachel Ducker	C/- Harrison Grierson	PO Box 4283	Christchurch		8024	r.ducker@harrisingrierson.com
11	Eiffelton Community Group Irrigation Scheme - Ian Mackenzie	902 Longbeach Road	Ashburton			7774	ian.mackenzie@akaunui farms.co.nz
207	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated - Carey Barnett	c/- C M Barnett	Lakeside	RD3 Leeston		7683	carey.barnett@xtra.co.nz
506	English, R	26 Richmond Road	Pohara	Takaka			rsenglish@xtra.co.nz
18	Evans, D	34 Oxley Ave	St Albans	Christchurch		8014	ccdievans@xtra.co.nz
123	Evans, D J	7 Manatu Lane	Waltham	Christchurch		8023	dave.evansii@gmail.com
342	Evans, G D	3587 Sth Eyre Rd	Oxford rd, Oxford			7495	grant.judy.evans@xtra.co.nz
362	Extinction Rebellion - Rebecca Finch	9-14 Howard Street	Christchurch			8024	rebecca.finch@orcon.net.nz
231	Eyreton Produce Limited - Tim Mcfarlane	Holton	266 South Eyre Road	Kaiapoi RD2		7692	tim@eyretonproduce.co.nz
136	Eyrewell Dairy Limited - Peter and Kristy Schouten	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Chapmans Boundary Road	Rangiora		7475	eyrewelldairy@xtra.co.nz
40	Fagg, Z	zfagg007@gmail.com	zfagg007@gmail.com				zfagg007@gmail.com
476	Fairlie Primary School Board of Trustees - Hamish Zuppich	21 School Road	Fairlie			7925	reception@fairlie.school.nz
203	Fallgate Farm - Raymond and James Bowen	42 Milton Road	RD22 Geraldine				accounts.fallgate@farmside.co.nz
339	Fenwick, G D	20 Derenzy Place	Christchurch			8042	graham@akomoana.co.nz
314	Finch, R J	14 Howard Street	Christchurch			8024	rebecca.finch@orcon.net.nz
523	Foate, J	46 Webb Street	St Albans	Christchurch			jeremyfoate@xtra.co.nz
416	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited - Brigid Buckley & Ben Williams	C/- Chapman Tripp	PO Box 2510	Christchurch		8140	ben.williams@chapmantripp.com
92	Forest Creek Station - Mari Hill Harpur	4365 Rangitata Gorge Road	RD20	Peel Forest		7990	mari.harpur@taoco.com
152	Forsyth, I	3 Hibiscus Place	Redwood	Christchurch		8051	polypuketalon@gmail.com
513	Foster, R	830 Malaga Avenue	Davis	California	United States of America	9561	randpfoster@gmail.com
272	Four Hooves Ltd - Mark James Nalder & Pamela Mary Burns	57 Swamp Road	RD1 Rangiora			7471	pam.mark@xtra.co.nz
166	Fox Peak Station Limited - Haidee McCabe	C/- Irricon Resource Solutions	PO Box 2193	Washdyke	Timaru		haidee@irricon.co.nz
83	Frankland, R	rlfrlfrlfrl@hotmail.com	rlfrlfrlfrl@hotmail.com				rlfrlfrlfrl@hotmail.com
407	Fraser, C D	42 Queens Drive	Lyall Bay	Wellington		6022	colfraser@xtra.co.nz
280	Fraser, J H	965 Cleland Road	RD 12	Pleasant Point		7982	frasers.sternangus0@gmail.com
358	Fraser, R	University of Otago	Christchurch				robin.fraser@otago.ac.nz
428	Fulton Hogan Limited - Tim Ensor	C/-Tonkin & Taylor Timited	PO Box 13055	Christchurch			tensor@tonkintaylor.co.nz
348	Future Rivers - Steve Gerard	6587 Rakaia Gorge	Arunden Road	RD12 Rakaia			steve@futerivers.co.nz; steve.gerard@xtra.co.nz
93	Gallagher, K	doygalpress@yahoo.com	doygalpress@yahoo.com				doygalpress@yahoo.com
504	Gardiner, A	34A Somme Street	St Albans	Christchurch			grannybigal@xtra.co.nz

422	Genesis Energy Limited - Alice Barnett	Tokaanu Power Station	Private Bag 36	Turangi		3353	alice.barnett@genesisenergy.co.nz
295	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS) - Nick Ward	c/- Nick Ward	693 Milford Clandeboye Road	RD26 Temuka		7986	wardnm@farmside.co.nz
470	Gerard, S	sgerard@xtra.co.nz	sgerard@xtra.co.nz				sgerard@xtra.co.nz
397	Gibson Bros Ltd - Grant Gibson	37 Main Street	Fairlie				office@gibsonbros.co.nz
341	Gibson Family Trust - Donald Harvie & Christine Anne Gibson	PO Box 5	Winchester			7958	gibson@farmside.co.nz
76	Gibson, R	rnjg@xtra.co.nz	rnjg@xtra.co.nz				rnjg@xtra.co.nz
443	Giddings, G	giddingsfamily@xtra.co.nz	giddingsfamily@xtra.co.nz				giddingsfamily@xtra.co.nz
483	Gilchrist Brothers Limited - Andrew Gilchrist and Peter Gilchrist	Midhurst	Swannanoa	RD5 Rangiora		7475	andrew@gilchristbrothers.co.nz
113	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited - Felix McGirr	47 Waterloo Road	Hornby	Christchurch		8042	felix.mcgirr@craigmore.com
236	Glenfield Farm - Hayden Roberts	156 Patrick Road	RD21 Geraldine				woodsidehill@gmail.com
61	Goodman, S	39 Minnamurra Road	Northbridge	NSW	Australia	2063	sydg@intechiq.com
222	Goodworth, M	goodies@ezykonect.co.nz	goodies@ezykonect.co.nz				goodies@ezykonect.co.nz
243	Gould, D	5 Cargill Place	Richmone	Christchurch			don@bowenvale.co.nz
198	Gray, J	grayjosh@live.com	grayjosh@live.com				grayjosh@live.com
426	Great Southern Deer Farms Limited - Abby France	420 Sercombe Road	RD 21	Geraldine		7991	office.antler@gmail.com
103	Great Southern Deer Farms Ltd - Keith Alexander Orange	24 Guthrie Road	RD 21	Geraldine		7991	keith@downlandsdeer.co.nz
312	Greenstreet Irrigation Society - Eva Harris	326 Burnett Street	Ashburton			7700	eva@irrigo.co.nz
516	Gregg, T	2 Withys Road	Avonhead	Christchurch			tomasgregg@gmail.com
47	Grierson, J	85 Daniels Road	Redwood	Christchurch		8051	jim@vitalitynz.nz
143	Griffins Food Ltd - Michael Macmillan	39 Ash Road	Manukau	PO Box 79-072, Manukau City	Auckland	2241	Michael.Macmillan@griffins.co.nz
462	Grigg, J M	Haldon Pastures	157 Haldon Road	Hororata	RD2 Darfield	7572	j.grigg@haldonpastures.com
35	Groundwater, L	rakaia@icloud.com	rakaia@icloud.com				rakaia@icloud.com
87	Guiney Family Trust - Kieran Guiney	1078 Hamilton Road	RD17 Fairlie				kierangui@xtra.co.nz
531	Gunn, K	58 Carters Road	Allenton	Ashburton			keithgunn@xtra.co.nz
466	Hailstone, S	26 Beveridge Street	Christchurch			8013	sheila.hailstone@xtra.co.nz
444	Hall, M C	11A Carters Terrace	Ashburton			7700	mchadhall@xtra.co.nz
20	Hallams, T	109 Knowles Street	Christchurch			8052	tahallams@gmail.com
239	Hallatt, A	10 Cressy Terrace	Lyttleton			8082	me@alexhallatt.com
313	Hamilton, R	25 Rydal Street	Christchurch			8023	rbhamilt@gmail.com
12	Harrison, G	18 Rearsby Drive	Halswell			8025	giarne@gmail.com
137	Hart, J R	28 Breen Road	RD5 Timaru			7975	reesehart@farmside.co.nz
170	Hartwell, D	45 Dryden Street	Sumner	Christchurch		8081	drdanhartwell@yahoo.co.uk
413	Hawkins, A	156 Loburn Whiterock Road	Loburn	RD2 Rangiora		7472	alan@pohehe.kiwi
97	Hawkins, M	14 Sutherlands Road	RD13	Pleasant Point		7983	m.a.hawkins@farmside.co.nz
45	Hawley, R	U209 Thompson St	Queenstown			9300	richawley@gmail.com
249	Hay, A P	1614 Clayton Road	Fairlie			7987	sherwoodhays@gmail.com
389	Heartland Potato Chips - Charlotte Bowan	charlotte@heartlandchips.co.nz	charlotte@heartlandchips.co.nz				charlotte@heartlandchips.co.nz
345	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET) - Peter Lowe	161 Lynnford Road	RD3			7773	peter.lowe2@xtra.co.nz
115	Henderson, T	6 Benvenue Ave	Maori Hill	Timaru			tomcelia@xtra.co.nz
495	Hendriks, A	540 Orari Station Road	Geraldine				ahendriks@xtra.co.nz
331	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga - Jon Trewin	PO Box 4403	Christchurch				jtrewin@heritage.org.nz

267	Hewson Farms NZ - Ross Hewson	721 Chertsey Road	Pendarves	RD7 Ashburton		7777	r.hewson@xtra.co.nz
400	Hill, F	frank.hill151066@gmail.com	frank.hill151066@gmail.com				frank.hill151066@gmail.com
394	Hinds Drain Working Party - Craig Fleiming	17 Taylors Road	RD 3 Ashburton			7773	flemingfamily@farmside.co.nz
356	Horticulture New Zealand - Rachel McClung	PO Box 10-232	Wellington				rachel.mcclung@hortnz.co.nz
562	Hoskin, K	43 Holliss Avenue	Cashmere	Christchurch		8022	kristin.hoskin@gmail.com
338	Howard, S	407 Main Race Road	Eyrewell				s_howard@xtra.co.nz
13	Huggins, T	terhugs@xtra.co.nz	terhugs@xtra.co.nz				terhugs@xtra.co.nz
8	Humphrey, J	jxcorp2@gmail.com	jxcorp2@gmail.com				jxcorp2@gmail.com
25	Hutchison, J	5 Menzies St	Christchurch				hutchysnz@gmail.com
501	Hydes, S	PO Box 26	Pleasant Point			7947	stewart.h67nz@gmail.com
315	Hyslop, Jonty & Nicky	411 Pleasant Point HW	RD5 Timaru			7975	nicky.a.hyslop@gmail.com
310	Iles, H	inthehills@ezykonec.co.nz	inthehills@ezykonec.co.nz				inthehills@ezykonec.co.nz
55	Irvin, M	Mark Irvin Commercial Real Estate Services, LLC	3777 East Broadway Boulevard, Suite 210	Tuscon	Arizona	85716	Mark@MarkIrvin.com
371	Isaac Conservation and Wildlife Trust - Kim Seaton	C/- Novo Group Ltd	PO Box 365	Christchurch			kim@novogroup.co.nz
169	Jackson, H	971 Clayton Road	RD 17	Fairlie			h.s.jackson@farmside.co.nz
395	Jacobs, S	sam.jacobs.nz@gmail.com	sam.jacobs.nz@gmail.com				sam.jacobs.nz@gmail.com
309	Jamieson, N B	3 Cunningham Tce	Lyttleton				nbjamieson@gmail.com
514	Johnston, M	43 Applefield Court	Northwood	Christchurch		8051	malcolmandcaroljohnston@gmail.com
502	Jones, J	34a Manor Drive	Shearalea	Rolleston			jb.dhjones2@xtra.co.nz
493	Jones, R	9 Prestons Road	Redwood	Christchurch			mikepluspam@gmail.com
401	Juice Products New Zealand - Kevin Teahen	67 Sheffield Street	PO Box 2124	Washdyke			kevin@jp-nz.com
449	Kaiapoi Promotions Association Inc - Martin Pinkham	PO Box 130	Kaiapoi				chairperson@kaiapoi.org.nz
42	Kaiapoi-Tuahivi Community Board - Kay Rabe	C/- Waimakariri District Council	Private Bag 1005	Rangiora		7440	kay.rabe@wmk.govt.nz
465	Keall, L	hendersonkeall@outlook.com	hendersonkeall@outlook.com				hendersonkeall@outlook.com
102	Kemp, M	98 Vernon Terrace	Hillsborough			8022	bikemikenz@gmail.com
512	Kennedy, G	4 Penbury Close	Lake Hood	RD 4	Ashburton	7774	grahamkennedy@me.com
9	Kent, N	nicole.kent25@gmail.com	nicole.kent25@gmail.com				nicole.kent25@gmail.com
62	Kenton, S	susannahkenton@gmail.com	susannahkenton@gmail.com				susannahkenton@gmail.com
4	Kikstra, S	Waterloo Farm	173 Pound Road	No 6rd	Christchurch	7676	kikstra@xtra.co.nz
522	King, J	254 Wiggins Road	Scotsburn	Victoria			jbandamking@bigpond.com
228	Knight, R A	85 Kainga Road	Christchurch			8083	becnroy@hotmail.com
368	Knightlea Limited - Alan Crowe & Dave Winter	1403 South Eyre Road	Swannanoa			7476	ajmjcrowe@gmail.com, winterd@xtra.co.nz
173	Knocklyn Holdings Limited - Danette Trudy Ward Richards (McKeown) & Hamish John McKeown	308 McKeown Road	Woodbury	Geraldine		7991	knocklyn.farm@gmail.com
226	Koroa Group Limited - Richard Pearse	151 Two Chain Road	RD6 Rangiora			7476	koroagroupltd@gmail.com
105	KW & CJ Orange Partnership - Kristin Orange	318 Woodside Road	Geraldine			7991	kris@downlandsdeer.co.nz
411	Kyle, J	127 Arrowhenua Station Road	Seadown				waipopo-zoo@xtra.co.nz
410	Lambie, T	342 Totara Valley Road	RD12 Pleasant Point			7982	meadowvale.limited@xtra.co.nz
564	Larsen, R	11 Hassall Street	Rangiora			7400	rick@scottvillefarm.co.nz
179	Larundel Dairy Partnership - Ben Williams & Rachel Robilliard	Chapman Tripp	5th Floor, PWC Centre	60 Cashel Street, PO Box 2510	Christchurch	8140	ben.williams@chapmantripp.com, rachel.robilliard@chapmantripp.com
478	Lim, A	31 Lincoln Rolleston Road	RD8 Christchurch			7678	allen@jadegarden.co.nz

124	List, B	c/- Plant & Food Research	Private Bag 4704	Christchurch Mail Centre	Christchurch	8140	Blake.List@plantandfood.co.nz; bwl25@uclive.ac.nz; blake.w.list@gmail.com
58	Lloyd, K	33 Queenspark Dr	Christchurch			8083	thelureman@gmail.com
317	Lovelock Livestock Ltd - Glen & Treena Lovelock	364 Depot Road	RD1 Oxford	North Canterbury		7495	teena.glen@farmside.co.nz
99	Low, C	cafelow@gmail.com	cafelow@gmail.com				cafelow@gmail.com
82	Lundie, R S	667 Smart Munro Rd	Sutherlands R.D. 13	Pleasant Point	South Canterbury	7983	rogerlundie@xtra.co.nz
488	M A Orchards Limited, Kerrytown Orchards Limited Partnership and Falvey Orchards Limited Partnership - Georgina Hamilton	c/- Gresson Dorman & Co	PO Box 244	Timaru		7940	georgina@gressons.co.nz
487	Mackenzie College	47 Kirke Street	Fairlie			7925	principal@mackcollege.school.nz
457	Mackenzie District Council - Ann Rodgers	planning@mackenzie.govt.nz	planning@mackenzie.govt.nz				planning@mackenzie.govt.nz
129	Madeley, A J	68 No 10 Rd	Eyreton	Kaiapoi RD2			johnlynmadeley@gmail.com
432	Mahana Contracting - Neil William Ross Scarlett	385 Woodfields Rd	RD5 Rangiora			7475	n.scarlett@xtra.co.nz
162	Main, D	59 Brabourne Street	Hillsborough				deirdremain@gmail.com
71	Makikihi Fries - Greg Hayman	5 Makikihi Beach Road	No 8 RD	Waimate		7978	greg@makikihifries.co.nz
503	Marchesi, D	22 River Street	Heyfield	Heyfield	Victoria		roywulf@hotmail.com
46	Martin, G E	6 Osborn Grove	Ashburton			7700	gem@alaw.nz
157	Mauger, A L	15 Bowenvale Avenue	Cashmere	Christchurch		8022	annettebachop@gmail.com
255	McAllister, C & S	c/- Ryelands Farm 2009 Ltd	1500 Poyntz Rod	RD5			ryelandsfarm@xtra.co.nz
440	McAtamney, S & J	126 Clayton Settlement Road	RD17 Fairlie			4987	walk@walkfourpeaks.co.nz
189	McCain Growers Unincorporated Society - John Jackson	PO Box 2066	Washdyke	Timaru		7941	john.jackson@mccain.co.nz
187	McCains Food - John Jackson	PO Box 2066	Washdyke	Timaru		7941	john.jackson@mccain.co.nz
491	McDonald, S	Woolston	Christchurch				shonamcdonald246@gmail.com
278	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd - Hamish McFarlane	241 Badham Road	Orton	RD 26	Temuka	7986	hamish@mcfarlaneag.co.nz
402	McFarlane, C	59 Coppinger Terrace	Christchurch			8025	charlotteem1999@gmail.com
191	McFarlane, R	52 Quaiiffs Road	Halswell	Christchurch		8025	ross.mcfarlane@xtra.co.nz
98	McGregor, A	5A Bentley Street	Russley	Christchurch			arthur.mcgregor@gmail.com
250	McInnes, S	sophiesmailbox@gmail.com	sophiesmailbox@gmail.com				sophiesmailbox@gmail.com
460	McIntosh, J C	Youngs Road	Flaxton	RD1 Kaiapoi			
288	McIntyre Williamson Partnership - Carey-Mai McIntyre	PO Box 39	Twizel			7944	careymai@twizeldairies.co.nz
265	McKay, K	kirstyfmckay@gmail.com	kirstyfmckay@gmail.com				kirstyfmckay@gmail.com
308	McKay, T	t.mckay@hotmail.co.nz	t.mckay@hotmail.co.nz				t.mckay@hotmail.co.nz
447	McKendry, P	Patrick.Mckendry@cdhb.health.nz	Patrick.Mckendry@cdhb.health.nz				Patrick.Mckendry@cdhb.health.nz
528	McKenzie, E	575 Trents Road	Prebbleton				paps4me@gmail.com
529	McKnight, B	7 Ranelagh Terrace	Huntsbury	Christchurch			bill@actionsigns.co.nz
57	McLachlan, C	116 Shepherd Ave	West Melton			7618	christinayls@yahoo.co.nz
524	McLean, R	17 Flavell Street	Heathcote Valley	Christchurch		8022	1beanmeup@gmail.com
204	McLennan, EJ	ejcmclennan@gmail.com	ejcmclennan@gmail.com				ejcmclennan@gmail.com
467	McLeod, D	30 June St	Highfield	Timaru		7910	dtamcleod@outlook.com
568	McMillan, I & H	695 Arowhenua Road	RD 5	Timaru		7975	innes.helenm@hotmail.com
366	McNab, R	richiemcnab@hotmail.com	richiemcnab@hotmail.com				richiemcnab@hotmail.com
186	Meadowlinks Residents Ass - Tony Howey	16 Meadowlinks Land	RD4 Timaru			7974	tony@viberi.co.nz
202	Mehrtens, G & W	1953 Carleton Road	Oxford			7495	gusandwendy2@gmail.com

421	Mehrtens, J F	29 Thompson Road	Oxford			7495	ngakuroa@xtra.co.nz
521	Melbourne, L	8 Birchlea Court	Prebbleton	Christchurch			melbourne@xtra.co.nz
172	Melbury Limited - Alister Metherell	1 Royal Elm Lane	Bryndwr	Christchurch		8053	alister.metherell@gmail.com
346	Meridian Energy - Andrew Feierabend	PO Box 2146	Christchurch			8140	andrew.feierabend@meridian.co.nz
59	Metzenthens, D	18 Sefton Place	Camberwell	Victoria	Australia	3124	davemetz1@gmail.com
218	MHV Water Limited (MHV) - Ben Williams & Rachel Robilliard	Chapman Tripp	5th Floor, PWC Centre	60 Cashel Street	PO Box 2510, Christchurch	8140	ben.williams@chapmantripp.com, rachel.robilliard@chapmantripp.com
147	Michael, S	smicha21@hotmail.com	smicha21@hotmail.com				smicha21@hotmail.com
72	Midgley, A	Willowbrook	RD2 Timaru			7972	alastairbvv@gmail.com
471	Mill Farm - Hayden Cartwright	139 Mill Road	Pleasant Point	South Canterbury			millfarm@farmside.co.na, millfarm@farmside.co.nz
44	Milne, G	gav.milne647@gmail.com	gav.milne647@gmail.com				gav.milne647@gmail.com
515	Minchington, W	10 Vogel Street	Christchurch				glass482@gmail.com
435	Moffitt Dairy Limited - Rowan O'Connor	208 Havelock Street	Ashburton			7700	jtmoffitt@farmside.co.nz
257	Molloy, T & H	877 Marshmand Road	RD7 Rangiora			7477	mollyfordltd@gmail.com
370	Monument Road Farm Ltd - Bruce Honeybone	87 Monument Road	RD 12	Pleasant Point			brucedeb@xtra.co.nz
118	Moody, D C	70 Dunlops Road	Southbrook	Rangiora		7400	moodys_trout@hotmail.com
545	Moody, N	11 Woodbridge Road	Cashmere	Christchurch		8013	mrnicholasmoody@yahoo.com.au
17	Moon, T	7 Indira Lane	Cashmere	Christchurch		8022	terrymoon57@gmail.com
536	Moore, A	22 Richmond Hill Road	Sumner	Christchurch			pumpkinshoes@yahoo.co.nz
67	Morelea Farm - (Stan) John Edward Taylor	Box 129	Lake Tekapo			7945	morelea@xtra.co.nz
492	Morrison, I	353 Brockley Road	Fairview	Timaru		7974	i.a.morrison@farmside.co.nz
281	Mr Chips Ltd - Dacey Balle	8 Pukekiwiriki Place	East Tamaki	Auckland		2013	dacey.balle@ballebros.co.nz
54	Mugan, T	niall.mugan@icloud.com	niall.mugan@icloud.com				niall.mugan@icloud.com
384	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Gallaway Cook Allan Lawyers Dunedin	PO Box 143	Dunedin		9054	bridget.irving@gallawaycookallan.co.nz, simon.peirce@gallawaycookallan.co.nz
85	Neave, R	PO Box 17727	Sumner			8840	rosemary@womentravel.co.nz
335	Neil Kerr Ltd - Sven Koops	83 Tulls Road	RD3 Rangiora			7473	koops.kerr@gmail.com
296	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association Canterbury/West Coast and South Canterbury/North Otago branches - Linday Fung	PO Box 10702	Wellington			6143	lindsay.fung@deernz.org
344	New Zealand Defence Force - Rebecca Davies	c/- Tonkin + Taylor	Attn: Sarah Bevin	PO Box 2083	Wellington	6140	rebecca.davies@nzdf.mil.nz, sbevin@tonkintaylor.co.nz
167	New Zealand Pork - Hannah Ritchie	PO Box 20-176	Christchurch			8543	hannah.ritchie@pork.co.nz
542	New Zealand Salmon Anglers Association - Larry Burke	2 Ruru Road	Bromley	Christchurch			the-burkes@outlook.com
532	Newbery, K	41 Peninsula View	Sumner	Christchurch			kanewbery@gmail.com
200	Newbigging, R	Hides Rd	Seadown				waipopo-zoo@xtra.co.nz
541	Newton, J	172A North Street	West End	Timaru		7910	jackiekiche@hotmail.com
423	Ngā Rūnanga - Treena Davidson	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	PO Box 13 046	Ōtautahi	Christchurch	8021	Treena.davidson@ngaitahu.iwi.nz
95	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council - Richie Cosgrove	PO Box 50	Woodend			7641	rcosgrove@fishandgame.org.nz
260	North Eyre Holdings - Hamish Wyllie	1071 North Eyre Road	Swannanoa				anwyllie@scorch.co.nz
63	Oakley, R	robin@oakleys.co.nz	robin@oakleys.co.nz				robin@oakleys.co.nz
354	O'Kane, K & K	159 Hides Road	RD 3	Seadown	Timaru		kandkokane@xtra.co.nz
134	Oldfield, M E	768 Seadown Road	RD3	Timaru		7973	me.oldfield@gmail.com
77	Oldham, J	stuartandjodi@xtra.co.nz	stuartandjodi@xtra.co.nz				stuartandjodi@xtra.co.nz
31	O'Neill, K	killian00@hotmail.com	killian00@hotmail.com				killian00@hotmail.com

212	Onelaw - Emma-Jane McLennan	PO Box 2386	Christchurch			8140	ej.mclennan@onelaw.co.nz
232	Onfarm Data Ltd - Andrew Neill	andrew@onfarmdata.com	andrew@onfarmdata.com				andrew@onfarmdata.com
382	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party - Georgina Hamilton	c/- Gresson Dorman & Co	PO Box 244	Timaru		7940	georgina@gressons.co.nz
381	Opuha Water Limited - Georgina Hamilton	c/- Gresson Dorman & Co	PO Box 244	Timaru		7940	georgina@gressons.co.nz
165	Orakipaoa Water Users - Simon Connolly	C/- Simon Connolly	Raki Paua Farm Limited	35 Milford Lagoon Road	RD 26, Temuka	7986	simon.connolly@xtra.co.nz
161	Orari Estate Holdings Limited - Sarah & Aaron Gorrie	211 Orari-Rangitata Highway	RD 22	Geraldine		9772	orariest@xtra.co.nz
259	Orari Gorge Station - Robert Peacock	Orari Corge Station	1021 Tripp Settlement Road	RD21 Geraldine		7991	robert@orarigorge.co.nz
551	Orari River Protection Group - Adele Coombs	243 Talbot Street	Geraldine			7930	adelecoombs@y7mail.com
145	Orari Water Users Group - Mark Mulligan	142 Eark Road	Geraldine				mark.mary@xtra.co.nz
53	Orman, T	4 Stratford St	Blenheim			7201	botonz@icloud.com
469	Orton Downs Farm Partnership - John De Veth	Unit 5	20 Hampton Downs Road	RD2 Te Kauwhata		3782	devethgroup@gmail.com
194	Oscar Farming Co Limited - Mike & Anne Prattly	1061 South Eyre Road	RD 6	Rangiora		7476	oscar.farming@xtra.co.nz
439	O'Sullivan, R	245 Cricklewood Road	RD17 Fairlie				glenire.farm@gmail.com
240	O'Sullivan, W D	91 Cricklewood Road	RD17 Fairlie			7987	glenire@xtra.co.nz
550	Overmars, A	49 Tuscan Lane	Martinborough				a.z.l.overmars@gmail.com
409	Oxford Agriculture Services Ltd - S Macaulay	49 Mairangi Lane	Oxford			7495	steven.macaulay@gmail.com
148	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board - Thea Kunkel	C/- Waimakariri District Council	Private Bag 1005	Rangiora		7440	thea.kunkel@wmk.govt.nz
244	Panckhurst, A	andrew@clockwise.co.nz	andrew@clockwise.co.nz				andrew@clockwise.co.nz
484	Pankhurst, R K	1596 Westcoast Road	RD1 West Melton	Christchurch		7671	
323	Parbery, J	welshflyfishnz@yahoo.co.nz	welshflyfishnz@yahoo.co.nz				welshflyfishnz@yahoo.co.nz
108	Pareora Catchment Society (Inc) - Tom O'Connor	42 Bluecliffs Road	St Andrews	Timaru		7988	th0mas@xtra.co.nz
442	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises - Mervyn Todd	160 Stubbs Road	Starvation Hill	Oxford		7495	
353	Parkdale Limited - Stuart Parker	286 Two Chain Road	Swannanoa			7476	parkdales@gmail.com
539	Parkin, I	164 Allens Road	Allenton	Ashburton			ianparkin_builder@hotmail.com
474	Parsonson, B P	PO Box 14	Cust			7444	
75	Patterson, G B	41 Mt Nimrod Road	RD14 Cave			7984	gandvpatterson@farmside.co.nz
73	Patterson, V J	41 Mt Nimrod Road	RD14 Cave			7984	gandvpatterson@farmside.co.nz
142	Paul, D J	27 Cedar Place	Rangiora			7400	deejay.paul@xtra.co.nz
445	Pearse, H	233 Opihi Terrace Road	Waitohi	RD25 Temuka			hamishpearse@gmail.com
448	Pearse, H and J	70 Pearse Road	Waitohi	RD25 Temuka			hjwtpearse@gmail.com
396	Pearse, J	70 Pearse Road	RD25 Temuka				trishjeff@xtra.co.nz
5	Peelview Orchard - DA & SE Payne	20 Bennett Road	RD22	Geraldine		7992	peelview@xtra.co.nz
398	Perriam, E	emmaworters@gmail.com	emmaworters@gmail.com				emmaworters@gmail.com
229	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	71 Mcjarrows Road	Starvation Hill	Oxford		7495	philpottplj@gmail.com
364	Phillips Farming Ltd - Wayne Phillips	646 Seadown Road	RD 3	Timaru		7973	julway@farmside.co.nz
518	Pickering, D	206/89 Beach Street	Port Melbourne	Victoria	Australia		davidpickering2@me.com
450	Pilbrow, N	9 Farnham Place	Christchurch			8041	pilbrows@gmail.com
372	Pineleigh Farm Limited - David & Maria Ashby	256 Hicklands Road	Flaxton	RD 1	Kaiapoi	7691	dave@darcl.nz

101	PM & AK Robins Farming - Mark Robins	154 Raincliff Rd	Totara Valley	Pleasant Point		7982	robins@ezykonect.co.nz
52	Podobinski, M	mpwilderma@yahoo.com	mpwilderma@yahoo.com				mpwilderma@yahoo.com
404	Potatoes New Zealand - Nicola Loach	PO Box 10-232	Wellington				accounts@potatoesnz.co.nz
359	Potter, A	37 Riverview Street	Beckenham	Christchurch			adelepott37@gmail.com
70	Price, S H	148 Hussey Road	Northwood			8051	stancarole@xtra.co.nz
51	Pritchard, B	olafbenpritchard@gmail.com	olafbenpritchard@gmail.com				olafbenpritchard@gmail.com
352	Pye Group - Josie Hampton	251 Rise Road	RD 26	Temuka		7986	josie@pyegroup.co.nz
365	Rangiora Nursery Ltd - Derrick Parry	10 Chiltons Road	RD2	Kaipoi		7692	derrick@rangioranursery.co.nz
149	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board - Thea Kunkel	C/- Waimakariri District Council	Private Bag 1005	Rangiora		7440	thea.kunkel@wmk.govt.nz
316	Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership - Justin O'Brien	907 Arundel Rangitata Road	RD22 Geraldine			7992	office@wilfarm.co.nz
235	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited - John Wright / Johanna King	c/- Irrigo Irrigation Limited	326 Burnett Street	Ashburton		7700	john@bcewater.co.nz, johanna.king@tp.co.nz
96	Rangivet Ltd (Rangiora Vet Centre) - Richard Nortje	181 Lehmans Road	RD1 Rangiora				RichardN@rangivet.co.nz
220	Rankin, D A	28 Waipara Street	Crecroft	Christchurch		8025	dougrankinchch@gmail.com
181	Rathkeale Farming Partnership - David Moore	205 Young Road	RD 25	Waitoni	Temuka	7985	moore_farm@hotmail.com
110	Raumea Farms Limited - Dan Kelly	c/- 37 French Pass Rd	RD4	Cambridge			raumea4@gmail.com
114	Ravensdown Limited - Carmen Taylor	Planz Consultants Limited	PO Box 1845	Christchurch		8140	carmen@planzconsultants.co.nz
224	Rayonier New Zealand Limited and Port Blakely Limited - Chris Fowler/Amuri Hughey-Cockerell	c/- Adderley Head	15 Worcester Boulevard	Christchurch		7013	chris.fowler@adderleyhead.co.nz, amuri.hughey-cockerell@adderleyhead.co.nz
104	Redmond, J R & W D	879 Miles Road	Darfield			7571	redmondwd@xtra.co.nz
374	Reed, G	441 Steffens Road	RD 6	Rangiora		7476	gmreed@scorch.co.nz
119	Reiner, W	will.reiner1@gmail.com	will.reiner1@gmail.com				will.reiner1@gmail.com
332	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited - Nicki Webster	953 Mainwarings Road	RD11 Rakaia				nicki@rhlgroup.co.nz
297	Rhys Farm Ltd - Nicholas & Michelle Ward	693 Clandeboye Rd	RD26 Temuka			7986	wardnm@farmside.co.nz
322	Richards, C H	crthurso@xtra.co.nz	crthurso@xtra.co.nz				crthurso@xtra.co.nz
65	Richardson, J	34 Adian Way	Loburn	Rangiora		7472	richardsonj162@gmail.com
540	Richardson, J	13 McCombs Street	Somerfield	Christchurch		8024	email.jdr@gmail.com
455	Richmond Residents and Business Association (RR&BA) - Hayley Guglietta	secretary@wearerichmond.co.nz	secretary@wearerichmond.co.nz				secretary@wearerichmond.co.nz
431	Ridden, N E	157 Levi Road	Rolleston			7614	riddennz@gmail.com
388	Ridden-Harper, A	157 Levi Road	Rolleston			7914	ar-h1991@hotmail.com
486	Rimell, A	23A Juniper Place	Burnside	Christchurch		8053	anthony.rimell@gmail.com
480	Road Metals Company Limited - Kevin Bligh	Golder Associates	PO Box 33-849	Takapuna	Auckland		kbligh@golder.co.nz
116	Robertson, J	emailjackr@gmail.com	emailjackr@gmail.com				emailjackr@gmail.com
419	Robinson Dairy Farm Ltd - Alastair Robinson	209 Gressons Road	RD3 Rangiora			7473	RDFL2@yahoo.com
567	Robinson, B	Bridge Street	Waikuku	Waimakariri		7473	ohokawarren@xtra.co.nz
555	Robinson, G	36 Summerhill Road	Cust	RD 1	Rangiora		garycrazyhorse@gmail.com
392	Rooney Earthmoving Ltd - Lisa Smith	PO Box 10	Waimate				lisa.smith@rooneygroup.co.nz
453	Rooney Farms Ltd - Richard Draper	PO Box 10	Waimate				richard.draper@rooneygroup.co.nz
329	Rosebrook Farm - Claire Page	341 Springback Road	RD1 Rangiora			7471	

78	Ross, M J	119 Chapter Street	St Albans	Christchurch			jane@mjaneross.com
472	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc. - Nicky Snoyink	Attn: Nicky Snoyink	PO Box 2516	Christchurch		8140	n.snoyink@forestandbird.org.nz
481	Rural Advocacy Network - Jamie McFadden	24 Mina Road	RD2 Cheviot			7382	info@ruraladvocacynetwork.nz
452	S A & S C Toase Farm - Stuart Toase	22 Kennedy Hill Road	RD1 Rangiora			7471	stunsue@scorch.co.nz
141	Sadler, J	sadler.joel@gmail.com	sadler.joel@gmail.com				sadler.joel@gmail.com
565	Sandford, L	5 Francis Mill Grove	Westmorland	Christchurch			lindsay.sandford@protonmail.com
266	Saunders, A	52 Upper Sefton Road	Ashley	RD7 Rangiora		7477	bsmachinery@xtra.co.nz
510	Saunders, C	40 Wairakei Raod	Strowan	Christchurch		8052	cat@testrun.co.nz
535	Save the Rivers Mid-Canterbury Inc - Keith Gunn	58 Carters Road	Allenton	Asburton			savetheriversnz@gmail.com
438	Schacherer, D	dominiquees@gmail.com	dominiquees@gmail.com				dominiquees@gmail.com
386	Schwalger, J	jeschwalger@gmail.com	jeschwalger@gmail.com				jeschwalger@gmail.com
130	Scott, A	Lynscott LTD	984 Chertsey rd	7 RD Ashburton			andrewscott@hewsonfarms.co.nz
403	Scott, G P	470 Arowhenua Road	Kerrytown	RD 5	Timaru	7975	scottg@farmside.co.nz
408	Scott, J M	82 Smart Munro Road	RD4 Timaru			7974	jmscott@gmx.com
328	Scottfresh Limited - Ben Scott	PO Box 29081	Riccarton	Christchurch		8440	Bens@scottfresh.co.nz
437	Scottville Farm - John Larsen	55 Buckleys Road	Rangiora			7400	john@scottvillefarm.co.nz
253	Seaforth Farm Ltd - Wayne Kelliher	Seaforth Farm	106 Seaforth Settlement Road	RD3 Seadown	Timaru	7973	seaforthfarm@gmail.com
383	Seddon, C	PO Box 406	evironcare@gmail.com				evironcare@gmail.com
111	Seed The Change - Anake Goodall	anake@seedthechange.nz	anake@seedthechange.nz				anake@seedthechange.nz
300	Selwyn District Council - Ben Rhodes	PO Box 90	Rolleston			7643	Benjamin.Rhodes@selwyn.govt.nz
276	Seyb, L	lesleyseyb@gmail.com	lesleyseyb@gmail.com				lesleyseyb@gmail.com
530	Sheerin, C	403 Amargh Street	Linwood	Christchurch			celiasheerin@gmail.com
234	Silvacrest Farms Limited - Harry Meijer	163 Wrights Road	Oxford			7495	h.meijer@xtra.co.nz
468	Silver Fern Farms Limited - Ben Williams & Rachel Robilliard	c/- Ben Williams / Rachel Robilliard	Chapman Tripp	5th Floor PwC Centre, 60 Cashel Street	PO Box 2510	8140	ben.williams@chapmantripp.com; rachel.robilliard@chapmantripp.com
64	Smart, J	Box 22554	Christchurch				jonathan@jonathansmartgallery.com
225	Smith Enterprises Ltd and Brentworth Dairy Farm Ltd and I & M Selak Limited - Michael Smith	664 Wrights Road	Oxford			7495	smithenterprises@hotmail.co.nz
429	Smith, B	Templeton	Christchurch				bevan07@xtra.co.nz
517	Smith, D	291 Marine Parade	Christchurch			8061	thumpa14@hotmail.com
367	Smith, N	Spreydon	Christchurch				nickibi72@gmail.com
340	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce - Georgina Hamilton	c/- Gresson Dorman & Co	PO Box 244	Timaru		7940	georgina@gressons.co.nz
393	South Canterbury Gravel Extracting Industry	c/- Rooney Earthmoving Ltd	PO Box 10	Waimate			michelle.heal@rooneygroup.co.nz
146	South Hilton Ltd - Brian Bolt	875 Earl Road	RD25 Temuka			7985	123dobson@gmail.com
305	South Pacific Seeds (NZ) Ltd - John McKay	PO Box 113	Methven				j.mckay@spsnz.co.nz
557	Southern Peake Dairies - Ben Peake	654 Browns Road	RD 6	Rangiora			southernpeakedairies@icloud.com
293	Southworth, V	4 Denman Street	Sumner	Christchurch		8081	vickysouthworth@gmail.com
133	Sparrow Family Trust and Mary Sparrow	2 McRoberts Road	Rangiora			7475	hmsparrow@xtra.co.nz
473	Spencer-Bower, M J	The Homestead	Claxby	RD6 Rangiora		7476	claxby@xtra.co.nz
306	Springfield Partnership Ltd - Paul & Sue Goodwin	17 Toner Rd	RD26 Temuka			7986	goodwin.milford@farmside.co.nz
264	Stack, A & J	100 Port Hills Rd	Heathcote Valley	Christchurch			allan@stackelectrical.co.nz

537	Stalker, R	82 Egans Road	RD 1	Rangiora		7471	richstalker@xtra.co.nz
417	Starbuck, C	3000 Tram Road	West Eyreton				courtney.starbuck@gmail.com
436	Stenhouse, D	daves75@hotmail.com	daves75@hotmail.com				daves75@hotmail.com
533	Stewart, R	9B Buxton Terrace	St Martins	Christchurch			richard.stewart3@gmail.com
334	Stewart, S	239 Gardiners Road	Springbank	Rangiora			skrownek@xtra.co.nz
547	Stokes, A	503 Carleton Road	Rockford	Oxford			stoker@wnation.net.nz
369	Stokes, T	29 Poyntz Road	Eyrewell Forest			7475	bpmcmenamin@xtra.co.nz
291	Stone, G B	23 Cook Street	Timaru			7910	
27	Sturrock, D M	davesturrock@hotmail.com	davesturrock@hotmail.com				davesturrock@hotmail.com
205	Styx Living Laboratory Trust - Julia Rambacher	158 Palmers Rd	New Brighton			8083	juliarambacher3@gmail.com
420	Sustainable Otautahi Christchurch - Colleen Philip	PO Box 17727	Sumner			8840	info@sustainablechristchurch.org.nz
509	Sutherland, C	28 Everest Way	Springston	Christchurch			calsuth@icloud.com
534	Sutherland, D	RD 3	Amberley				danieluisutherland@gmail.com
269	Sutton, P	pipsutton@me.com	pipsutton@me.com				pipsutton@me.com
188	Synlait Milk Limited - Penny Gallagher & Jamie Robinson	1028 Heslerton Road	Rakaia	C/- Duncan Cotterill	148 Victoria Street, Christchurch		penny.gallagher@synlait.com, jamie.robinson@duncancotterill.com
178	Taggart Farms Partnership - Roscoe Taggart	2054 Oxford Road	Cust	RD 1	Oxford	7495	roscoetaggart1@gmail.com
360	Talbot, A G	84 Mays Road	St Albans	Christchurch		8052	talbotjones45@gmail.com
89	Talbot, J M F	49 McCully Road	Temuka			7985	Talbot.agri@xtra.co.nz
176	Talley's Group Ltd - Aaron Chudleigh	PO Box 244	Ashburton				Aaron.Chudleigh@talleys.co.nz
405	Tallott, S & J	150 Horrells Road	West Eyreton				tallottfarm@gmail.com
21	Tavendale, V	vincent.tav4@gmail.com	vincent.tav4@gmail.com				vincent.tav4@gmail.com
556	Taylor, C	12 Unwin Place	Twizel				coljentaylor@gmail.com
68	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group - Mark Hawkins	14 Sutherlands Road	RD 13	Pleasant Point		7983	m.a.hawkins@farmside.co.nz
566	Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust - Greg Byrnes	C/- Waimakariri District Council	Private Bag 1005	Rangiora		7440	tkot@farmside.co.nz
174	Te Moana Dairy Ltd - Richard Robinson	133 Four Peaks Rd	RD21 Geraldine			7991	temoanadairy@gmail.com
399	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga - Arapata Reuben	219 Tuahiwi Road	RD 1	Kaipoi		7691	tuahiwi.marae@ngaitahu.iwi.nz
424	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu - Kylie Hall	C/- Aoraki Environmental Consultancy Ltd	kyliehall@aecltd.co.nz				kyliehall@aecltd.co.nz
319	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated - Brent Schrider	c/- Arowhenua Road	Timaru				b_schrider@yahoo.com
318	Temuka Catchment Working Party - Haidee McCabe	c/- Irricon Resource Solutions	PO Box 2193	Washdyke	Timary		haidee@irricon.co.nz
412	Tepuni Partnership - David & Gael Williams	231 Opunha Dam Road	RD17 Fairlie			7987	tepuni@yahoo.co.nz
196	The Downs Pastures Limited and the Gillespie Family Trust - Callum Gillespie	2136 Oxford Road	RD 1	Oxford		7495	thedownsfarm@gmail.com
270	Thomas, R	rossthomasnz@gmail.com	rossthomasnz@gmail.com				rossthomasnz@gmail.com
303	Thompson, F	714 Harewood Road	Christchurch				faye-thompson@xtra.co.nz
216	Thompson, N	47 Warwick Road	RD5 Rangiora			7475	neville.linda.t@gmail.com
81	Tie, M A	stignz1@gmail.com	stignz1@gmail.com				stignz1@gmail.com
292	Timaru District Council - Kate Walkinshaw	PO Box 522	Timaru			7940	kate.walkinshaw@timdc.govt.nz

427	Todd Property Pegasus Town Limited - Ben Williams & Rachel Robilliard	C/- Chapman Tripp	PO Box 2510	Christchurch		8140	ben.williams@chapmantripp.com, rachel.robilliard@chapmantripp.com
363	Torlesse Farm Limited - Geoffrey Spark	851 Poyntz Road	RD 6	Rangiora		7476	geoffsparknz@gmail.com
361	Tregellen Farm limited - James Pearse	70 Pearse Road	Waitohi	RD 25	Temuka		jamespearse308@gmail.com
262	Trolove, P D	32 Pacific Drive	RD3 Leeston			7683	trolovep@gmail.com
210	Tronnoco Farming Co Ltd - Tony & Keri O'Connor	99 Hides Road	Seadown	RD3 Timaru		7973	tronnoco99@gmail.com
192	Trounce, L & A	139 Phar Lap Rd	RD3 Seadown	Timaru			fairviewholsteins@farmside.co.nz
41	Trower, D	151a Bowhill Road	North Beach	Christchurch		8083	ditrower@hotmail.com
156	Trustpower Limited - Nicola Foran	Private Bag 12023	Tauranga			3143	nicola.foran@trustpower.co.nz
245	Trusttum, M	michelle.trusttum@gmail.com	michelle.trusttum@gmail.com				michelle.trusttum@gmail.com
206	Turley Farms Ltd - Murray & Margaret Turley	Trevenna	380 Guild Road	RD26 Temuka		7986	murray@turleyfarms.co.nz
507	Twelfth Knight Consulting - Richard English	26 Richmond Road	Pohara	Takaka			rsenglish@xtra.co.nz
499	Ullrich, J	36 Pyramid Terrace	Twizel				jillullrich11@gmail.com
252	Ulrich, P H	Rock Farm	RD13 Pleasant Point			7983	herstallulrich@gmail.com
180	United Seadown - Russell Newbigging	c/- R Newbigging	Hides Road	Seadown			suenewbigging@hotmail.com
238	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group - Jason Grant	41 Middle Valley Rd	RD17 Fairlie			7987	jarowfarming@gmail.com
285	Van Houten, W	10 Selwyn Parade	Lyettelton			8082	mimiandmichael@xtra.co.nz
456	Vetlife Limited - Andee Gainsforth	325 Pages Road	Gleniti	Timaru		7910	andee.gainsford@vetlife.co.nz
418	VIBERi New Zealand Ltd - Tony Howey	6 Butlers Road	RD4 Timaru			7974	tony@viberi.co.nz
190	W J & L E Bailey Farming Ltd - William Bailey	1403 South Eyre Road	RD 6	Rangiora		7476	louwinter00@gmail.com
177	W J Winter & Sons Ltd - Des & Dave Winter	193 Butchers Road	RD 2	Kaiapoi		7692	winterd@xtra.co.nz
247	W..	w@v5.nz	w@v5.nz				w@v5.nz
274	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership - Darryl Brown	49 Harpers Road	Eyreton			7692	waieyrefarm@xtra.co.nz
3	Waimakariri District Council - Geoff Meadows	geoff.meadows@wmk.govt.nz	geoff.meadows@wmk.govt.nz				geoff.meadows@wmk.govt.nz
171	Waimakariri Group - Susan Hall	1099 Courtenay Road	RD 1	Darfield			smahall@xtra.co.nz
349	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL) - Ben Williams & Rachel Robilliard	c/- Ben Williams/Rachel Robilliard	5th Floor, PWC Centre	60 Cashel Street, PO Box 2510	Christchurch	8140	ben.williams@chapmantripp.com/rachel.robilliard@chapmantripp.com
425	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust - Scott Evans	C/- Prosser Quirke	PO Box 4	Rangiora			waimak.ngf@gmail.com
279	Waimate District Council - Stuart Duncan	125 Queen Street	PO Box 122	Waimate		7960	stuart.duncan@waimatedc.govt.nz
237	Wainono Dairy Ltd - Richard Green	PO Box 56	Fairlie			7987	richard@greenvale.co.nz
355	Wainwright, T	12 Falcoln Street,	Christchurch			8051	torfrida@snap.net.nz
375	Waipopo Farm Limited - Alan Newton	329 Waipopo Road	Seadown	Timaru			waipopo-zoo@xtra.co.nz
490	Wall, J	81 Corsair Drive	Wigram	Christchurch			jowallsca@gmail.com
14	Wallace, V	vmewallace@gmail.com	vmewallace@gmail.com				vmewallace@gmail.com
479	Ward-Smith, R A	277 Spur Road	RD5 Timaru			5954	r.k.wardsmith@gmail.com
246	Warren, C	c_varlow@hotmail.com	c_varlow@hotmail.com				c_varlow@hotmail.com
88	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust - Mike Patchett	61 Andover St	Merivale			8014	dandmpatchett@xtra.co.nz

217	Waterforce Ltd - K Coote	Kent Croote	637 Halswell Junction Road	Hornby	Christchurch		kcroote@waterforce.co.nz
39	Webster, D	dorothyjwebster@gmail.com	dorothyjwebster@gmail.com				dorothyjwebster@gmail.com
559	Webster-Brown, J	266 Weedons Ross Road	RD 5	Rolleston	Christchurch	7675	jenny@geokem.co.nz
233	Wells, J	julie.lifeforce@gmail.com	julie.lifeforce@gmail.com				julie.lifeforce@gmail.com
459	Werner, J	291 Marine Parade	Christchurch				jodonx2@gmail.com
135	West, H	pmihawaii2@hotmail.com	pmihawaii2@hotmail.com				pmihawaii2@hotmail.com
377	Westburn Farm Limited - Simon & Sue Fraser	1760 Cust Road	RD1 Rangiora			7471	sandsfraser@xtra.co.nz
558	WHow Charitable Trust - Jason Mill	317 Marine Parade	South New Brighton	Christchurch		8061	whowaquatic@gmail.com
122	Willcox, C M	28 Philpotts Road	Mariehau	Christchurch		8052	cmwillcox_81@yahoo.com
333	Wilson, A	56 Leggitts Road	Waikuku			7473	angus.wendy@xtra.co.nz
19	Wilson, M	24 Warrington St	Christchurch			8013	mark4innes@yahoo.com
302	Winter, J H	402 Lower Styx Road	Christchurch			8083	wintera@xtra.co.nz
307	Winter, K A	402 Lower Styx Road	Christchurch			8083	wintera@xtra.co.nz
350	Winter, R F C	402 Lower Styx Road	Christchurch			8083	wintera@xtra.co.nz
43	Wium, D H	18 Wroxton Tce	Fendalton	Christchurch		8014	dwium@xtra.co.nz
121	Wood, S	woodzy1604@gmail.com	woodzy1604@gmail.com				woodzy1604@gmail.com
271	Woodbury Deer Industry Environment Group - Danette Trudy Ward Richards (McKeown) et al	308 McKeown Road	Woodbury	RD21 Geraldine		7991	knocklyn.farm@gmail.com
107	Woodend-Sefton Community Board - Kay Rabe	C/- Waimakariri District Council	Private Bag 1005	Rangiora		7440	kay.rabe@wmk.govt.nz
553	Woolstencroft, H	42 Mowbray Street	Watlington	Timaru		7910	hmw59@yahoo.com
208	Wright, B & S	778 Cannington Road	RD14 Cave			7984	bill.shirley.wright@gmail.com
23	Wylie, S J	27 Country Palms Drive	Halswell	Christchurch		8025	swylie@socialresearch.co.nz
34	Youngman, G	g.youngman50@gmail.com	g.youngman50@gmail.com				g.youngman50@gmail.com
475	Yurjevich, M	yurjevichm@orcon.net.nz	yurjevichm@orcon.net.nz				yurjevichm@orcon.net.nz
16	Zest Biotech - Usha Amaranathan	15 The Glade South	Pukekohe	Auckland		2120	info@zestbiotech.co.nz
80	Zhang, F	francescazhang64@gmail.com	francescazhang64@gmail.com				francescazhang64@gmail.com
60	Zollweg, V	v.zollweg1@gmail.com	v.zollweg1@gmail.com				v.zollweg1@gmail.com
50	Zwarts, B	bzwarts@yahoo.co.uk	bzwarts@yahoo.co.uk				bzwarts@yahoo.co.uk

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
All of Plan Change				
TOPIC				
<u>General</u>				
General Support	PC7-54.1	Mugan, T	Support	Retain Plan Change 7 as notified
General Support	PC7-138.1	Canterbury Aoraki Conservation Board	Support	Support the intent and direction of the proposed changes to the LWRP.
General Support	PC7-214.1	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Supports the sub catchment approach to sustainable and integrated management of land and water resources.
General Support	PC7-142.1	Paul, D J	Support	Support provisions in Plan Change 7 that aim to clean up lakes and rivers.
General Support	PC7-208.1	Wright, B & S	Support	Support the broad principles, aims and objectives of the Land and Water Regional Plan and the additional objectives of Plan Change 7.
General Support	PC7-334.1	Stewart, S	Support	Support Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested
General Support	PC7-205.1	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Support	General support with no specific decision requested
General Support	PC7-217.1	Waterforce Ltd	Support	General support with no specific decision requested
General Support	PC7-232.3	Onfarm Data Ltd	Support	Support the overall water quality objectives with no specific decision requested.
General Support	PC7-174.1	Te Moana Dairy Ltd	Support	General support with no specific decision requested.
General Support	PC7-332.1	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Support	Supports the direction of Plan Change 7, particularly the intention to manage nutrient losses from vegetable growing activities differently to other land uses, with no specific decision requested.
General Support	PC7-360.1	Talbot, A G	Support	Supports the direction of Plan Change 7, with no specific decision requested.
General Support	PC7-473.17	Spencer-Bower, M J	Support	General support for a Plan Change in 10 years time drawing on good information.
General Support	PC7-109.1	Brown, A	Support	General support for improved freshwater outcomes for Canterbury.
General Support	PC7-98.18	McGregor, A	Support	General support for Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General Support	PC7-214.153	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	<p>That the relief outlined below and under section B specific submission points, is adopted and subsequent changes that give effect to the relief sought are adopted including the following amendments to PC7:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. provisions (objectives, policies, and rules) are included in the Plan which ensure that freshwater resource use (assimilative capacity) is necessary, reasonable, and efficient; 2. land use (including "farming") methods including rules include ancillary discharges (s9 and s15 RMA); 3. land use and ancillary discharge activity rules are holistic, in that they apply to the farming operation and systems rather than taking a single activity focus; 4. land use and ancillary discharge activity rules meet the requirements of s70 RMA, and relevant planning considerations; 5. Nitrogen discharge/ leaching standards/ allocations are established based not on existing use and discharge profiles, but on the underlying natural capacity of soils and within the assimilative capacity of water. 6. That nitrogen loads are allocated within (sub)catchments in such a way that there is an equitable allocation of a total catchment nitrogen load to all users/activities who may wish to use the available resource; 7. That this plan gives effect to RMA and the NPSFWM (2014) and in particular is consistent with the objectives and policies under section C(a) National Objectives Framework; 8. That in formulating freshwater objectives and limits, the economic wellbeing, including productive economic opportunities, are provided for within the context of environmental objectives, attributes, and limits; 9. That water quality outcomes below environmental limits which is caused by naturally occurring processes, or is due to the impacts of regionally or nationally significant infrastructure is provided for; 10. That objectives, policies and methods, including rules, are included which facilitate and support the establishment and operation of (sub)catchment collective groups to manage water quality and biodiversity issues facing a catchment; and 11. That objectives, policies and methods support innovative and, where required, edge of field mitigation which facilitates flexible, viable businesses and encourages communities to work together to identify, understand and act collectively to improve water quality.
General Support	PC7-214.154	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	<p>Supports land use and ancillary discharges objectives, policies, and methods including rules to recognise and provide for drystock sector farming operations including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. diversity of systems, soil, geology, and climate; 2. provide flexibility for land and resource users to adopt land use and farming operations to adapt to and meet markets, technology, and environmental constraints such as climate; 3. specifically provide objectives policies and methods that recognise and provide for activities that have a low discharge risk for one contaminant, to allow flexibility in implementation to target actions and expenditure to address other priority contaminants; 4. acknowledge the management and protection of existing biodiversity values; and support and enable enhancement and development of biodiversity values; 5. provide for adaptation and changes in farm systems and management approaches to respond to technology, climate change and markets; 6. provide for flexibility in Nitrogen use and discharges that enable increases beyond historic discharge levels, where these are low and where these discharges will not exceed long term determined sub catchment determined load limits; 7. remove any reference to requiring (grand-parenting) farming operations to be held at historic nitrogen discharge levels or stocking rates, through application of the nitrogen reference point, and/or restrictions on stocking rates; 8. apply tailored farm and catchment specific Land and Environment or Farm and Environment Planning principles including addressing critical source management specific to a property with preference over prescriptive input type rules and standards; and 9. ensure the requirement for specific mitigation is able to be tailored to a farm level and can provide for the future aspirations of the business, and is tailored to specifically meeting the environmental risks of concern specifically for the property and sub catchment.
General Support	PC7-214.155	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Supports regulatory methods to be tailored to address the environmental issues specific to a sub-catchment or watershed and the land use.
General Support	PC7-477.2	Brough, M J	Support	Support overall water quality objectives where not at the expense of the community's mental health.
General Support	PC7-114.111	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Supports the application of a generally consistent farming activity rule hierarchy throughout the region, including within the Waimakariri and OTOP sub-regional rules.
General Support	PC7-310.1	Iles, H	Oppose in part	Supports intent of plan change in its entirety but changes should go further to ensure healthy rivers and have shorter time frames for implementation.
General Opposition	PC7-36.1	De Lu, D	Oppose	Delete the plan change in its entirety and delay the plan change until a newly elected fully democratic ECan Board is empanelled.
General Opposition	PC7-39.1	Webster, D	Oppose	Delete the plan change in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General Opposition	PC7-29.1	Doig, A	Oppose	Delete plan change in its entirety.
General Opposition	PC7-400.5	Hill, F	Oppose	Require Plan Change 7 planning process to start again from scratch.
General Opposition	PC7-245.3	Trusttun, M	Oppose	Delete Plan Change 7 in its entirety.
General Opposition	PC7-532.1	Newbery, K	Oppose	Delete Plan Change 7 in its entirety.
General Opposition	PC7-305.1	South Pacific Seeds (NZ) Ltd	Oppose	Delete the plan change in its entirety.
General Opposition	PC7-567.1	Robinson, B	Oppose	Oppose Plan Change with no specific decision requested.
General Opposition	PC7-476.1	Fairlie Primary School Board of Trustees	Oppose	Delete Plan Change 7 in its entirety.
General Opposition	PC7-513.1	Foster, R	Oppose	Delete Plan Change 7 and re-write to consider matters raised in the submission.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-400.9	Hill, F	Oppose in part	Require that the Canterbury Water Management Strategy is not considered as part of the Plan Change 7 planning process.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-400.10	Hill, F	Oppose in part	Require that if Plan Change 7 goes ahead that it will be on a polluter pays basis.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-245.2	Trusttun, M	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to shorten compliance timeframes.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-245.4	Trusttun, M	Oppose in part	Require proposed changes to be subject to full legal scrutiny in any court, by all ratepayers and bodies acting on behalf of ratepayers and all the people of Canterbury.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-337.141	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Require further, alternative or consequential relief to the changes sought in the submissions.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-337.147	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend objectives, policies and rules in PC7 to account for projected climate change effects, including lower river levels, higher sea levels and increased groundwater levels.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-88.60	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to include consistent and defined terminology.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-399.85	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to consider more appropriate timeframes for substantive improvements in water quality and quantity.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-399.87	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Require Plan Change 7 to consider the extent of its consistency with Te Mana o Te Wai.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-399.88	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to be more consistent with the kaitiakitanga responsibilities of Ngāi Tūāhuriri.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-387.3	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	General opposition to the particular wording of polices, rules and other methods or provisions as notified reflects the means of giving effect to the Statutory Water Quality Requirements.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-171.16	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to go further in addressing water quality and indigenous biodiversity degradation and neglect.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-171.18	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to take a precautionary approach.
<u>Legal Issues and Preliminary Matters</u>				
Section 32 Evaluation Report	PC7-372.6	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Support Section 32 analysis in relation to Managed Aquifer Recharge.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Section 32 Evaluation Report	PC7-507.8	Twelfth Knight Consulting	Support	General agreement with the conclusions made in the report titled 'Technical memo: Effects of cleanfill deposition on groundwater quality' By Dr Lisa Scott excluding the consideration of the acceptability of hard water relative to the NZWDS if that is not what the consumer has historically had.
Section 32 Evaluation Report	PC7-349.23	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose in part	Require Council to better consider the costs associated with the proposed reductions required by Table 8-9, and provide greater clarity as to whether further land uses are available that would address the concerns set out (e.g. lifestyle blocks).
Section 32 Evaluation Report	PC7-441.48	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Require Council to take into account the impact of the proposed provisions on the physical and financial performance on-farm.
Section 32 Evaluation Report	PC7-385.23	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Opposes lack of technical and environmental justifications in the Section 32 report and supporting technical assessments for the proposed 'alternative management regime' in Part B of PC7.
Section 32 Evaluation Report	PC7-430.290	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Require Council to undertake a greater level of analysis, particularly with regard to the potential and likely costs associated with the implementation of the Waimakariri and OTOP sections (especially provisions related to reduction in the reliability of water supply and nitrogen reductions).
Section 32 Evaluation Report	PC7-415.63	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Require Council to appropriately assess the impacts of the catchment modelling for Section 8.
Section 32 Evaluation Report	PC7-340.1	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Direct a full assessment of the anticipated costs of the implementation of PC7 to replace the inadequate economic work which informs Part B of PC7.
Section 32 Evaluation Report	PC7-224.12	Rayonier New Zealand Limited and Port Blakely Limited	Oppose in part	Opposes the section 32 evaluation undertaken by Council as it has failed to properly consider the costs and benefits of the proposed forestry rules and does not satisfy the requirement under section 32(4) of the RMA to justify reasons for the greater stringency of the rules in PC7 compared with the regulations in the NESPF, with no specific decision requested.
Section 32 Evaluation Report	PC7-337.146	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Require that the s32 report includes the costs of removing nitrate from the water supply needs to be assessed, and an assessment needs to be provided on an alternative scenario in which nitrate nitrogen levels are kept considerably lower.
Section 32 Evaluation Report	PC7-112.1	Dowson, G	Oppose in part	Require that economic externalities take into account benefits to the farming sector and the long-term costs of treating drinking water.
Section 32 Evaluation Report	PC7-337.179	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Require Council to undertake a proper alternatives evaluation in its Section 32 for Table 8-9, given the economic, social, recreational, and environmental value of the Christchurch aquifers as a drinking water supply for Christchurch and its contribution to maintaining ecological values in spring-fed rivers.
Section 32 Evaluation Report	PC7-412.29	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Opposes lack of technical and environmental justifications in the Section 32 report and supporting technical assessments for the proposed 'alternative management regime' in Part B of PC7.
Section 32 Evaluation Report	PC7-405.26	Tallott, S & J	Oppose in part	Require Council to better consider the costs associated with the proposed reductions required by Table 8-9, and provide greater clarity as to whether further land uses are available that would address the concerns set out (e.g. lifestyle blocks).
Section 32 Evaluation Report	PC7-381.166	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Opposes lack of technical and environmental justifications in the Section 32 report and supporting technical assessments for the proposed 'alternative management regime' in Part B of PC7.
Consultation	PC7-65.1	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Require a moratorium on the appointment of commissioner and plan changes until the establishment of a fully elected Council or until the new Freshwater Policy Statement is gazetted, whichever comes first.
Consultation	PC7-118.2	Moody, D C	Oppose in part	Require consultation with Fish and Game for every proposal for a structure.
Consultation	PC7-290.3	Alex Mason Contracting	Oppose in part	Review Plan Change 7 through obtaining more scientific based evidence in consultation with the farming community.
Consultation	PC7-400.3	Hill, F	Oppose in part	Require that Environment Canterbury engage with Christchurch ratepayers on the preparation of Plan Change 7
Consultation	PC7-400.4	Hill, F	Oppose in part	Require that Plan Change 7 follow the collaboration planning process required in the MBIE guidelines.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Consultation	PC7-293.6	Southworth, V	Oppose in part	Compile the additional data/evidence sought in decisions requested PC7-293.1, PC7-293.2, PC7-293.3, PC7-293.4 and PC7-293.5 and consider the potential effects of the nitrate loss proposals (current and future) and conduct genuine stakeholder engagement with all affected communities.
Consultation	PC7-293.8	Southworth, V	Oppose in part	If tighter limits are justified and nitrate losses need to be cut more rapidly following assessment of the additional information and reviews requested as the relief sought in PC7-293.1, PC7-293.2, PC7-293.4 to PC7-293.7 then restart discussion with Waimakariri landowners to identify potential solutions (including considering financial support options and sharing of best practice knowledge) and the barriers to more rapid change.
Consultation	PC7-171.1	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Place Plan Change 7 on hold until after the elections to give the incoming Councillors time to familiarise themselves with the plan and provide input.
Caucusing	PC7-286.4	Aoraki Development Business and Tourism	Oppose in part	Require full engagement with affected parties through expert caucusing prior to the hearing.
Caucusing	PC7-385.20	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Direct the following pre-hearing meetings and expert witness caucusing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A meeting (or meetings) between the AMWG and ECan technical and/or planning staff for the purpose of clarifying or facilitating the resolution of matters raised in its submission in accordance with clause 8AA of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA); and • The narrowing of technical issues in contention through informal or formal expert witness caucusing and associated preparation of joint expert witness statements, ideally scheduled prior to the completion of the section 42A RMA report and subsequent lodgement of submitters' evidence on PC7
Caucusing	PC7-412.26	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Direct the following pre-hearing meetings and expert witness caucusing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A meeting (or meetings) between the AMWG and ECan technical and/or planning staff for the purpose of clarifying or facilitating the resolution of matters raised in its submission in accordance with clause 8AA of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA); and • The narrowing of technical issues in contention through informal or formal expert witness caucusing and associated preparation of joint expert witness statements, ideally scheduled prior to the completion of the section 42A RMA report and subsequent lodgement of submitters' evidence on PC7
Legal Issues	PC7-301.3	Curtis, Y	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to include a strong enforcement action plan to place a high penalty on those who exceed the limit.
Legal Issues	PC7-425.33	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Require the timeframes for the provision of evidence and the hearings on PC7 be delayed to allow the implications of the finalised new NPS-FM and NES-FW to be fully considered in terms of their relationship with PC7.
Legal Issues	PC7-436.3	Stenhouse, D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to be consistent with the policies of current government.
Legal Issues	PC7-227.2	Didham, T	Oppose in part	Clarify whether Plan Change 7 was written under emergency legislation.
Legal Issues	PC7-551.14	Orari River Protection Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to align with the tightening national standards for waterways and aquifers in the OTOP sub-region.
Legal Issues	PC7-88.64	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to legally define the bank of a waterway.
Legal Issues	PC7-399.86	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Require Plan Change 7 to consider consistency with the Treaty of Waitangi, National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management, the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement, Ngai Tahu Claims Settlement Act 1998 and Deed of Settlement, Mahaanui Iwi Management Plan, and the objectives and policies of the Land and Water Regional Plan.
Legal Issues	PC7-109.23	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require the water management plan for OTOP to align with national standards for waterways and aquifers.
Legal Issues	PC7-279.2	Waimate District Council	Oppose in part	Require that Plan Change 7 is withdrawn and redraft definitions, accurate boundaries, translations, explanations of Maori terminology, reliable baseline data and ecological justification for rules and policies then represented for consultation.
<u>Non-regulatory actions</u>				
Non-regulatory actions	PC7-114.108	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Require Council to operate a queuing system so as to ensure that farmers are not penalised for non-compliance when it is not their fault that relevant professionals and/or technical experts are not available to carry out all the work at the same time (in relation to common expiry dates for consents).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Non-regulatory actions	PC7-278.1	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to apply alternatives to prescriptive planning, such as providing small bundles of out of catchment water.
<u>Science, Investigations and Models</u>				
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-129.3	Madeley, A J	Oppose	Delete Plan Change 7 in its entirety until further information, discussion and scientific evidence can be evaluated.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-8.1	Humphrey, J	Oppose in part	Amend plan change 7 to take a precautionary approach to modelling nitrate.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-286.3	Aoraki Development Business and Tourism	Oppose in part	Require engagement of appropriately skilled and industry accepted professionals to undertake more detailed assessment and analysis of three key environmental, social and economic areas.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-434.7	Aberdeen Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Require the effects of climate change be considered in maintaining the status quo land use activity mix.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-208.6	Wright, B & S	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to consider the DairyNZ-led collaborative research programme, 'Forages to Reduce Nitrate Leaching programme'.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-222.3	Goodworth, M	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so parameters are backed up by science and research.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-217.3	Waterforce Ltd	Oppose in part	No specific decision requested.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-353.22	Parkdale Limited	Oppose in part	Require a comprehensive monitoring program to be undertaken over the next 10 years to ensure accurate and reliable data exists for future plan changes.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-225.1	Smith Enterprises Ltd and Brentworth Dairy Farm Ltd and I & M Selak Limited	Oppose in part	Directs that Plan Change 7 is based on 'hard robust scientific facts' not assumptions.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-377.1	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Require Plan to focus and place significant emphasis on undertaking a comprehensive zone monitoring program for the next 10 years with rigorous enforcement, will ensure that the data and the science will adequately prepare farmers for future plan changes.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-473.9	Spencer-Bower, M J	Oppose in part	Require water quality changes to be monitored through a comprehensive water quality and ecosystem health monitoring program.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-557.2	Southern Peake Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 provisions to ensure correct information and accurate data is used.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-553.3	Woolstencroft, H	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to investigate other nutrients and additives including Urea, Manganese, and copper but not limited to them.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-387.5	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Require a more appropriate approach through actual water quality measurements that allow an adaptive management response.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-387.6	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	General opposition to assumptions made which inform the modelling with no specific decision requested.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-387.8	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to promote and enable reliance on actual measured data.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-387.9	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend planning maps where modelling has relied on inaccurate or inappropriate assumptions or or information with no specific decision requested.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-387.10	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend policies where modelling has relied on inaccurate or inappropriate assumptions or or information with no specific decision requested.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-387.11	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend rules where modelling has relied on inaccurate or inappropriate assumptions or or information with no specific decision requested.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-325.7	Bishop Farms Oxford Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to scientifically test historical and intended steps to meet GMP with the further reduction of 15% by 2030 to assess whether there is a need to further reduce farming operations
Overseer	PC7-353.21	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Remove the use of Overseer from the provisions and instead adopt a more reliable software program to adequately assess the nitrogen losses required.
Overseer	PC7-195.3	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose	Delete the use of Overseer in PC7 and replace with a different nutrient measuring system.
Overseer	PC7-185.7	Balle Bros Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that Overseer modelling is not required for commercial vegetable growing operations.
Overseer	PC7-108.13	Pareora Catchment Society (Inc)	Oppose in part	Require a new system of nutrient loss estimations, based on reliable operational data to be investigated and funded nationally.
Overseer	PC7-434.2	Aberdeen Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Require that there is a more accurate direct measurement approach than overseer for the horticulture industry
Overseer	PC7-197.1	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose in part	Amend poultry farming provisions reliance on Overseer with no specific decision requested.
Overseer	PC7-197.24	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose in part	Require development of an appropriate framework for the assessment of nitrogen loss for the Poultry Industry.
Overseer	PC7-411.8	Kyle, J	Oppose in part	Opposes the use of Overseer in assessing nutrient discharges for some crops, citing issues with accuracy, with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate modelling	PC7-325.4	Bishop Farms Oxford Limited	Oppose in part	Amend PC7 so 'measured science' forms the basis for determining appropriate nitrate management process.
Allocation of Resources				
General allocation and limits	PC7-263.3	Chambers, D A	Support	Supports Plan Change 7 for the phasing out of over-allocation of water over time.
General allocation and limits	PC7-205.11	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Support	Supports the alignment with the NPSFW with no specific decision requested
General allocation and limits	PC7-88.19	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to ensure that all specific freshwater outcomes for sub-regions/catchments/rivers at least match or provide better outcomes.
General allocation and limits	PC7-543.2	Alsop, J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remedy over allocation of water resources including adding a process to buy-back/or reduce consent allocation within the consent term.
General allocation and limits	PC7-88.112	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to lower surface water and groundwater allocations to provide reasonable environmental flows to sustain wealthy and diverse waterways.
General allocation and limits	PC7-88.113	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to require the surrender of 70% of groundwater abstraction rights or 50% of the average annual use volume of water abstracted over the past 3-5 years when allocated surface water through irrigation.
General allocation and limits	PC7-56.10	Devlin, R	Support	Retain all provisions relating to the cultural flow allocations.
General allocation and limits	PC7-106.1	Cotter, J	Support	Supports the introduction of measures to improve water quality in the Canterbury region through Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
General allocation and limits	PC7-19.1	Wilson, M	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to reduce maximum allowed nitrate levels in groundwater to be as close to the natural level as possible, recognising international studies.
General allocation and limits	PC7-88.94	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to adopt a precautionary approach to setting limits by including a 30% variance to account for uncertainty.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-320.1	Bebbington, C	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-420.1	Sustainable Otago Christchurch	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-81.1	Tie, M A	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-211.3	de Kort, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to set new, minimised limits on the volume of water available for allocation to ensure water is not readily available for commercial use.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-417.2	Starbuck, C	Oppose in part	Amend provisions to exclude the uptake of groundwater by any private company for commercial gain.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-278.51	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to assess on a case by case basis where use is consistently less than allocated.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-278.56	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to place a firm cap on allocation in over allocated zones.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-278.57	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to encourage abstractors to source more environmentally sustainable water without losing cost benefits and reliability.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-278.58	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to review takes that have been held by local government and others in the past that may no longer be fit for purpose.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-278.65	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so no new/reallocation occurs in over allocated zones.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-167.4	New Zealand Pork	Oppose in part	Require any provisions to cap or reduce water allocation in Plan Change 7 to not impact the ability of pig farmers to care for the welfare of their animals or to maintain the hygiene standards within the facility.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-543.3	Alsop, J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to require a fairer and more equitable allocation of water including redistribution of water resources.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-543.4	Alsop, J	Oppose in part	Require the transfer of consents to be better address including the ability to remove consents which are being changed to other uses.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-543.5	Alsop, J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 requiring a process to buy-back or reduce consent allocation within the consent term.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-543.6	Alsop, J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to add the ability to modify consent allocations and other conditions on sale/transfer or the consented property/business is for sale
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-129.7	Madeley, A J	Oppose in part	Direct further consideration of water available for allocation.
Water quality - allocation	PC7-167.1	New Zealand Pork	Support	Supports adoption of water quality limits, with no specific decision requested.
Water quality - allocation	PC7-214.152	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	<p>Adopt the following Principles for the Allocation of Nutrients:</p> <p>Principles for the Allocation of Nutrients These principles have been developed to guide decisions on nutrient allocation. They seek to ensure that nutrient allocation is fair, equitable, recognises the complexity of farming systems, and provides for continued flexibility of land use. They support catchment specific solutions to nutrient management and that different allocation regimes will be established that reflect differences between communities and their catchments, and to meet water quality objectives in those catchments. These principles should be considered carefully when forming any nutrient allocation policies or methods to achieve them. Each principle is important but they should be considered as a whole to inform allocation discussions.</p> <p>Principle 1 Like land should be treated the same Allocation should be based on the intrinsic qualities of the land. Two pieces of land with the same qualities should receive the same allocation. This principle recognises that allocation regimes should not be overly influenced by existing land use.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
				<p>Principle 2 Those undertaking activities that have caused water quality problems should be required to improve their management to meet water quality limits. All New Zealanders have a responsibility to manage their activities to maintain or improve water quality. This principle reflects the need for those who have caused water quality problems or who are contributing a greater amount to them to take a greater responsibility for meeting the costs of reducing nutrient loss to water. It also reinforces that those who have managed responsibly should not be required to have their land use constrained as a result of others' activity.</p> <p>Principle 3 Flexibility of land use must be maintained Land owners need to have the ability to respond to changes in climate, input costs, markets and technological innovation in order to maintain a profitable and sustainable farming enterprise. Allocating nutrients in such a way that unnecessarily limits land use change constrains the ability of land users to respond to those changes and optimally utilise the land resource.</p> <p>Principle 4 The allocation system should be technically feasible, simple to operate and understandable A high level of technical feasibility is fundamental to a successful allocation approach. The simpler the system, the more likely it is to be able to operate effectively. The approach must also be understandable by land users and the wider community. It must be able to be administered fairly and at minimum transaction costs to users and the regulator.</p> <p>Principle 5 The natural capital of soils should be the primary consideration when establishing an allocation mechanism for nutrient loss A natural capital approach allows for an economically efficient allocation of nutrients. Those soils with the greatest ability to retain nutrients and optimise nutrient use give land users the greatest flexibility to optimise production, respond to markets and technology while managing potential effects on water quality. Allocation systems should reflect the ability of these soil types to optimise production and land use flexibility.</p> <p>Principle 6 Allocation approaches should provide for adaptive management and new information Allocation decisions are primarily made on the information we know now and modelled future scenarios. Our understanding and the availability of both catchment and farm systems will change over the life of an allocation system as will possible management techniques. Allocation systems should provide sufficient flexibility to provide for adaptive management and be reviewed regularly to incorporate new information. Adequate transition times should be provided to incorporate new information where allocation changes as a result.</p> <p>Principle 7 Appropriate timeframes must be set to allow for transition from current state to one where allocation of nutrients applies Timeframes should take account of the degree to which any waterway is over-allocated (if that is the case), the period over which this state has come about and the costs for businesses and the current ability to manage to that allocation. It should be recognised that current water quality issues are sometimes the result of many years of land use within catchments and may have developed over generations. Consideration needs to be taken of the legitimate expectations of people and natural justice. Accordingly time should be provided for them to adjust. There needs to be a balanced approach and recognition of the uncertainty associated with water science versus the likely economic impact on businesses and the region. The primary objective should be to set an appropriate direction of travel that will see a steady improvement in water quality.</p> <p>Principle 8 Long term investment certainty is a critical feature of a viable nutrient management system Changes to nutrient allocation regimes must be signalled as far out as possible. Refinements to those systems must be managed to minimise their impacts on business viability, land value and the flexibility of land use. The aim must be to reflect the underlying elements of sustainable management in achieving improved water quality outcomes including reducing those adverse impacts on social and economic outcomes.</p> <p>Principle 9 Improvement in water quality must remain the primary objective of adopting any nutrient allocation regime When exploring the adoption of methods to achieve water quality improvements and manage to limits, the focus of community debates, modelling and discussion of allocation of nutrients can distract from the primary goal – maintaining and improving water quality. This principle emphasises that allocating nutrients to a property level doesn't in itself result in improved in water quality; it is the actions of land users that ultimately result in improved nutrient management.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
				<p>Principle 10 In under-allocated catchments, where property based nutrient allocation has not been adopted in setting water quality limits, the system for allocating nutrients must be determined well before the limit is reached, be clear and easy to understand, and designed to avoid over-allocation The mechanism for allocating nutrients, even if it does not have immediate effect, should be clear from the time when water quality limits are set. Allocation mechanisms should reflect the level of risk that the catchment will become over allocated. This may include the adoption of a pre-agreed catchment-specific environmental threshold (e.g. 75%-90% of a limit) to determine when an allocation regime should be adopted.</p> <p>Principle 11 In designing the allocation system the benefits of a nutrient transfer system within the catchment or water management unit should be considered Maximum economic efficiency of land use could be assisted by a mechanism for transferring nutrient discharge allowances within the same catchment. Nutrient transfer systems are only appropriate where: · The initial allocation system meets all of the allocation principals · Only occurs within a subcatchment or watershed and enable and support Catchment Collective Groups · The transferable portion of the resource (eg nitrogen) only pertains to the load which achieves the desired environmental outcome. · be a transfer within an established sub catchment programme that's based on fair allocation of a load · result in improved economic outcomes and land use optimisation</p> <p>Principle 12 Regulation, monitoring, auditing and reporting of nutrients within an allocation regime needs to relate to the degree of environmental impact and pressure If there is limited environmental pressure and if an activity has a low impact then regulation – and the financial cost of complying with that regulation – should be commensurate with the degree to which the activities are causing an adverse effect on water quality</p> <p>Principle 13 As a minimum expectation, in all catchments, all land users should be at or moving towards (industry defined) Good Management Practice (GMP), recognising that GMP is constantly evolving and continuous improvement is inherent in GMP In many catchments, lifting everyone to GMP is likely to go a long way towards achieving community objectives for managing to water quality limits. In catchments where nutrients are not over allocated, requiring good management practice is a sound alternative method to allocating nutrients to a farm (property based) level.</p> <p>Principle 14 Nutrient allocation must be informed by sound science and stable and reliable catchment and farm system modelling and measurement Modelling nutrient loss is important to inform nutrient allocation, but all models have limitations. Overseer is a key tool for understanding and managing nutrients on farms and to inform nutrient allocation decisions. In the short term there are significant limitations that need to be catered for in determining any regulatory or nutrient allocation regime (e.g. assumptions in Overseer regarding GMP, modelling of cropping regimes, ability of Overseer to estimate nutrient loss from the adoption of certain mitigations and the validation of Overseer estimates). Other measures may need to be included in the approach to managing nutrient loss to ensure innovative change is incentivised and that the focus remains on promoting good practice. Over time modelling designed to estimate nutrient loss will improve. Modelled estimates will change, so allocation regimes should account for modelling uncertainty and provide for appropriate transition periods. Estimates of nutrient loss are a necessary input to decisions on nutrient management but broader catchment-scale modelling is critical if these decisions are to be robust. There is an urgent need to increase the emphasis placed on catchment-scale modelling.</p>
<u>Limits</u>				
Minimum flows	PC7-88.101	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend minimum flows to increase limits by 30% to account for scientific uncertainty and the predicted hydrological effects of a changing climate.
Minimum flows	PC7-81.4	Tie, M A	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Water quantity (volume / rate)	PC7-197.23	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose in part	Amend the meaning of 'reasonable' by including a criteria to determine if the proposed water take is reasonable.
Water quantity (volume / rate)	PC7-197.25	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose in part	Require amendment to provisions regarding reasonable water use.
Water quantity (volume / rate)	PC7-356.69	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Require the reasonable use test to allow for tangible planned water use when renewing a water permit.
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-454.5	Croft, B & W	Support	Support water quality objectives proposed under Plan Change 7

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-129.1	Madeley, A J	Oppose in part	Require Plan Change 7 to consider river quality to all rivers, including rivers downstream from towns and cities
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-243.1	Gould, D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to take a hard line in relation to water quality.
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-338.6	Howard, S	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that users allow zero contamination of our aquifers.
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-313.2	Hamilton, R	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so aquifers stay pure.
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-551.16	Orari River Protection Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to adopt a more precautionary approach in terms of the protection of water quality.
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-129.4	Madeley, A J	Oppose in part	Direct further consideration of new surface and groundwater quality limits.
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-548.3	Bray, A	Oppose in part	Amend PC7 to include penalties that deter breaches of water quality limits.
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-387.13	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to include controls on other smaller size nutrient loss sources with smaller individual but a significant cumulative adverse effects on water quality within specific catchments.
Nitrate - General	PC7-117.1	Coles, R	Support	Supports Plan Change 7 setting groundwater and river limits for nitrates and other nutrients that restricts any further increases.
Nitrate - General	PC7-263.2	Chambers, D A	Support	Supports Plan Change 7 for managing nitrate limits set for groundwater and rivers.
Nitrate - General	PC7-308.1	McKay, T	Support	Supports setting of nitrate and other nutrient limits which will restrict any further increases, with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-420.2	Sustainable Otautahi Christchurch	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-81.2	Tie, M A	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-36.3	De Lu, D	Oppose	Require Plan Change 7 to further restrict nitrates as the proposed nitrate restrictions are insufficient.
Nitrate - General	PC7-27.1	Sturrock, D M	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so there can be no increase in nitrate levels.
Nitrate - General	PC7-64.1	Smart, J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that the average level of nitrates is not allowed to increase to 3.8mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-27.2	Sturrock, D M	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to decrease nitrate levels.
Nitrate - General	PC7-126.2	Dennis, G	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to ensure nitrate levels are decreased as fast as possible.
Nitrate - General	PC7-117.2	Coles, R	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so nitrate contamination levels are below 1mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-19.2	Wilson, M	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to reduce maximum allowed nitrate levels in groundwater to be as close to the natural level as possible, recognising international studies.
Nitrate - General	PC7-170.1	Hartwell, D	Oppose in part	Require additional levies on nitrate polluters, to be applied now, to cover the future cost of building water treatment infrastructure to treat increased nitrate levels.
Nitrate - General	PC7-170.5	Hartwell, D	Oppose in part	Require an assessment the cost of water treatment infrastructure for the proposed nitrate levels in Plan Change 7.
Nitrate - General	PC7-157.1	Mauger, A L	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to restrict allowable nitrate nitrogen levels in groundwater to less than 0.1mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-157.2	Mauger, A L	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to restrict allowable nitrate nitrogen levels in waterways to less than 0.1mg/L.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate - General	PC7-264.2	Stack, A & J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so nitrates do not exceed a level of less than 1mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-269.1	Sutton, P	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to reduce allowable nitrate levels to less than 0.87mg/L to prevent health risks.
Nitrate - General	PC7-269.4	Sutton, P	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to penalise those who breach allowable nitrate levels.
Nitrate - General	PC7-276.1	Seyb, L	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that there can be no increase in nitrate levels in the water.
Nitrate - General	PC7-301.1	Curtis, Y	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to reduce nitrate limits to 0.55.
Nitrate - General	PC7-362.1	Extinction Rebellion	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to limit the maximum nitrate levels to 0.4 mg/l.
Nitrate - General	PC7-199.25	Aratika Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so nitrate management is based off measured science.
Nitrate - General	PC7-304.4	Bain, G	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to apply 'measured science' to nitrate management.
Nitrate - General	PC7-475.2	Yurjevich, M	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that the nitrate limit of 3.8mg/L is reduced to a lower level.
Nitrate - General	PC7-359.1	Potter, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to limit nitrate levels to 0.4-0.5 mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-359.2	Potter, A	Oppose in part	Insert provisions to immediately cease all application of nitrogen.
Nitrate - General	PC7-386.1	Schwalger, J	Oppose in part	Amend nitrate limits in Plan Change 7 to 0.5-1.0 mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-459.1	Werner, J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that the nitrate level is unable to increase from 0.6 mg/L to 3.8 mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-308.3	McKay, T	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to include nitrate limits below 1 mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-244.1	Panckhurst, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to apply very low nitrate limit.
Nitrate - General	PC7-338.2	Howard, S	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to apply a more rigorous testing regime to nitrates.
Nitrate - General	PC7-400.2	Hill, F	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so any increase from the current average of 0.6mg/L is unacceptable and illegal.
Nitrate - General	PC7-245.1	Trusttum, M	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to apply upper limits on nitrates.
Nitrate - General	PC7-532.2	Newbery, K	Oppose in part	Amend nitrate limits within Plan Change 7 with more data, analysis and evidence.
Nitrate - General	PC7-530.1	Sheerin, C	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that there can be no increase in nitrate levels in the water.
Nitrate - General	PC7-57.4	McLachlan, C	Oppose in part	Require Council to take into account other risks (i.e. in addition to methemoglobinemia used to inform NZDWS MAV) associated with nitrate levels during planning processes.
Nitrate - General	PC7-490.1	Wall, J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that there can be no increase in nitrate levels in all waterways.
Nitrate - General	PC7-526.1	Cragg, P	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that nitrate limits both maximums and the average are reduced.
Nitrate - General	PC7-551.12	Orari River Protection Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to restrict the use of nitrogen fertilisers, enforce limits and encourage alternative fertilisers that do not contain water-soluble nitrates.
Nitrate - General	PC7-551.15	Orari River Protection Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so the plan's goals align with the international standards for clean water called for in recent studies that link nitrate limits higher than 0.8mg/L with higher rates of bowel cancer.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate - General	PC7-543.1	Alsop, J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remedy nitrate pollution.
Nitrate - General	PC7-129.5	Madeley, A J	Oppose in part	Direct further consideration of nitrate limits.
Nitrate - General	PC7-517.1	Smith, D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to prevent any increase in nitrate levels in water.
Nitrate - General	PC7-280.1	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide a minimum of 20 units of N loss across all land uses.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-33.1	Benns, C	Oppose	Delete plan change in its entirety.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-9.1	Kent, N	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to reduce nitrate limits in water used for drinking.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-30.1	Doig, M	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to reduce nitrate levels in drinking water.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-348.5	Future Rivers	Oppose in part	Require Plan Change 7 Hearing Panel to take a cautious approach to nitrates in drinking water.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-334.3	Stewart, S	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to keep water supplies safe to drink without treatment
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-293.1	Southworth, V	Oppose in part	Appoint a suitably qualified public health expert to assess the potential health risks associated with a range of nitrate concentrations.
Nitrate – Ecological Limits	PC7-269.2	Sutton, P	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to reduce allowable nitrate levels to less than 0.44mg/L to prevent impacts of aquatic ecosystems.
Nitrate – Ecological Limits	PC7-355.1	Wainwright, T	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to limit nitrates to levels that allow river and land ecosystems to flourish.
Nitrate – Ecological Limits	PC7-506.1	English, R	Oppose in part	Require that the Groundwater Nitrate Nitrogen Limit section is placed on hold until research is undertaken on the effects nitrate levels have on aquifer stygofauna. Alternatively reduce the nitrate limits in Plan Change 7 to 0.55mg/L.
Nitrate – Ecological Limits	PC7-293.3	Southworth, V	Oppose in part	Assess the assumption that all Christchurch's spring-fed rivers will be sufficiently diluted by natural recharge from the Waimakariri to reduce future nitrate concentrations such that the potential to restore the rivers to high ecological-health is retained. Research suggests the Avon/Ōtākaro receives lower recharge volumes than waterways to the north (Barr, 2016).
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-208.5	Wright, B & S	Support	Support in principle any initiatives in Plan Change 7 to reduce nitrate leaching where farming operations are managed equally.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-167.2	New Zealand Pork	Support	Supports targets for nitrogen loss to ensure water quality limits are achieved, with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-94.5	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Support	Supports any regulations that encourage the removal of intensive-animal-farming on land that has been scientifically proven to be high-leaching, with potential to threaten aquifer ecology, particularly the shallow drylands on the northern side of the braided rivers.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-94.6	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Support	Supports greater regulations being applied to land that has been scientifically proven to be high-leaching, with potential to threaten aquifer ecology whilst considering that short rotation vegetables withing a broader extensive farming system is occasionally required.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-86.2	Alkington Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable a process whereby provisions requiring nutrient loss reductions can be linked to the effect of those loss reductions on actual outcomes as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acknowledge that there is a water quality issue • Reduce N leaching as per the initial model to say GMP -15% • Apply any other Catchment interventions (for example MAR/TSA) • Measure the effect of the changes before deciding on the next course of action
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-117.3	Coles, R	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to require higher nitrogen reductions.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-131.14	Agri Magic Limited	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to clarify how nitrate reductions should work for mixed farming enterprises.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-285.2	Van Houten, W	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to reduce the level of nitrates going into waterways and groundwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-88.42	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend plan change 7 to increase the proposed % N reductions by 30%.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-348.2	Future Rivers	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to shorten timelines for reducing nitrate losses from land.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-348.6	Future Rivers	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to reduce nitrate targets.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-308.2	McKay, T	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to require higher nitrogen reductions.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-338.1	Howard, S	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to reduce nitrate levels in surface and drinking water.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-279.13	Waimate District Council	Oppose in part	Amend nitrate reduction targets to be met 100% by 2030 provided economic viability of best practice farming is not jeopardised.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-402.1	McFarlane, C	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that nitrate reductions begin now.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-402.2	McFarlane, C	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that reductions are achieved at a greater rate than 15% by 2030.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-496.2	Brorens, F	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reductions 10 year time frame to be 5 years with support to farmers.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-533.1	Stewart, R	Oppose in part	Amend provisions of Plan Change 7 to ensure nitrate reductions within the groundwater occur immediately.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-473.6	Spencer-Bower, M J	Oppose in part	Amend nitrate reductions with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-473.13	Spencer-Bower, M J	Oppose in part	Require farmers to overtime reduce their environmental footprint by reducing nitrate losses.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-473.14	Spencer-Bower, M J	Oppose in part	Require farmers to go beyond their Baseline Good Management number of 15% for dairy and 5% for others by 2030.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-314.4	Finch, R J	Oppose in part	Amend PC7 to stop nitrogen application immediately in the affected zones, being North Canterbury, Canterbury and South Canterbury.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-463.8	Currie, M	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to require the nitrate concentrations at source be reduced to the target concentration within the life of the plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-455.8	Richmond Residents and Business Association (RR&BA)	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to have more ambitious nitrate reductions and a shorter timeframe to achieve them.
Farming				
Farm Portal	PC7-430.289	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to resolve current issues with the Farm Portal and develop a cost-effective process to cover other farm systems (such as arable) which are unable to be modelled by Overseer.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Farm Portal	PC7-424.197	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Arowhenua is also concerned that advice from the GMP Technical Working Group with regard to the reliability of the fertiliser and irrigation proxies of the Farm Portal may impede or diminish the outcomes intended by this amendment, should this part of Plan Change 7 proceed. The fertiliser and irrigation proxy are central to those components of Plan Change 7 that are seeking to manage to freshwater limits. Assurance is sought that this will not be the case.
Farm Portal	PC7-114.110	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Supports the provision of an alternative consent pathway, as provided for by way of a relevant policy and associated rules, within the OTOP and Waimakariri sub-regions in circumstances where Council's Farm Portal cannot accurately generate the required Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate.
Good Management Practice	PC7-472.209	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Supports Good Management Practice, subject to an unambiguous and enforceable rule framework so early adopters are rewarded and laggards can be penalised.
Good Management Practice	PC7-114.112	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Supports the requirement for farming activities, including CVGO, to implement GMP and to prepare and implement FEPs and/or Management Plans, in accordance with Schedule 7A of the LWRP, for some permitted activities.
Good Management Practice	PC7-177.19	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose	Opposes GMP loss rate numbers with no specific decision requested.
Good Management Practice	PC7-296.12	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association Canterbury/West Coast and South Canterbury/North Otago branches	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to combine all 'risk activities' [to freshwater outcomes] under a single farm consent, Manage risks through the development and actioning of a Management Plan or Farm Environment Plan
Good Management Practice	PC7-88.43	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend PC7 to require 100% A rating farm audits by 2025.
Good Management Practice	PC7-433.8	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of when a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate is demonstrated to be erroneous.
Good Management Practice	PC7-352.14	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to use a national system to determine how Good Management Practices are met across the range of farming practices along with improved scientific research included in Overseer to reflect actual losses.
Good Management Practice	PC7-433.30	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to require all farmers to have audited FEP's and meet GMP and their Baseline GMP number by 2020.
Good Management Practice	PC7-473.11	Spencer-Bower, M J	Oppose in part	Require all farmers to meet Good Management Practice.
Good Management Practice	PC7-473.12	Spencer-Bower, M J	Oppose in part	Require all farmers to meet their Baseline Good Management Practice number by 2020.
Good Management Practice	PC7-554.3	Adams, M	Oppose in part	Require all farms to have a Farm Environment Plan.
Good Management Practice	PC7-557.3	Southern Peake Dairies	Oppose in part	Require farmers to meet GMP by 2025.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-205.3	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Support	Retain stock exclusion provisions as notified.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-399.3	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain livestock exclusion from waterbodies.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-72.12	Midgley, A	Oppose	Delete stock exclusion provisions.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-242.3	Ardleigh Deer Farm	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to provide a stock unit per hectare ratio of 10 stock units per hectare if stock are in hill country amongst springs.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-211.2	de Kort, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to require all stock to be excluded from waterbodies to ensure that waterways are given an opportunity to return to former health.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-88.45	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend plan change 7 to require stock exclusion at least 5m either side of a waterway from wetlands and connected wetland to waterways and spring heads with fencing and native revegetation with some controlled grazing for weed control purposes.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-310.4	Iles, H	Oppose in part	Insert provisions requiring fencing of water ways and lakes with a good margin.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-208.3	Wright, B & S	Oppose in part	Amend stock exclusion rule to more accurately define waterways from which livestock must be excluded as: 'a lake or river and from any intermittently or permanently flowing open drain or man-made waterway which flows into, or has the potential to flow into, the bed of any natural lake, river or stream'.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-208.4	Wright, B & S	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to exempt all farmed grazing animals when being mustered or moved when there are no alternatives to river crossings.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-334.5	Stewart, S	Oppose in part	Amend stock exclusion provisions to include the exclusion of horses.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-279.9	Waimate District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to clarify which waterways it applies to including amending the wording to exclude livestock from 'a lake or river and from any intermittently or permanently flowing open drain or man-made waterway which flows into it, or has the potential to flow into, the bed of any natural lake, river or stream'.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-279.10	Waimate District Council	Oppose in part	Amend stock exclusion provisions to exempt any enclosed stag or pig wallows which are for animal welfare purposes.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-279.11	Waimate District Council	Oppose in part	Amend stock exclusion provisions to exempt horses being ridden through or across a riverbed for legitimate farming and lawful purposes.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-279.12	Waimate District Council	Oppose in part	Amend stock exclusion provisions to provide an exemption for all farmed grazing animals when being mustered or moved when there are no alternatives to river crossings.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-231.6	Eyreton Produce Limited	Oppose in part	Amend stock exclusion provisions to recognise the need for tile drains and machine access to drains for weed clearance to protect crops from flooding.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-494.6	Deans, C	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 rules to make it clear that shingle river beds cannot be pugged as does not lead to soil loss into rivers as it already is in a river.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-88.53	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to require fencing off (at least 5m either side) of wetlands and connected wetland to waterways and spring heads and native vegetation with some controlled grazing for weed purposes.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-129.8	Madeley, A J	Oppose in part	Require further consideration of stock exclusion.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-149.1	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to allow stock to cross dry watercourses and only be restricted from intermittent watercourses when these have water present.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-149.2	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to make stock exclusion rules controlled or restricted discretionary, to allow exemptions under certain circumstances.
Winter Grazing	PC7-242.2	Ardleigh Deer Farm	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to provide a winter grazing threshold of 15% to 20% of total farm area or 20ha per land title.
Winter Grazing	PC7-348.3	Future Rivers	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to implement best practice guidelines for winter grazing.
<u>Other</u>				
General	PC7-205.18	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Support	Supports progress reports on the implementation and effectiveness of Plan Change 7 every 5 years.
General	PC7-6.7	Alex McDonald Ltd	Oppose in part	Supports the submissions of PotatoesNZ and Horticulture NZ
General	PC7-5.1	Peelview Orchard	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to distinguish between fruit growing activities and other farming activities.
General	PC7-5.2	Peelview Orchard	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that Rules relating to farming activities do not apply to fruit growing activities.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General	PC7-5.3	Peelview Orchard	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for fruit growing as a low-intensity farming activity and be enabled to operate and expand (including change land use) without excessive limitations.
General	PC7-209.2	Aotearoa Water Action	Oppose in part	Insert rules to reduce the number of cows by at least 50%.
General	PC7-209.3	Aotearoa Water Action	Oppose in part	Require a council-led campaign that enables transition to alternative, economically sustainable farming practices and land uses.
General	PC7-185.10	Balle Bros Group Limited	Oppose in part	Supports the submission by HortNZ.
General	PC7-185.11	Balle Bros Group Limited	Oppose in part	Supports the submission by Potatoes NZ.
General	PC7-91.10	Avon-Otakaro Network	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by Christchurch City Council, with no specific decision requested.
General	PC7-296.1	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association Canterbury/West Coast and South Canterbury/North Otago branches	Oppose in part	Supports and fully endorses the submission on the plan change from Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited.
General	PC7-286.1	Aoraki Development Business and Tourism	Oppose in part	Support the submission of Opuha Water Ltd.
General	PC7-2.4	Colee Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Supports the submission of Potatoes NZ where it aligns with this submission.
General	PC7-2.5	Colee Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Supports the submission of Horticulture NZ where it aligns with this submission.
General	PC7-277.1	B A Murray Ltd	Oppose in part	Support the submission of Waimakariri Irrigation Ltd
General	PC7-277.2	B A Murray Ltd	Oppose in part	Support the submission of Next Generation Farmers.
General	PC7-221.1	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	Supports the proposals made by the FAWP, with no specific decision requested.
General	PC7-269.3	Sutton, P	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to take effect immediately.
General	PC7-177.8	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose in part	Supports the Bowden Environmental submission to PC7.
General	PC7-38.4	Brown, I D	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by Christchurch City Council.
General	PC7-38.5	Brown, I D	Oppose in part	Require ECan to delay the plan change process until a fully representative, democratic Council are appointed.
General	PC7-347.1	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Delete all references to "DWSNZ 2005/08" and replace with "DWSNZ 2005/18".
General	PC7-86.5	Alkington Ltd	Oppose in part	Supports the submission of and outcomes sought by Waimakariri Irrigation Ltd.
General	PC7-301.2	Curtis, Y	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to apply a precautionary approach.
General	PC7-362.2	Extinction Rebellion	Oppose in part	Require Council to make the climate and ecological emergency paramount in all of their decisions.
General	PC7-371.3	Isaac Conservation and Wildlife Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to provide such further or other consequential relief as necessary to give full effect to submission points PC7-371.1 and PC7-371.2.
General	PC7-290.1	Alex Mason Contracting	Oppose in part	Support Waimakariri Irrigation Limited's submission on PC7.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General	PC7-290.2	Alex Mason Contracting	Oppose in part	Support Next Generation Farm Trust's submission on Plan Change 7.
General	PC7-304.1	Bain, G	Oppose in part	Support Waimakariri Irrigation Limited's submission on PC7.
General	PC7-342.1	Evans, G D	Oppose in part	Support Waimakariri Irrigation Limited's submission on PC7.
General	PC7-425.35	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to provide any additional, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to give effect to the changes sought within the submission.
General	PC7-409.3	Oxford Agriculture Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Supports the submission from Waimakariri Irrigation Limited and the outcomes sought in full.
General	PC7-409.4	Oxford Agriculture Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Supports the submission from Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust and the outcomes sought in full.
General	PC7-432.1	Mahana Contracting	Oppose in part	Supports the submission from Waimakariri Irrigation Limited and the outcomes sought in full.
General	PC7-432.2	Mahana Contracting	Oppose in part	Supports the submission from Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust and the outcomes sought in full.
General	PC7-479.1	Ward-Smith, R A	Oppose in part	Supports the submission from Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited.
General	PC7-481.4	Rural Advocacy Network	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to remove all references to Mātaitai Protection Zones as a consequential amendment of PC7-481.2.
General	PC7-96.2	Rangvet Ltd (Rangiora Vet Centre)	Oppose in part	Support the submission of Waimakariri Irrigation Limited.
General	PC7-96.3	Rangvet Ltd (Rangiora Vet Centre)	Oppose in part	Support the submission of Next Generation Farmers Trust.
General	PC7-475.1	Yurjevich, M	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to include provisions for penalties in instances on non-compliance.
General	PC7-88.1	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to protect and restore wetlands and riparian zones along waterways.
General	PC7-88.21	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan change 7 to map and include regulatory protection of spiritual and community values via the farm environment plan regime.
General	PC7-88.22	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide a catchment scale restoration planning and implementation framework.
General	PC7-88.28	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to require catchment scale protection of waterways and wetlands and restoration action plans with supporting resources.
General	PC7-88.29	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to secure waterways and wetland protection zones, including riparian areas via covenants on private land titles and district planning zones on public land.
General	PC7-88.31	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend plan change 7 to require protection of mapped wetlands on private and public land and ensure any effects from activities on wetlands are not permitted unless adequately offset like for like and multiplied.
General	PC7-88.32	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend plan change 7 to require existing farmlands mapped as wetlands to be retired from farming production and managed for sediment and nutrient treatment and ecological restoration of the wider landscape.
General	PC7-88.44	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Require effective compliance monitoring and enforcement that is programmed and well resourced.
General	PC7-477.5	Brough, M J	Oppose in part	Support Waimakariri Irrigation Limited's submission.
General	PC7-477.6	Brough, M J	Oppose in part	Support Next Generation Farmers Trust's submission.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General	PC7-454.1	Croft, B & W	Oppose in part	Support Next Generation Farmers Trust's submission.
General	PC7-454.2	Croft, B & W	Oppose in part	Support Waimakariri Irrigation Limited's submission.
General	PC7-406.1	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Support Next Generation Farmers Group submission.
General	PC7-452.1	S A & S C Toase Farm	Oppose in part	Supports the submission from Beef + Lamb New Zealand Limited.
General	PC7-417.4	Starbuck, C	Oppose in part	Amend the requirement for a Farm Environment Plan from 10 hectares to 20 hectares for commercial land use.
General	PC7-230.1	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose in part	Support the submission of Waimakariri Irrigation Limited.
General	PC7-226.1	Koroa Group Limited	Oppose in part	Support the submission of Claxby Irrigation.
General	PC7-422.2	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to ensure it does not constrain the operations of the Tekapo Power Scheme.
General	PC7-422.3	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to prevent activities within its purview from having actual or potential adverse effects on the operation of the Tekapo Power Scheme.
General	PC7-430.291	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change with any consequential amendments required to give effect to the submissions made.
General	PC7-222.1	Goodworth, M	Oppose in part	Support NGF's submission.
General	PC7-222.2	Goodworth, M	Oppose in part	Support WIL's submission.
General	PC7-216.1	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Support Waimakariri Irrigation Limited's submission on Plan Change 7.
General	PC7-216.2	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Support Next Generation Farmers Group's submission on Plan Change 7.
General	PC7-363.26	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Support Waimakariri Irrigation Limited's submission on Plan Change 7.
General	PC7-324.1	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Support Waimakariri Irrigation Limited's submission on Plan Change 7.
General	PC7-324.2	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Support Next Generation Farm Trust's submission on Plan Change 7.
General	PC7-338.3	Howard, S	Oppose in part	Clarify how Environment Canterbury will ensure extra cost of obtaining clean water lands on users of large quantities of water and fertiliser.
General	PC7-338.4	Howard, S	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so polluters have to rectify pollution.
General	PC7-338.5	Howard, S	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that users allow zero run off.
General	PC7-338.7	Howard, S	Oppose in part	Require Plan Change 7 to realise that large scale dairy farming is not suited to the Canterbury Plains and its very permeable gravels.
General	PC7-338.8	Howard, S	Oppose in part	Require Plan Change 7 to recognise that Lincoln University has proven that farming profit can be maintained even with lesser production and cut inputs.
General	PC7-400.6	Hill, F	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to start removing stocking units.
General	PC7-279.4	Waimate District Council	Oppose in part	Require nutrient budgets to be withdrawn and reconsider economic reports and advice.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General	PC7-179.1	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Supports Waimakariri Irrigation Limited's submission on Plan Change 7.
General	PC7-232.1	Onfarm Data Ltd	Oppose in part	Support Waimakariri Irrigation Limited's submission on Plan Change 7.
General	PC7-232.2	Onfarm Data Ltd	Oppose in part	Support Next Generation Farm Trust's submission on PC7.
General	PC7-423.79	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Directs that the PC7 provisions to manage adverse effects on land and water are not weakened.
General	PC7-423.85	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Direct that the needs to waterbodies is the first priority, and promote the three hauora (health of the water, health of the environment and health of the people) above uses of freshwater.
General	PC7-424.201	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Where a specific provision is not addressed in this submission the submitter seeks that it is not amended in a way that diminishes its intent where the amendment would diminish Te Mana o te Wai and Te Mana o te Whenua (the mana of the land).
General	PC7-424.202	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Submitter seeks any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to the decisions sought in their submission.
General	PC7-408.1	Scott, J M	Oppose in part	Support Beef and Lamb submission on PC7.
General	PC7-408.2	Scott, J M	Oppose in part	Support Federated Farmers submission on PC7.
General	PC7-442.22	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by the Next Generation Farmers Group.
General	PC7-234.1	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Support the submission of Waimakariri Irrigation Limited.
General	PC7-234.2	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Support the submission of Next Generation Farmers Group.
General	PC7-339.13	Fenwick, G D	Oppose in part	Review Land and Water Regional Plan Sections 6-14 to ensure in line with decisions sought and recommendations of submission.
General	PC7-144.2	E C Briden & Sons Ltd	Oppose in part	Supports Waimakariri Irrigation Limited's submission on PC7.
General	PC7-196.1	The Downs Pastures Limited and the Gillespie Family Trust	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by Waimakariri Irrigation Limited, with no specific decision requested.
General	PC7-274.1	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by Next Generation Farmers.
General	PC7-274.2	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by Waimakariri Irrigation Limited.
General	PC7-537.3	Stalker, R	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by Waimakariri Irrigation Limited, with no specific decision requested.
General	PC7-491.1	McDonald, S	Oppose in part	Oppose the discharge of any contaminant into any rivers, lakes or tributaries.
General	PC7-491.2	McDonald, S	Oppose in part	Oppose water lines being exploited by a foreign company.
General	PC7-473.1	Spencer-Bower, M J	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by Claxby Irrigation Scheme.
General	PC7-473.2	Spencer-Bower, M J	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by Next Generation Farmers.
General	PC7-519.4	Chambers, J	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by Vicki Buck.
General	PC7-369.1	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by the Next Generation Farmers Group.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General	PC7-369.2	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by the Waimakariri Irrigation Limited.
General	PC7-167.3	New Zealand Pork	Oppose in part	Require the implementation of nutrient management policies in Plan Change 7 to not compromise the ability of pig farmers to manage piggery effluent through application to land.
General	PC7-278.72	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Submission only relates to Part A and Part B of Plan Change 7.
General	PC7-560.1	Densem Contracting (2010) Limited	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by Waimakariri Irrigation Limited.
General	PC7-560.2	Densem Contracting (2010) Limited	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by Next Generation Farmers Trust.
General	PC7-88.61	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide a higher level of protection and restoration for E-Can mapped wetlands.
General	PC7-387.4	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to apply consequential relief required for decisions requested <u>(submission points first to last)</u>
General	PC7-235.42	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Apply all consequential changes necessary to give effect to decisions requested in PC7-235.1 to PC7-235.41.
General	PC7-446.2	Beckenham Neighbourhood Association Incorporated	Oppose in part	Require Plan Change 7 final decisions on submissions are made by the democratically elected council.
General	PC7-455.1	Richmond Residents and Business Association (RR&BA)	Oppose in part	Supports Christchurch City Council's submission.
General	PC7-487.32	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by AMWG.
General	PC7-565.1	Sandford, L	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change to simplify provisions that include both English and Maori words.
General	PC7-237.1	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Supports the FAWP proposals, with no specific decision requested.
General	PC7-456.1	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Supports the submission of OWL.
General	PC7-456.41	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by Waimakariri Irrigation Limited.
General	PC7-456.42	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by Next Generation Farmers Trust.
General	PC7-238.1	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Supports the FAWP proposals.
General	PC7-31.2	O'Neill, K	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to stop the push on dairy.
General	PC7-117.4	Coles, R	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to ensure environment is given priority over economic gain.
General	PC7-144.1	E C Briden & Sons Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to take into account the level of investment that is required to achieve the proposed changes on a property by property basis.
General	PC7-91.1	Avon-Otakaro Network	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to set freshwater outcomes at a stronger level that meets social, cultural and environmental/ecological values.
General	PC7-129.2	Madeley, A J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to consider latest and future science.
General	PC7-95.1	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Require Council to update PC7 provisions throughout the plan change process, to the furthest extent possible, to meet the requirements of the new higher order documents (e.g. as proposed in the Government's Freshwater Package).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General	PC7-229.1	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to balance sustainable, irreversible change with socio-economic outcomes.
General	PC7-38.2	Brown, I D	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to make land use more sustainable.
General	PC7-342.2	Evans, G D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to explore more workable timeframes and options through working together.
General	PC7-342.3	Evans, G D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to be reviewed every ten years on the basis of the Land and Water Solutions Programme.
General	PC7-379.3	Acton-Adams, T L	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to balance farming and urban changes in improving water quality and environment.
General	PC7-333.3	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to consider other impacts on water flow such as earthquakes.
General	PC7-315.4	Hyslop, Jonty & Nicky	Oppose in part	Require that Plan Change 7 to go through adequate planning as that has been provided to other sub-regions, including economic and environmental assessments.
General	PC7-232.6	Onfarm Data Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to recognise prior investments of farmers to upgrade their systems.
General	PC7-332.10	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Supports the preparation of Farm Environment Plans in accordance with Schedule 7, but considers it is unnecessary to be included as part of the application as the grower must prepare and adhere to the FEP when they are audited. No specific decision requested.
General	PC7-278.52	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to develop alternative investments into external sources of water.
General	PC7-522.2	King, J	Oppose in part	Insert provisions into Plan Change 7 to undertake necessary surveys to monitor a controlled eradication programme for willows and progressively implement it.
General	PC7-473.4	Spencer-Bower, M J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide larger time frames in order to achieve environmental outcomes.
General	PC7-473.8	Spencer-Bower, M J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to take a more adaptive and supportive approach.
General	PC7-497.1	Deans, T	Oppose in part	Require Plan Change 7 to check and reclassify 'spring fed streams' wherever it appears in the Land and Water Regional Plan to more accurately reflect their true nature.
General	PC7-149.3	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to ensure that the pace of implementation takes into account the situation of individual farmers, working with them and ensuring their economic viability and personal well-being.
General	PC7-107.3	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Require appropriate support to be offered to the farming community during the transition, implementation and enforcement of all changes required of farmers and farming practices contained within Plan Change 7.
General	PC7-547.2	Stokes, A	Oppose in part	Amend PC7 to take a more collective approach considering towns, small lifestyle blocks and other businesses.
General	PC7-106.3	Cotter, J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to account for climate change.
General	PC7-85.10	Neave, R	Oppose in part	Amend PC7 to focus on preserving and enhancing biodiversity in land and water.
General	PC7-85.11	Neave, R	Oppose in part	Amend PC7 to be supportive of regenerative farming practices and penalty for those who continue to pollute.
General	PC7-405.23	Tallott, S & J	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to balance the NPSFM framework against the wider considerations that reflect sustainable management (rather than the achievement of water quantity and quality outcomes at the potential cost of the total loss of farming within at least large parts of the nitrogen priority area).
General	PC7-565.2	Sandford, L	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change to remove Maori words and phrases or alternatively, have separate Maori and English versions of the document.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
<u>Planning Maps</u>				
Maps - General	PC7-554.2	Adams, M	Oppose in part	General opposition as maps need to have better data.
Omnibus				
TOPIC				
<u>General</u>				
General Support	PC7-407.1	Fraser, C D	Support	Supports all Section 4 policies.
General Support	PC7-407.2	Fraser, C D	Support	Supports all Section 5 rules.
General Support	PC7-423.94	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Support amendments to Section 13 that implement the recommendations of the Hinds Drains Working Party.
General Opposition	PC7-10.1	De Veth, J	Oppose	Delete Plan Change 7 in its entirety.
General Opposition	PC7-60.1	Zollweg, V	Oppose	Delete Plan Change 7 in its entirety.
General Opposition	PC7-21.1	Tavendale, V	Oppose	Delete Plan Change 7 in its entirety.
General Opposition	PC7-37.1	Dee, C	Oppose	Delete Plan Change 7 in its entirety.
General Opposition	PC7-18.1	Evans, D	Oppose	Delete Plan Change 7 in its entirety.
General Opposition	PC7-121.1	Wood, S	Oppose	Delete plan change in its entirety.
General Opposition	PC7-4.6	Kikstra, S	Oppose	Delete the plan change in its entirety.
General Opposition	PC7-242.1	Ardleigh Deer Farm	Oppose	Opposes consent process and auditing required by the plan change, with no specific decision requested.
General Opposition	PC7-484.1	Pankhurst, R K	Oppose	Oppose intensifying, particularly dairying close to cities and rural communities with no specific decision requested.
General Opposition	PC7-499.1	Ullrich, J	Oppose	General opposition with no specific decision sought.
General Opposition	PC7-534.1	Sutherland, D	Oppose	General opposition with no specific decision requested.
General Opposition	PC7-557.1	Southern Peake Dairies	Oppose	Delete the plan change in its entirety.
General Opposition	PC7-251.1	Clearwater, B	Oppose	Delete the plan change in its entirety.
General Opposition	PC7-89.1	Talbot, J M F	Oppose	Oppose Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-108.8	Pareora Catchment Society (Inc)	Oppose in part	Delete the term 'Mauri' in its entirety.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-211.1	de Kort, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to require nitrogen loss reductions by the majority of or all farms.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-422.1	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to explicitly and appropriately recognise the national significance of the Tekapo Power Scheme and provide for its continued existence, operation, maintenance, upgrading and replacement.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-358.1	Fraser, R	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to consider the findings of 'Impacts of groundwater contamination from urea fertilisation on health' by Fraser, R et al, 2019.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-244.2	Panckhurst, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to take a precautionary approach to water quality.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-227.3	Didham, T	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to consider whether farming practice is considered sustainable if it is degrading land and water.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-339.5	Fenwick, G D	Oppose in part	Review entire document and related plans and proposed changes from the perspective that groundwaters are the region's largest freshwater environment with substantial biodiversity and ecosystems.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-493.1	Jones, R	Oppose in part	Require Plan Change 7 to be placed on hold until fully democratically elected council is installed.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-473.10	Spencer-Bower, M J	Oppose in part	Require all farmers to have an Audited Farm Environment Plan.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-561.1	Dingle, C	Oppose in part	Require severe restrictions on all intensive dairy with no specific decision requested.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-546.1	Blake, P	Oppose in part	Amend the provisions in Plan Change 7 to ensure nitrate levels in groundwater are not increased.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-563.1	Batchelor, J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to improve water quality and swimmable rivers.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-494.1	Deans, C	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to validate all streams marked as spring fed streams are identified correctly and align with the plan's description of hills, springs and streams, and change the classification if not spring fed streams.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-494.7	Deans, C	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to re-classify streams on the submitters property as hill-fed lower streams rather than spring-fed plains streams.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-399.83	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Review Plan Change 7 as notified to determine the extent to which it does not comply with the Treaty of Waitangi.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-399.89	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Require Plan Change 7 to consider the following key processes and outcomes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • to date, the Canterbury Regional Council has failed to act consistently with its obligations and responsibilities to Ngāi Tūāhuriri; • water was not sold by Ngāi Tūāhuriri in the Canterbury Deed of Purchase, therefore Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga retains a proprietary interest in freshwater in its takiwā; • the expectations on Canterbury Regional Council to join Ngāi Tūāhuriri in partnership to give effect to the Rūnanga vision for its takiwā is longstanding; • the Resource Management Act 1991 is not compliant with the Treaty of Waitangi; and • greater Māori participation in freshwater management is required in order for the resource management framework to be consistent with the Treaty of Waitangi.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-171.5	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to introduce robust rules reduce nutrient losses, reduce stock numbers, reduce fertiliser use and exclude stock from close proximity to water bodies.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-171.7	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to introduce polluter pays rules to avoid loading clean-up costs onto communities, Alternatively, apply targeted rates on the farming sector.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-171.8	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to decline consents likely to result in community water and ecosystems becoming unsafe or contaminated.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-171.9	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to manage winter grazing for cattle and deer in high nutrient run off risk areas.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-171.15	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to apply a quicker timeframe.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-201.5	Eder, M / Morriss, G D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to apply fairer rules to all people in the community.
<u>Science, Investigations and Models</u>				

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Overseer	PC7-6.3	Alex McDonald Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for a more accurate "direct measurement" based approach to enable a more accurate assessment of nutrient discharges than OVERSEER can provide for horticultural systems.
Overseer	PC7-327.3	Alps Seeds Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for a more accurate "direct measurement" based approach to enable a more accurate assessment of nutrient discharges than OVERSEER can provide for horticultural systems.
Overseer	PC7-213.3	Bluebird Foods Ltd (PepsiCo)	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for a more accurate "direct measurement" based approach to enable a more accurate assessment of nutrient discharges than OVERSEER can provide for horticultural systems.
Overseer	PC7-389.3	Heartland Potato Chips	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for a more accurate "direct measurement" based approach to enable a more accurate assessment of nutrient discharges than OVERSEER can provide for horticultural systems.
Overseer	PC7-267.3	Hewson Farms NZ	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for a more accurate "direct measurement" based approach to enable a more accurate assessment of nutrient discharges than OVERSEER can provide for horticultural systems.
Overseer	PC7-203.3	Fallgate Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for a more accurate "direct measurement" based approach to enable a more accurate assessment of nutrient discharges than OVERSEER can provide for horticultural systems.
Overseer	PC7-281.5	Mr Chips Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for a more accurate "direct measurement" based approach to enable a more accurate assessment of nutrient discharges than OVERSEER can provide for horticultural systems.
Overseer	PC7-467.4	McLeod, D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for a more accurate "direct measurement" based approach to enable a more accurate assessment of nutrient discharges than OVERSEER can provide for horticultural systems.
Overseer	PC7-130.3	Scott, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for a more accurate "direct measurement" based approach to enable a more accurate assessment of nutrient discharges than OVERSEER can provide for horticultural systems.
Overseer	PC7-104.3	Redmond, J R & W D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for a more accurate "direct measurement" based approach to enable a more accurate assessment of nutrient discharges than OVERSEER can provide for horticultural systems.
Overseer	PC7-63.3	Oakley, R	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for a more accurate "direct measurement" based approach to enable a more accurate assessment of nutrient discharges than OVERSEER can provide for horticultural systems.
Overseer	PC7-187.8	McCains Food	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for a more accurate "direct measurement" based approach to enable a more accurate assessment of nutrient discharges than OVERSEER can provide for horticultural systems.
Overseer	PC7-189.8	McCain Growers Unincorporated Society	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for a more accurate "direct measurement" based approach to enable a more accurate assessment of nutrient discharges than OVERSEER can provide for horticultural systems.
Overseer	PC7-231.3	Eyreton Produce Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for a more accurate approach to enable a more accurate assessment of nutrient discharges than OVERSEER can provide for horticultural and arable systems.
Overseer	PC7-94.9	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for a more accurate "direct measurement" based approach to enable a more accurate assessment of nutrient discharges than OVERSEER can provide for horticultural systems.
<u>Environmental Enhancement</u>				
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-56.5	Devlin, R	Support	Retain all provisions related to managed aquifer recharge.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-181.4	Rathkeale Farming Partnership	Support	Support Managed Aquifer Recharge on Levels Plains by filling redundant gravel pits via Levels Plain Water Races with high flows from Opihi River to dilute nitrates released during summer: no specific decision sought.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-473.15	Spencer-Bower, M J	Support	General support of catchment based initiatives such as Managed aquifer recharge.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-109.4	Brown, A	Support	General support for Managed Aquifer Recharged across the region.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-566.5	Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust	Support	General support for managed aquifer recharge, with no specific decision requested.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-171.2	Waimakariri Group	Oppose	Delete Plan Change 7 to provide managed aquifer recharge projects across the region.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-409.2	Oxford Agriculture Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to provide a longer time scale to implement changes. In particular, allow more room for consideration of methods such as Managed Aquifer Recharge to help meet water quality targets.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-470.1	Gerard, S	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to ensure that Managed Aquifer Recharge is only used as an absolute last resort, and require the Council to better understand the effects that the mineral content and temperature of the water recharge has on species within aquifer ecosystems.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-390.1	Ashburton Lyndhurst Irrigation Limited (ALIL)	Oppose in part	Require the managed aquifer recharge provisions to include reference to "surface water" in the list of environments for which improvement is sought.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-390.2	Ashburton Lyndhurst Irrigation Limited (ALIL)	Oppose in part	Require all references to "managed aquifer recharge" to also include "targeted stream augmentation".
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-218.5	MHV Water Limited (MHV)	Oppose in part	Require targeted stream augmentation and managed aquifer recharge activities to be considered together and amend all references to Managed Aquifer Recharge in the PC7 to include "and Targeted Stream Augmentation".
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-218.6	MHV Water Limited (MHV)	Oppose in part	Require the targeted stream augmentation Rules 13.5.35 to 13.5.37 to be incorporated into the region-wide managed aquifer recharge provisions.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-218.9	MHV Water Limited (MHV)	Oppose in part	Require reference to "surface water" in the list of environments for which improvements is sought in the managed aquifer recharge provisions.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-218.11	MHV Water Limited (MHV)	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for Targeted Stream Augmentation as follows: " <u>means an activity that is for the express purpose of improving the quality and/or quantity of water in a receiving surface water body or hydraulically connected groundwater.</u> "
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-477.3	Brough, M J	Oppose in part	Require Managed Aquifer Recharge to be considered in the plan as a method to help meet the water quality target.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-454.4	Croft, B & W	Oppose in part	Require Managed Aquifer Recharge to be considered in the plan as a method to help meet the water quality target.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-400.8	Hill, F	Oppose in part	Oppose ratepayer money being spent on Managed Aquifer Systems with no specific decision requested.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-232.5	Onfarm Data Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to consider Managed Aquifer Recharge as a means to meet water quality targets.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-424.195	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Opposes MAR in the OTOP Zone, amend provisions to discourage the activity and require the weight of the mauri of waterbodies to be strongly weighted against benefits land users may get from mixing waters.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-423.92	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Directs that managed aquifer recharge should only be an option that is pursued once other land use practices have been used to significantly reduce the effects on water quality and quantity and to meet any water quality and quantity limits set in the plan, and not to offset the effects of land use on water quality where a change to land use would also achieve the same outcome.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-88.102	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to ensure that managed aquifer recharge is targeted and purposeful.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-88.103	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to reflect a preference for the use of water already allocated to irrigation schemes for managed aquifer recharge.
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-171.17	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to reject MAR.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-456.39	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Require investigations of managed aquifer recharge to show its potential to assist in achieving water quality targets.
<u>Limits</u>				
Minimum flows	PC7-348.4	Future Rivers	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that river minimum flows are based on scientific best practice.
Minimum flows	PC7-129.6	Madeley, A J	Oppose in part	Direct further consideration of minimum flows for rivers and streams.
Minimum flows	PC7-399.84	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend minimum flows and maximum allocation to at least the ecological recommendation as set out in evidence quoted in the submission.
Minimum flows	PC7-455.2	Richmond Residents and Business Association (RR&BA)	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to set all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions at a level that will provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoon) etc within the lifetime of the current plan, and carry out a review of current consents, if required to achieve this.
Minimum flows	PC7-420.4	Sustainable Otautahi Christchurch	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
<u>Cultural Matters</u>				
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-138.5	Canterbury Aoraki Conservation Board	Support	Supports increased recognition of Ngai Tahu values and sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wahi tapu and wahi taonga. In particular, the amendments to 23 rules requiring consideration of Ngai Tahu values to be undertaken.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-205.7	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Support	No specific decision sought.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-151.24	Adriaens, G	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu , wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-356.70	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain amendments to region-wide rules as notified.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-94.35	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu , wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-320.24	Bebbington, C	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu , wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-461.24	Barnes, J	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu , wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-147.24	Michael, S	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu , wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-321.23	Christensen, C	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu , wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-163.24	Cockburn, A	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu , wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-164.24	Campbell, S	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu , wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-241.24	Curtis, D	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu , wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-395.24	Jacobs, S	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu , wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-93.24	Gallagher, K	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu , wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-109.3	Brown, A	Support	General support to enable consideration of Ngai Tahu values in relation to a boarder range of activities.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-258.14	Dopleach, D	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu , wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-83.14	Frankland, R	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu , wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-366.14	McNab, R	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-77.14	Oldham, J	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu , wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-250.14	McInnes, S	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu , wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-124.14	List, B	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-466.15	Hailstone, S	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-106.17	Cotter, J	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-463.16	Currie, M	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-455.5	Richmond Residents and Business Association (RR&BA)	Support	Supports greater recognition of mahinga kai values and the protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wahi tapu (sacred sites), wahi taonga (treasured sites), tuhituhi o nehera (limestone rock art sites) and waipuna (springs).
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-465.6	Keall, L	Support	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-98.2	McGregor, A	Support	Supports rule changes improving recognition of values and locations significant to Ngai Tahu with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-256.14	De Lu, K	Support	Retain greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna as notified.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-268.14	Baird, M	Support	Retain greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna as notified.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-261.14	Downard, J	Support	Retain greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna as notified.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-99.14	Low, C	Support	Retain greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna as notified.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-398.14	Perriam, E	Support	Retain greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna as notified.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-388.15	Ridden-Harper, A	Support	Retain greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna as notified.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-116.14	Robertson, J	Support	Retain greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna as notified.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-78.15	Ross, M J	Support	Retain greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna as notified.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-111.6	Seed The Change	Support	Retain greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna as notified.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-420.9	Sustainable Otautahi Christchurch	Support	Retain greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna as notified.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-81.10	Tie, M A	Support	Retain greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna as notified.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-80.14	Zhang, F	Support	Retain greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-247.14	W..	Support	Retain greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna as notified.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-566.2	Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust	Support	Support the rules that recognise Ngai Tahu values especially waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-207.18	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose	Delete the PC7 additional matters of discretion regarding Ngāi Tahu outcomes within the region-wide rules.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-428.4	Fulton Hogan Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the proposed additional matters of discretion for Ngāi Tahu values within the region-wide and sub-regional rules to provide, where possible, linkages to iwi management plans and other information relating to Ngāi Tahu values that might assist in guiding resource users and decision makers to better consider these values.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-215.14	Dalziel, J	Oppose in part	Supports greater recognition of values such as mahinga kai and protection of sites of significance to Ngai Tahu, including wāhi tapu , wāhi taonga, tuhituhi o neherā and waipuna with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-85.12	Neave, R	Oppose in part	Amend PC7 to provide greater recognition of mahinga kai sites and protection of sites of importance for Ngai Tahu.
Mahinga Kai	PC7-423.80	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Directs that when referring to customary practices like mahinga kai in the Plan, that the reference does not refer back to traditional use only.
Waipuna / Springs	PC7-138.4	Canterbury Aoraki Conservation Board	Support	Supports new provisions designed to protect waipuna throughout Canterbury, including the requirement for farmers to identify springs on their property and describe actions to minimise adverse effects on their Farm Management Plans.
Waipuna / Springs	PC7-56.4	Devlin, R	Support	Retain all provisions that recognise waipuna values.
Waipuna / Springs	PC7-205.8	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Support	No specific decision requested.
Waipuna / Springs	PC7-424.200	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Supports changes to protect waipuna across the region.
<u>Habitats of Indigenous Species</u>				
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-56.1	Devlin, R	Support	Retain all provisions relating to habitats of indigenous freshwater species
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-91.2	Avon-Otakaro Network	Support	Retain as notified.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-88.20	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Support	Retain mapping and better protection of habitats of freshwater species and mahinga kai.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-208.2	Wright, B & S	Support	Support any initiative to protect indigenous wildlife and their habitats
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-205.2	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Support	no specific decision requested
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-151.22	Adriaens, G	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-94.33	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-320.22	Bebbington, C	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-461.22	Barnes, J	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-147.22	Michael, S	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-321.21	Christensen, C	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-163.22	Cockburn, A	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-164.22	Campbell, S	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-241.22	Curtis, D	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-395.22	Jacobs, S	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-93.22	Gallagher, K	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-109.2	Brown, A	Support	General support to protect habitats of indigenous freshwater species.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-215.12	Dalziel, J	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-258.12	Dopleach, D	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-83.12	Frankland, R	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-366.12	McNab, R	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-77.12	Oldham, J	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-250.12	McInnes, S	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-124.12	List, B	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-466.13	Hailstone, S	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-106.15	Cotter, J	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-463.14	Currie, M	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-455.6	Richmond Residents and Business Association (RR&BA)	Support	Support the introduction of additional protection for indigenous freshwater species and their habitat.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-455.7	Richmond Residents and Business Association (RR&BA)	Support	Supports the introduction of additional structures enabling safe passage of indigenous freshwater species.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-465.4	Keall, L	Support	Supports greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-98.1	McGregor, A	Support	Supports rule changes preventing the loss of habitat for indigenous freshwater species with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-256.12	De Lu, K	Support	Retain greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish as notified
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-268.12	Baird, M	Support	Retain greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-261.12	Downard, J	Support	Retain greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish as notified
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-99.12	Low, C	Support	Retain greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish as notified
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-398.12	Perriam, E	Support	Retain greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish as notified
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-388.13	Ridden-Harper, A	Support	Retain greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish as notified.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-116.12	Robertson, J	Support	Retain greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish as notified.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-78.13	Ross, M J	Support	Retain greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish as notified.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-111.4	Seed The Change	Support	Retain greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish as notified.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-420.7	Sustainable Otautahi Christchurch	Support	Retain greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish as notified.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-81.8	Tie, M A	Support	Retain greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish as notified.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-80.12	Zhang, F	Support	Retain greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish as notified.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-247.12	W..	Support	Retain greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish as notified.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-566.1	Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust	Support	Supports the rules relating to the protection of native fish habitat with no specific decision requested.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-123.2	Evans, D J	Support	Retain greater restrictions on activities to improve protection of the remaining habitat of native freshwater fish as notified.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-326.4	Blackhills (2012) Limited	Oppose	Oppose Habitats of indigenous freshwater species of Plan Change 7, instead retain status quo.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-481.1	Rural Advocacy Network	Oppose	Delete the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat layer from the Planning Maps in its entirety.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-392.4	Rooney Earthmoving Ltd	Oppose	Delete the 'Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats' provisions until the habitat areas have been investigated and are supported by adequate science.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-393.4	South Canterbury Gravel Extracting Industry	Oppose	Delete the 'Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats' provisions until the habitat areas have been investigated and are supported by adequate science.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-138.2	Canterbury Aoraki Conservation Board	Oppose in part	Insert provisions that enable the continued survival of remaining populations of native fish wherever they occur in Canterbury waterways and waterbodies.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-108.9	Pareora Catchment Society (Inc)	Oppose in part	Amend rules and policies related to the protection of indigenous freshwater fish to protect the habitat of indigenous species and those introduced species protected by the RMA and the Conservation Act.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-444.1	Hall, M C	Oppose in part	Amend rules and policies related to the protection of indigenous freshwater fish to recognise that salmon spawning sites might change depending on stream conditions and other factors.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-481.3	Rural Advocacy Network	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to remove all references to indigenous freshwater species habitat as a consequential amendment of PC7-481.1.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-300.4	Selwyn District Council	Oppose in part	Amend the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat Maps so that watercourses that are no longer operational and are closed are not captured.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-300.5	Selwyn District Council	Oppose in part	Amend the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat Maps so that they are developed in discussion with territorial authorities.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-88.23	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy for the identification, mapping and protection of habitats of indigenous freshwater species, including mahinga kai.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-88.24	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule to protect the habitat of indigenous freshwater species, including mahinga kai.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-88.25	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to reduce nutrient, sediment and pathogen pollution of waterways and maintain low, medium and high river ecological health flows to protect habitats of indigenous freshwater species.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-88.26	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to identify, map and protect key habitats for breeding, feeding and shelter.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-88.27	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for habitat restoration and legal protection on private and public lands.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-88.30	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend plan change 7 to regulate sport/exotic fish stocking of priority streams with indigenous freshwater species habitat.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-88.35	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend plan change 7 to strengthen administration of offset provisions to ensure net gain in similar indigenous freshwater species habitat area, biodiversity and condition and to secure this habitat, including banks and riparian zones along waterways, in some form of legal protection.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-207.12	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Require consultation directly with affected landholders prior to the mapping of any habitats of indigenous freshwater species.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-310.9	Iles, H	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to provide more information about the species at each site and potential effects on species.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-312.10	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Require analysis and discussion about the identification and mapping of the indigenous freshwater species habitats, the value of these habitats, and the impacts of any provisions relating to these habitats, especially economic impacts.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-156.17	Trustpower Limited	Oppose in part	Require Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat layer to be reviewed and amended for greater accuracy.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-346.3	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend Maps of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat to specify the particular species occurring in each mapped habitat area.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-346.4	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend Maps of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat to delete the habitats within Lake Benmore and Lake Aviemore.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-279.8	Waimate District Council	Oppose in part	Amend rules and policies related to the protection of indigenous freshwater fish to protect the habitat of indigenous species and those introduced species protected by the RMA and the Conservation Act.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-422.15	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat that is located in Irishman Creek in the vicinity of the culvert under the Tekapo Canal.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-423.81	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Require the provisions to not only protect the mapped indigenous freshwater species habitat sites, but also manage land and water use activities up and downstream that may affect the habitats.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-423.82	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Require the mapping to reflect the extent of habitat that some indigenous freshwater species need to survive for their whole lifecycle.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-422.34	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend provisions related to habitats of indigenous freshwater species to provide for activities within these if they are associated with the operation of significant infrastructure.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-504.4	Gardiner, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to clarify how it applies with regard to the enhancement of high country habitats that are pristine and largely unmodified for the protection of indigenous species.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-542.2	New Zealand Salmon Anglers Association	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to improve indigenous fish habitat by fixing the amount of water being removed from rivers.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-542.3	New Zealand Salmon Anglers Association	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to improve indigenous fish habitat by the restoring of wetlands and spawning areas.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-542.4	New Zealand Salmon Anglers Association	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to improve indigenous fish habitat by restricting the fluctuations of hydro flows.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-542.5	New Zealand Salmon Anglers Association	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to improve indigenous fish habitat by making sure fish screens on irrigation and stock water races work.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-542.6	New Zealand Salmon Anglers Association	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to improve indigenous fish habitat by making sure fish screen bypasses actually work.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-542.7	New Zealand Salmon Anglers Association	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to improve indigenous fish habitat by addressing the massive silting of rivers, particularly from flushing of sediment ponds.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-542.8	New Zealand Salmon Anglers Association	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to improve indigenous fish habitat by ceasing water takes when river temperatures exceed 19 degrees Celsius.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-551.1	Orari River Protection Group	Oppose in part	Include the Orari River in this map as an area of indigenous freshwater species habitat.
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-109.6	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require that habitats of indigenous plant, freshwater and reptile species in and by the the Orari River as soon as possible.
<u>Trout and Salmon</u>				
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-504.3	Gardiner, A	Oppose	Remove any policy that would allow for new structures and the retrofitting of any existing structures to enable indigenous fish passage while avoiding/prohibiting the passage of species deemed to have adverse effects on native populations.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-520.2	Armstrong, B	Oppose	Delete any provisions from Plan Change 7 that involve physical controls on waterways to eliminate trout or salmon.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-282.1	Berry, I J	Oppose	Delete any provisions that remove the right/ability of salmon and trout to navigate rivers and streams.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-20.1	Hallams, T	Oppose in part	Insert an addendum to the planning maps that details points of fish traps for trout and salmon.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-20.2	Hallams, T	Oppose in part	Amend planning maps to note specific salmon/trout transit and spawning areas with "fish traps".
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-51.1	Pritchard, B	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to ensure that salmonid's are not excluded from a range of waterways.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-52.1	Podobinski, M	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to ensure trout are not removed from any waterways.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-44.1	Milne, G	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to only apply to a few selected waterways that are not popular with anglers.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-48.2	de Joux, P R	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to delete any provisions in the plan change that would have a detrimental effect on freshwater sports fishery.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-43.2	Wium, D H	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to delete any provision that would have an effect on the population or future population of any sport fish in any river in Canterbury or any region in New Zealand.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-152.2	Forsyth, I	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to treat salmonids the same as native fish in New Zealand waterways.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-152.3	Forsyth, I	Oppose in part	Require a total ban on whitebait fishing for a 10 year trial period.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-55.1	Irvin, M	Oppose in part	Amend plan change 7 to provide for salmon and trout.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-383.1	Seddon, C	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to ensure that any decisions made to poison or block trout and salmon from waterways are only undertaken on a case-by-case basis in consultation with interested parties, including Fish and Game.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-504.2	Gardiner, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 provisions to remove any policy that is to the detriment of the growth of healthy game fish stocks in waterways created or heavily modified by human activity.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-538.2	Caldelari, S	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for fish passage for all valued freshwater species, including indigenous fish, and trout and salmon, on all trout and salmon fishing rivers.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-49.1	Carter, G	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for fish passage for values freshwater species that includes indigenous fish as well as trout and salmon.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-72.9	Midgley, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to delete any provisions requiring passage of predator fish species to be avoided..
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-501.1	Hydes, S	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to embrace the protection of trout and salmon alongside indigenous fish in any plans and policies.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-503.1	Marchesi, D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to ensure that no structures are placed in waterways that would be detrimental to the movement of trout and salmonoids.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-521.1	Melbourne, L	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that recreational fishing is not compromised in any fishing areas because of indigenous fish.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-521.2	Melbourne, L	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that if introduced fish species are invading habitats, the fishing seasons and bag limits are altered.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-518.2	Pickering, D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that wherever the plan wording includes indigenous freshwater species, it also includes salmonids.
Freshwater Fishery	PC7-141.1	Sadler, J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7, and in particular Policy 4.102, to protect all species of fish within Canterbury's rivers and to plan any infrastructure changes accordingly.
Farming				
Good Management Practice	PC7-6.4	Alex McDonald Ltd	Support	Supports requirements for all commercial vegetable growers to operate at good management practice
Good Management Practice	PC7-2.1	Colee Farming Ltd	Support	Support the requirement for all growers to operate at good management practice.
Good Management Practice	PC7-177.18	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Support	Support all growers operating at Good Management Practice.
Good Management Practice	PC7-327.4	Alps Seeds Limited	Support	Supports requirements for all commercial vegetable growers to operate at good management practice
Good Management Practice	PC7-213.4	Bluebird Foods Ltd (PepsiCo)	Support	Supports requirements for all commercial vegetable growers to operate at good management practice
Good Management Practice	PC7-389.4	Heartland Potato Chips	Support	Supports requirements for all commercial vegetable growers to operate at good management practice
Good Management Practice	PC7-267.4	Hewson Farms NZ	Support	Supports requirements for all commercial vegetable growers to operate at good management practice
Good Management Practice	PC7-203.4	Fallgate Farm	Support	Supports requirements for all commercial vegetable growers to operate at good management practice
Good Management Practice	PC7-467.5	McLeod, D	Support	Supports requirements for all commercial vegetable growers to operate at good management practice
Good Management Practice	PC7-130.4	Scott, A	Support	Supports requirements for all commercial vegetable growers to operate at good management practice
Good Management Practice	PC7-104.4	Redmond, J R & W D	Support	Supports requirements for all commercial vegetable growers to operate at good management practice
Good Management Practice	PC7-63.4	Oakley, R	Support	Supports requirements for all commercial vegetable growers to operate at good management practice
Good Management Practice	PC7-231.4	Eyreton Produce Limited	Support	Supports requirements for all commercial vegetable growers to operate at good management practice

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Good Management Practice	PC7-94.10	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Support	Supports requirements for all commercial vegetable growers to operate at good management practice
Good Management Practice	PC7-153.3	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose in part	Require vegetable growing operations to operate at Good Management Practice until Overseer can realistically model these farming operations.
Good Management Practice	PC7-423.91	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Directs that the provisions to manage nutrients from commercial vegetable growers are not affected by the conclusions of the Good Management Practice Technical Working Group with regard to the reliability of the fertiliser and irrigation proxies of the Farm Portal.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-153.1	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Support	Retain the alternative rules framework for vegetable growing operations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-56.6	Devlin, R	Support	Retain all provisions relating to commercial vegetable growing.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-434.3	Aberdeen Farm Limited	Support	Support good management practice for all growers
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-390.3	Ashburton Lyndhurst Irrigation Limited (ALIL)	Support	Retain the Section 5 Irrigation Schemes advice note (3) above Rule 5.62 as notified.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-332.17	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Support	Retain the alternative rule framework for vegetable growing operations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-328.2	Scottfresh Limited	Support	Supports the need for good management practice and auditing schemes such as NZGap.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-206.7	Turley Farms Ltd	Support	Support requiring all vegetable growers to operate at Good Management Practice with no specific decision requested.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-235.12	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Support	Supports principle of providing specific provisions for managing effects from commercial vegetable growing operations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-114.109	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Supports the provision of region-wide CVGO provisions which recognise the nature of such activities and the need to provide an appropriate resource management framework for managing CVGO activities sustainably within the region.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-109.5	Brown, A	Support	General support for a new nutrient framework for commercial vegetable growing operations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-566.3	Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust	Support	Support the rules to establish a new nutrient framework for commercial vegetable growing operations with no specific decision requested.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-326.5	Blackhills (2012) Limited	Oppose	Delete commercial vegetable growing operation provisions from Plan Change 7, instead retain status quo.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-207.19	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose	Delete Rules 5.42CB to 5.42CE. OR Amend Rules 5.42CB to 5.42CE to direct that farmers and commercial vegetable growing operators are provided the ability to choose which definition of farm type (i.e. farm, farm enterprise or commercial vegetable growing operation) applies to their farm; and that flexible nutrient limits are equitable across all farm types.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-187.7	McCains Food	Oppose	Oppose Section 32 statement that "the overall land area used for commercial vegetable growing has not changed significantly over the past ten years"
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-187.9	McCains Food	Oppose	Oppose Section 32 statement "most vegetable crops have high nutrient losses"
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-189.7	McCain Growers Unincorporated Society	Oppose	Oppose Section 32 statement that "the overall land area used for commercial vegetable growing has not changed significantly over the past ten years"
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-189.9	McCain Growers Unincorporated Society	Oppose	Oppose Section 32 statement "most vegetable crops have high nutrient losses"
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-6.1	Alex McDonald Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to provide a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production that enables the use of land with high production values to be utilised for food production while achieving water quality improvements and environmental benefits

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-6.2	Alex McDonald Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove obstacles to undertaking new leases and avoid wider effects on the rural industry
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-6.5	Alex McDonald Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-6.6	Alex McDonald Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collective approaches to regulating potato production activities, such as those arrangements provided for irrigation schemes
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-2.2	Colee Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growth to be moved onto different land in a different catchment.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-2.3	Colee Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collaborative or collective approaches to regulating potato production activities.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-153.2	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose in part	Retain permitted activity rules for minor vegetable growing operations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-185.4	Balle Bros Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collective approaches in the regulation of potato production activities, such as irrigation schemes.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-185.5	Balle Bros Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable land conversation for commercial vegetable production where appropriate mitigation is in place through the Farm Environment Plan.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-177.20	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-434.1	Aberdeen Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to avoid wider unintended effects on Potato production Systems
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-434.4	Aberdeen Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Require provisions be added to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-434.5	Aberdeen Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Require the ability for a group of growers to be able to manage environmental issues collectively to improve the effectiveness of the response to water quality issues. Plan Change 7 should enable collaborative or collective approaches to regulating potato production activities.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-434.6	Aberdeen Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Require that the value of vegetable growing, including potato production for domestic food supply and security and the ability to feed people in the future is reflected in Plan Change 7.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-390.5	Ashburton Lyndhurst Irrigation Limited (ALIL)	Oppose in part	Require flexibility as to where commercial vegetable growing operations may be located, especially for operations less than 10 hectares in area, provided the aggregated nitrogen loss load as it applied to larger properties is not exceeded.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-327.1	Alps Seeds Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to provide a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production that enables the use of land with high production values to be utilised for food production while achieving water quality improvements and environmental benefits
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-327.2	Alps Seeds Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove obstacles to undertaking new leases and avoid wider effects on the rural industry
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-327.5	Alps Seeds Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-327.6	Alps Seeds Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collective approaches to regulating potato production activities, such as those arrangements provided for irrigation schemes
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-327.7	Alps Seeds Limited	Oppose in part	Supports the submissions of PotatoesNZ and Horticulture NZ
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-327.8	Alps Seeds Limited	Oppose in part	Amend commercial vegetable growing provisions to encourage nutrient management improvements through new technology, research and development rather than through relying on baseline loss rates.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-327.9	Alps Seeds Limited	Oppose in part	Amend commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable farmers to use their skills and experience in the industry to be innovative and recognise that blanket roles can restrict innovation.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-213.1	Bluebird Foods Ltd (PepsiCo)	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to provide a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production that enables the use of land with high production values to be utilised for food production while achieving water quality improvements and environmental benefits
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-213.2	Bluebird Foods Ltd (PepsiCo)	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove obstacles to undertaking new leases and avoid wider effects on the rural industry
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-213.5	Bluebird Foods Ltd (PepsiCo)	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-213.6	Bluebird Foods Ltd (PepsiCo)	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collective approaches to regulating potato production activities, such as those arrangements provided for irrigation schemes
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-213.7	Bluebird Foods Ltd (PepsiCo)	Oppose in part	Supports the submissions of PotatoesNZ and Horticulture NZ
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-389.1	Heartland Potato Chips	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to provide a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production that enables the use of land with high production values to be utilised for food production while achieving water quality improvements and environmental benefits
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-389.2	Heartland Potato Chips	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove obstacles to undertaking new leases and avoid wider effects on the rural industry
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-389.5	Heartland Potato Chips	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-389.6	Heartland Potato Chips	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collective approaches to regulating potato production activities, such as those arrangements provided for irrigation schemes
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-389.7	Heartland Potato Chips	Oppose in part	Supports the submissions of PotatoesNZ and Horticulture NZ
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-267.1	Hewson Farms NZ	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to provide a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production that enables the use of land with high production values to be utilised for food production while achieving water quality improvements and environmental benefits
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-267.2	Hewson Farms NZ	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove obstacles to undertaking new leases and avoid wider effects on the rural industry
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-267.5	Hewson Farms NZ	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-267.6	Hewson Farms NZ	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collective approaches to regulating potato production activities, such as those arrangements provided for irrigation schemes
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-267.7	Hewson Farms NZ	Oppose in part	Supports the submissions of PotatoesNZ and Horticulture NZ
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-267.8	Hewson Farms NZ	Oppose in part	Require that Plan Change 7 takes into consideration the importance of vegetable and arable crop growing operations for addressing climate change
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-203.1	Fallgate Farm	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to provide a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production that enables the use of land with high production values to be utilised for food production while achieving water quality improvements and environmental benefits
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-203.2	Fallgate Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove obstacles to undertaking new leases and avoid wider effects on the rural industry
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-203.5	Fallgate Farm	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-203.6	Fallgate Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collective approaches to regulating potato production activities, such as those arrangements provided for irrigation schemes
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-203.7	Fallgate Farm	Oppose in part	Supports the submissions of PotatoesNZ and Horticulture NZ
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-401.4	Juice Products New Zealand	Oppose in part	Insert new provisions related to commercial vegetable growing to enable existing areas of vegetable production to move onto different land in different catchments.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-176.1	Talley's Group Ltd	Oppose in part	Require a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-176.2	Talley's Group Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend to ensure support for a group of commercial vegetable growers to collectively manage environmental issues through a collaborative/collective process to regulating potato production
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-176.3	Talley's Group Ltd	Oppose in part	Support Potato New Zealand submission on PC7.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-478.1	Lim, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove cap on growing area for vegetable growing.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-478.2	Lim, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to encourage land swapping or land sharing for vegetable growing without requiring additional nutrient budget accounting.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-478.3	Lim, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to distinguish between vegetable growing for a local market versus an export market.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-352.2	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove all specific rules relating to commercial vegetable growing where the operation forms part of a farming operation already managed by existing policy, land use consents, nutrient budgets, and farm environment plans.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-352.3	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove any reference to commercial vegetable growing.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-281.1	Mr Chips Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to provide a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production that enables the use of land with high production values to be utilised for food production while achieving water quality improvements and environmental benefits
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-281.2	Mr Chips Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove obstacles to undertaking new leases and avoid wider effects on the rural industry
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-281.3	Mr Chips Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to consider the impacts of restricting land use flexibility amidst a growing population
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-281.4	Mr Chips Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-281.6	Mr Chips Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collective approaches to regulating potato production activities, such as those arrangements provided for irrigation schemes
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-352.7	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to ensure the level of regulatory framework differs for intensive market gardening on a small scale and commercial vegetable production as part of a larger scale mixed farming system.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-281.7	Mr Chips Ltd	Oppose in part	Supports the submissions of PotatoesNZ and Horticulture NZ
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-352.8	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Insert into the regulatory framework consideration for areas that are more sensitive to nutrient losses.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-352.19	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing framework to separate out larger scale vegetable production operations that are part of a mixed farm system.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-467.1	McLeod, D	Oppose in part	Supports the submissions of PotatoesNZ and Horticulture NZ

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-467.2	McLeod, D	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to provide a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production that enables the use of land with high production values to be utilised for food production while achieving water quality improvements and environmental benefits
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-467.3	McLeod, D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove obstacles to undertaking new leases and avoid wider effects on the rural industry
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-467.6	McLeod, D	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-467.7	McLeod, D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collective approaches to regulating potato production activities, such as those arrangements provided for irrigation schemes
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-71.1	Makikihi Fries	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to provide a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production that enables the use of land with high production values to be utilised for food production while achieving water quality improvements and environmental benefits
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-71.2	Makikihi Fries	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove obstacles to undertaking new leases and avoid wider effects on the rural industry
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-71.3	Makikihi Fries	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-71.4	Makikihi Fries	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collective approaches to regulating potato production activities, such as those arrangements provided for irrigation schemes
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-71.5	Makikihi Fries	Oppose in part	Supports the submissions of PotatoesNZ and Horticulture NZ
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-143.1	Griffins Food Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to provide a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production that enables the use of land with high production values to be utilised for food production while achieving water quality improvements and environmental benefits
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-143.2	Griffins Food Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove obstacles to undertaking new leases and avoid wider effects on the rural industry
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-143.3	Griffins Food Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-143.4	Griffins Food Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collective approaches to regulating potato production activities, such as those arrangements provided for irrigation schemes
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-143.5	Griffins Food Ltd	Oppose in part	Supports the submissions of PotatoesNZ and Horticulture NZ
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-130.1	Scott, A	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to provide a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production that enables the use of land with high production values to be utilised for food production while achieving water quality improvements and environmental benefits
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-130.2	Scott, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove obstacles to undertaking new leases and avoid wider effects on the rural industry
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-130.5	Scott, A	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-130.6	Scott, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collective approaches to regulating potato production activities, such as those arrangements provided for irrigation schemes
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-130.7	Scott, A	Oppose in part	Supports the submissions of PotatoesNZ and Horticulture NZ
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-104.1	Redmond, J R & W D	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to provide a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production that enables the use of land with high production values to be utilised for food production while achieving water quality improvements and environmental benefits

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-104.2	Redmond, J R & W D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove obstacles to undertaking new leases and avoid wider effects on the rural industry
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-104.5	Redmond, J R & W D	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-104.6	Redmond, J R & W D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collective approaches to regulating potato production activities, such as those arrangements provided for irrigation schemes
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-104.7	Redmond, J R & W D	Oppose in part	Supports the submissions of PotatoesNZ and Horticulture NZ.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-63.1	Oakley, R	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to provide a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production that enables the use of land with high production values to be utilised for food production while achieving water quality improvements and environmental benefits
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-63.2	Oakley, R	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove obstacles to undertaking new leases and avoid wider effects on the rural industry
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-63.5	Oakley, R	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-63.6	Oakley, R	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collective approaches to regulating potato production activities, such as those arrangements provided for irrigation schemes
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-63.7	Oakley, R	Oppose in part	Supports the submissions of PotatoesNZ and Horticulture NZ
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-181.1	Rathkeale Farming Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 and Rules relating to commercial vegetable growing to enable increases in vegetable production beyond baseline.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-278.47	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Support Potatoes NZ's submission on Plan Change 7 where it aligns with decisions sought and recommendation of this submission.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-278.48	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Support Process Vegetables NZ's submission on Plan Change 7 where it aligns with decisions sought and recommendation of this submission.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-278.49	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Support Horticulture NZ's submission on Plan Change 7 where it aligns with decisions sought and recommendation of this submission.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-328.1	Scottfresh Limited	Oppose in part	Supports the submission from Horticulture New Zealand where it aligns with their submission.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-187.1	McCains Food	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to provide a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production that enables the use of land with high production values to be utilised for food production while achieving water quality improvements and environmental benefits
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-187.2	McCains Food	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove obstacles to undertaking new leases and avoid wider effects on the rural industry
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-187.3	McCains Food	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-187.4	McCains Food	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-187.10	McCains Food	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-187.11	McCains Food	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collective approaches to regulating potato production activities, such as those arrangements provided for irrigation schemes

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-187.12	McCains Food	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable sensible and sensitive management of limitations being proposed on irrigation that would impact high value crops if irrigation restrictions or water us cut.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-187.13	McCains Food	Oppose in part	Supports the submissions of PotatoesNZ and Horticulture NZ
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-189.1	McCain Growers Unincorporated Society	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to provide a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production that enables the use of land with high production values to be utilised for food production while achieving water quality improvements and environmental benefits
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-189.2	McCain Growers Unincorporated Society	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove obstacles to undertaking new leases and avoid wider effects on the rural industry
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-189.3	McCain Growers Unincorporated Society	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-189.4	McCain Growers Unincorporated Society	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-189.10	McCain Growers Unincorporated Society	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-189.11	McCain Growers Unincorporated Society	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collective approaches to regulating potato production activities, such as those arrangements provided for irrigation schemes
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-189.12	McCain Growers Unincorporated Society	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable sensible and sensitive management of limitations being proposed on irrigation that would impact high value crops if irrigation restrictions or water us cut.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-189.13	McCain Growers Unincorporated Society	Oppose in part	Supports the submissions of PotatoesNZ and Horticulture NZ
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-356.17	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Require an assessment of whether a commercial vegetable production is considered to be a subset of a commercial vegetable production enterprise under the PC7 provisions for commercial vegetable growing.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-356.22	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	If the relief in submission point PC7-356.20 is granted, insert a new objective to support low intensity horticulture.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-231.1	Eyreton Produce Limited	Oppose in part	Amend commercial vegetable growing provisions to remove limitations on future development of different types of Arable and Vegetable crops.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-231.2	Eyreton Produce Limited	Oppose in part	Amend commercial vegetable growing provisions to adequately provide for new growing operations and diversification into different crops.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-231.8	Eyreton Produce Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-356.68	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend plan change 7 to provide a framework to allow for an increase in fruits and vegetable growing to at least meet the demand that will be generated by Canterbury's population growth.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-94.3	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend commercial vegetable growing provisions to take into account local food security alongside water security.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-94.4	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to provide a tailored approach for commercial vegetable production that enables the use of land with high production values to be utilised for food production while achieving water quality improvements and environmental benefits
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-94.7	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove obstacles to undertaking new leases and avoid wider effects on the rural industry
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-94.8	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-94.11	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the commercial vegetable growing provisions to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment, to account for crop rotation, leased land arrangements and to enable growers to move to less environmentally sensitive locations.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-404.2	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Supports the intent of the submission of Horticulture New Zealand.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-404.3	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change as per the relief sought, or consider alternative methods, policies and objectives that achieve the same intent of this submission.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-206.2	Turley Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to consider the value of arable and vegetable growing for domestic and export food supply.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-206.3	Turley Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to consider the effects of climate change in maintaining the status quo land use mix.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-206.4	Turley Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to require accommodation for arable and commercial vegetable production for food production purposes on land with high production value.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-206.5	Turley Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for growing of new crops to meet future food demands.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-206.6	Turley Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to apply a more scientific method for tallying nutrient losses.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-206.8	Turley Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to recognise that water quality improvements have come, and will continue to come from on farm practice.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-206.9	Turley Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to allow a group of growers to manage environmental issues collectively to improve effectiveness of response to water quality issues.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-206.10	Turley Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable existing areas of arable, horticultural and vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-206.11	Turley Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable collaboration and collective approaches to regulate arable, vegetable and horticultural production activities.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-206.12	Turley Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Support Onion NZ's submission on Plan Change 7.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-206.13	Turley Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Support Potato NZ's submission on Plan Change 7.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-206.14	Turley Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Support Horticulture NZ's submission on Plan Change 7.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-88.65	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to control soil erosion and sediment loss to water ways nad pesticide use and loss to land and water.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-88.67	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to regulate the discharge of sediment from overland stormwater flow and stream bank erosion.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-88.68	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to require commercial vegetable growers to have a detailed land drainage plan capable of mapping overland flow paths and implementing flow barriers and diversions to avoid untreated contaminated stormwater entering waterways.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-88.69	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to include a new section on pesticide use that promotes biodegradable products non-toxic to aquatic ecosystems.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-88.70	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to set FEP audit achievement targets for commercial vegetable growers, such as Minimum B rating within 3 years and all FEPs at A rating within 5 years.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-88.71	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to require commercial vegetable growers to protect and restore recognised/mapped wetlands and establish a minimum 5m riparian reserve along all waterways on a property.
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-375.9	Waipopo Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable vegetable growers with the ability to undertake new leases for longer rotations to increase land-use efficiency through higher-yields and to meet future food demands.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
<u>Planning Maps</u>				
Map A-041	PC7-156.9	Trustpower Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Map A-041 to include a 40 meter buffer zone within the GIS layer for existing hydro electric power schemes.
Map A-041	PC7-156.10	Trustpower Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Map A-041 to stop the Indigenous Freshwater Species habitat layer before the weir which covers the mouth of the Oakden Canal.
Map B-041	PC7-156.11	Trustpower Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Map B-041 to include a 40 meter buffer zone within the GIS layer for existing hydro electric power schemes.
Map B-041	PC7-156.12	Trustpower Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Map B-041 to stop the Indigenous Freshwater Species habitat layer before the weir which covers the mouth of the Oakden Canal
Map B-047	PC7-156.13	Trustpower Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Map B-047 to include a 40 meter buffer zone within the GIS layer for existing hydro electric power schemes.
Map B-047	PC7-156.14	Trustpower Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Map B-047 to stop the Indigenous Freshwater Species habitat layer before the weir which covers the mouth of the Oakden Canal
Map B-048	PC7-156.15	Trustpower Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Map B-048 to include a 40 meter buffer zone within the GIS layer for existing hydro electric power schemes.
Map B-048	PC7-156.16	Trustpower Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Map B-048 to stop the Indigenous Freshwater Species habitat layer before the weir which covers the mouth of the Oakden Canal
Map B-066	PC7-207.1	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose	Delete changes to the Combined Surface and Groundwater Allocation Zone for Rakaia-Selwyn and consult with parties that have existing ground and/or surface water takes in that area of the Zone proposed for change in the notified PC7.
Map B-066	PC7-207.3	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose	Delete the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats sites in Map B-066, and consult with parties that have properties bordering these notified sites and then amend PC7 as required to address their concerns.
Map B-076	PC7-207.2	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose	Delete changes to the Combined Surface and Groundwater Allocation Zone for Rakaia-Selwyn and consult with parties that have existing ground and/or surface water takes in that area of the Zone proposed for change in the notified PC7.
Map B-076	PC7-207.4	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose	Delete the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats sites in Map B-076, and consult with parties that have properties bordering these notified sites and then amend PC7 as required to address their concerns.
Map B-C05	PC7-371.1	Isaac Conservation and Wildlife Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Map B-C05 to remove the Salmon Spawning Sites identified within the north and eastern parts of Isaac Trust landholdings (Lot 2 DP 318667 and Lot 1 DP 83039).
Map B-C05	PC7-371.2	Isaac Conservation and Wildlife Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Map B-C05 to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats identified within Lot 1 DP 36807, Lot 1 DP 34362 and Lot 1 DP 21725.
<u>New Policies Requested</u>				
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-153.11	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy as follows so that when considering applications to take surface water for managed aquifer recharge, the rate of take and/or volume of water sought for abstraction from that surface water body, in combination with all other takes, will not exceed the environmental flows and/or allocation limits in Sections 6 to 15 of the Plan:</p> <p><u>If the applicant holds an existing water permit that authorises the take and use of surface water for irrigation and proposes to use a portion of that water for managed aquifer recharge, that this be permitted.</u></p>
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-154.8	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose in part	Insert an additional policy related to Managed Aquifer Recharge that enables applications to take surface water for Managed Aquifer Recharge be permitted subject to the applicant holding an existing water permit that authorises the take and use of surface water for irrigation, and a portion of water authorised by the existing water permit is being used for Managed Aquifer Recharge, and the rate of take and/or volume of water sought for abstraction, in combination with all other takes does not exceed the environmental flow and allocation limits in Sections 6 to 15 of the LWRP.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-312.17	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a policy regarding consideration of applications to take surface water for managed aquifer recharge where the rate of take and/or volume of water sought for abstraction from that surface water body, in combination with other takes, will not exceed the environmental flows and/or allocation limits in Sections 6 to 15 of the Plan as follows:</p> <p><u>If the applicant holds an existing water permit that authorises the take and use of surface water for irrigation and proposes to use a portion of that water for managed aquifer recharge, that this be permitted.</u></p>
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-399.5	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new policy where the take of the majority of surrendered consents is delegated to the managed aquifer recharge process and have remaining consents remain in the stream and be made available for cultural allocation.</p>
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-235.10	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new policy that when considering applications to take surface water for managed aquifer recharge where the rate of take and/or volume of water sought for abstraction from that surface water body, in combination with other takes, will not exceed the environmental flows and/or allocation limits in Sections 6 to 15 of this Plan and if the applicant holds an existing water permit that authorises the take and use of surface water for irrigation and proposes to use a portion of that water for managed aquifer recharge that this be permitted.</p>
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-88.34	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new policy for offsetting acceptable adverse effects with prescribed multipliers of 5 or more times the affected habitat for habitat replacement, depending on the health and condition of the replacement habitat and measures for its restoration and ongoing protection.</p>
Habitats of Indigenous Species	PC7-472.29	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new strategic policy that ensures takes which are not captured by Policy 4.61A consider effects on indigenous freshwater species habitat which is not yet identified or mapped.</p>
Commercial vege growing	PC7-441.4	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy that requires the revision of water quality outcomes, limits and targets to be informed by a comprehensive nutrient management monitoring program; and</p> <p>Insert a new method that requires the Council to maintain this monitoring program using inputs from consent holders and FEPs.</p>
Commercial vege growing	PC7-356.21	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy to support low intensity horticulture as follows:</p> <p><u>'Recognise that low intensity horticulture has a negligible environmental impact and provide a nutrient management framework that appropriately manages this while improving or maintaining water quality.'</u></p>
04.Table 1a	PC7-472.19	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	<p>Insert the sampling/monitoring notes below Table 1a into a new policy or non-regulatory method.</p>
04.Table 1b	PC7-472.20	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	<p>Insert the sampling/monitoring notes below Table 1b into a new policy or non-regulatory method.</p>
<u>New Rules Requested</u>				
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-153.15	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose in part	<p>Insert permitted activity Rule <u>5.42CAA</u> as follows:</p> <p><u>The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation on a property greater than 4 ha and less than 10 ha and has no natural waterways, springs or wetlands is a permitted activity.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-153.16	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose in part	<p>Insert permitted activity Rule <u>5.42CAAA</u> as follows:</p> <p><u>The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation which does not meet rule 5.42CAA is a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met:</u> <u>The commercial vegetable growing operation is registered in the Farm Portal by 1 July 2020 and the information about the farming activity is reviewed and updated by the commercial vegetable growing operator or their agent every 36 months thereafter, or whenever a material change in the land use associated with the commercial vegetable growing activity occurs;</u> <u>The area of the commercial vegetable growing operation authorised to be irrigated with water is less than 50 ha; and</u> <u>The area of the commercial vegetable growing operation used for winter grazing is less than: 10 ha, for a commercial vegetable growing operation less than 100 ha in area; or 10% of the area of the commercial vegetable growing operation between 100 ha and 1000 ha in area; or 100 ha, for any commercial vegetable growing operation greater than 1000 ha in area; and</u> <u>A management plan has been prepared in accordance with Schedule 7A and is implemented within 12 months of the rule being made operative and supplied to the Canterbury Regional Council on request.</u></p>
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-154.12	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new permitted activity rule to manage commercial vegetable growing as follows:</p> <p><u>5.42 CAA The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation on a property greater than 4 ha and less than 10 ha and has no natural waterways, springs or wetlands is a permitted activity.</u></p>
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-312.27	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	<p>Insert two new permitted activity rules as follows, in addition to the amended Rule 5.42CA described in submission point PC7-312.26:</p> <p><u>"Rule 5.42CAA The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation on a property greater than 4 ha and less than 10 ha and has no natural waterways, springs or wetlands is a permitted activity.</u></p> <p><u>Rule 5.42CAAA The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation which does not meet Rule 5.42CAA is a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The commercial vegetable growing operation is registered in the Farm Portal by 1 July 2020 and information about the farming activity is reviewed and updated by the commercial vegetable growing operator or their agent every 36 months thereafter, or whenever a material change in the land use associated with the commercial vegetable growing activity occurs</u> <u>2. The area of the commercial vegetable growing operation authorised to be irrigated with water is less than 50 hectares; and</u> <u>3. The area of the commercial vegetable growing operation used for winter grazing is less than:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. 10 hectares, for a commercial vegetable growing operation less than 100 hectares in area; or</u> <u>b. 10% of the area of the commercial vegetable growing operation between 100 and 1000 hectares in area; or</u> <u>c. 100 hectares, for any commercial vegetable growing operation greater than 1000 hectares in area; and</u> <u>4. A Management Plan has been prepared in accordance with Schedule 7A and is implemented within 12 months of the rule being made operative and supplied to the Canterbury Regional Council on request.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-356.36	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new rule after Rule 5.42CB to provide for expansion of commercial vegetable production to meet demand generated by population growth as follows:</p> <p><u>'The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable production that does not meet Rule 5.42CB is a restricted discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. A Farm Environment Plan has been prepared for the activity in accordance with Part A of Schedule 7 and is submitted with the application for resource consent; and</u> <u>2. The cumulative net total of land for provisional growth of commercial vegetable production in Canterbury has not exceeded 600ha; and</u> <u>3. The area of land sought will be in commercial vegetable production within 12 months of consent approval.</u> <p><u>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The timing of any actions or good management practices proposed to achieve the objectives described in Schedule 7; and</u> <u>2. Methods to avoid or mitigate adverse effects of the activity on surface and groundwater quality and sources of drinking water; and</u> <u>3. The commencement date for the first audit of the Farm Environment Plan and methods to address any non-compliance identified as a result of a Farm Environment Plan audit, including the timing of any subsequent audits; and</u> <u>4. Methods that demonstrate how any nutrient loss reductions required by Sections 6 to 15 of the Plan will be achieved; and</u> <u>5. Reporting of progress made towards any nutrient loss reductions required by Sections 6 to 15 of the Plan, and any actions implemented to remedy issues identified in any audit of the Farm Environment Plan; and</u> <u>6. Methods to prevent an exceedance of any relevant nutrient load limit set out in Sections 6 to 15 of the Plan if the region-wide rules continue to apply in the sub-region; and</u> <u>7. Methods to demonstrate the cumulative net total for provisional growth of commercial vegetable production has not exceeded 600ha across Canterbury; and</u> <u>8. Methods to demonstrate that commercial vegetable production will be undertaken on the land within 12 months of the consent approval.</u>
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-356.46	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new permitted activity rule after Rule 5.42CA as follows:</p> <p><u>'5.42XX The discharge of nutrients from Low Intensity Horticulture is a permitted activity.'</u></p>
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-404.16	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new rule below Rule 5.42CE as follows:</p> <p><u>'5.42CF The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation that does not comply with Rule 5.42CC or Rule 5.42CD is a prohibited activity.'</u></p>
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-154.24	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new permitted activity rule to manage commercial vegetable production as follows:</p> <p><u>5.42CAAA The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation which does not meet rule 5.42CAA a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The commercial vegetable growing operation is registered in the Farm Portal by 1 July 2020 and information about the farming activity is reviewed and updated by the commercial vegetable growing operator or their agent every 36 months thereafter, or whenever a material change in the land use associated with the commercial vegetable growing activity occurs.</u> <u>2. The area of the commercial vegetable growing operation authorised to be irrigated with water is less than 50 hectares; and</u> <u>3. The area of the commercial vegetable growing operation used for winter grazing is less than:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. 10 hectares, for a commercial vegetable growing operation less than 100 hectares in area; or</u> <u>b. 10% of the area of the commercial vegetable growing operation between 100 hectares and 1000 hectares in area; or</u> <u>c. 100 hectares, for any commercial vegetable growing operation greater than 1000 hectares in area; and</u> <p><u>A Management Plan has been prepared in accordance with Schedule 7A and is implemented within 12 months of the rule being made operative and supplied to the Canterbury Regional Council on request.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-235.14	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new Rule 5.42CAA as follows:</p> <p><u>The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation on a property greater than 4 ha and less than 10 ha and has no natural waterways, springs or wetlands is a permitted activity.</u></p>
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-235.15	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new Rule 5.42CAAA as follows:</p> <p><u>The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation which does not meet rule 5.42CAA a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met:</u></p> <p><u>1. The commercial vegetable growing operation is registered in the Farm Portal by 1 July 2020 and information about the farming activity is reviewed and updated by the commercial vegetable growing operator or their agent every 36 months thereafter, or whenever a material change in the land use associated with the commercial vegetable growing activity occurs</u></p> <p><u>2. The area of the commercial vegetable growing operation authorised to be irrigated with water is less than 50 hectares; and</u></p> <p><u>3. The area of the commercial vegetable growing operation used for winter grazing is less than:</u></p> <p><u>a. 10 hectares, for a commercial vegetable growing operation less than 100 hectares in area; or</u></p> <p><u>b. 10% of the area of the commercial vegetable growing operation between 100 hectares and 1000 hectares in area; or</u></p> <p><u>c. 100 hectares, for any commercial vegetable growing operation greater than 1000 hectares in area; and</u></p> <p><u>4. A Management Plan has been prepared in accordance with Schedule 7A and is implemented within 12 months of the rule being made operative and supplied to the Canterbury Regional Council on request.</u></p>
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-343.21	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new permitted activity Rule 5.42CAA as follows:</p> <p><u>5.42CAA The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation on property greater than 4ha and less than 10ha and has no natural waterways, springs or wetlands is a permitted activity.</u></p>
Commercial Vegetable Growing	PC7-343.22	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new Rule 5.42CAAA as follows:</p> <p><u>5.42CAAA the discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation which does not meet Rule 5.42CAA is a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met:</u></p> <p><u>1. The commercial vegetable growing operation is registered in the Farm Portal by 1 July 2020 and information about the farming activity is reviewed and updated by the commercial vegetable growing operator or their agent every 36 months thereafter, or whenever a material change in the land use associated with the commercial vegetable growing activity occurs.</u></p> <p><u>2. The area of the commercial vegetable growing operation authorised to be irrigated with water is less than 50 hectares; and</u></p> <p><u>3. The area of the commercial vegetable growing operation used for winter grazing is less than:</u></p> <p><u>a. 10 hectares, for a commercial vegetable growing operation less than 100 hectares in area; or</u></p> <p><u>b. 10% of the area of the commercial vegetable growing operation between 100 hectares and 1000 hectares in area; or</u></p> <p><u>c. 100 hectares, for any commercial vegetable growing operation grater than 1000 hectares in area; and</u></p> <p><u>A Management Plan has been prepared in accordance with Schedule 7A and is implemented within 12 months of the rule being made operative and supplied to the Canterbury Regional Council on request.</u></p>
PLAN PROVISIONS				
Section 2 - How the Plan Works and Definitions				
<u>Section 2.8 - Relationship with other Regional Plans controlling water</u>				
02.08	PC7-114.1	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Section 2.8 of the LWRP as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
<u>Section 2.9 - Definitions, Translations and Abbreviations</u>				
02.09.Actual and potential adverse environmental effects	PC7-88.2	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of actual and potential adverse environmental effects, including damage.
02.09.Bank	PC7-88.5	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of "bank" of a river or stream.
02.09.Bank	PC7-472.208	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Insert a definition for "bank" of a river or stream.
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-430.1	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain the definition of 'Baseline commercial vegetable growing area' as notified.
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-153.4	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Baseline commercial vegetable growing area' in its entirety.
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-154.1	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose	Delete definition of 'Baseline commercial vegetable growing area' in its entirety.
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-207.5	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose	Opposes lack of consultation with submitter on definition.
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-312.1	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Baseline commercial vegetable growing area' in its entirety.
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-332.2	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Baseline commercial vegetable growing area' in its entirety.
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-235.1	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose	Delete definition of "Baseline commercial vegetable growing area" in its entirety.
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-343.1	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Baseline commercial vegetable growing area' in its entirety.
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-114.2	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Baseline commercial vegetable growing area' as follows: "means the <u>maximum total</u> aggregated area of land used for a commercial vegetable growing operation in any 12 month consecutive period within the period of 1 January 2009 to 31 December 2013 and under the control (owned or leased) of a single grower or enterprise."
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-207.6	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Require the definition of 'Baseline commercial vegetable growing area' to address how it is applied to a mixed farming system, how it applies to land that is leased within a wider owned farm system and whether there is flexibility within the provisions to allow the farmer to choose which definition best suits the farm operation. No specific relief sought other than "delete/amend".
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-472.1	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Baseline commercial vegetable growing area' to provide certainty as to whether the "area of land" is site specific or intended as an aggregate area.
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-472.2	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Baseline commercial vegetable growing area' to provide clarity as to whether the intent is to allow flexibility of crop rotation within that area on that land or within the equivalent area anywhere within the catchment/sub-catchment.
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-352.11	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Baseline commercial vegetable growing area' to focus on the most recent years rather than a baseline period of 2009-2013.
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-352.12	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Baseline commercial vegetable growing area' to recognise the difference in areas of a single enterprise under irrigation scheme or individual land use consents.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-356.1	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend the term and definition of 'Baseline commercial vegetable growing area' as follows: Baseline commercial vegetable growing production area means the aggregated area of land used for a commercial vegetable growing operation production, including the full sequence of crops and pasture used as part of a rotation, in any 12 month consecutive period within the period of 1 January 2009 to 31 December 2013 <u>between 20 July 2014 to 20 July 2019</u> and under the control (owned or leased) of a single grower or enterprise.
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-356.6	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Require greater clarity on the level of information that would be acceptable for a resource consent applicant to demonstrate the baseline commercial vegetable growing area in the historic time period set out in the definition of 'commercial vegetable growing area'.
02.09.Baseline commercial vegetable growing area	PC7-404.21	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Baseline commercial vegetable growing area' as follows: 'means the aggregated area of land used <u>utilised</u> for a commercial vegetable growing operation <u>production</u> in any 12 month consecutive period within the period of 1 January 2009 to 31 December 2013 <u>at the day of notification and the land defined by the Land Use Capability Index as Class I and/or Class II</u> and under the control (owned or leased) of a single grower or enterprise.'
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-114.3	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain the definition of 'Commercial vegetable growing operation' as notified.
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-430.2	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain the definition of 'Commercial vegetable growing operation' as notified.
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-153.5	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'commercial vegetable growing operation' as follows: Include the term "predominantly vegetable growing" to exclude operations where vegetable growing activities are a minor component of the operation. Expand the definition to allow for other land uses that would benefit from operating under the vegetable growing operation rules framework.
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-214.2	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'commercial vegetable growing operation' as follows: Is a sub-set of 'farming activity' and means the growing, for the purpose of commercial gain, of vegetable crops for human consumption, and includes the full sequence of crops and pasture used as part of that rotation.
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-154.2	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of "commercial vegetable growing operation" as follows: Insert the term "predominantly vegetable growing"; and Expand the definition to include other land uses which face similar challenges and would benefit from operating under the vegetable growing operation rule framework.
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-207.7	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Require the definition of 'commercial vegetable growing operation' to address how it is applied to a mixed farming system, how it applies to land that is leased within a wider owned farm system, whether there is flexibility within the provisions to allow the farmer to choose which definition best suits the farm operation, and how other farm types will avoid being considered under this definition. No specific relief sought other than "delete/amend".
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-472.3	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Commercial vegetable growing operation' to provide more certainty for the use of "that rotation" as the definition has not previously used the term "rotation".
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-312.2	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend definition of 'commercial vegetable growing operation' to include a new term 'predominantly vegetable growing' and exclude operations where vegetable growing activities are a minor component of their operations.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-312.3	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend definition of 'commercial vegetable growing operation' to include equivalent land use activities that face similar challenges to commercial vegetable growing activities.
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-352.4	Pye Group	Oppose in part	If the relief sought in submission point PC7-352.3 is not granted, amend the definition of commercial vegetable growing operations to specifically exclude any commercial vegetables grown as part of a farming operation that has an existing land use consent.
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-352.6	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend this definition to clarify what defines a single or enterprise operation.
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-352.9	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend this definition to clarify what land area is included in "the full sequence of crops and pasture used for that rotation".
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-332.3	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of "commercial vegetable growing operation" to include the term "predominantly".
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-356.2	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend the term and definition of 'commercial vegetable growing operation' as follows: <u>Commercial vegetable growing operation-production</u> is a sub-set of 'farming activity' and means the growing, for the purpose of commercial gain, production of <u>predominantly</u> vegetable crops for human consumption, and includes the full sequence of crops and pasture used as part of that rotation.
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-235.2	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition to include the term 'predominantly vegetable growing'.
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-235.3	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition to allow other land uses which face similar challenges, who would benefit from operation under the vegetable growing operation rules framework.
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-343.2	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'commercial vegetable growing operation' to account for the following: inclusion of the term 'predominantly vegetable growing' to exclude operations where vegetable growing activities are a minor component of their operations.Expansion to allow for other land uses which face similar challenges, who would benefit from operation under the vegetable growing operation rules framework.
02.09.Commercial vegetable growing operation	PC7-352.5	Pye Group	Oppose in part	If the relief sought in submission point PC7-352.3 and PC7-352.4 are not granted, amend provisions as outlined in the following submission points PC7-352.5 to PC7-352.9.
02.09.Compromise	PC7-472.207	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Insert a definition for "compromise".
02.09.Dairy	PC7-131.5	Agri Magic Limited	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of "Dairy" as it relates to reductions.
02.09.Dairy Support	PC7-387.18	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Insert New definition for Dairy Support as follows if primary relief to delete Nitrate Priority Areas and Table 8-9 is declined: <u>Dairy support means pastoral farming where the animals grazed are dairy cattle not being milked (young animals or mixed-age cows) that are grazed off the milking platform (i.e. the area devoted to feeding dairy cows on a daily basis during the milking season) either temporarily or throughout the year.</u>
02.09.Damage	PC7-472.22	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Insert a definition for "damage" in the context of its use in Policy 4.31.
02.09.Defence against water	PC7-337.1	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain the definition of "Defence against water" as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
02.09.Defence against water	PC7-423.1	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose	Delete the wording "or any re-contouring or re-battering" from the definition of Defence against water.
02.09.Degradation	PC7-472.24	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Insert a definition for "degradation" in the context of its use in Policy 4.31.
02.09.Disturbance	PC7-472.23	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Insert a definition for "disturbance" in the context of its use in Policy 4.31.
02.09.Ecological significance	PC7-88.3	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of ecological significance.
02.09.Erroneous	PC7-441.11	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of the term 'erroneous' within Section 2.9.
02.09.Erroneous Baseline GMP Loss Rate	PC7-425.13	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of when a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate is demonstrated to be erroneous. Note that the submitter has also sought an alternative option to provide for this request (in the form of amendments to Policy 8.4.28B) in the event that this relief is not adopted.
02.09.Exotic Freshwater Species	PC7-108.10	Pareora Catchment Society (Inc)	Oppose in part	Insert precise definition of the exotic freshwater fish species to be managed by Policy 4.102.
02.09.Good Management Practice	PC7-88.66	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of good management practice as follows: <u>As farming practice that meets or exceeds specified manuals or guidelines accredited by E-Can for horticulture activities.</u>
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-153.7	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Support	Retain the definition for "highest groundwater level" as notified.
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-154.4	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Support	Retain definition of "Highest Groundwater level" as notified.
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-345.2	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Support	Retain definition of Highest Groundwater Level as notified
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-394.2	Hinds Drain Working Party	Support	Retain definition of Highest Groundwater Level as notified.
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-312.5	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Support	Retain definition of highest groundwater level as notified.
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-337.3	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain the definition of "Highest groundwater level" as notified.
02.09.Highest Groundwater Level	PC7-507.1	Twelfth Knight Consulting	Support	Retain definition of 'highest groundwater level' as notified.
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-235.5	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Support	Retain definition Highest Groundwater Level as notified.
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-343.4	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Support	Retain the definition of highest groundwater level as notified.
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-507.2	Twelfth Knight Consulting	Support	Insert a new map of the Canterbury Plains that indicates what the highest groundwater levels are.
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-430.3	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Highest groundwater level' in its entirety, unless sufficient explanation and justification can be provided for the change from 'Seasonal High Water Table'.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-174.5	Te Moana Dairy Ltd	Oppose	Delete the definition of Highest Groundwater Level and replace with: <u>Means, at the time the activity is established, the highest elevation that the water table has reached, taken over an average of the preceding 10 years.</u>
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-214.3	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'highest groundwater level' as follows: Means the single highest elevation to which groundwater has historically risen that can be reasonably inferred for the site, based on all available hydrogeological and topographic information. <u>Means, at the time the activity is established, the highest elevation that the water table has reached, taken over an average of the preceding 10 years.</u>
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-296.10	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association Canterbury/West Coast and South Canterbury/North Otago branches	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Highest groundwater level' to reflect the present-day hydrogeological situation.
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-428.1	Fulton Hogan Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'highest groundwater level' as follows: means the single highest elevation to which groundwater has historically risen that can be reasonably inferred for the site, based on all <u>relevant available</u> hydrogeological and topographic information. <u>Where site specific monitoring data over regular intervals exists for a period of 5 years or more, priority shall be given to this information in determining this level.</u> And, if found to be appropriate, change the name of the definition.
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-480.1	Road Metals Company Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of highest groundwater level to: means the single highest elevation to which groundwater has historically risen that can be reasonably inferred for the site, based on all <u>relevant available</u> hydrogeological and topographic information. <u>Where site specific monitoring data over regular intervals exists for a period of 5 years of more, priority shall be given to this information in determining this level.</u>
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-480.2	Road Metals Company Limited	Oppose in part	If the relief sought in submission point 480.1 is granted, consider amending the title of the definition for 'Highest groundwater level'.
02.09.Highest groundwater level	PC7-458.1	Aggregate and Quarry Association	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of highest groundwater level to: means the single highest elevation to which groundwater has historically risen that can be reasonably inferred for the site, based on all <u>available relevant</u> hydrogeological and topographic information.
02.09.Hoof-Print	PC7-494.4	Deans, C	Oppose in part	Insert definition for hoof-print as any imprint less than a hoof deep.
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-156.1	Trustpower Limited	Support	Retain the definition of 'Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat' as notified.
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-351.1	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain the definition of 'Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat' as notified.
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-95.58	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain the definition of 'Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat' as notified.
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-430.4	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat' in its entirety.
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-312.6	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose	Delete definition of indigenous freshwater species habitat in its entirety.
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-343.5	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose	Delete the definition of indigenous freshwater species habitat in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-160.1	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	<p>Amend the definition of 'Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat' as follows:</p> <p>means an area identified as 'Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat' on the Planning Maps, and which provides habitat for at least one of the freshwater species listed below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Giant kōkopu/Taiwharu (Galaxias argenteus) giant kōkopu/Taiwharu (Galaxias argenteus) 2. Lowland longjaw galaxias (Waitaki) (Galaxias cobitinis) lowland longjaw galaxias (Waitaki River) (Galaxias aff. cobitinis "Waitaki") 3. Canterbury mudfish/Kōwaro (<i>Neochanna burrowsius</i>) 4. Bignose galaxias (Galaxias macronasus) bignose galaxias (Galaxias macronasus) 5. Upland longjaw galaxias (Galaxias prognathus) upland longjaw galaxias (Canterbury, West Coast) (Galaxias prognathus) 6. Upland longjaw galaxias (Waitaki) (Galaxias prognathus) upland longjaw galaxias (Waitaki River) (Galaxias aff. prognathus "Waitaki") 7. Shortjaw kōkopu (Galaxias postvectis) shortjaw kōkopu (Galaxias postvectis) 8. Northern flathead galaxias (Species N (undescribed)) northern flathead galaxias (Galaxias "northern") 9. Lamprey/Kanakana (Geotria australis) lamprey/Kanakana (Geotria australis) 10. Freshwater crayfish/Kekewai (Paranephrops zealandicus) freshwater crayfish/Kekewai (Paranephrops zealandicus) 11. Freshwater mussel/Kākahi (Echyridella menziesi) freshwater mussel/Kākahi (Echyridella menziesi)
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-160.38	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	<p>Insert wording to the effect of either with the mapping or as part of the definition as an interim measure if new sites are identified:</p> <p><u>Where site specific information is available that identifies, better identifies or delineates an Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat, that information must be taken into account when undertaking activities, or when determining resource consent applications for that site.</u></p>
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-214.4	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	<p>Amend the definition of 'Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat' as follows:</p> <p>Means an area identified as 'Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat' on the Planning Maps, and which provides habitat for at least one of the freshwater species listed below <u>where the presence of that species has been confirmed by a suitably qualified and experienced practitioner:</u></p> <p>Giant kokupo / Taiwharu (Galaxias argenteus)Lowland longjaw galaxias (Waitaki) (Galaxias cobitinis)Canterbury mudfish / Kowaro (Neochanna burrowsius)Bignose galazias (Galaxias prognathus)Shortjaw kokupo (Galaxius postvectis)Northern flathead galaxius (Species N (Undescribed))Lamprey / Kanakana (Geotria australis)Freshwater crayfish / Kekewai (Paranephrops zealandicus)Freshwater muddel / Kakahi (Echridella menziesi)</p>
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-422.4	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'indigenous freshwater species habitat' to require that this habitat have significant values before being identified as such.
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-472.4	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Indigenous freshwater species habitat' so that it is consistent with the Conservation Amendment (Indigenous Freshwater Fish) Bill for species found in Canterbury.
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-472.5	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Indigenous freshwater species habitat' so that it includes indigenous stygofauna.
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-472.6	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Indigenous freshwater species habitat' so that it includes species identified by Allibone and Gray (2018).
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-472.7	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Indigenous freshwater species habitat' so that it includes the full range of Canterbury's indigenous freshwater invertebrates.
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-472.8	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Indigenous freshwater species habitat' so that it gives effect to the NPS-FM 2017 compulsory national value for ecosystem health.
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-472.9	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Indigenous freshwater species habitat' to delete the reference to mapped habitats to provide for ki uta ki tai and give effect to Te Mana o Te Wai.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-346.1	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat as follows: "means an area identified as 'Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat' on the Planning Maps, and which provides habitat for at least one of the freshwater species listed below and the species within the habitat is identified on the relevant planning maps: 1. Giant kōkopu/Taiwharu (<i>Galaxias argenteus</i>) 2. Lowland longjaw galaxias (Waitaki) (<i>Galaxias cobitinis</i>) 3. Canterbury mudfish/Kōwaro (<i>Neochanna burrowsius</i>) 4. Bignose galaxias (<i>Galaxias macronasus</i>) 5. Upland longjaw galaxias (<i>Galaxias prognathus</i>) 6. Upland longjaw galaxias (Waitaki) (<i>Galaxias prognathus</i>) 7. Shortjaw kōkopu (<i>Galaxias postvectis</i>) 8. Northern flathead galaxias (Species N (undescribed)) 9. Lamprey/Kanakana (<i>Geotria australis</i>) 10. Freshwater crayfish/Kekewai (<i>Paranephrops zealandicus</i>) 11. Freshwater mussel/Kākahi (<i>Echyridellamenziesi</i>)"
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-346.2	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend the mapping of the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats to only map the habitats of the following species listed in the definition: " 1. [delete species as per PC7-346.1] 2. Lowland longjaw galaxias (Waitaki) (<i>Galaxias cobitinis</i>) 3. Canterbury mudfish/Kōwaro (<i>Neochanna burrowsius</i>) 4. Bignose galaxias (<i>Galaxias macronasus</i>) 5. Upland longjaw galaxias (<i>Galaxias prognathus</i>) 6. Upland longjaw galaxias (Waitaki) (<i>Galaxias prognathus</i>) 7. Shortjaw kōkopu (<i>Galaxias postvectis</i>) 8. Northern flathead galaxias (Species N (undescribed)) 9. Lamprey/Kanakana (<i>Geotria australis</i>) 10. [delete species as per PC7-346.1] 11. [delete species as per PC7-346.1]"
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-337.2	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend definition of "Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat" to include areas where community composition has relatively high proportion of indigenous 'at risk' species e.g. longfin eels, inanga.
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-423.2	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat as follows: "means an area identified as 'Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat' on the Planning Maps, and which provides habitat for at least one of the freshwater species listed below: ..."
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-423.3	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat to also include longfin eel/tuna (<i>Anguilla dieffenbachii</i>).
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-423.4	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat to also include short finned eel/tuna (<i>Anguilla australis Richardson</i>).
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-423.5	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat to also include Pataki/Flounder.
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-423.6	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat to also include tuaki/cockles.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-339.2	Fenwick, G D	Oppose in part	Delete definition of Indigenous freshwater species habitat and replace with new definition for Significant habitat of indigenous biodiversity: Significant habitat of indigenous biodiversity <u>Includes taonga species, species (described and undescribed) of plants, vertebrates and invertebrates that are endemic to the region, and species with regional populations that are nationally significant.</u>
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-399.1	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat to include the following taonga species: <u>Long-finned eel/Tuna (Anguilla dieffenbachia)</u>
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-387.16	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend definition 02.09 Indigenous freshwater species habitat as follows: means an area identified as 'Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat' on the Planning Maps, and which provides habitat for at least one of the freshwater species listed below: Giant kōkopu/Taiwharu (<i>Galaxias argenteus</i>)Lowland longjaw galaxias (Waitaki) (<i>Galaxias cobitinis</i>)Canterbury mudfish/Kōwaro (<i>Neochanna burrowsius</i>)Bignose galaxias (<i>Galaxias macronasus</i>)Upland longjaw galaxias (<i>Galaxias prognathus</i>)Upland longjaw galaxias (Waitaki) (<i>Galaxias prognathus</i>)Shortjaw kōkopu (<i>Galaxias postvectis</i>)Northern flathead galaxias (Species N (undescribed))Lamprey/Kanakana (<i>Geotria australis</i>)Freshwater crayfish/Kekewai (<i>Paranephrops zealandicus</i>)Freshwater mussel/Kākahi (<i>Echydella menziesi</i>) <u>expect where found in an artificial waterway.</u>
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-399.93	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat to include the following taonga species: <u>Short-finned eel/Tuna (Anguilla australis)</u>
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-399.94	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat to include the following taonga species: <u>Flounder/Pātiki (Rhombosolea)</u>
02.09.Indigenous freshwater species habitat	PC7-423.78	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend mapped Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat areas to also include known areas of tuna habitat.
02.09.Lawful Nitrogen Loss Rate	PC7-114.4	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of 'lawful nitrogen loss rate' in the context of how this definition applies to the commercial vegetable growing operation provisions in PC7.
02.09.Livestock	PC7-472.12	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Insert a definition for 'livestock'.
02.09.Low intensity horticulture	PC7-356.10	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition as follows: <u>'Low Intensity Horticulture means fruit, asparagus, vegetables grown under cover, legumes in arable rotations and berries.'</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
02.09.Mahinga kai	PC7-108.6	Pareora Catchment Society (Inc)	Oppose in part	Insert definition of mahinga kai as follows: [Mahinga kai includes, but is] <u>not limited to, the harvesting of all wild food by anybody i.e. vegetable matter, whitebait, gamebirds, sportsfish, eels and big game animals on public or private land and waterways subject to the provisions of the Wildlife Act (1953) and Conservation Act (1987) RMA (S4) and other statutes and the permission of private land owners.</u> <u>Note; Non-Maori New Zealanders today also have their traditional mahinga kai and traditional wild food harvest rights which are shared by all people and are also in need of protection.</u>
02.09.Managed aquifer recharge	PC7-153.6	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Support	Retain the definition of "managed aquifer recharge" as notified.
02.09.Managed aquifer recharge	PC7-154.3	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Support	Retain definition of "Managed aquifer recharge" as notified.
02.09.Managed aquifer recharge	PC7-345.1	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Support	Retain definition of Managed Aquifer Recharge as notified
02.09.Managed aquifer recharge	PC7-430.5	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain the definition of 'Managed aquifer recharge' as notified.
02.09.Managed aquifer recharge	PC7-394.1	Hinds Drain Working Party	Support	Retain definition of Managed Aquifer Recharge as notified.
02.09.Managed aquifer recharge	PC7-312.4	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Support	Retain definition of managed aquifer recharge as notified.
02.09.Managed aquifer recharge	PC7-337.4	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain the definition of 'Managed aquifer recharge' as notified.
02.09.Managed aquifer recharge	PC7-423.7	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain definition of Managed Aquifer Recharge as notified.
02.09.Managed aquifer recharge	PC7-235.4	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Support	Retain definition Managed Aquifer Recharge as notified.
02.09.Managed aquifer recharge	PC7-343.3	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Support	Retain definition of managed aquifer recharge as notified.
02.09.Managed aquifer recharge	PC7-472.10	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Managed aquifer recharge' to clearly define the activity so that it does not overlap with augmentation (i.e. managed aquifer recharge means the process whereby water from another source is discharged to a specific area of land where it can soak down to an unconfined aquifer and raise the water level/table of that aquifer, and includes any subsequent effect on flows/levels of hydraulically connected surface waterbodies).
02.09.Managed aquifer recharge	PC7-472.11	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Managed aquifer recharge' to explicitly state that this technique is temporary and used as a last resort after all other methods to reduce pollution have been tried, tested and have failed to achieve freshwater outcomes.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
02.09.Nitrogen Baseline	PC7-387.17	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Nitrogen Baseline as follows: means: a. the discharge of nitrogen below the root zone, as modelled with OVERSEER, (where the data is inputted into the model in accordance with OVERSEER Best Practice Data Input Standards), or an equivalent model approved by the Chief Executive of Environment Canterbury, averaged over a 48 month consecutive period within the period 1 January 2009 to 31 December 2013, and expressed in kg per hectare per annum, except in relation to Rules 5.46, 5.56, 5.58A and 5.62, where it is expressed as a total kg per annum from the identified area of land; and b. in the case where a building consent and effluent discharge consent have been granted for a new or upgraded dairy milking shed in the period 01 January 2009 to 31 December 2013, the calculation under (a) will be on the basis that the dairy farming activity is operational; and c. if OVERSEER is updated, the most recent version is to be used to recalculate the nitrogen baseline using the same input data for the same period as used in (a) above. <u>d. in the case where a resource consent to take and use water has been granted for irrigation in the period 1 January 2009 –31 December 2013 the calculation under (a) will be on the basis that the farming activity is operational, including the consented irrigation.</u>
02.09.Non-consumptive use	PC7-337.5	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for 'non-consumptive use' as follows: <u>Non-consumptive use means the taking or use of water that results in a neutral water balance within the wider hydrological system and/or aquifer.</u>
02.09.Pest species	PC7-472.44	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for "invasive, pest or nuisance species" in the context of Policy 4.102.
02.09.Pest species	PC7-501.2	Hydes, S	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of 'invasive, pest or nuisance fish species' and exclude trout and salmon from this definition.
02.09.Plantation forestry	PC7-214.5	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain the definition of 'plantation forest or plantation forestry' as notified.
02.09.Plantation forestry	PC7-356.8	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain definition of 'Plantation forest or plantation forestry' as notified.
02.09.Plantation forestry	PC7-207.8	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'plantation forest or plantation forestry' clause (c)(v) as follows: "...c. does not include - v. long-term ecological restoration planting of forest species <u>or native plantings</u> ; ..."
02.09.Pugging	PC7-494.3	Deans, C	Oppose in part	Insert definition for pugging that applies where an animals hooves go deeper into the ground than the height of the hoof and which excludes soil pushed up as a result of the hoof going into the ground. Any imprint less than a hoof depth would be defined as a hoof-print.
02.09.Seasonal High Water Level	PC7-214.6	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Amend Plan Change 7 so the definition of 'seasonal high water table' is not deleted.
02.09.Seasonal High Water Table	PC7-430.6	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Retain the definition of 'Seasonal High Water Table' unless sufficient explanation and justification can be provided for the replacement of the term with 'Highest groundwater level'.
02.09.Stock	PC7-472.13	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Insert a definition for "stock".

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
02.09.Stock species	PC7-472.15	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for "stock species that prefer to avoid water".
02.09.Stocking rates	PC7-472.14	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Insert a definition for "stocking rates that avoid evident damage".
02.09.Targeted Stream Augmentation	PC7-472.16	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Insert a region-wide definition for 'Targeted Stream Augmentation" which also states that the activity is temporary in nature and used as a last resort after all other methods to improve stream flows have been tried, tested and failed to achieve freshwater outcomes.
02.09.Targeted Stream Augmentation	PC7-337.6	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for targeted stream augmentation as per the definition in Section 8 of the LWRP.
02.09.Values	PC7-88.6	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of values, of the indigenous freshwater species habitat, wetland etc
02.09.Values	PC7-472.206	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for "values" in the context of habitat, wetland, amenity, recreational, significant and cultural.
02.09.Vegetable	PC7-352.10	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of 'vegetable' to clarify what is included in the commercial vegetable growing rule framework.
02.09.Vegetation clearance	PC7-214.7	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain the definition of 'vegetation clearance' as notified.
02.09.Vegetation clearance	PC7-430.7	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain the definition of 'Vegetation clearance' as notified.
02.09.Vegetation clearance	PC7-312.7	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Support	Retain definition of vegetation clearance as notified.
02.09.Vegetation clearance	PC7-356.9	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain definition of Vegetation clearance as notified.
02.09.Vegetation clearance	PC7-343.6	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Support	Retain the definition of vegetation clearance as notified.
02.09.Vegetation clearance	PC7-160.29	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'vegetation clearance' as follows: means removal of vegetation by physical, mechanical, chemical or other means but excludes: ... d. clearance for the purposes of maintaining existing fence lines, vehicle tracks, firebreaks, drains, ponds, dams or crossings; ...
02.09.Wahi Tapu	PC7-108.7	Pareora Catchment Society (Inc)	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to accurately define and describe Wahi Tapu and located by GIS.
02.09.Waipuna / Springs	PC7-424.125	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Insert definition for waipuna/springs.
02.09.Waterbody	PC7-339.1	Fenwick, G D	Oppose in part	Insert definition of waterbody: <u>Waterbody means fresh water or geothermal water in a river, lake, stream, pond, wetland, or aquifer, or any part thereof, that is not located within the coastal marine area.</u>
02.09.Wetland	PC7-88.4	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of wetland.
02.09.Wetland	PC7-376.7	Caseley, D & V	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'wetland' to provide clarification that it does not include "wet pasture, or paddocks where water temporarily ponds after rain in places dominated by pasture, or that contain patches of exotic sedge or rush species".
02.09.Winter Grazing	PC7-172.1	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the Winter Grazing definition to recognise the differentiation between 24/7 and restricted grazing in conjunction with pastoral blocks.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Section 4 - Region-wide Policies				
04.Table 1a	PC7-160.2	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Table 1a Freshwater Outcomes for Canterbury Rivers as notified.
04.Table 1a	PC7-347.2	Canterbury District Health Board	Support	Supports the inclusion of human health and cultural attributes and reference to mahinga kai gathering.
04.Table 1a	PC7-425.1	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Support	Retain the urban sub-units in Table 1a as notified.
04.Table 1a	PC7-441.1	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Table 1a as notified.
04.Table 1a	PC7-422.5	Genesis Energy Limited	Support	Retain Table 1a as notified.
04.Table 1a	PC7-472.17	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Table 1a as notified.
04.Table 1a	PC7-423.88	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Supports the inclusion of attributes in Table 1a that recognise the abundance of freshwater mahinga kai species for customary gathering, water quality that is suitable for their safe harvest and kai that is safe to eat.
04.Table 1a	PC7-326.1	Blackhills (2012) Limited	Oppose	Delete the amendments to Table 1a, instead retain status quo.
04.Table 1a	PC7-91.7	Avon-Otakaro Network	Oppose in part	Amend 95th percentile <i>e.coli</i> freshwater outcome for Spring-fed-plains Urban in Table 1a as follows: 1200 <u>800</u>
04.Table 1a	PC7-214.9	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to provide for primary contact recreation for those sites where primary contact recreation is an identified value during the periods where this activity occurs.
04.Table 1a	PC7-214.10	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a so that E. coli attributes apply during flows below medium flow during the bathing season, and excludes high flow events and periods that fall outside the bathing season.
04.Table 1a	PC7-193.1	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to reduce fine sediment less than 2mm from 20% to 10% for hill-fed lower rivers.
04.Table 1a	PC7-95.55	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to amend the QMCI score for 'Hill-fed - lower' from 4.0 to 5.0.
04.Table 1a	PC7-347.4	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to include 'greater than' or 'less than' symbols in association with <i>E.coli</i> figures.
04.Table 1a	PC7-347.6	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Require further investigation on the applicability of 95th percentile figures of 1200 <i>Ecoli</i> /100ml proposed for mahinga kai gathered from water ways.
04.Table 1a	PC7-357.1	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to adopt the <i>E.coli</i> NPS-FM attribute (i.e. four measures, instead of only median and 95th percentile).
04.Table 1a	PC7-88.7	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1(a) hill fed lower urban QMCI score from 4 to 5.
04.Table 1a	PC7-88.8	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to specify a suitability for contact recreation value of "good to fair" for all management units with no value set, including spring-fed plains.
04.Table 1a	PC7-88.9	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to specify a suitability for contact recreation value of good for all management units with a value of good to fair.
04.Table 1a	PC7-88.10	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to specify a suitability for contact recreation of good to fair for all management units with fair values.
04.Table 1a	PC7-88.11	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to adjust the <i>E.coli</i> values to reflect changes in the suitability for contact recreation grade as requested in submission.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.Table 1a	PC7-88.12	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to include a societal attribute - Indigenous freshwater species, as listed, are sufficiently abundant to support health waterways equivalent to 1990 levels of abundance and diversity.
04.Table 1a	PC7-88.13	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to add at least 3% of a catchment area will protect and restore wetlands.
04.Table 1a	PC7-88.16	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to change the cultural attribute to a measurable attribute that provides a measure of customary gathering or level of abundance.
04.Table 1a	PC7-207.9	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to delete the 'Cultural Attribute' column.
04.Table 1a	PC7-310.2	Iles, H	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to raise outcomes for water bodies, including Alpine - lower " good to fair " " <u>good</u> " Hill-fed - lower " good to fair " " no value set " " <u>good</u> "
04.Table 1a	PC7-337.7	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to set the target QMCI for hill-fed lower waterways and spring-fed plains waterways to 5 (good-doubtful quality or possible mild pollution).
04.Table 1a	PC7-423.10	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a so that the outcomes sought in the table aligns with and allow the cultural attributes to be achieved by requiring water quality limits, where these are currently at or below the National Bottom Line of the NPSFM to be higher than the National Bottom Line by 2030.
04.Table 1a	PC7-339.3	Fenwick, G D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to include a discussion of Freshwater Outcomes for Canterbury Groundwater.
04.Table 1a	PC7-423.86	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a so that water quality outcomes are maintained where they are above a B Band in the NPSFM and improved to at least a B Band where they are below.
04.Table 1a	PC7-337.149	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to reduce the E.coli 95th percentile levels to 1000 rather than 1200 for urban waterways and Banks Peninsula,.
04.Table 1a	PC7-455.4	Richmond Residents and Business Association (RR&BA)	Oppose in part	Amend relevant Plan Change 7 water quality outcomes table so that the 95th percentile value for E. coli in urban waterways is decreased from 1200 to 800.
04.Table 1a	PC7-455.10	Richmond Residents and Business Association (RR&BA)	Oppose in part	Amend the Freshwater Outcomes for Canterbury Rivers to have much stronger water quality outcomes.
04.Table 1a	PC7-95.93	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to specify in Suitability for Contact Recreation "good to fair" for all management units with "no value set", including spring fed plains.
04.Table 1a	PC7-95.94	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to specify in Suitability for Contact Recreation "good" for all management units with "good to fair".
04.Table 1a	PC7-95.95	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to specify in Suitability for Contact Recreation "good to fair" for all management units with "fair".
04.Table 1a	PC7-95.96	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1a to adjust the corresponding E.coli values.
04.Table 1b	PC7-347.3	Canterbury District Health Board	Support	Supports the inclusion of human health and cultural attributes and reference to mahinga kai gathering.
04.Table 1b	PC7-441.2	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Table 1b as notified.
04.Table 1b	PC7-310.3	Iles, H	Support	Supports Table 1b, retain "high" outcome for small to medium sized high country lakes.
04.Table 1b	PC7-422.6	Genesis Energy Limited	Support	Retain Table 1b as notified.
04.Table 1b	PC7-472.18	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Table 1b as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.Table 1b	PC7-423.89	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Supports the inclusion of attributes in Table 1b that recognise the abundance of freshwater mahinga kai species for customary gathering, water quality that is suitable for their safe harvest and kai that is safe to eat.
04.Table 1b	PC7-326.2	Blackhills (2012) Limited	Oppose	Delete the amendments to Table 1b, instead retain status quo.
04.Table 1b	PC7-160.3	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1b Freshwater Outcomes for Canterbury Lakes to ensure that the TLI outcomes for all small to medium sized high country lakes, including Maori Lakes, and Lakes Emily and Georgina, are consistent with the limit set for these lakes in Schedule 8 of the LWRP.
04.Table 1b	PC7-95.56	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1b Freshwater Outcomes for Canterbury Lakes to specify in Suitability for Contact Recreation "good" for management unit 'Coastal lakes' with corresponding E.coli values.
04.Table 1b	PC7-347.5	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1b to include 'greater than' or 'less than' symbols in association with <i>E.coli</i> values.
04.Table 1b	PC7-347.7	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Require further investigation on the applicability of 95th percentile figures of 1200 Ecoli/100ml proposed for mahinga kai gathered from water ways.
04.Table 1b	PC7-88.14	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1b to specify a suitability for contact recreation grade of good for the coastal lakes management unit.
04.Table 1b	PC7-88.15	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1b to specify <i>E.coli</i> values that correspond with the change requested to include a good grade for contact recreation for coastal lakes.
04.Table 1b	PC7-88.17	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1b to change the cultural attribute to a measurable attribute that provides a measure of customary gathering or level of abundance.
04.Table 1b	PC7-88.18	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1b to include a societal attribute - indigenous species, as listed, are sufficiently abundant to support healthy waterways, equivalent to 1990 levels of diversity and abundance.
04.Table 1b	PC7-207.10	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1b to delete the 'Cultural Attribute' column.
04.Table 1b	PC7-423.11	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1b so that the outcomes sought in the table aligns with and allow the cultural attributes to be achieved by requiring water quality limits, where these are currently at or below the National Bottom Line of the NPSFM to be higher than the National Bottom Line by 2030.
04.Table 1b	PC7-423.12	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1b so that the attributes for Muriwai (Coopers Lagoon) are set to have higher level water quality outcomes than other Coastal Lakes, including but not limited to a Trophic Level Index score of 3 rather than 5.
04.Table 1b	PC7-423.13	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1b so that the Coastal Lakes outcomes achieve a water quality level higher than the National Bottom Line of the NPSFM by 2030.
04.Table 1b	PC7-423.14	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1b to increase the Trophic Level Index outcomes for Maori Lakes, Lake Emily and Lake Georgina to the same level as the Trophic Level Index targets for these waterbodies in Schedule 8.
04.Table 1b	PC7-423.75	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1b to increase the Trophic Level Index outcomes for Maori Lakes, Lake Emily and Lake Georgina to the same level as the Trophic Level Index targets for these waterbodies in Schedule 8.
04.Table 1b	PC7-339.4	Fenwick, G D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1b to include a discussion of Freshwater Outcomes for Canterbury Groundwater.
04.Table 1b	PC7-423.87	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1b so that water quality outcomes are maintained where they are above a B Band in the NPSFM and improved to at least a B Band where they are below.
04.006	PC7-214.8	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 4.6 as notified.
04.006	PC7-292.1	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.6 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.006	PC7-84.1	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.6 as follows: ...stockwater needs, <u>including water for stock drinking, dairymshed washdown and animal welfare</u> ; an individual's or community's <u>domestic needs, including drinking-water needs,</u> ...
04.006	PC7-423.8	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.6 as follows: "In high naturalness water bodies listed in Sections 6 to 15, the damming, diverting or taking of water is limited to that for a person's or community's stockwater needs, an individual or community's drinking-water needs <u>or a person or community's stockwater needs</u> , and water for the operation and maintenance of existing infrastructure."
04.006	PC7-423.9	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.6 as follows: "In high naturalness water bodies listed in Sections 6 to 15, the damming, diverting or taking of water is limited to that for a person's or community's stockwater needs, an individual or community's drinking-water needs, and water for the operation and maintenance of existing infrastructure. "
04.006	PC7-356.11	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.6 as follows: '...an individual or community's drinking-water needs, <u>an individual or community's rootstock and crop survival water needs</u> and water for the operation and maintenance of existing infrastructure.'
04.006	PC7-551.7	Orari River Protection Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.6 to prevent intensification (by irrigation or forestry) to protect any further deterioration of water quality in high naturalness water bodies.
04.031	PC7-472.21	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Policy 4.31 as notified, subject to the relief sought for amendments to the definition of 'Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat', and new definitions for 'stock', 'damage', 'disturbance' and 'degradation'.
04.031	PC7-65.2	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Policy 4.31 as notified.
04.031	PC7-160.4	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 4.31 as notified.
04.031	PC7-292.2	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.31 as notified.
04.031	PC7-357.2	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Policy 4.31 as notified.
04.031	PC7-324.7	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 4.31 as notified.
04.031	PC7-351.2	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.31 as notified.
04.031	PC7-337.8	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.31 as notified.
04.031	PC7-423.15	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Policy 4.31 as notified.
04.031	PC7-442.25	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Support	Retain Policy 4.31 as notified.
04.031	PC7-95.59	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.31 as notified.
04.031	PC7-310.6	Iles, H	Support	Retain clause (ba) of Policy 4.31 as notified.
04.031	PC7-310.26	Iles, H	Support	Retain clause (bb) of Policy 4.31 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.031	PC7-214.11	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the amendments to Policy 4.31 in their entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.4 is not granted.
04.031	PC7-430.8	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the amendments to Policy 4.31 in their entirety.
04.031	PC7-343.7	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.31 in its entirety.
04.031	PC7-193.2	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.31 to ensure that there is a balance between grazing and vegetation fire risk and the practicality of fencing waterways that experience repetitive stormwater damage or where stocking rates have a minimal environmental impact.
04.031	PC7-347.8	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.31 to exclude stock from 'nonsecure bore water takes'.
04.031	PC7-88.50	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.31 to insert a new clause as follows: <u>d. provide at least a 5 metres riparian protection zone along and either side of waterways and around wetlands and spring heads.</u>
04.031	PC7-207.11	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.31 to delete the text "Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat".
04.031	PC7-88.51	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.31 to provide consistent and defined terminology.
04.031	PC7-88.52	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.31 to include higher levels of protection and restoration for E-can mapped wetlands.
04.031	PC7-312.8	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.31 to remove references to Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat.
04.031	PC7-88.59	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.31 to insert a new clause as follows: <u>provide at least a 5 metre riparian protection zone along and either side of waterways and around wetlands and spring heads.</u>
04.031	PC7-310.5	Iles, H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.31 to require all stock be fenced out of waterways, OR Amend definition "intensively farmed stock" to define the intensity rates for all stock species.
04.031	PC7-88.56	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.31 as follows: a. excluding intensively farmed stock from lakes, rivers, <u>streams, farm drains</u> and wetlands; and
04.031	PC7-88.48	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.31 as follows: b. excluding stock from within freshwater bathing sites listed in Schedule 6, salmon spawning sites listed in Schedule 17, Community Drinking-water Protection Zones <u>for surface water takes</u> as set out in Schedule 1, other sensitive water body areas; and the water body bed and banks <u>and riparian zones</u> closely adjacent to and upstream of these areas; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.031	PC7-88.57	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.31 as follows: b. excluding stock from within freshwater bathing sites listed in Schedule 6, salmon <u>and trout</u> spawning sites listed in Schedule 17, Community Drinking-water Protection Zones for surface water takes as set out in Schedule 1, other sensitive water body areas; and the water body bed and banks closely adjacent to and upstream of these areas; and
04.031	PC7-88.49	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 4.31 as follows: c. <u>excluding and limiting</u> access to wetlands, and the banks or beds of lakes and rivers to stock species that prefer to avoid water and at stocking rates that avoid evident <u>damage</u> <u>adverse effects to vegetation, ecological function and substrate.</u>
04.031	PC7-310.7	Iles, H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 4.31 to specify "sheep" and a stocking density.
04.031	PC7-88.58	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 4.31 as follows: c. <u>excluding and limiting</u> access to wetlands, and the banks or beds of lakes and rivers to stock species that prefer to avoid water and at stocking rates that avoid evident <u>damage and adverse effects to vegetation, ecological function and substrate;</u> and
04.032	PC7-65.3	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Policy 4.32 as notified.
04.036A	PC7-193.3	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Support	Retain Policy 4.36A as notified.
04.036A	PC7-292.3	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Policy 4.36A as notified.
04.036A	PC7-441.47	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Policy 4.36A as notified, subject to the relief sought to add a new policy that requires the revision of water quality outcomes, limits and targets to be informed by a comprehensive nutrient management monitoring program.
04.036A	PC7-472.25	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Policy 4.36A as notified, subject to requested relief for Schedule 7.
04.036A	PC7-312.11	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Support	Supports Policy 4.36A in the recognition of particular constraints for commercial vegetable growing operations, and the requirements for all growers to operate at Good Management Practice, to have Farm Environment Plans and to meet applicable nutrient loss reduction targets.
04.036A	PC7-423.16	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Policy 4.36A as notified.
04.036A	PC7-278.29	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Support	Support requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.
04.036A	PC7-187.5	McCains Food	Support	Retain clause (a) of Policy 4.36A requiring all commercial vegetable growers to operate at good management practice.
04.036A	PC7-189.5	McCain Growers Unincorporated Society	Support	Retain clause (a) of Policy 4.36A requiring all commercial vegetable growers to operate at good management practice.
04.036A	PC7-207.13	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.36A in its entirety. OR Amend Policy 4.36A to direct that farmers and commercial vegetable growing operators are provided the ability to choose which definition of farm type (i.e. farm, farm enterprise or commercial vegetable growing operation) applies to their farm; and that flexible nutrient limits are equitable across all farm types.
04.036A	PC7-214.12	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.36A in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.2 is not granted.
04.036A	PC7-154.5	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 4.36A.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.036A	PC7-430.10	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 4.36A.
04.036A	PC7-312.12	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 4.36A.
04.036A	PC7-401.2	Juice Products New Zealand	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 4.36A.
04.036A	PC7-352.15	Pye Group	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 4.36A. Alternatively, use the area from the past 5 years of operations rather than a baseline area.
04.036A	PC7-332.4	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 4.36A.
04.036A	PC7-235.6	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 4.36A.
04.036A	PC7-352.17	Pye Group	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 4.36A.
04.036A	PC7-332.5	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 4.36A.
04.036A	PC7-430.316	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 4.36A.
04.036A	PC7-114.100	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 4.36A.
04.036A	PC7-154.23	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 4.36A.
04.036A	PC7-235.7	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 4.36A.
04.036A	PC7-153.28	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 4.36A.
04.036A	PC7-312.61	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 4.36A.
04.036A	PC7-343.10	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 4.36A.
04.036A	PC7-352.13	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.36A and make consequential changes to the nutrient management framework to reflect the negative impacts the policy would have on the economy and social values as notified.
04.036A	PC7-278.5	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.36A to recognise the value of growing food for domestic food supply.
04.036A	PC7-278.7	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.36A to recognise the effects of climate change on maintaining the status quo of land use activity mix.
04.036A	PC7-278.17	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.36A to apply a tailored approach to commercial vegetable production on land with high production value.
04.036A	PC7-278.23	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.36A to support a more generic method for tallying nutrient losses.
04.036A	PC7-278.35	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.36A to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment.
04.036A	PC7-278.41	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.36A to allow a group of growers to manage environmental issues collectively or collaboratively.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.036A	PC7-328.3	Scottfresh Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.36A to not constrain commercial vegetable growing operations to a single nutrient allocation zone or sub-region.
04.036A	PC7-356.12	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.36A as follows: Recognise the particular constraints that apply to commercial vegetable growing operations-production (including the need to rotate crops to avoid soil borne diseases and for growing locations in close proximity to processing facilities) and provide a nutrient management framework that appropriately responds to and accommodates these constraints while improving or maintaining water quality by: a. requiring commercial vegetable growing operations-production to operate at good management practice; b. avoiding limiting the establishment of a new commercial vegetable growing operation-production , or any expansion of an existing commercial vegetable growing operation-production beyond the baseline commercial vegetable growing area, to locations where unless the nitrogen losses from the operation can be accommodated within the lawful nitrogen loss rate applicable to the new location; c. <u>Recognise the inter-generational domestic food supply values associated with commercial vegetable production.</u> d. requiring commercial vegetable growing operations-production to demonstrate, at the time of application for resource consent and at the time of any Farm Environment Plan audit, how any relevant nutrient loss reduction set out in Sections 6 to 15 of this Plan will be achieved; e. <u>constraining, as far as practicable, land rotation of a commercial vegetable growing operations-production to within the same a single</u> nutrient allocation zone or sub-region; and e-f. requiring a Farm Environment Plan as part of any application for resource consent, and requiring that Farm Environment Plan to be prepared in accordance with Schedule 7 of this Plan.
04.036A	PC7-404.1	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.36A to provide an appropriate link between the policy and the objectives of the Plan.
04.036A	PC7-401.1	Juice Products New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.36A to ensure the nutrient discharges from horticultural systems are accurately assessed and the deficiencies in OVERSEER to model horticultural crops are looked at to ensure the figures reflect the cropping system its regulating accurately.
04.036A	PC7-153.8	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose in part	Delete clause (b) of Policy 4.36A.
04.036A	PC7-114.5	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.36A as follows: ... b. avoiding restricting the establishment of new commercial vegetable growing operation, or any expansion of an existing commercial vegetable growing operation beyond the baseline commercial vegetable growing area, unless the nitrogen losses from the operation can be accommodated within the lawful nitrogen loss rate applicable to the new location; ...
04.036A	PC7-187.6	McCains Food	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.36A to provide for sound scientific process
04.036A	PC7-189.6	McCain Growers Unincorporated Society	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.36A to provide for sound scientific process.
04.036A	PC7-404.4	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.36A as follows: 'b. avoiding the establishment of a new commercial vegetable growing operation, or any expansion of an existing commercial vegetable growing operation beyond <u>is limited to the</u> baseline commercial vegetable growing area, unless the nitrogen losses from the operation can be accommodated within the lawful nitrogen loss rate applicable to the new location;'
04.036A	PC7-343.9	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Delete clause (b) of Policy 4.36A.
04.036A	PC7-352.16	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 4.36A so that further reductions are not required.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.036A	PC7-404.5	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 4.36A as follows: 'd. constraining, as far as practicable unless a farming enterprise, commercial vegetable growing operations to a single nutrient allocation zone or sub-region ; and'
04.036A	PC7-404.6	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (e) of Policy 4.36A as follows: 'e. requiring a Farm Environment Plan as part of any application for resource consent, and requiring that Farm Environment Plan to be prepared in accordance with Schedule 7(b) of this Plan.'
04.047	PC7-214.13	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 4.47 as notified.
04.047	PC7-292.4	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Policy 4.47 as notified.
04.047	PC7-351.3	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.47 as notified.
04.047	PC7-423.17	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Policy 4.47 as notified.
04.047	PC7-95.60	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.47 as notified.
04.047	PC7-428.2	Fulton Hogan Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.47 to remove the word 'minimised' and/or refer more specifically to the values that need to be considered when undertaking the activity.
04.047	PC7-356.18	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.47 to add a new clause to recognise maintaining intakes for rootstock and crop survival water as follows: '... <u>f. maintaining intakes for rootstock and crop survival water.</u> '
04.047	PC7-160.5	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.47 as follows: a. establishing, maintaining or repairing infrastructure <u>provided potential adverse effects on any person, their property, or the ecological, cultural, recreational or amenity values of the fresh waterbody are minimised;</u>
04.047	PC7-430.11	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.47 as follows: b. removing gravel or other earthworks provided potential adverse effects on any person, their property, or the ecological, cultural, recreational or amenity values of the fresh waterbody are minimised <u>as much as practicable;</u>
04.047	PC7-472.26	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.47 as follows: b. removing gravel or other earthworks provided potential adverse effects on any person, their property, or the ecological, cultural, recreational or amenity values of the fresh waterbody are <u>avoided, and on any person, their property are</u> minimised;

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.047	PC7-312.13	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.47 as follows: b. removing gravel or other earthworks provided potential adverse effects on any person, their property, or the ecological, cultural, recreational or amenity values of the fresh waterbody are minimised <u>as much as practicable</u> ; ...
04.047	PC7-343.11	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.47 as follows: b. removing gravel or other earthworks provided potential adverse effects on any person, their property, or the ecological, cultural, recreational or amenity values of the fresh waterbody are minimised <u>as much as practicable</u> ;
04.047	PC7-472.27	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 4.47 as follows: c. undertaking minor flood or erosion control or repair works and the diversion is occurring within the boundaries of a site or an individual's property <u>and</u> provided there are no potential adverse effects that are more than minimal on any other person, their property, or any on ecological, cultural, recreational or amenity values of the fresh waterbody <u>or that the potential adverse effects are no more than minimal on any other person, their property</u> ;
04.050	PC7-193.19	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.50 so that it does not undermine Policy 8.4.17.
04.061A	PC7-193.4	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Support	Retain Policy 4.61A as notified.
04.061A	PC7-292.5	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.61A as notified.
04.061A	PC7-310.8	Iles, H	Support	Retain Policy 4.61A as notified.
04.061A	PC7-351.4	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.61A as notified.
04.061A	PC7-337.9	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.61A as notified.
04.061A	PC7-95.61	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.61A as notified.
04.061A	PC7-214.14	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.61A in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.4 is not granted.
04.061A	PC7-430.9	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.61A in its entirety.
04.061A	PC7-207.14	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.61A in its entirety.
04.061A	PC7-312.9	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.61A in its entirety.
04.061A	PC7-343.8	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.61A in its entirety.
04.061A	PC7-160.105	Department of Conservation	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 4.61A.
04.061A	PC7-339.6	Fenwick, G D	Oppose in part	Amend the definitions within Policy 4.61A to be broader in line with decisions requested in submission points PC7-339.1 and PC7-339.2.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.061A	PC7-422.7	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.61A by adding wording to the following effect: <u>This policy does not apply to any abstraction relating to the operation, maintenance, upgrading or replacement of the Tekapo Power Scheme.</u>
04.061A	PC7-422.8	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to avoid consideration of Policy 4.61A until the details of the values, characteristics and species within each Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat have been assessed and specified in the plan change.
04.061A	PC7-156.2	Trustpower Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.61A as follows: Preserve indigenous biological diversity within water bodies by requiring applications to abstract surface water or stream depleting groundwater to assess the potential effects, including cumulative effects, of the proposed abstraction on any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat, and: a. by refusing any application to take water that would reduce the area or compromise the values of the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat, except for an application to take water for a community water supply <u>or an existing hydro-electric power scheme</u> ; and b. if the application is to take water for a community water supply <u>or an existing hydro-electric power scheme</u> and the take would reduce the area or compromise the values of the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat, allow any significant adverse effects on that habitat to be offset by the creation of new habitat in the same surface water catchment and with the same or improved habitat characteristics.
04.061A	PC7-472.30	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.61A to clarify that it applies to habitat areas identified on the Planning Maps.
04.061A	PC7-138.12	Canterbury Aoraki Conservation Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.61A to delete new habitat creation offsets.
04.061A	PC7-160.6	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.61A as follows: a. by refusing any application to take water <u>where the adverse effects of the activity on any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat will be more than minor. that would reduce the area or compromise the values of the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat, except for an application to take water or a community water supply; and</u>
04.061A	PC7-357.3	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.61A as follows: a. by refusing any application to take water <u>that and the take</u> would reduce the area <u>or compromise the values</u> of the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat, except for an application to take water for a community water supply; and
04.061A	PC7-65.4	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.61A to strengthen it.
04.061A	PC7-88.36	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.61A as follows: b. if the application is to take water for a community water supply and the take would reduce the area or compromise <u>adversely affect</u> the values of the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat, allow any significant adverse effects on that habitat to be offset by the creation of new <u>compensatory</u> habitat in the same surface water catchment and with the same or improved habitat characteristics <u>and area, in accordance with a E-Can Offsets policy.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.061A	PC7-472.28	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.61A as follows: b. if the application is to take water for a community water supply and the take would reduce the area or compromise <u>adversely affect</u> the values of the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat, allow any significant adverse <u>residual</u> effects on that habitat to be offset by the creation of new <u>compensatory</u> habitat in the same surface water catchment and with the same or improved habitat characteristics <u>and area</u> .
04.061A	PC7-423.18	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.61A as follows: "b. if the application is to take water for a community water supply and the take would reduce the area or compromise the values of the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat, allow any significant adverse effects on that habitat to be offset by the creation of new habitat in the same surface water catchment and with the same or improved habitat characteristics."
04.071	PC7-404.17	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.71 as follows: Enable the <u>temporary</u> transfer of water permits to take or use water, provided: ...
04.071	PC7-404.23	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.71 to insert a new clause as follows: a. ... <u>(aa) the transfer is to land included in the baseline commercial vegetable growing area; for the use of growing vegetables.</u> ...
04.071	PC7-404.24	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 4.71 as follows: (d) that in an over-allocated surface water catchment or groundwater zone, a proportion of the allocated water is surrendered and is not re-allocated, unless there is a method and defined timeframe to phase out over-allocation set out in an applicable sub-region Section of this Plan; <u>or the water is utilised for the purpose outlined in Policy 4.71 aa.'</u>
04.079	PC7-102.1	Kemp, M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.79 to require all hazardous activities that may impact on water quality, including hydrocarbon exploration and fracking, to be completely restricted.
04.080	PC7-102.5	Kemp, M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.80 to require all hazardous activities that may impact on water quality, including hydrocarbon exploration and fracking, to be completely restricted.
04.087	PC7-214.15	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 4.87 as notified.
04.087	PC7-430.12	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 4.87 as notified.
04.087	PC7-312.14	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Support	Retain Policy 4.87 as notified.
04.087	PC7-343.12	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Support	Retain Policy 4.87 as notified.
04.099	PC7-153.9	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-113.22	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.099	PC7-273.1	Carleton Daries Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-214.16	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-154.6	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-292.6	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-289.30	Bay, P S	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-345.3	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-430.13	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-394.3	Hinds Drain Working Party	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-96.4	Rangvet Ltd (Rangiora Vet Centre)	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-381.1	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-310.10	Iles, H	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-312.15	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-415.1	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-255.1	McAllister, C & S	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-179.21	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-437.7	Scottville Farm	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-372.1	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-317.24	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Support	Support Targeted Stream Augmentation as a means of improving water quality with no specific decision requested.
04.099	PC7-317.29	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Support	Support Policy 4.99 and Managed Aquifer Recharge with no specific decision requested.
04.099	PC7-235.8	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-343.13	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Support	Retain Policy 4.99 as notified.
04.099	PC7-472.33	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 4.99.
04.099	PC7-351.5	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 4.99.
04.099	PC7-95.62	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 4.99.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.099	PC7-102.2	Kemp, M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.99 to only allow managed aquifer recharge if it is used as a one-off recovery tool, and not as an ongoing mitigation to redress the volumes and quality of water.
04.099	PC7-102.3	Kemp, M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.99 to remove the cautious and uncertain language such as 'as far as practicable', 'minimised' and 'net'.
04.099	PC7-422.9	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.99 to insert wording to the following effect: <u>Prevent any activities that have actual or potential adverse effects on the operation, maintenance, upgrading and replacement of the Tekapo Power Scheme.</u>
04.099	PC7-472.31	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.99 as follows: Improve the quality and /or quantity of groundwater, and any hydraulically connected surface water body, by providing for managed aquifer recharge where: ...
04.099	PC7-351.94	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.99 to insert wording as follows: Improve the quality and/or quantity of groundwater, and any hydraulically connected surface water body, by providing for managed aquifer recharge where: ... <u>and avoiding any additional abstraction from a surface water catchment where the environmental flow and water allocation limits are not met.</u>
04.099	PC7-337.10	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.99 to include provision for both TSA and MAR.
04.099	PC7-339.7	Fenwick, G D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.99 to insert a new clause as follows: <u>h. Adverse effects on the biodiversity and ecosystem functioning within the recharged aquifer from potentially invasive (exotic or indigenous) species are eliminated.</u>
04.099	PC7-423.93	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.99 to include a requirement that managed aquifer recharge is only used where flows within the source waterbody remain at a level and quality that they can sustain healthy populations of taonga species.
04.099	PC7-95.63	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.99 to insert wording as follows: Improve the quality and/or quantity of groundwater, and any hydraulically connected surface water body, by providing for managed aquifer recharge where: ... <u>and avoiding any additional abstraction from a surface water catchment where the environmental flow and water allocation limits are not met.</u>
04.099	PC7-337.150	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.99 to make provision for takes which are non-consumptive.
04.099	PC7-207.46	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.99 to insert a new clause as follows: <u>h. downstream and downgradient landholders that may be affected by the managed aquifer recharge are consulted.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.099	PC7-357.4	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.99 as follows: a. alternative mitigations, in addition to managed aquifer recharge, have or will be implemented to improve water quality and quantity in the receiving water body, <u>or the benefits of MAR achieve the equivalent benefits of alternative mitigations;</u>
04.099	PC7-472.32	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.99 as follows: a. alternative mitigations, in addition to managed aquifer recharge, have or will be implemented to improve water quality and quantity in the receiving water body <u>and the hydraulically connected waterbodies;</u>
04.099	PC7-423.19	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.99 as follows: "a. alternative mitigations, in addition to managed aquifer recharge, have or will be <u>been</u> implemented to improve water quality and quantity in the receiving water body;"
04.099	PC7-423.20	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.99 as follows; "b. adverse effects will be minimised for any take from a surface water catchment where the take is not from a surface water body where the environmental flow and water allocation limits are <u>will be exceeded;</u> "
04.099	PC7-425.2	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 4.99 as follows: c. adverse effects on sites and values of importance to Ngāi Tahu, including effects associated with unnatural mixing of water, are avoided <u>where it is practicable to do so, as far as practicable</u> or otherwise remedied or mitigated to minimise adverse effects;
04.099	PC7-433.1	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 4.99 as follows: c. adverse effects on sites and values of importance to Ngāi Tahu, including effects associated with the unnatural mixing of water, are avoided as far as practicable <u>or mitigated.</u>
04.099	PC7-356.19	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 4.99 as follows: 'd. adverse effects on the availability, quality and safety of human and animal drinking water, <u>and rootstock and crop survival water</u> are avoided.'
04.099	PC7-472.34	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (e) of Policy 4.99 to use terms more consistent with the RMA (i.e. avoid, remedy or mitigate, rather than "minimise").
04.099	PC7-472.35	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (e) of Policy 4.99 to ensure that groundwater ecosystems and groundwater dependent ecosystems are included.
04.099	PC7-472.36	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (e) of Policy 4.99 to delete the use of the term "ecosystem services".
04.099	PC7-472.37	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (f) of Policy 4.99 to remove "no net loss".

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.099	PC7-207.15	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend clause (g) of Policy 4.99 as follows: g. adverse effects on people, and property <u>and land use activities</u> from raised groundwater levels and higher surface water flows are as a first priority avoided, and where avoidance is impractical, effects are minimised <u>and remedied</u> .
04.099	PC7-88.104	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (g) of Policy 4.99 as follows: adverse effects on people and property from raised groundwater levels and higher surface water flows are as a first priority avoided, and where avoidance is impracticable, effects are minimised.
04.100	PC7-153.10	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-113.23	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-214.17	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-154.7	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-292.7	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-357.5	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-289.31	Bay, P S	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-345.4	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-394.4	Hinds Drain Working Party	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-381.2	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-226.10	Koroa Group Limited	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-310.11	Iles, H	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-312.16	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-415.2	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-179.22	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-437.8	Scottville Farm	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-372.2	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-317.25	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Support	Support Targeted Stream Augmentation as a means of improving water quality with no specific decision requested.
04.100	PC7-317.30	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-235.9	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.100	PC7-343.14	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Support	Retain Policy 4.100 as notified.
04.100	PC7-430.14	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.100 and replace as follows: <u>When considering the taking of surface water for managed aquifer recharge in relation to the environmental flow and/or allocation limits in Section 6-15 of this plan:</u> a. Where the rate of take and or volume sought exceeds the environmental flow and/or allocation limits (in Sections 6-15 of this plan), restrict any further over-allocation of surface water to proposals which demonstrate the environmental benefits of the managed aquifer recharge to the receiving waterbody outweigh any adverse effects; and b. If the applicant holds an existing water permit that authorises the take and use of water for irrigation and proposes to use a portion of that water for managed aquifer recharge, require that there is no net increase in the total rate or volume of water compared with that authorised under the existing permit.
04.100	PC7-160.7	Department of Conservation	Oppose	Delete clause (a) of Policy 4.100.
04.100	PC7-472.38	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete clause (a) of Policy 4.100.
04.100	PC7-95.64	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose	Delete clause (a) of Policy 4.100 and replace with as follows (or similar): a. restrict refuse any new application to take additional water in an over-allocated catchment any further over-allocation of surface water to proposals which demonstrate the environmental benefits of the managed aquifer recharge to the receiving waterbody outweigh any adverse effects; and
04.100	PC7-218.12	MHV Water Limited (MHV)	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 4.100.
04.100	PC7-345.5	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.100 to insert a new clause as follows: <u>When considering applications to take surface water for managed aquifer recharge where the rate of take and/or volume of water sought for abstraction from that surface water body, in combination with other takes, will not exceed the environmental flows and/or allocation limits in Sections 6 to 15 of this Plan:</u> <u>If the applicant holds an existing water permit that authorises the take and use of surface water for irrigation and proposes to use a portion of that water for managed aquifer recharge that this be permitted.</u>
04.100	PC7-444.6	Hall, M C	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.100 so that the results of the MAR trial are considered and to ensure over allocation is fully addressed.
04.100	PC7-218.7	MHV Water Limited (MHV)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.100 to insert a new clause as follows: "...where the rate of take and/or volume of water sought for abstraction from that surface water body will, a. <u>in combination with other takes, comply with the environmental flow and/or allocation limit in Sections 6 to 15 of this Plan, enable the use of existing consented takes for the purposes of managed aquifer recharge or targeted stream augmentation;...</u> "
04.100	PC7-422.10	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.100 to insert wording to the following effect: <u>Prevent any activities that have actual or potential adverse effects on the operation, maintenance, upgrading and replacement of the Tekapo Power Scheme.</u>
04.100	PC7-337.11	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.100 to include provision for both TSA and MAR.
04.100	PC7-337.151	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.100 to make provision for takes which are non-consumptive.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.100	PC7-218.8	MHV Water Limited (MHV)	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.100 as follows:</p> <p>"...where the rate of take and/or volume of water sought for abstraction from that surface water body will,</p> <p><u>a. [refer PC7-218.7]</u></p> <p><u>b. in combination with other takes, exceed the environmental flow and/or allocation limit in Sections 6 to 15 of this Plan; a. restrict any the further over allocation of take of surface water for the purposes of managed aquifer recharge or targeted stream augmentation by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>i. in the case of any proposal that relates to existing consented entitlements, the use of surface water for the purposes of managed aquifer recharge or targeted stream augmentation does not exceed previously demonstrated use; or</u></p> <p><u>ii. in the case of any proposal that relates to existing consented entitlements and exceeds previously demonstrated use or is a new proposal, to proposals which demonstrate the environmental benefits of the managed aquifer recharge or targeted stream augmentation to the receiving waterbody outweigh any adverse effects; and</u></p> <p>...</p>
04.100	PC7-351.6	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	<p>Delete clause (a) of Policy 4.100 and replace with:</p> <p><u>a. restrict refuse any new application to take additional water in an over-allocated catchment any further over allocation of surface water to proposals which demonstrate the environmental benefits of the managed aquifer recharge to the receiving waterbody outweigh any adverse effects; and</u></p>
04.100	PC7-423.21	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.100 as follows:</p> <p>" <u>a. restrict any further over allocation of surface water to proposals which demonstrate the environmental benefits of the managed aquifer recharge to the receiving waterbody outweigh any adverse effects avoid any further over allocation of surface water; and..</u>"</p>
04.100	PC7-394.5	Hinds Drain Working Party	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.100 as follows:</p> <p><u>b. if the applicant holds an existing water permit that authorises the take and use of surface water for irrigation and proposes to use a portion of that water for managed aquifer recharge, require that there is no net increase in the total rate of take or volume of water compared with that authorised under the existing permit that this be permitted.</u></p>
04.100	PC7-472.39	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.100 to ensure that the effects of the change in use are assessed.</p>
04.100	PC7-351.95	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.100 as follows (or similar):</p> <p><u>b. if the applicant holds an existing water permit that authorises the take and use of surface water for irrigation and proposes to use a portion of that water for managed aquifer recharge, require that there is no net increase in the total rate of take or volume of water the portion of water transferred must be for no more than 90% of the previously consented total rate of take or volume of water compared with that authorised under the existing permit.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.100	PC7-95.65	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.100 as follows (or similar): b. if the applicant holds an existing water permit that authorises the take and use of surface water for irrigation and proposes to use a portion of that water for managed aquifer recharge, require that there is no net increase in the total rate of take or volume of water the portion of water transferred must be for no more than 90% of the previously consented total rate of take or volume of water compared with that authorised under the existing permit.
04.100	PC7-343.15	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.100 to provide for the use of water under an existing water permits for managed aquifer recharge when flow and allocation limits are not exceeded.
04.101	PC7-65.5	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Policy 4.101 as notified.
04.101	PC7-193.5	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Support	Retain Policy 4.101 as notified.
04.101	PC7-292.8	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.101 as notified.
04.101	PC7-337.12	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.101 as notified.
04.101	PC7-356.20	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 4.101 as notified.
04.101	PC7-214.18	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.101 in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.4 is not granted.
04.101	PC7-430.15	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.101 in its entirety.
04.101	PC7-207.16	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.101 in its entirety.
04.101	PC7-312.18	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.101 in its entirety.
04.101	PC7-343.16	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.101 in its entirety.
04.101	PC7-310.12	Iles, H	Oppose	Delete clause (a) of Policy 4.101.
04.101	PC7-160.106	Department of Conservation	Oppose	Delete clause (a) of Policy 4.101.
04.101	PC7-160.107	Department of Conservation	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 4.101.
04.101	PC7-310.25	Iles, H	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 4.101.
04.101	PC7-138.3	Canterbury Aoraki Conservation Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.101 to delete new habitat creation offsets.
04.101	PC7-160.8	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.101 as follows: Avoid the damage or loss of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat caused by sediment discharges, vegetation clearance, excavation and deposition of material, or other disturbance in or on the bed or banks of a surface water body, unless the effects of habitat damage will be remedied or mitigated.÷
04.101	PC7-300.1	Selwyn District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.101 to refer to 'river, wetland or lake' instead of surface water body.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.101	PC7-88.37	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.101 as follows: Avoid the damage or loss of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat caused by sediment <u>and nutrient</u> discharges, vegetation clearance, excavation and deposition of material, or other disturbance in a surface water body, unless: ...
04.101	PC7-422.11	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.101 by inserting wording to the following effect: <u>This policy does not apply to any activity associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrading or replacement of the Tekapo Power Scheme.</u>
04.101	PC7-422.12	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 by delaying further consideration of Policy 4.101 until the details of the values, characteristics and species within each Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat has been assessed and specified in the plan.
04.101	PC7-156.3	Trustpower Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.101 as follows: <u>Activities shall be managed to minimise</u> Avoid the damage or loss of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat caused by sediment discharges, vegetation clearance, excavation and deposition of material, or other disturbance in a surface water body, unless: ...
04.101	PC7-472.40	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.101 as follows: Avoid the damage or loss of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat caused by sediment <u>and nutrient</u> discharges, vegetation clearance, excavation and deposition of material, or other disturbance in a surface water body, unless: ...
04.101	PC7-346.5	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.101 as follows: "Avoid the d Damage or loss of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat caused by sediment discharges, vegetation clearance, excavation and deposition of material, or other disturbance in a surface water body, unless <u>is managed so that: ..."</u>
04.101	PC7-346.6	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.101 by inserting a new clause as follows: <u>; or</u> <u>c. for activities associated with the Waitaki Power Scheme the effects of habitat damage will be managed to the extent practicable.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.101	PC7-423.22	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 4.101 by separating into two policies as follows:</p> <p>"Avoid the damage or loss of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat caused by sediment discharges, vegetation clearance, excavation and deposition of material, or other disturbance in a surface water body, unless: a. the effects of habitat damage will be remedied or mitigated; or b. the habitat loss will be offset by the creation of new habitat in the same surface water catchment and with the same or improved habitat characteristics.</p> <p><u>Policy 1: Avoids damage to indigenous freshwater habitats unless the damage is associated with the maintenance or enhancement of that habitat of the habitat loss will be offset by the creation of a new habitat in the same surface water catchment and with improved habitat circumstances.</u></p> <p><u>Policy 2: Mitigates the effects from sediment discharges, vegetation clearance, excavation and deposition or other disturbance in surface water bodes where there is an Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat."</u></p>
04.101	PC7-522.1	King, J	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 4.101 as follows:</p> <p><u>Improve the Freshwater Species Habitat by removal of all exotic vegetation particularly willows and avoid the damage or loss of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat caused by sediment discharges, vegetation clearance, excavation and deposition of material, or other disturbance in a surface water body, unless:...</u></p>
04.101	PC7-148.10	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.101 to replace 'surface water body' with 'river, wetland or lake'.
04.101	PC7-42.11	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.101 to replace 'surface water body' with 'river, wetland or lake'.
04.101	PC7-149.11	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.101 to replace 'surface water body' with 'river, wetland or lake'.
04.101	PC7-3.8	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.101 to replace 'surface water body' with 'river, wetland or lake'.
04.101	PC7-107.11	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.101 to replace 'surface water body' with 'river, wetland or lake'.
04.101	PC7-472.41	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.101 as follows:</p> <p>Avoid the damage or loss of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat caused by sediment discharges, vegetation clearance, excavation and deposition of material, or other disturbance in a surface water body, unless:</p> <p>a. the effects of habitat damage will be <u>avoided</u> remedied or mitigated; or</p> <p>...</p>
04.101	PC7-205.5	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.101 to replace the word 'mitigation'.
04.101	PC7-205.6	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.101 to remove "habitat loss will be offset".

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.101	PC7-472.42	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.101 as follows: Avoid the damage or loss of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat caused by sediment discharges, vegetation clearance, excavation and deposition of material, or other disturbance in a surface water body, unless: ... b. the residual habitat loss and degradation will be offset by the creation and protection of new similar habitat in the same surface water catchment and with the same or improved habitat characteristics <u>in accordance with the ECan Offset policy (CRPS Chapter 9).</u>
04.101	PC7-88.114	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.101 as follows: b. the habitat loss and <u>degradation</u> will be offset by the creation <u>and protection</u> of <u>similar new</u> habitat in the same surface water catchment and with the same or improved habitat characteristics <u>in accordance with an E-Can Offsets policy.</u>
04.102	PC7-54.2	Mugan, T	Support	Retain Policy 4.102 as notified
04.102	PC7-65.6	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Policy 4.102 as notified.
04.102	PC7-138.9	Canterbury Aoraki Conservation Board	Support	Retain Policy 4.102 as notified.
04.102	PC7-138.10	Canterbury Aoraki Conservation Board	Support	Retain Policy 4.102 as notified.
04.102	PC7-214.19	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 4.102 as notified.
04.102	PC7-193.6	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Support	Retain Policy 4.102 as notified.
04.102	PC7-310.13	Iles, H	Support	Retain Policy 4.102 as notified.
04.102	PC7-205.4	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Support	Retain Policy 4.102 as notified.
04.102	PC7-50.1	Zwarts, B	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-48.1	de Joux, P R	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-79.1	Dawson, A A	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-61.1	Goodman, S	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-47.1	Grierson, J	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-45.1	Hawley, R	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-59.1	Metzenthien, D	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-58.1	Lloyd, K	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-135.1	West, H	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-140.1	Ackerley, G	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-43.1	Wium, D H	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.102	PC7-263.1	Chambers, D A	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-380.1	Camp, M	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-207.17	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-500.1	Cleaver, C	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-504.1	Gardiner, A	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-509.1	Sutherland, C	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-520.1	Armstrong, B	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-508.1	Artz, R	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-538.1	Caldelari, S	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-49.2	Carter, G	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-498.1	Collier, K	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-516.1	Gregg, T	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-531.1	Gunn, K	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-512.1	Kennedy, G	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-528.1	McKenzie, E	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-542.1	New Zealand Salmon Anglers Association	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-323.1	Parbery, J	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-535.1	Save the Rivers Mid-Canterbury Inc	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-556.1	Taylor, C	Oppose	Delete Policy 4.102 in its entirety.
04.102	PC7-472.43	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend and re-write Policy 4.102 considering clearer definitions and with regard to existing technology (i.e. what in-stream structures are currently available).
04.102	PC7-66.1	Bell, C C	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 to allow for trout and salmon as appropriate.
04.102	PC7-76.1	Gibson, R	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish, <u>trout and salmon</u> , while avoiding...
04.102	PC7-46.1	Martin, G E	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 to identify that it does not apply in a way that results in the prevention of angling for salmon and trout.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.102	PC7-53.1	Orman, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish, <u>and trout and salmon</u> , while avoiding...
04.102	PC7-70.1	Price, S H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 to ensure that fish passage also provides for trout and salmon.
04.102	PC7-122.1	Willcox, C M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 to clarify that the safe passage of "sports fish" will also be considered.
04.102	PC7-118.1	Moody, D C	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 so structures that could exclude salmonoids are only permitted at the top of small streams where there is ample spawning available to trout and salmon below the structure.
04.102	PC7-132.1	Canterbury Fly Fishing Club	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 to enable the construction of fish ladders/bypasses that would allow both native fish and salmonids to pass.
04.102	PC7-92.1	Forest Creek Station	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 to recognise the value of sports fish and ensure that their passage is maintained and/or improved.
04.102	PC7-152.1	Forsyth, I	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 to not allow the placement of man-made impediments in the path of salmon and trout.
04.102	PC7-183.1	Dewit, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 to provide for salmon and trout.
04.102	PC7-228.1	Knight, R A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 to ensure that all species are protected and and to ensure compliance and enforcement is undertaken.
04.102	PC7-142.2	Paul, D J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 so that movements of trout and salmon are not restricted.
04.102	PC7-365.1	Rangiora Nursery Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 to enable the safe passage of all fish species, both indigenous and valued sports fish.
04.102	PC7-444.5	Hall, M C	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 to recognise that there are sites where indigenous exotic fish species are not competing.
04.102	PC7-430.16	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: <u>As far as practicable, S</u> tructures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish, while avoiding as far as practicable , the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by: ...
04.102	PC7-450.1	Pilbrow, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish, <u>trout and salmon</u> while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any <u>other</u> invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by: ...
04.102	PC7-348.1	Future Rivers	Oppose in part	Supports Fish and Game's submissions on Policy 4.102 of Plan Change 7.
04.102	PC7-312.19	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: <u>"As far as practicable, S</u> tructures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish, while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by: ..."

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.102	PC7-351.7	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish <u>and sports fish species</u> , while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species <u>pest organisms as defined in the Canterbury Regional Pest Management Plan</u> by: ...
04.102	PC7-309.1	Jamieson, N B	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 so that trout and salmon are not prevented from reaching salmon spawning areas and specify how structures can protect vulnerable native species without totally restricting trout and salmon.
04.102	PC7-351.96	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 to insert an advice note as follows: <u>Advice note: Responsibility for indigenous fish species and fish passage matters resides with the Department of Conservation and the responsibility of sports fish species with the relevant Fish and Game Council as set out in the Conservation Act 1987 and the Freshwater Fisheries Regulations 1983. Species interaction matters are the primary responsibility of the Department of Conservation and the relevant Fish and Game Council.</u>
04.102	PC7-346.7	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: Structures e Enable the safe passage of indigenous fish <u>where appropriate</u> , while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by:...
04.102	PC7-423.23	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish, while <u>whilst</u> avoiding, as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by: ...
04.102	PC7-422.13	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 by adding words to the following effect: <u>This policy does not apply to any instream structures associated with the Tekapo Power Scheme.</u>
04.102	PC7-422.14	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to delay any consideration of Policy 4.102 until the details of the values, characteristics and species within each Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat have been assessed and specified in the plan.
04.102	PC7-522.3	King, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: <u>Construct structures that</u> enable the safe passage of <u>salmonoid and</u> indigenous fish, while avoiding the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by:...
04.102	PC7-505.1	Duckmanton, D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: Structures enable the safe passage of <u>trout, salmon and</u> indigenous fish, while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by:...
04.102	PC7-523.1	Foate, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: Structures enable the sage passage of indigenous fish, <u>trout and salmonids</u> , while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by:...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.102	PC7-501.3	Hydes, S	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous <u>and designated recreational sports</u> fish, while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species (<u>specifically excluding trout and salmon</u>) by:...
04.102	PC7-514.1	Johnston, M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: ...structures that enable the passage of indigenous fish, <u>trout and salmon</u> , whilst preventing the passage of <u>so-called</u> invasive, pest of nuisance fish"...
04.102	PC7-502.1	Jones, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish, <u>trout and salmonoids</u> , while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species, <u>(as defined in XXX)</u> by: ...
04.102	PC7-529.1	McKnight, B	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish, while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by: <u>as well as trout and salmon</u> ...
04.102	PC7-515.1	Minchington, W	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 so it protects the trout and salmon fishery by allowing the safe passage of valued sports fish.
04.102	PC7-545.1	Moody, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: Structures enable the safe passage of <u>all indigenous fish</u> , while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance <u>fish species, both indigenous fishes and valued introduced sports fish</u> , by: ...
04.102	PC7-550.1	Overmars, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 to be consistent with Part 6 of the Freshwater Fisheries Regulations 1983.
04.102	PC7-539.1	Parkin, I	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 so that salmon and trout are not included as an invasive species.
04.102	PC7-518.1	Pickering, D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous <u>and salmonid</u> fish, while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by: ...
04.102	PC7-540.1	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish <u>and salmonids</u> , while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by:...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.102	PC7-510.1	Saunders, C	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish <u>as well as trout and salmon</u> , while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by: ...
04.102	PC7-95.66	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish <u>and sports fish species</u> , while avoiding as far as practicable , the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species pest organisms as defined in the Canterbury Regional Pest Management Plan by: ...
04.102	PC7-95.67	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 to insert an advice note as follows: <u>Advice note: Responsibility for indigenous fish species and fish passage matters resides with the Department of Conservation and the responsibility of sports fish species with the relevant Fish and Game Council as set out in the Conservation Act 1987 and the Freshwater Fisheries Regulations 1983. Species interaction matters are the primary responsibility of the Department of Conservation and the relevant Fish and Game Council.</u>
04.102	PC7-343.17	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 as follows: <u>As far as practicable</u> , structures enable...
04.102	PC7-346.26	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.102 by inserting a new clause as follows: <u>c. by considering alternative means of providing fish passage for appropriate species in circumstances where the modification, reconstruction or removal of structures is not practicable or would not provide effective passage.</u>
04.102	PC7-160.9	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.102 as follows: a. the appropriate <u>placement</u> , design, construction, installation and maintenance of new in-stream structures; and
04.102	PC7-540.2	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.102 as follows: a. the appropriate design, construction, installation and maintenance of new in-stream structures <u>which give appropriate consideration of, and seek as much as possible to preserve, if not enhance, the natural amenity, recreational value and cultural value of the waterbody</u> ; and
04.102	PC7-423.95	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.102 as follows: a. appropriate design, construction, installation and maintenance of new in-stream structures; and ...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.102	PC7-292.9	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.102 as follows: b. the modification, reconstruction or removed <u>al</u> of existing in-stream structures.
04.102	PC7-156.4	Trustpower Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.102 as follows: b. <u>where reasonably practicable, consider the modification or, reconstruction or removed</u> of existing in-stream structures <u>which impede the safe passage of indigenous fish as required by any new or variation to existing resource consent for that structure.</u>
04.102	PC7-351.97	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.102 as follows: ... b. the modification, reconstruction or removed <u>removal</u> of existing in-stream structures.
04.102	PC7-337.13	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.102 as follows: b. The modification, reconstruction or removed <u>removal</u> of existing in-stream structures.
04.102	PC7-95.68	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.102 as follows: b. the modification, reconstruction or removed <u>removal</u> of existing in-stream structures.
04.102	PC7-522.4	King, J	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.102 as follows: b. the modification, reconstruction or removed <u>removal</u> of existing in-stream structures.
04.102	PC7-346.25	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.102 as follows: b. the modification, reconstruction or removal of existing in-stream structures <u>where this is practicable; or ...</u>
04.102	PC7-540.3	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.102 as follows: b. the modification, reconstruction or removed <u>al</u> of existing in-stream structures.
04.102	PC7-423.96	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.102 as follows: b. <u>the removal or modification, reconstruction or removed</u> of existing in-stream structures
04.102	PC7-545.2	Moody, N	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.102 as follows: b. the modification, reconstruction or removed <u>al</u> of existing in-stream structures.
04.103	PC7-65.7	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Policy 4.103 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.103	PC7-153.12	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Support	Retain Policy 4.103 as notified.
04.103	PC7-214.20	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 4.103 as notified.
04.103	PC7-193.7	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Support	Retain Policy 4.103 as notified.
04.103	PC7-154.9	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Support	Retain Policy 4.103 as notified.
04.103	PC7-357.6	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Policy 4.103 as notified.
04.103	PC7-441.3	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Policy 4.103 as notified.
04.103	PC7-381.3	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Policy 4.103 as notified.
04.103	PC7-312.20	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Support	Retain Policy 4.103 as notified.
04.103	PC7-351.8	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.103 as notified.
04.103	PC7-95.69	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 4.103 as notified.
04.103	PC7-343.18	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Support	Retain Policy 4.103 as notified.
04.103	PC7-114.6	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.103 as follows: Any resource consent granted with a consent condition requiring the collection of water quality samples, shall also include a condition requiring <u>where feasible</u> , all water quality sample data to be submitted to the Canterbury Regional Council in a format suitable for automated upload to the Council's water quality database software.
04.103	PC7-188.1	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.103 to ensure that water quality data is made available to the public in a way which is consistent with the reporting requirements of the resource consent.
04.103	PC7-390.6	Ashburton Lyndhurst Irrigation Limited (ALIL)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.103 as follows: "...submitted to Canterbury Regional Council <u>and where practicable</u> in a <u>commonly used</u> format suitable for automated upload to the Council's water quality software.
04.103	PC7-430.17	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.103 as follows: Any resource consent granted with a consent condition requiring the collection of water quality samples, shall also include a condition requiring all <u>the</u> water quality sample data <u>required by the consent condition</u> to be submitted to the Canterbury Regional Council in a format suitable for automated upload to the Council's water quality database software.
04.103	PC7-417.3	Starbuck, C	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.103 to include testing of glyphosate levels.
04.103	PC7-472.45	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.103 as follows: Any resource consent granted with a consent condition requiring the collection of water quality samples, shall also include a condition requiring all water quality sample data to be submitted <u>provided</u> to the Canterbury Regional Council in a format suitable for automated upload to the Council's water quality database software.
04.103	PC7-337.14	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.103 to clearly word the provision as a policy, alternatively relocate it to the rules section.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
04.103	PC7-387.21	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 4.103 as follows: <u>The holder of aAny resource consent which authorises water take and use, or the use of land for a farming activity, shall be required to collect water quality sample data, granted with a consent condition requiring the collection of water quality samples, shall also include a condition requiring all water quality sample data to be submitted to the Canterbury Regional Council in a format suitable for automated upload to the Council's water quality database software.</u>
04.14	PC7-431.1	Ridden, N E	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that further deterioration of drinking water is not allowed.
Section 5 - Rules				
05.008	PC7-424.46	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.8 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge of wastewater from a new, modified or upgraded on-site wastewater treatment system is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.008	PC7-424.128	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.8 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not onto or into land within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.008A	PC7-214.21	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendments to Rule 5.8A in their entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.3 is not granted.
05.009	PC7-160.34	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 5 of Rule 5.9 as notified.
05.009	PC7-424.47	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain matter of discretion 5 of Rule 5.9 as notified.
05.009	PC7-337.15	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 5 of Rule 5.9 as notified.
05.009	PC7-423.24	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.9 as notified.
05.009	PC7-430.292	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 5 of Rule 5.9.
05.009	PC7-214.22	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.9 to provide for existing activities that may be affected by the change.
05.010	PC7-424.48	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.10 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge of swimming pool or spa water is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.010	PC7-424.129	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.10 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.011	PC7-214.23	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.11 as notified.
05.011	PC7-424.49	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain matter of discretion 2 of Rule 5.11 as notified.
05.011	PC7-337.16	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 2 of Rule 5.11 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.011	PC7-423.25	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.11 as notified.
05.011	PC7-160.108	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 2 of Rule 5.11 as notified.
05.011	PC7-430.293	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 2 of Rule 5.11.
05.012	PC7-214.24	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendments to Rule 5.12 in their entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.3 is not granted.
05.012	PC7-424.50	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.12 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge of greywater is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.012	PC7-424.130	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.12 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge of greywater is not within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.013	PC7-424.51	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain matter of discretion 3 Rule 5.13 as notified.
05.013	PC7-337.18	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.13 as notified.
05.013	PC7-423.26	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.13 as notified.
05.013	PC7-160.109	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.13 as notified.
05.013	PC7-430.294	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.13.
05.013	PC7-214.25	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.13 to provide for existing activities that might be affected by the change.
05.014	PC7-214.26	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendment to Rule 5.14 in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.3 is not granted.
05.014	PC7-424.52	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.14 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge of untreated human excrement via a pit toilet is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.014	PC7-424.131	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.14 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge of any untreated human excrement via pit toilet is not within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.015	PC7-424.53	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.15 as notified.
05.015	PC7-337.20	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.15 as notified.
05.015	PC7-423.27	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.15 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.015	PC7-160.110	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.15 as notified.
05.015	PC7-430.295	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.15.
05.015	PC7-214.27	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.15 to provide for existing activities that might be affected by the change.
05.016	PC7-424.54	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.16 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge of aerobically composted material from a composting toilet is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.016	PC7-424.132	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.16 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge of aerobically composted material from a composting toilet is not within 20 m of any mātaihai reserve.</u>
05.017	PC7-214.28	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.17 as notified.
05.017	PC7-424.55	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.17 as notified.
05.017	PC7-337.21	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.17 as notified.
05.017	PC7-423.28	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.17 as notified.
05.017	PC7-160.111	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.17 as notified.
05.017	PC7-430.296	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.17.
05.018	PC7-424.56	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.18 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge of the dust suppressant is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.019	PC7-214.29	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.19 as notified.
05.019	PC7-337.22	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 2 of Rule 5.19 as notified.
05.019	PC7-160.112	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 2 of Rule 5.19 as notified.
05.019	PC7-430.297	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 2 of Rule 5.19.
05.019	PC7-424.57	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.19 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.022	PC7-424.58	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.22 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.022	PC7-424.133	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.22 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within 100 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.024	PC7-356.23	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.24 as notified.
05.024	PC7-214.50	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	If the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.3 is not granted, delete the proposed change to Rule 5.24 in its entirety.
05.024	PC7-271.13	Woodbury Deer Industry Environment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.24 to use 'seasonal high water table' definition as the base line for the 3m allowance of condition 5c.
05.024	PC7-150.5	Brook & Rupert Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.24 to delete "highest groundwater level" and replace with "seasonal high water table".
05.024	PC7-173.9	Knocklyn Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5(c) of Rule 5.24 to allow existing offal and rubbish pits to be filled and finished before requiring new pits to be established in accordance with newer rules.
05.024	PC7-173.10	Knocklyn Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5(c) of Rule 5.24 to retain the 'seasonal high water table' level as the base line for the 3 m separation.
05.024	PC7-296.9	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association Canterbury/West Coast and South Canterbury/North Otago branches	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.24 to reflect the present-day hydro-geological situation on properties and provide historical hydrogeological and topographical information, free of charge, to all land owners for the purposes of siting offal and farm rubbish pits.
05.024	PC7-214.30	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5(c) of Rule 5.24 as follows: 5. c. unless there is at least 3 m of soil or sand between the point of discharge and the highest groundwater level for new, modified, or upgraded offal pits, or <u>cc. unless there is at least 3 m of soil or sand between the point of discharge and the seasonal high water table level for existing offal pits.</u>
05.024	PC7-259.10	Orari Gorge Station	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5(c) of Rule 5.24 so the seasonal high water table is the baseline for the 3 metre allowance.
05.024	PC7-259.11	Orari Gorge Station	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.24 to allow current pits to be filled and finished before requiring new pits to be established in accordance with proposed changes to rules.
05.024	PC7-424.59	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.24 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.024	PC7-424.134	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.24 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within 100 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.024	PC7-271.14	Woodbury Deer Industry Environment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.24 to allow current pits to be filled and finished before requiring new pits to be established under the new rules.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.026	PC7-337.24	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 4 of Rule 5.26 as notified.
05.026	PC7-424.135	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain matter of discretion 4 of Rule 5.26 as notified.
05.026	PC7-423.29	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.26 as notified.
05.026	PC7-356.24	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.26 as notified.
05.026	PC7-160.113	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 4 of Rule 5.26 as notified.
05.026	PC7-430.298	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 4 of Rule 5.26.
05.026	PC7-214.31	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.26 to provide for existing activities that may be affected by the proposed change.
05.026A	PC7-114.7	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.26A as notified.
05.026A	PC7-430.19	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.26A as notified.
05.026A	PC7-356.25	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.26A as notified.
05.026A	PC7-214.32	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.26A in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.3 is not granted.
05.026A	PC7-214.51	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	If the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.30 is not granted, delete Rule 5.26A in its entirety.
05.026A	PC7-160.10	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status for Rule 5.26A to non-complying.
05.027	PC7-356.26	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.27 as notified.
05.027	PC7-214.52	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.27 in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.3 is not granted.
05.027	PC7-214.33	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.27 as follows: ... 5(c) unless there is at least 3 m of soil or sand between the point of discharge and the highest groundwater level <u>for new, modified, or upgraded on-site refuse pits, or cc. unless there is at least 3 m of soil or sand between the point of discharge and the seasonal high water table level for existing on-site refuse pits, or</u>
05.027	PC7-424.60	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.27 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.027	PC7-424.136	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.27 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within 100 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.028	PC7-424.61	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain matter of discretion 4 of Rule 5.28 as notified.
05.028	PC7-337.27	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 4 of Rule 5.28 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.028	PC7-423.30	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.28 as notified.
05.028	PC7-356.27	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.28 as notified.
05.028	PC7-160.114	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 4 of Rule 5.28 as notified.
05.028	PC7-214.35	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.28 in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.33 is not granted.
05.028	PC7-430.299	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 4 of Rule 5.28.
05.028	PC7-214.34	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.28 to provide for existing activities that might be affected by the change.
05.028A	PC7-430.20	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.28A as notified.
05.028A	PC7-356.28	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.28A as notified.
05.028A	PC7-160.11	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 5.28A to non-complying.
05.029	PC7-424.62	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.29 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The material is not discharge[d] within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.029	PC7-424.137	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.29 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The material is not discharged is not within 50 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.031	PC7-424.63	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.31 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The stock holding area is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.031	PC7-424.138	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.31 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The stock holding area is not within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.033	PC7-424.64	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.33 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The land used for the collection, storage and treatment of animal effluent is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.033	PC7-424.139	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.33 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The land used for the collection, storage and treatment of animal effluent is not within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.035	PC7-424.65	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.35 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.035	PC7-424.140	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.35 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.036	PC7-424.66	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain matter of discretion 7 of Rule 5.36 as notified.
05.036	PC7-337.29	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 7 of Rule 5.36 as notified.
05.036	PC7-423.31	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.36 as notified.
05.036	PC7-160.115	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 7 of Rule 5.36 as notified.
05.036	PC7-430.300	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 7 of Rule 5.36.
05.036	PC7-214.36	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.36 to provide for existing activities that might be affected by the change.
05.038	PC7-424.67	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.38 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The use of land and any associated discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.038	PC7-424.141	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.38 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The use of land and any associated discharge is not within 50 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.039	PC7-424.68	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.39 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The use of land and any associated discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.039	PC7-424.142	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.39 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The use of land and any associated discharge is not within 50 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.040	PC7-424.69	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.40 as notified.
05.040	PC7-337.30	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.40 as notified.
05.040	PC7-423.32	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.40 as notified.
05.040	PC7-160.116	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.40 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.040	PC7-430.301	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.40.
05.040	PC7-214.37	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.40 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>2. The silage pit, stockpile, and discharge is the subject of a Management Plan that has been prepared in accordance with Schedule 7A.</u>
05.040A	PC7-114.8	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.40A as notified.
05.040A	PC7-214.38	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.40A as follows: The use of land for a silage pit or the stockpiling of other decaying organic matter (including compost) and any associated discharge into or onto land where a contaminant may enter water that does not meet the conditions of Rule 5.40 is a non-complying <u>discretionary</u> activity. Alternatively, delete Rule 5.40A in its entirety.
05.040A	PC7-430.21	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.40A as follows: The use of land for a silage pit for stockpiling of other decaying organic matter (including compost) and any associated discharge into or onto land where a contaminant may enter water that does not meet the condition of Rule 5.40 is a non-complying <u>discretionary</u> activity.
05.041	PC7-153.13	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Support	Retain Rule 5.41 as notified.
05.041	PC7-114.9	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.41 as notified.
05.041	PC7-214.39	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.41 as notified.
05.041	PC7-154.10	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Support	Retain Rule 5.41 as notified.
05.041	PC7-357.7	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.41 as notified.
05.041	PC7-441.5	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain 'Note 2' above Rule 5.41 as notified.
05.041	PC7-441.6	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.41 as notified.
05.041	PC7-218.3	MHV Water Limited (MHV)	Support	Retain deletion of Rule 5.60 and Rule 5.61 and the cross reference to Rule 5.41 as notified.
05.041	PC7-430.22	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.41 as notified.
05.041	PC7-312.21	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Support	Retain Rule 5.41 as notified.
05.041	PC7-332.6	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.41 as notified.
05.041	PC7-356.29	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain 'Note 2' above Rule 5.41 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.041	PC7-356.30	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.41 as notified.
05.041	PC7-235.11	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.41 as notified.
05.041	PC7-343.19	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Support	Retain Rule 5.41 as notified.
05.042CA	PC7-114.10	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.42CA as notified.
05.042CA	PC7-292.10	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Rule 5.42CA as notified.
05.042CA	PC7-441.7	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.42CA as notified.
05.042CA	PC7-430.23	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.42CA as notified.
05.042CA	PC7-278.30	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Support	Support requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.
05.042CA	PC7-4.1	Kikstra, S	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CA in its entirety.
05.042CA	PC7-214.40	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CA in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.2 is not granted.
05.042CA	PC7-472.46	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CA in its entirety.
05.042CA	PC7-5.4	Peelview Orchard	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA so that the minimum property size for a permitted activity is increased to at least five hectares.
05.042CA	PC7-153.14	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA as follows: The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation on a property 0.5 <u>4</u> hectares or less in area is a permitted activity.
05.042CA	PC7-185.1	Balle Bros Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA as follows: The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation on a property 0.5 <u>10</u> hectares or less in area is a permitted activity.
05.042CA	PC7-154.11	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA as follows: 5.42CA The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation on a property 0.5 <u>4</u> hectares or less in area is a permitted activity.
05.042CA	PC7-297.1	Rhys Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA to allow vegetable growing operations greater than 0.5 hectares to be permitted subject to good management practices.
05.042CA	PC7-390.4	Ashburton Lyndhurst Irrigation Limited (ALIL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA to require the permitted activity area threshold to be 10 hectares.
05.042CA	PC7-312.22	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Supports the principle of providing specific provisions for managing effects from commercial vegetable growing operations.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.042CA	PC7-312.26	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA as follows: "The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation on a property 0-5 <u>4</u> hectares or less in area is a permitted activity."
05.042CA	PC7-423.33	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA to add the following requirement: " <u>A Management Plan has been prepared in accordance with Schedule 7A and is implemented within 12 months of the rule being made operative and supplied to the Canterbury Regional Council on request.</u> "
05.042CA	PC7-423.34	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA to add a condition that requires adherence to particular overlays or requirements established in Sections 6 to 15 of the Plan.
05.042CA	PC7-352.18	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA to require all small-scale farming operations to gain consent or have a management plan requiring ongoing monitoring of farming practices.
05.042CA	PC7-278.6	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA to recognise the value of growing food for domestic food supply.
05.042CA	PC7-278.8	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA to recognise the effects of climate change on maintaining the status quo of land use activity mix.
05.042CA	PC7-278.18	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA to apply a tailored approach to commercial vegetable production on land with high production value.
05.042CA	PC7-278.24	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA to support a more generic method for tallying nutrient losses.
05.042CA	PC7-278.36	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment.
05.042CA	PC7-278.42	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA to allow a group of growers to manage environmental issues collectively or collaboratively.
05.042CA	PC7-356.31	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA as follows: 'The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation on a property 0-5 <u>10</u> hectares or less in area is a permitted activity.'
05.042CA	PC7-404.7	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA as follows: 'The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation on a property 0-5 <u>4.1</u> hectares or less in area is a permitted activity.'
05.042CA	PC7-235.13	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA as follows: The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation on a property 0-5 <u>4</u> hectares or less in area is a permitted activity.
05.042CA	PC7-343.20	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CA as follows: The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation on property 0-5 <u>4</u> hectares or less in an area is a permitted activity.
05.042CB	PC7-292.11	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Rule 5.42CB as notified.
05.042CB	PC7-310.14	Iles, H	Support	Retain Rule 5.42CB as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.042CB	PC7-472.47	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Rule 5.42CB as notified, subject to requested relief for Schedule 7.
05.042CB	PC7-278.31	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Support	Support requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.
05.042CB	PC7-114.11	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose	Delete condition 3 of Rule 5.42CB.
05.042CB	PC7-4.2	Kikstra, S	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CB in its entirety.
05.042CB	PC7-214.41	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CB in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.2 is not granted.
05.042CB	PC7-154.13	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose	Delete condition 2 of Rule 5.42CB.
05.042CB	PC7-430.24	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete condition 3 of Rule 5.42CB.
05.042CB	PC7-312.28	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose	Delete condition 2 of Rule 5.42CB.
05.042CB	PC7-401.3	Juice Products New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CB in its entirety.
05.042CB	PC7-332.7	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete condition 2 of Rule 5.42CB.
05.042CB	PC7-332.8	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete condition 3 of Rule 5.42CB.
05.042CB	PC7-154.25	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose	Delete condition 3 of Rule 5.42CB.
05.042CB	PC7-235.16	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose	Delete condition 2 of Rule 5.42CB.
05.042CB	PC7-235.17	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose	Delete condition 3 of Rule 5.42CB.
05.042CB	PC7-153.29	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose	Delete condition 3 of Rule 5.42CB.
05.042CB	PC7-312.62	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose	Delete condition 3 of Rule 5.42CB.
05.042CB	PC7-343.23	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose	Delete condition 2 of Rule 5.42CB.
05.042CB	PC7-343.24	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose	Delete condition 3 in Rule 5.42CB.
05.042CB	PC7-114.12	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 6 of Rule 5.42CB as follows: 6. Methods to prevent an exceedance of any relevant nutrient load limits set out in Sections 6 to 15 of the Plan if the region-wide rules continue to apply in the sub-region.
05.042CB	PC7-153.17	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose in part	Delete condition 2 of Rule 5.42CB.
05.042CB	PC7-185.2	Balle Bros Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 5.42CB to a controlled activity.
05.042CB	PC7-185.3	Balle Bros Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CB to delete the capped maximum area for commercial vegetable growing operations. Alternatively, clarify how the capped maximum area is to work on a crop rotational basis.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.042CB	PC7-297.2	Rhys Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CB to allow for an increase in area over the baseline to be permitted provided a Farm Environment Plan has been prepared and good management practices adhered to.
05.042CB	PC7-441.8	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CB to include allowance for specific agile working methodologies which may be required to maintain farm operations.
05.042CB	PC7-312.23	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Supports the principle of providing specific provisions for managing effects from commercial vegetable growing operations.
05.042CB	PC7-424.70	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CB to include a matter of discretion that provides for consideration of adverse effects on rock art sites.
05.042CB	PC7-424.143	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CB to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on mātaītai reserves.</u>
05.042CB	PC7-423.35	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CB to add a new matter for discretion that requires the commercial vegetable grower to demonstrate how they are meeting any cultural overlays or other requirements provided for in the sub-region sections of the Plan.
05.042CB	PC7-352.20	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CB to use the area farmed in the most recent years of vegetable production rather than a baseline commercial vegetable growing area.
05.042CB	PC7-352.21	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CB so operations are not restricted to sub-regions and nutrient allocation zones.
05.042CB	PC7-278.9	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CB to recognise the effects of climate change on maintaining the status quo of land use activity mix.
05.042CB	PC7-278.13	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CB to recognise the value of growing food for domestic food supply.
05.042CB	PC7-278.19	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CB to apply a tailored approach to commercial vegetable production on land with high production value.
05.042CB	PC7-278.25	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CB to support a more generic method for tallying nutrient losses.
05.042CB	PC7-278.37	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CB to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment.
05.042CB	PC7-278.43	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CB to allow a group of growers to manage environmental issues collectively or collaboratively.
05.042CB	PC7-328.4	Scottfresh Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CB to not constrain commercial vegetable growing operations to a single nutrient allocation zone or sub-region.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.042CB	PC7-356.32	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Rule 5.42CB as follows:</p> <p>The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation <u>production</u> that does not meet Rule 5.42CA is a restricted discretionary controlled activity, provided the following conditions are met:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A Farm Environment Plan has been prepared for the activity in accordance with Part A of Schedule 7 and is submitted with the application for resource consent; and 2. The aggregated area of land used for the commercial vegetable growing operation is no greater than the baseline commercial vegetable growing area; and, 3. All land that forms part of the commercial vegetable growing operation is located within the same sub-region and Nutrient Allocation Zone. <p>The exercise of discretion control is restricted to the following matters:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The timing of any actions or good management practices proposed to achieve the objectives and targets described in Schedule 7; and 2. Methods to avoid or mitigate adverse effects of the activity on surface and groundwater quality and sources of drinking water; and 3. The commencement date for the first audit of the Farm Environment Plan and methods to address any non-compliance identified as a result of a Farm Environment Plan audit, including the timing of any subsequent audits; and 4. Methods that demonstrate how any nutrient loss reductions required by Sections 6 to 15 of the Plan will be achieved; and 5. Reporting of progress made towards any nutrient loss reductions required by Sections 6 to 15 of the Plan, and any actions implemented to remedy issues identified in any audit of the Farm Environment Plan; and 6. Methods to prevent an exceedance of any relevant nutrient load limit set out in Sections 6 to 15 of the Plan if the region-wide rules continue to apply in the sub-region.
05.042CB	PC7-441.49	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CB to consider the appropriateness of the management of activities via FEPs compared to planning tools.
05.042CB	PC7-404.8	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Rule 5.42CB as follows:</p> <p>'The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation that does not meet Rule 5.42CA is a restricted discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity, provided the following conditions are met:...</p>
05.042CB	PC7-404.9	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	<p>Amend condition 1 and matter for discretion 1 of Rule 5.42CB as follows:</p> <p>'1. A Farm Environment Plan has been prepared for the activity in accordance with Part A of Schedule 7(b) and is submitted with the application for resource consent; and'</p> <p>AND</p> <p>'1. The timing of any actions or good management practices proposed to achieve the objectives and targets described in Schedule 7(b); and'</p>
05.042CB	PC7-404.10	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	<p>Amend conditions 2 and 3 of Rule 5.42CB as follows:</p> <p>'2. The aggregated area of land used for the commercial vegetable growing operation is no greater than the baseline commercial vegetable growing area <u>within the Nutrient Allocation Zone</u>; and'</p> <p>AND</p> <p>'3. All land that forms part of the commercial vegetable growing operation is located within the same sub-region and Nutrient Allocation Zone.'</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.042CB	PC7-404.11	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CC as follows: 'The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation that does not comply with condition 2 or 3 of Rule 5.42CB forms a farming enterprise is a restricted discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met: ...'
05.042CB	PC7-185.12	Balle Bros Group Limited	Oppose in part	Delete condition 3 of Rule 5.42CB.
05.042CB	PC7-185.13	Balle Bros Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 1 of Rule 5.42CB as follows: The exercise of discretion <u>control</u> is restricted to the following matters: 1. The timing of any actions or good management practices proposed to achieve the objectives and targets described in Schedule 7; and ...'
05.042CC	PC7-292.12	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.42CC as notified.
05.042CC	PC7-310.15	Iles, H	Support	Retain Rule 5.42CC as notified.
05.042CC	PC7-472.48	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Rule 5.42CC as notified, subject to requested relief for Schedule 7.
05.042CC	PC7-312.24	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Support	Supports the principle of providing specific provisions for managing effects from commercial vegetable growing operations.
05.042CC	PC7-423.36	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.42CC as notified.
05.042CC	PC7-278.32	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Support	Support requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.
05.042CC	PC7-153.18	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CC in its entirety.
05.042CC	PC7-4.3	Kikstra, S	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CC in its entirety.
05.042CC	PC7-214.42	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CC in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.2 is not granted.
05.042CC	PC7-297.3	Rhys Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CC in its entirety.
05.042CC	PC7-154.14	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CC in its entirety.
05.042CC	PC7-312.29	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CC in its entirety. OR if Rule 5.42CC is not deleted in its entirety, amend condition 1 of Rule 5.42CC as follows: "1. A Farm Environment Plan has been prepared for the activity in accordance with Part A of Schedule 7 and is submitted with the application for resource consent ; and..."
05.042CC	PC7-352.22	Pye Group	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CC in its entirety.
05.042CC	PC7-332.9	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CC in its entirety.
05.042CC	PC7-235.18	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CC in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.042CC	PC7-343.25	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CC in its entirety.
05.042CC	PC7-114.13	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CC as follows: The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation that does not comply with condition 2 or 3 of Rule 5.42CB is a discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
05.042CC	PC7-185.6	Balle Bros Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CC as follows: The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation that does not comply with condition 2 or 3 of Rule 5.42CB is a <u>restricted</u> discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met: ... <u>Matters of discretion should be restricted to the following matters:</u> <u>1. The timing of any actions or good management practices proposed to achieve the objectives and targets described in Schedule 7; and</u> <u>2. Methods to avoid or mitigate adverse effects of the activity on surface and groundwater quality and sources of drinking water; and</u> <u>3. The commencement date for the first audit of the Farm Environment Plan and methods to address any non-compliance identified as a result of a Farm Environment Plan audit, including the timing of any subsequent audits; and</u> <u>4. Modelled nutrient losses (using a model deemed suitable specifically for commercial vegetable production) that demonstrates that the Nitrogen Loss Rate for new or expanded area of operation will not exceed the lawful Nitrogen Loss Rate applicable to the proposed location. Where no suitable model is available, nutrient losses are to be mitigated specifically within the FEP through good to best management practices;</u> <u>5. Methods that demonstrate how any nutrient loss reductions required by Sections 6 to 15 of the Plan will be achieved; and</u> <u>6. Reporting of progress made towards any nutrient loss reductions required by Sections 6 to 15 of the Plan, and any actions implemented to remedy issues identified in any audit of the Farm Environment Plan; and\Methods to prevent an exceedance of any relevant nutrient load limit set out in Sections 6 to 15 of the Plan, if the region-wide rules continue to apply in the sub-region.</u>
05.042CC	PC7-441.9	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CC to consider the appropriateness of the management of activities via FEPs compared to planning tools.
05.042CC	PC7-430.25	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CC as follows: The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation that does not comply with condition 2 or 3 of Rule 5.42CB is a discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
05.042CC	PC7-278.10	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CC to recognise the effects of climate change on maintaining the status quo of land use activity mix.
05.042CC	PC7-278.14	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CC to recognise the value of growing food for domestic food supply.
05.042CC	PC7-278.20	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CC to apply a tailored approach to commercial vegetable production on land with high production value.
05.042CC	PC7-278.26	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CC to support a more generic method for tallying nutrient losses.
05.042CC	PC7-278.38	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CC to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment.
05.042CC	PC7-278.44	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CC to allow a group of growers to manage environmental issues collectively or collaboratively.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.042CC	PC7-356.37	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	If the relief sought in submission point 36 (PC7-356.36) is granted, amend Rule 5.42CC as follows: 'The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation that does not comply with condition 2 or 3 of Rule 5.42CB <u>or Rule 5.42XX (provisional growth)</u> is a discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met: ...'
05.042CC	PC7-404.12	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 5.42CC as follows: '1. A Farm Environment Plan has been prepared for the activity in accordance with Part A of Schedule 7(b) and is submitted with the application for resource consent; and'
05.042CC	PC7-404.13	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.42CC as follows: '2. The nitrogen loss rate from the new or expanded commercial vegetable growing operation does not exceed the lawful nitrogen loss rate applicable to the proposed location <u>the baseline commercial vegetable growing area within the sub-region(s)</u> .'
05.042CD	PC7-114.14	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.42CD as notified.
05.042CD	PC7-292.13	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Rule 5.42CD as notified.
05.042CD	PC7-430.26	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.42CD as notified.
05.042CD	PC7-472.49	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Rule 5.42CD as notified, subject to requested relief for Schedule 7.
05.042CD	PC7-312.25	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Support	Supports the principle of providing specific provisions for managing effects from commercial vegetable growing operations.
05.042CD	PC7-423.37	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.42CD as notified.
05.042CD	PC7-278.33	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Support	Support requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.
05.042CD	PC7-153.19	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CD in its entirety.
05.042CD	PC7-4.4	Kikstra, S	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CD in its entirety.
05.042CD	PC7-214.43	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CD in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.2 is not granted.
05.042CD	PC7-297.4	Rhys Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CD in its entirety.
05.042CD	PC7-154.15	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CD in its entirety.
05.042CD	PC7-312.30	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CD in its entirety.
05.042CD	PC7-332.11	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CD in its entirety.
05.042CD	PC7-235.19	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CD in its entirety.
05.042CD	PC7-343.26	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CD in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.042CD	PC7-185.8	Balle Bros Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 5.42CD to discretionary.
05.042CD	PC7-352.23	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CD to clarify how this area would be managed within Farm Environment Plans.
05.042CD	PC7-278.11	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CD to recognise the effects of climate change on maintaining the status quo of land use activity mix.
05.042CD	PC7-278.15	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CD to recognise the value of growing food for domestic food supply.
05.042CD	PC7-278.21	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CD to apply a tailored approach to commercial vegetable production on land with high production value.
05.042CD	PC7-278.27	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CD to support a more generic method for tallying nutrient losses.
05.042CD	PC7-278.39	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CD to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment.
05.042CD	PC7-278.45	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CD to allow a group of growers to manage environmental issues collectively or collaboratively.
05.042CD	PC7-356.38	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	As a consequential amendment of submission point 36 (PC7-356.36) amend Rule 5.42CC as follows: 'The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation that does not comply with condition 1 of Rule 5.42CB or condition 1 <u>or 2</u> of Rule 5.42CC, is a non-complying activity.'
05.042CD	PC7-404.14	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CD as follows: 'The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation that does not comply with condition 1 of Rule 5.42CB or condition 1 of Rule 5.42CC, is a non-complying <u>discretionary</u> activity.'
05.042CE	PC7-114.15	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.42CE as notified.
05.042CE	PC7-292.14	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Rule 5.42CE as notified.
05.042CE	PC7-310.16	Iles, H	Support	Retain Rule 5.42CE as notified.
05.042CE	PC7-472.50	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Rule 5.42CE as notified, subject to requested relief for Schedule 7.
05.042CE	PC7-278.34	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Support	Support requiring all growers to operate at good management practice.
05.042CE	PC7-185.9	Balle Bros Group Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CE in its entirety. Alternatively, amend Rule 5.42CE so that the activity status is not prohibited.
05.042CE	PC7-4.5	Kikstra, S	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CE in its entirety.
05.042CE	PC7-214.44	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CE in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.2 is not granted.
05.042CE	PC7-297.5	Rhys Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CE in its entirety.
05.042CE	PC7-312.31	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CE in its entirety.
05.042CE	PC7-356.39	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.42CE in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.042CE	PC7-5.5	Peelview Orchard	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CE so that fruit growing does not have a prohibited activity status.
05.042CE	PC7-430.27	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CE as follows: The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation that does not comply with condition 2 of Rule 5.42CC is a <u>non-complying</u> prohibited activity.
05.042CE	PC7-352.24	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CE to clarify how this would be monitored at the farm level and by Environment Canterbury.
05.042CE	PC7-278.12	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CE to recognise the effects of climate change on maintaining the status quo of land use activity mix.
05.042CE	PC7-278.16	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CE to recognise the value of growing food for domestic food supply.
05.042CE	PC7-278.22	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CE to apply a tailored approach to commercial vegetable production on land with high production value.
05.042CE	PC7-278.28	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CE to support a more generic method for tallying nutrient losses.
05.042CE	PC7-278.40	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CE to enable existing areas of vegetable growing to move onto different land in a different catchment.
05.042CE	PC7-278.46	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CE to allow a group of growers to manage environmental issues collectively or collaboratively.
05.042CE	PC7-404.15	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.42CE as follows: 'The discharge of nutrients from a commercial vegetable growing operation that does not comply with condition 2 of Rule 5.42CC is a prohibited <u>non-complying</u> activity.'
05.060	PC7-153.20	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Support	Delete Rule 5.60 as notified.
05.060	PC7-154.16	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Support	Delete Rule 5.60 as notified.
05.060	PC7-218.1	MHV Water Limited (MHV)	Support	Retain deletion of Rule 5.60 and Rule 5.61 and the cross reference to Rule 5.41 as notified.
05.060	PC7-430.29	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Delete Rule 5.60 as notified.
05.060	PC7-312.32	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Support	Delete Rule 5.60 as notified.
05.060	PC7-332.12	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Support	Delete Rule 5.60 as notified.
05.060	PC7-343.27	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Support	Delete Rule 5.60 as notified.
05.060	PC7-356.40	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	As a consequential amendment subsequent to submission points PC7-356.32 and PC7-356.36 to PC7-356.39, amend the rule numbering and terms in note 3 that precedes Rule 5.60.
05.061	PC7-153.21	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Support	Delete Rule 5.61 as notified.
05.061	PC7-154.17	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Support	Delete Rule 5.61 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.061	PC7-218.2	MHV Water Limited (MHV)	Support	Retain deletion of Rule 5.60 and Rule 5.61 and the cross reference to Rule 5.41 as notified.
05.061	PC7-430.30	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Delete Rule 5.61 as notified.
05.061	PC7-312.33	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Support	Delete Rule 5.61 as notified.
05.061	PC7-332.13	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Support	Delete Rule 5.61 as notified.
05.061	PC7-343.28	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Support	Delete Rule 5.61 as notified.
05.062	PC7-430.28	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain 'Note 3' above Rule 5.62 as notified.
05.062	PC7-423.38	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose	<p>Delete the notification restrictions from Rule 5.62 as follows:</p> <p>"Notification Pursuant to sections 95A and 95B of the RMA an application for resource consent under this rule will be processed and considered without public or limited notification, provided that: 1. The nutrient loss is equal to or less than that currently authorised through conditions on a water permit to take and use water; or 2. The nutrient loss is equal to or less than the aggregation of the nutrient baseline across properties within the command area, calculated on a surface water catchment basis.</p> <p>Note: That limited notification to affected order holders in terms of section 95F of the RMA will be necessary, where relevant, under section 95B(3) of the RMA."</p>
05.062	PC7-153.22	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Rule 5.62 as follows:</p> <p>The use of land for a farming activity discharge of nutrients onto or into land in circumstances that may result in a contaminant entering water that would otherwise contravene §15(1) §9 of the RMA, where the applicant is an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier or the holder of the discharge farming activity permit will be an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier, is a discretionary activity.</p>
05.062	PC7-154.18	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Rule 5.62 as follows:</p> <p>The use of land for a farming activity discharge of nutrients onto or into land in circumstances that may result in a contaminant entering water that would otherwise contravene §15(1) §9 of the RMA, where the applicant is an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier or the holder of the discharge farming activity permit will be an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier, is a discretionary activity. ... </p>
05.062	PC7-472.51	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.62 to anticipate public input.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.062	PC7-312.34	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.62 as follows: "The discharge of nutrients onto or into land in circumstances that may result in a contaminant entering water <u>use of land for a farming activity</u> that would otherwise contravene s15(1) s9 of the RMA, where the applicant is an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier or the holder of the discharge permit <u>farming activity permit</u> will be an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier, is a discretionary activity."
05.062	PC7-148.25	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.62 as follows: The discharge of nutrients onto or into land in circumstances that may result in a contaminant entering water that would otherwise contravene s15(1) of the RMA, where the applicant is an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier or the holder of the discharge permit will be an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier , is a discretionary activity.
05.062	PC7-42.27	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.62 as follows: The discharge of nutrients onto or into land in circumstances that may result in a contaminant entering water that would otherwise contravene s15(1) of the RMA, where the applicant is an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier or the holder of the discharge permit will be an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier , is a discretionary activity.
05.062	PC7-149.27	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.62 as follows: The discharge of nutrients onto or into land in circumstances that may result in a contaminant entering water that would otherwise contravene s15(1) of the RMA, where the applicant is an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier or the holder of the discharge permit will be an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier , is a discretionary activity.
05.062	PC7-3.24	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.62 as follows: The discharge of nutrients onto or into land in circumstances that may result in a contaminant entering water that would otherwise contravene s15(1) of the RMA, where the applicant is an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier or the holder of the discharge permit will be an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier , is a discretionary activity.
05.062	PC7-107.27	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.62 as follows: The discharge of nutrients onto or into land in circumstances that may result in a contaminant entering water that would otherwise contravene s15(1) of the RMA, where the applicant is an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier or the holder of the discharge permit will be an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier , is a discretionary activity.
05.062	PC7-235.20	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.62 as follows: The <u>use of land for a farming activity</u> discharge of nutrients onto or into land in circumstances that may result in a contaminant entering water that would otherwise contravene s15(1) s9 of the RMA, where the applicant is an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier or the holder of the discharge <u>farming activity permit</u> will be an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier, is a discretionary activity.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.062	PC7-343.29	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.62 as follows: <u>The use of land for a farming activity The discharge of nutrients onto or into land in circumstances that may result in a contaminant entering water that would otherwise contravene s9 s15(1) of the RMA, where the applicant is an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier or the holder of the discharge permit will be an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier...</u>
05.063	PC7-114.16	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.63 as notified.
05.063	PC7-214.45	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.63 as notified.
05.063	PC7-472.52	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 5.63 to a non-complying.
05.063	PC7-356.41	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	As a consequential amendment subsequent to submission points PC7-356.32 and PC7-356.36 to PC7-356.39, amend the rule numbering and terms in Rule 5.63.
05.064	PC7-114.17	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.64 as notified.
05.064	PC7-356.42	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	As a consequential amendment subsequent to submission points PC7-356.32 and PC7-356.36 to PC7-356.39, amend the rule numbering and terms in Rule 5.64.
05.065	PC7-424.75	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.65 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.065	PC7-424.144	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.65 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity is not within 10 m of any mātaihai reserve.</u>
05.065	PC7-356.43	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	As a consequential amendments subsequent to submission points PC7-356.32 and PC7-356.36 to PC7-356.39, amend the rule numbering and terms in the note preceding Rule 5.65.
05.066	PC7-424.76	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.66 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.066	PC7-424.145	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.66 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity is not within 10 m of any mātaihai reserve.</u>
05.067	PC7-424.77	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.67 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.067	PC7-424.146	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.67 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on mātaihai reserve.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.067A	PC7-114.18	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.67A as notified.
05.067A	PC7-310.17	Iles, H	Support	Retain Rule 5.67A as notified.
05.067A	PC7-214.46	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 5.67 as follows: 1. The discharge is a subject of a Farm Environment Plan that has been in accordance with Schedule 7 Part A <u>or a subject of a Management Plan that has been in accordance with Schedule 7A.</u> Alternatively, delete Rule 5.67 in its entirety.
05.068	PC7-424.149	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Rule 5.68 as notified.
05.068	PC7-424.186	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.68 to include springs.
05.068A	PC7-424.147	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Rule 5.68A as notified.
05.068A	PC7-424.184	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.68A to include springs.
05.068B	PC7-424.148	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Rule 5.68B as notified.
05.068B	PC7-424.185	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.68B to include springs.
05.069	PC7-424.150	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Rule 5.69 as notified.
05.069	PC7-424.187	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.69 to include springs.
05.070	PC7-424.152	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Rule 5.70 as notified.
05.070	PC7-424.188	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.69 to include springs.
05.071	PC7-65.8	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-160.12	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-292.15	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-357.8	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-324.8	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-151.23	Adriaens, G	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-351.9	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-337.44	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.071	PC7-424.153	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-423.39	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-306.6	Springfield Partnership Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-94.34	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-320.23	Bebbington, C	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-461.23	Barnes, J	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-147.23	Michael, S	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-321.22	Christensen, C	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-163.23	Cockburn, A	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-164.23	Campbell, S	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-241.23	Curtis, D	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-395.23	Jacobs, S	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-93.23	Gallagher, K	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-95.70	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-258.13	Dopleach, D	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-83.13	Frankland, R	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-366.13	McNab, R	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-77.13	Oldham, J	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-250.13	McInnes, S	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-124.13	List, B	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-466.14	Hailstone, S	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-106.16	Cotter, J	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-463.15	Currie, M	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-465.5	Keall, L	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-256.13	De Lu, K	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-268.13	Baird, M	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.071	PC7-261.13	Downard, J	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-99.13	Low, C	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-398.13	Perriam, E	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-388.14	Ridden-Harper, A	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-116.13	Robertson, J	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-78.14	Ross, M J	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-111.5	Seed The Change	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-420.8	Sustainable Otautahi Christchurch	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-81.9	Tie, M A	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-80.13	Zhang, F	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-247.13	W..	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-123.1	Evans, D J	Support	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-214.47	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the proposed change to Rule 5.71 in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.4 is not granted.
05.071	PC7-430.31	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 5.71 as follows: 1. In a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat ; or ...
05.071	PC7-207.20	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.71 to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.071	PC7-472.53	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.71 so that it includes all farmed animals.
05.071	PC7-472.54	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.71 to include wetlands in the list of areas.
05.071	PC7-312.35	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 5.71 as follows: 1. In a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat ; or ...
05.071	PC7-424.189	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.71 to include springs.
05.071	PC7-148.11	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.71 to a controlled or restricted discretionary rule to allow exemptions for stock exclusion under certain conditions, for example to access stock water drinking bays.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.071	PC7-88.62	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.71 as follows: The use and disturbance of the bed (including the banks) of a lake, <u>stream, permanent wetland</u> or river by any farmed cattle, farmed deer or farmed pigs and any associated discharge to water...
05.071	PC7-88.63	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.71 to include a new condition 5 as follows: <u>In the bed (including the banks) of permanent wetlands, as shown on the Planning Maps.</u>
05.071	PC7-42.12	Kaiapoi-Tuahwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.71 to a controlled or restricted discretionary rule to allow exemptions for stock exclusion under certain conditions, for example to access stock water drinking bays.
05.071	PC7-149.12	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.71 to a controlled or restricted discretionary rule to allow exemptions for stock exclusion under certain conditions, for example to access stock water drinking bays.
05.071	PC7-3.9	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.71 to a controlled or restricted discretionary rule to allow exemptions for stock exclusion under certain conditions, for example to access stock water drinking bays.
05.071	PC7-107.12	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.71 to a controlled or restricted discretionary rule to allow exemptions for stock exclusion under certain conditions, for example to access stock water drinking bays.
05.071	PC7-215.13	Dalziel, J	Oppose in part	Retain Rule 5.71 as notified.
05.071	PC7-343.30	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 5.71 as follows: 1. In a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat ; or
05.072	PC7-292.36	Timaru District Council	Support	Delete Rule 5.72 as notified.
05.072	PC7-430.32	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Delete Rule 5.72 as notified.
05.072	PC7-193.20	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose	Amend Plan Change 7 so Rule 5.72 is not removed.
05.073	PC7-292.37	Timaru District Council	Support	Delete Rule 5.73 as notified.
05.073	PC7-430.33	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Delete Rule 5.73 as notified.
05.073	PC7-193.21	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose	Amend Plan Change 7 so Rule 5.73 is not removed.
05.074	PC7-292.38	Timaru District Council	Support	Delete Rule 5.74 as notified.
05.074	PC7-430.34	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Delete Rule 5.74 as notified.
05.074	PC7-193.22	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose	Amend Plan Change 7 so Rule 5.74 is not removed.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.075	PC7-424.78	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.75 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.075	PC7-424.154	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.75 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.082	PC7-424.79	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.82 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any new cemetery or an extension to an existing cemetery after 5 September 2015 is not located within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.082	PC7-424.155	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.82 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any new cemetery or an extension to an existing cemetery after 5 September 2015 is not located withing 100 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.091	PC7-424.80	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.91 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.091	PC7-424.156	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.91 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not located within 100 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.093	PC7-292.127	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	As a consequential change to the proposed changes to Schedule 8 direct a change to condition 3 of Rule 5.93 as follows: The discharge of stormwater or construction-phase stormwater from a reticulated stormwater system onto or into land or into or onto land in circumstances where a contaminant may enter water, or into groundwater or a surface waterbody is a restricted discretionary activity, provided the following conditions are met: ... <u>3. The discharge will not cause a limit in Schedule 8 to be exceeded. Where Schedule 8 limits are exceeded, priority catchments are agreed to between the reticulated stormwater system operator and the CRC to show positive directional change over time.</u> ...
05.094A	PC7-424.81	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.94A to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.094A	PC7-424.157	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.94A to include the following conditions for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not onto or into land within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve;</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.094A	PC7-424.205	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.94A to include the following conditions for the OTOP sub-region: <u>y. The discharge is not into a mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.094B	PC7-424.82	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.94B to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.094B	PC7-424.158	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.94B to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on mātaimai reserves.</u>
05.095	PC7-424.83	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.95 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.095	PC7-424.159	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.95 to include the following conditions for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not onto or into land within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve;</u>
05.095	PC7-424.203	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.95 to include the following conditions for the OTOP sub-region: <u>y. The discharge is not onto a mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.096	PC7-214.48	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the proposed change to Rule 5.96 in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.3 is not granted.
05.096	PC7-193.25	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.96 to include a sediment standard of 50gm/cubic metre.
05.096	PC7-430.35	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2(c) of Rule 5.96 as follows (unless sufficient explanation and justification can be provided for the change proposed): 2.The discharge: ... c. is located at least 1 m above the <u>seasonal high water table highest groundwater level</u> that can be reasonably inferred for the site at the time the discharge system is constructed; and...
05.096	PC7-424.84	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.96 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.096	PC7-424.160	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.96 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not onto or into land within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.097	PC7-193.26	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.97 to include a sediment standard of 50gm/cubic metre.
05.098	PC7-193.27	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.98 to include a sediment standard of 50gm/cubic metre.
05.098	PC7-424.85	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.98 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.098	PC7-424.161	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.98 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not onto or into any land within 50 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.099	PC7-424.86	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.99 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.099	PC7-424.162	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.99 to include the following conditions for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not onto or into land within 50 m of any mātaimai reserve;</u>
05.099	PC7-424.204	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.99 to include the following conditions for the OTOP sub-region: <u>y. The discharge is not into a mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.103	PC7-424.87	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.103 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The bore is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.104	PC7-424.88	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.104 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The bore is not installed within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.110	PC7-337.49	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 2 of Rule 5.110 as notified.
05.110	PC7-423.40	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.110 as notified.
05.110	PC7-160.117	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 2 of Rule 5.110 as notified.
05.110	PC7-430.302	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 2 of Rule 5.110.
05.110	PC7-214.49	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.110 to provide for existing activities that might be affected by the proposed change.
05.111	PC7-153.23	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Support	Retain Rule 5.111 and the associated interpretation note as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.111	PC7-166.1	Fox Peak Station Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.111 and the associated interpretation note as notified.
05.111	PC7-154.19	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Support	Retain Rule 5.111 and associated interpretation note as notified.
05.111	PC7-214.53	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.111 as notified.
05.111	PC7-292.16	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain 'Note 2' above Rule 5.111 as notified.
05.111	PC7-292.17	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.111 as notified.
05.111	PC7-381.4	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.111 and associated interpretation note as notified.
05.111	PC7-312.55	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Support	Retain Rule 5.111 as notified.
05.111	PC7-312.56	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Support	Retain 'Note 2' above Rule 5.111 as notified.
05.111	PC7-343.38	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Support	Retain Rule 5.111 including the interpretation notes as notified.
05.111	PC7-197.2	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.111 to exclude minimum flow requirements for a persons reasonable stock water use including where Water Conservation Orders.
05.112	PC7-214.54	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.112 as notified.
05.112	PC7-292.18	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.112 as notified.
05.112	PC7-65.9	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.112 to clarify the meaning of "reasonable".
05.112	PC7-193.8	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.112 so that water storage is mandated to meet normal animal requirements.
05.112	PC7-197.3	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.112 to exclude minimum flow requirements for a persons reasonable stock water use including where Water Conservation Orders.
05.113	PC7-424.89	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.113 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The bore is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.113	PC7-424.163	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.113 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The bore is not located within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.114	PC7-424.90	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.114 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The bore is not installed within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.114	PC7-424.164	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.114 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The bore is not located within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u>
05.114A	PC7-424.91	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.114A to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.114A	PC7-424.165	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.114A to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on mātaimai reserve</u>
05.115	PC7-160.13	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 5.115 as notified.
05.115	PC7-337.52	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 10 of Rule 5.115 as notified.
05.115	PC7-423.41	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.115 as notified.
05.115	PC7-318.64	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Support	Retain Rule 5.115 as notified.
05.115	PC7-160.118	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 10 in Rule 5.115 as notified.
05.115	PC7-214.55	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 11 of Rule 5.115.
05.115	PC7-430.303	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 10 of Rule 5.115.
05.115	PC7-214.56	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.115 to provide for existing activities that may be affected by the proposed insertion of matter of discretion 10.
05.115	PC7-292.19	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 10 of Rule 5.115 as follows: 10. Any adverse effects on <u>listed and/or mapped</u> Ngāi Tahu values or on sites of significance to Ngāi Tahu, including wāhi tapu and wāhi taonga; and
05.115	PC7-292.20	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 11 of Rule 5.115 as follows: 11. The potential adverse effects on significant habitats of indigenous fauna and flora <u>Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat</u> .
05.115	PC7-424.92	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.115 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.115	PC7-424.166	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.115 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on mātaimai reserve</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.115	PC7-356.44	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 1 of Rule 5.115 as follows: 1. 'The reasonable demand for water, taking into account the size of the community, the number of properties, and stock , and rootstock and crops that are to be supplied, the uses that are to be supplied and the potential growth in demand for water; and...'
05.115	PC7-292.138	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	If PC7-292.19 is accepted, then clarify that listed and/or mapped Ngāi Tahu values or sites of significance that apply for this rule for consideration on how it effects Timaru District Council interests.
05.116	PC7-424.167	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.116 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The take is not from a mātaimai reserve</u>
05.117	PC7-337.53	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.117 as notified.
05.117	PC7-423.42	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.117 as notified.
05.117	PC7-160.119	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 3 in Rule 5.117 as notified.
05.117	PC7-430.304	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.117.
05.119	PC7-160.15	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.119 to insert a new condition (as a consequential change from Rule 5.120) as follows: <u>10. The take or discharge does not occur adjacent to or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat.</u>
05.119	PC7-424.93	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.119 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The point of take or any discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.120	PC7-160.14	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 5.120 as notified.
05.120	PC7-193.9	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Support	Retain Rule 5.120 as notified.
05.120	PC7-337.54	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 2 of Rule 5.120 as notified.
05.120	PC7-423.43	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.120 as notified.
05.120	PC7-160.120	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 2 in Rule 5.120 as notified.
05.120	PC7-430.305	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.120.
05.120	PC7-292.21	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 2 of Rule 5.120 as follows: 2. Any adverse effects on <u>listed and/or mapped</u> Ngāi Tahu values or on sites of significance to Ngāi Tahu, including wāhi tapu and wāhi taonga; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.120	PC7-292.22	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.120 as follows: 3. The potential adverse effects on significant habitats of indigenous fauna and flora <u>Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat</u> .
05.120	PC7-430.36	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.120 to delete the reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.120	PC7-207.21	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.120 to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.120	PC7-312.36	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Delete reference in rule to 'indigenous freshwater species habitat'.
05.120	PC7-424.94	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.120 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.120	PC7-343.31	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.120 to remove reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.120	PC7-292.139	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	If PC7-292.21 is accepted, then clarify that listed and/or mapped Ngāi Tahu values or sites of significance that apply for this rule for consideration on how it affects Timaru District Council interests.
05.123	PC7-310.18	Iles, H	Support	Retain Rule 5.123 as notified.
05.123	PC7-337.55	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 14 of Rule 5.123 as notified.
05.123	PC7-160.121	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 14 of Rule 5.123 as notified.
05.123	PC7-430.306	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 14 of Rule 5.123.
05.123	PC7-65.10	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.123 to use plain English wording.
05.123	PC7-214.57	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.123 to provide for existing activities that may be affected by the change.
05.123	PC7-472.55	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.123 to insert an additional matter of discretion to address the effects of the surface water take on ground water ecosystems and groundwater indigenous biodiversity.
05.123	PC7-424.168	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.123 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on a mātaihai reserve</u>
05.123	PC7-423.44	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.123 to add a new matter of discretion as follows: "The potential adverse effects on Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat."
05.123	PC7-418.5	VIBERi New Zealand Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the measurement regime to remain as per the current plan not Plan Change 7 amendments.
05.123	PC7-186.1	Meadowlinks Residents Ass	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.123 to maintain the measurement regime as per the current Plan.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.126	PC7-310.19	Iles, H	Support	Retain Rule 5.126 as notified.
05.126	PC7-337.56	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 9 of Rule 5.126 as notified.
05.126	PC7-160.122	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 9 of Rule 5.126 as notified.
05.126	PC7-430.307	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 9 of Rule 5.126.
05.126	PC7-65.11	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.126 to use plain English wording.
05.126	PC7-214.58	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.126 to provide for existing activities that may be affected by the change.
05.126	PC7-423.45	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.126 to add a new matter of discretion as follows: " <u>The potential adverse effects on Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat.</u> "
05.128	PC7-310.20	Iles, H	Support	Retain Rule 5.128 as notified.
05.128	PC7-337.57	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 12 of Rule 5.128 as notified.
05.128	PC7-160.123	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 12 of Rule 5.128 as notified.
05.128	PC7-430.308	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 12 of Rule 5.128.
05.128	PC7-65.12	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.128 to use plain English wording.
05.128	PC7-214.59	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.128 to provide for existing activities that may be affected by the change.
05.128	PC7-417.1	Starbuck, C	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.128 to exclude irrigation take and use.
05.128	PC7-472.56	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.128 to insert an additional matter of discretion to address the effects of the take on groundwater ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity.
05.128	PC7-424.97	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.128 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.128	PC7-424.171	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.xx to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on a mātaimai reserve</u>
05.128	PC7-423.46	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.128 to add a new matter of discretion as follows: " <u>The potential adverse effects on Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat.</u> "

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.133	PC7-337.58	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 8 of Rule 5.133 as notified.
05.133	PC7-160.124	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 8 of Rule 5.133 as notified.
05.133	PC7-430.309	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 8 of Rule 5.133.
05.133	PC7-65.13	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.133 to clarify that any transfer must be for the same use.
05.133	PC7-193.10	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.133 so that water is subject to lease terms set by the crown
05.133	PC7-214.60	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.133 to provide for stock drinking water takes that might be affected by the change.
05.133	PC7-292.23	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 8 of Rule 5.133 as follows: 8. Where there is a change to the use of the water or a change in the location the water is proposed to be used, any adverse effects on <u>listed and/or mapped</u> Ngāi Tahu values or on sites of significance to Ngāi Tahu, including wāhi tapu and wāhi taonga.
05.133	PC7-310.21	Iles, H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.133 to include a reduction of the water take where water quality needs improving.
05.133	PC7-472.57	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.133 to strengthen the change of use provisions to include any adverse effects on the environment and the cultural and social and economic wellbeing of the local community.
05.133	PC7-472.58	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.133 to insert the requirement to publicly notify for a change of use or change in location.
05.133	PC7-424.99	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.133 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.133	PC7-424.173	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.133 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on a mātaimai reserve</u>
05.133	PC7-423.47	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.133 to add a new matter of discretion as follows: "The <u>potential adverse effects on Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat.</u> "
05.133	PC7-423.48	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.133 to delete the notification requirement as follows: "Notification Pursuant to sections 95A and 95B of the RMA an application for resource consent under this rule will be processed and considered without public or limited notification. Note that limited notification to affected order holders in terms of section 95F of the RMA will be necessary, where relevant, under section 95B(3) of the RMA."
05.133	PC7-292.140	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	If PC7-292.23 is accepted, then clarify that listed and/or mapped Ngāi Tahu values or sites of significance that apply for this rule for consideration on how it affects Timaru District Council interests.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.135	PC7-424.100	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.135 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.136	PC7-160.16	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 5.136 as notified.
05.136	PC7-292.24	Timaru District Council	Support	Require any amendments to Rule 5.136 to not be more restricted than notified.
05.136	PC7-351.10	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.136 as notified.
05.136	PC7-337.59	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.136 as notified.
05.136	PC7-423.49	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.136 as notified.
05.136	PC7-95.71	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.136 as notified.
05.136	PC7-392.1	Rooney Earthmoving Ltd	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendments to Rule 5.136.
05.136	PC7-393.1	South Canterbury Gravel Extracting Industry	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendments to Rule 5.136.
05.136	PC7-430.37	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.136 to delete the reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.136	PC7-207.22	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.136 to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.136	PC7-472.59	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 5.136 so that it is not a permitted activity.
05.136	PC7-472.61	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.136 so that the conditions protect indigenous freshwater species at least during the critical stages of their life cycle.
05.136	PC7-312.37	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.136 to delete the reference to 'indigenous freshwater species habitat'.
05.136	PC7-472.63	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 5.136 as follows (or similar): 1. The activity is not undertaken in, on, or under the bed of a lake listed as a high naturalness lake in Sections 6 to 15 or in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June <u>and 1 September to 1 December</u> inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat; and
05.136	PC7-346.8	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 5.136 as follows: "1. The activity is not undertaken in, on, or under the bed of a lake listed as a high naturalness lake in Sections 6 to 15 or in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Waitaki Power Scheme;</u> and ..."
05.136	PC7-424.101	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.136 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.136	PC7-422.16	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 5.136 as follows 1. The activity is not undertaken in, on, or under the bed of a lake listed as a high naturalness lake in Sections 6 to 15 or in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, <u>or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat unless the activity is associated with the Tekapo Power Scheme</u> ; and
05.136	PC7-343.43	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 5.136 as follows: 1. The activity is not undertaken in, on or under the bed of a lake listed as a high naturalness lake in Sections 6 to 15 or in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat ; and
05.137	PC7-160.17	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 5.137 as notified.
05.137	PC7-292.25	Timaru District Council	Support	Require any amendments to Rule 5.137 to not be more restrictive than notified.
05.137	PC7-428.5	Fulton Hogan Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.137 as notified.
05.137	PC7-351.11	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.137 as notified.
05.137	PC7-337.60	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.137 as notified.
05.137	PC7-423.50	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.137 as notified.
05.137	PC7-95.72	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.137 as notified.
05.137	PC7-214.61	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendment to Rule 5.137 in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.4 is not granted.
05.137	PC7-430.38	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.137 to delete the reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.137	PC7-207.23	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.137 to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.137	PC7-472.60	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 5.137 so that it is not a permitted activity.
05.137	PC7-472.62	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.137 so that the conditions protect indigenous freshwater species at least during the critical stages of their life cycle.
05.137	PC7-312.38	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.137 to delete the reference to 'indigenous freshwater species habitat'.
05.137	PC7-472.64	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 5.137 as follows (or similar): 4. The activity is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June <u>and 1 September to 1 December</u> inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat; and...
05.137	PC7-346.9	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 5.137 as follows: "4. The activity is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Waitaki Power Scheme</u> ; and ..."

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.137	PC7-424.102	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.137 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.137	PC7-422.17	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 5.137 as follows: 4. The activity is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Tekapo Power Scheme</u> ; and
05.137	PC7-343.44	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 5.137 as follows: 4. The activity is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat ; and
05.138	PC7-65.14	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Rule 5.138 as notified.
05.138	PC7-292.26	Timaru District Council	Support	Require any amendments to Rule 5.138 to not be more restrictive than notified.
05.138	PC7-351.12	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.138 as notified.
05.138	PC7-337.61	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.138 as notified.
05.138	PC7-423.51	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.138 as notified.
05.138	PC7-95.73	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.138 as notified.
05.138	PC7-430.39	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.138 to delete the reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.138	PC7-207.24	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.138 to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.138	PC7-312.39	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.138 to delete the reference to 'indigenous freshwater species habitat'.
05.138	PC7-424.103	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.138 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.138	PC7-422.18	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.138 as follows 2. Other than for the use of defences against water the activity is not in, on, or under the bed of any river or lake listed as a high naturalness waterbody in Sections 6 to 15 or in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Tekapo Power Scheme</u> ; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.138	PC7-343.45	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.138 as follows: 2. Other than for the use of defences against water the activity is not undertaken in, on or under the bed of any river or lake listed as a high naturalness waterbody in Sections 6 to 15 or within a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat ; and
05.139	PC7-65.15	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Rule 5.139 as notified.
05.139	PC7-160.18	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 5.139 as notified.
05.139	PC7-292.27	Timaru District Council	Support	Require any amendments to Rule 5.139 to not be more restrictive than notified.
05.139	PC7-351.13	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.139 as notified.
05.139	PC7-337.62	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.139 as notified.
05.139	PC7-423.52	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.139 as notified.
05.139	PC7-95.74	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.139 as notified.
05.139	PC7-430.40	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.139 to delete the reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.139	PC7-207.25	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.139 to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.139	PC7-156.5	Trustpower Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 5.139 as follows: 4. Except for bridges, culverts, pipes, ducts, cables and wires and their associated support structures <u>or structures associated with existing hydro-electric power schemes</u> , the maintenance of that part of the structure within the bed of a lake or river is not undertaken within a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat.
05.139	PC7-156.6	Trustpower Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.139 to include a 40 metre buffer zone within the GIS layer for existing hydro electric power schemes.
05.139	PC7-312.40	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.139 to delete the reference to 'indigenous freshwater species habitat'.
05.139	PC7-346.10	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 5.139 as follows: "4. Except for bridges, culverts, pipes, ducts, cables and wires and their associated support structures, the maintenance of that part of the structure within the bed of a lake or river is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Waitaki Power Scheme</u> ; and ..."
05.139	PC7-424.104	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.139 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.139	PC7-422.19	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 5.139 as follows: 4. Except for bridges, culverts, pipes, ducts, cables and wires and their associated support structures the maintenance of that part of the structure within the bed of a lake or a river is not undertaken within a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Tekapo Power Scheme.</u>
05.139	PC7-343.46	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 5.139 as follows: 4. Except for bridges, culverts, pipes, ducts, cables and wires and their associated support structures the maintenance of that part of the structure within the bed of a lake or river is not undertaken within a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat ; and
05.140	PC7-65.16	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Rule 5.140 as notified.
05.140	PC7-160.19	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 5.140 as notified.
05.140	PC7-292.28	Timaru District Council	Support	Require any amendments to Rule 5.140 to not be more restrictive than notified.
05.140	PC7-351.14	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain condition 3 of Rule 5.140 as notified.
05.140	PC7-337.63	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.140 as notified.
05.140	PC7-423.53	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.140 as notified.
05.140	PC7-95.75	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain condition 3 of Rule 5.140 as notified.
05.140	PC7-344.1	New Zealand Defence Force	Oppose	Delete condition 5 of Rule 5.140.
05.140	PC7-428.6	Fulton Hogan Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.140 as follows: 5. For any temporary culvert in a river: a. The maximum length of the culvert is 10m 14m; and...
05.140	PC7-430.41	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.140 to delete the reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.140	PC7-430.51	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5(b) of Rule 5.140 to clarify the culvert installation requirements (e.g. what is meant by "...25% of the internal width of the culvert is below the level of the bed of the river...").
05.140	PC7-207.26	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.140 to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.140	PC7-312.41	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.140 to delete reference in rule to 'indigenous freshwater species habitat'.
05.140	PC7-312.51	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5(b) of Rule 5.140 to clarify the culvert installation requirements.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.140	PC7-351.15	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5(b) of Rule 5.140 as follows: 5. For any temporary culvert in a river: ... b. The culvert is installed so that the base of the culvert is below bed level to an extent that a minimum of 25% of the internal width of the culvert is below the level of the bed of the river <u>and</u> or is covered with water at the estimated 7DMALF; and ...
05.140	PC7-346.11	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 5.140 as follows: "1. The activity is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Waitaki Power Scheme</u> ; and ..."
05.140	PC7-346.12	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 5.140 as follows: "3. <u>In relation to any new activity</u> The activity does not prevent fish passage or result in the stranding of fish; and ..."
05.140	PC7-424.105	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.140 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.140	PC7-422.20	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 5.140 as follows: 1. the activity is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Tekapo Power Scheme</u> ; and
05.140	PC7-95.76	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5(b) of Rule 5.140 as follows: 5. For any temporary culvert in a river: ... b. The culvert is installed so that the base of the culvert is below bed level to an extent that a minimum of 25% of the internal width of the culvert is below the level of the bed of the river <u>and</u> or is covered with water at the estimated 7DMALF; and...
05.140	PC7-343.32	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 5.140 as follows: 1. The activity is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats ; and
05.140	PC7-343.33	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5(b) to clarify culvert installation requirements.
05.140	PC7-343.47	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 5.140 as follows: 1. The activity is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat ; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.140A	PC7-65.17	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Rule 5.140A as notified.
05.140A	PC7-160.20	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 5.140A as notified.
05.140A	PC7-292.29	Timaru District Council	Support	Require any amendments to Rule 5.140A to not be more restrictive than notified.
05.140A	PC7-337.64	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.140A as notified.
05.140A	PC7-423.54	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.140A as notified.
05.140A	PC7-430.42	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.140A to delete the reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.140A	PC7-207.27	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.140A to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.140A	PC7-156.7	Trustpower Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.140A as follows: 5. The installation, alteration, extension or removal of any equipment or device is not undertaken in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat.
05.140A	PC7-312.42	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.140A to delete reference in rule to 'indigenous freshwater species habitat'.
05.140A	PC7-346.13	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.140A as follows: "5. The installation, alteration, extension or removal of any equipment or device is not undertaken in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Waitaki Power Scheme; and ...</u> "
05.140A	PC7-422.21	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.140A as follows: 5. The installation, alteration, extension or removal of any equipment or device is not undertaken in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, <u>or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat unless the activity is associated with the Tekapo Power Scheme.</u>
05.140A	PC7-343.48	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.140A as follows: 5. The installation, alteration, extension or removal of any equipment or device is not undertaken in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat.
05.141	PC7-160.21	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 5.141 as notified.
05.141	PC7-292.30	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.141 as notified.
05.141	PC7-423.55	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.141 as notified.
05.141	PC7-337.152	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.141 as notified.
05.141	PC7-344.2	New Zealand Defence Force	Oppose	Delete proposed amendments to condition 3 of Rule 5.141.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.141	PC7-430.52	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete condition 3 of Rule 5.141 (until the required concentrations of suspended solids are technically justified).
05.141	PC7-392.2	Rooney Earthmoving Ltd	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendments to condition 3 of Rule 5.141.
05.141	PC7-393.2	South Canterbury Gravel Extracting Industry	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendments to condition 3 of Rule 5.141.
05.141	PC7-312.52	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose	Delete proposed amendments to condition 3 of Rule 5.141.
05.141	PC7-343.36	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose	Delete condition 3 of Rule 5.141.
05.141	PC7-430.43	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete from Rule 5.141 the reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.141	PC7-207.28	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.141 to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.141	PC7-312.43	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.141 to delete the reference to 'indigenous freshwater species habitat'.
05.141	PC7-346.14	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.141 as follows: "2. The discharge is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Waitaki Power Scheme</u> ; and ..."
05.141	PC7-346.15	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 5.141 as follows: "3. The concentration of total suspended solids in the discharge, except within the first 4 hours of discharge, does not exceed <u>outside the zone of reasonable mixing</u> : a..."
05.141	PC7-422.22	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.141 as follows: 2. The discharge is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, <u>or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat unless the activity is associated with the Tekapo Power Scheme</u> ; and
05.141	PC7-343.34	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.141 as follows: 2. The discharge is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, <u>or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat</u> .
05.141	PC7-343.49	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.141 as follows: 2. The discharge is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, <u>or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat</u> ; and
05.141A	PC7-292.31	Timaru District Council	Support	Require any amendments to Rule 5.141A to not be more restrictive than notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.141A	PC7-430.54	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 5.141A to a restricted discretionary activity, and insert matters of discretion to cover the conditions in Rules 5.135 to 5.141.
05.141A	PC7-207.29	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.141A to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.141A	PC7-312.54	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.141A from a discretionary activity to a restricted discretionary status, and insert new matters for discretion to cover the conditions in Rules 5.135 to 5.141.
05.141A	PC7-422.23	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.141A to exempt activities associated with the Tekapo Power Scheme where they fall within areas of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat.
05.141A	PC7-343.37	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 5.141A to restricted discretionary and include matter for discretion to cover the conditions in Rules 5.135 to 5.141.
05.142	PC7-424.106	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.142 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.142	PC7-343.35	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.142 to delete the reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.146A	PC7-424.107	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.146A to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.148	PC7-160.22	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 5.148 as notified.
05.148	PC7-423.56	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.148 as notified.
05.148	PC7-430.44	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.148 to delete the reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.148	PC7-430.55	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.148 as follows: The extraction of gravel from the bed of a lake or river including the deposition of substances on the bed and excavation or other disturbance of the bed of a lake or river, but excluding the diversion of water within the bed of a river, is a permitted activity, provided the following conditions are met:
05.148	PC7-207.30	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.148 to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.148	PC7-312.44	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.148 to delete reference in rule to 'indigenous freshwater species habitat'.
05.148	PC7-346.16	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend condition 9 of Rule 5.148 as follows: "9. The activity is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the period of 1 January to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Waitaki Power Scheme</u> ; and ..."

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.148	PC7-422.24	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 9 of Rule 5.148 as follows 9. The activity not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Tekapo Power Scheme</u> ; and
05.148	PC7-430.329	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.148 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>11. The activity does not prevent fish passage or result in the stranding of fish.</u>
05.148	PC7-343.50	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 9 of Rule 5.148 as follows: 9. The activity is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat ; and
05.149	PC7-160.23	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 5.149 as notified.
05.149	PC7-392.3	Rooney Earthmoving Ltd	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendments to Rule 5.149.
05.149	PC7-393.3	South Canterbury Gravel Extracting Industry	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendments to Rule 5.149.
05.150	PC7-160.24	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 5.150 as notified.
05.151	PC7-160.25	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 5.151 as notified.
05.151	PC7-292.32	Timaru District Council	Support	Require any amendments to Rule 5.151 to not be more restrictive than notified.
05.151	PC7-351.17	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain condition 3 of Rule 5.151 as notified.
05.151	PC7-423.57	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.151 as notified.
05.151	PC7-95.78	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain condition 3 of Rule 5.151 as notified.
05.151	PC7-428.7	Fulton Hogan Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.151 as follows: 5. For any temporary culvert in a river: a. The maximum length of the culvert is 10m <u>14m</u> ;...
05.151	PC7-430.45	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.151 to delete the reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.151	PC7-430.56	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5(b) of Rule 5.151 to clarify the culvert installation requirements (e.g. what is meant by "...25% of the internal width of the culvert is below the level of the bed of the river...").
05.151	PC7-207.31	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.151 to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.151	PC7-312.45	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.151 to delete the reference to 'indigenous freshwater species habitat'.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.151	PC7-351.16	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5(b) of Rule 5.151 as follows: 5. For any temporary culvert in a river: ... b. The culvert is installed so that the base of the culvert is below bed level to an extent that a minimum of 25% of the internal width of the culvert is below the level of the bed of the river <u>and</u> or is covered with water at the estimated 7DMALF; and ...
05.151	PC7-424.108	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.151 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.
05.151	PC7-422.25	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.151 as follows: 2. The discharge is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Tekapo Power Scheme</u> ; and
05.151	PC7-95.77	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5(b) Rule 5.151 as follows: 5. For any temporary culvert in a river: ... b. The culvert is installed so that the base of the culvert is below bed level to an extent that a minimum of 25% of the internal width of the culvert is below the level of the bed of the river <u>and</u> or is covered with water at the estimated 7DMALF; and...
05.151	PC7-343.51	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 5.151 as follows: 1. The activity is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat ; and
05.152	PC7-160.26	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 5.152 as notified.
05.152	PC7-292.33	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.152 as notified.
05.152	PC7-351.18	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.152 as notified.
05.152	PC7-423.58	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.152 as notified.
05.152	PC7-95.79	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.152 as notified.
05.152	PC7-430.53	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete condition 3 of Rule 5.152 (until the required concentrations of suspended solids are technically justified).
05.152	PC7-312.53	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose	Delete notified amendments to condition 3 of Rule 5.152.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.152	PC7-428.8	Fulton Hogan Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.152 as follows: Temporary discharges to water or to land in circumstances where a contaminant may enter <u>surface</u> water associated with undertaking activities in Rules 5.147 to 5.151, or in relation to artificial watercourses are permitted activities, provided the following conditions are met: ...
05.152	PC7-430.46	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.152 to delete the reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.152	PC7-458.2	Aggregate and Quarry Association	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.152 to ensure that it does not capture discharges from gravel processing activities to land where it may enter groundwater.
05.152	PC7-207.32	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.152 to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.152	PC7-312.46	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.152 to delete the reference to 'indigenous freshwater species habitat'.
05.152	PC7-422.26	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 5.152 as follows: 1. The activity is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Tekapo Power Scheme</u> ; and
05.152	PC7-343.52	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.152 as follows: 2. The discharge is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat ; and
05.152A	PC7-292.34	Timaru District Council	Support	Require any amendments to Rule 5.152A to not be more restrictive than notified.
05.152A	PC7-458.3	Aggregate and Quarry Association	Oppose in part	If the relief sought in submission point 458.2 is granted, ensure there are consequential changes to Rule 5.152A.
05.152A	PC7-430.57	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 5.152A to a restricted discretionary activity, and insert matters of discretion to cover the conditions in Rules 5.151 and 5.152.
05.152A	PC7-422.27	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.152A as follows: 2. The discharge is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, <u>or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat unless the activity is associated with the Tekapo Power Scheme</u> ; and
05.153A	PC7-424.190	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.153A to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area</u> Summariser note: this rule was removed from the proposed plan change prior to notification.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.154	PC7-160.27	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.154 to insert a new clause as follows: 2. For the damming of water in the bed of a river and the constructing, altering, using, maintaining and operating of dam structures within the bed of a river: ... <u>h. the dam, its operation and impoundment area is not in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat.</u>
05.154	PC7-424.109	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.154 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.154	PC7-424.174	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.154 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur on a mātaimai reserve</u>
05.161	PC7-65.18	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Rule 5.161 as notified.
05.161	PC7-337.73	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 5 of Rule 5.161 as notified.
05.161	PC7-423.59	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.161 as notified.
05.161	PC7-160.125	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 5 of Rule 5.161 as notified.
05.161	PC7-430.310	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 5 of Rule 5.161.
05.161	PC7-88.38	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.161 to require ECan mapped wetlands to be used to delineate wetland location and boundaries for protection.
05.161	PC7-88.39	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.161 to prohibit the drainage, diversion damming or other physical works that may adversely affect an existing mapped wetland on private land used for agricultural production.
05.161	PC7-88.40	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.161 to insert a new matter of discretion as follows: <u>the practicality and likelihood of success of any proposed mitigation and/or restoration measures;</u>
05.161	PC7-88.41	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.161 as follows: 3. Any <u>acceptable</u> off-setting of any actual and potential adverse effects <u>in accordance with an E-Can Offsets policy</u> ; and
05.161	PC7-472.65	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.161 to avoid the loss of existing wetlands where it can be avoided.
05.163	PC7-430.58	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain the amendment to condition 4 of Rule 5.163 as notified.
05.163	PC7-156.8	Trustpower Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.163 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.163	PC7-351.19	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.163 as notified.
05.163	PC7-95.80	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.163 as notified.
05.163	PC7-214.62	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendment to Rule 5.163 in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.4 is not granted.
05.163	PC7-160.28	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.163 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>11. The activity does not prevent fish passage or result in the stranding of fish.</u>
05.163	PC7-430.47	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.163 to delete the reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.163	PC7-207.33	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.163 to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.163	PC7-312.47	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.163 to delete reference to 'indigenous freshwater species habitat'.
05.163	PC7-346.17	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend condition 7 of Rule 5.163 as follows: "7. Vegetation clearance does not occur in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the period of 1 January to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Waitaki Power Scheme</u> ; and ..."
05.163	PC7-422.28	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 7 of Rule 5.163 as follows: 7. Vegetation clearance does not occur in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, <u>or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat unless the activity is associated with the Tekapo Power Scheme</u> ; and
05.163	PC7-472.66	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.163 as necessary to protect indigenous freshwater species.
05.163	PC7-343.53	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 7 of Rule 5.163 as follows: 7. Vegetation clearance does not occur in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, <u>or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat</u> ; and
05.164	PC7-337.75	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 2 of Rule 5.164 as notified.
05.164	PC7-423.60	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.164 as notified.
05.164	PC7-160.126	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 2 of Rule 5.164 as notified.
05.164	PC7-430.311	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 2 of Rule 5.164.
05.164	PC7-214.63	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.164 to provide for activities that may be affected by the proposed change.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.167	PC7-351.20	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.167 as notified.
05.167	PC7-423.61	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.167 as notified.
05.167	PC7-95.81	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.167 as notified.
05.167	PC7-160.30	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.167 as follows: 5. The vegetation clearance does not occur adjacent to a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the period of 1 January to 1 June inclusive, <u>adjacent to</u> or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat; and
05.167	PC7-193.11	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.167 to strengthen erosion and sediment control measures used in commercial forest harvesting on steep hill country beyond those set in the Forestry ECOP 2007.
05.167	PC7-214.64	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete the proposed change to Rule 5.167 in its entirety if the relief sought in submission point PC7-214.4 is not granted.
05.167	PC7-300.2	Selwyn District Council	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.167 as follows: 5. The vegetation clearance does not occur adjacent to a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17 or in any inanga spawning habitat during the period of 1 January to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat, <u>except that where works are undertaken by the territorial authority in accordance with an adopted a Management Plan developed under the Local Government Act</u> ; and
05.167	PC7-430.48	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.167 to delete the reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.167	PC7-207.34	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.167 to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.167	PC7-312.48	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.167 to delete the reference to 'indigenous freshwater species habitat'.
05.167	PC7-346.18	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.167 as follows: "5. The vegetation clearance does not occur adjacent to a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the period of 1 January to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Waitaki Power Scheme</u> ; and ..."
05.167	PC7-424.111	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.167 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.167	PC7-422.29	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.167 as follows: 5. The vegetation clearance does not occur adjacent to a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Tekapo Power Scheme</u> ; and
05.167	PC7-472.67	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.167 as necessary to protect indigenous freshwater species.
05.167	PC7-472.69	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.167 to ensure that "infrastructure" is defined and does not include irrigation or farm land.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.167	PC7-148.3	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.167 to require clarification over whether duplication will arise with territorial authority rules with the addition of indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.167	PC7-42.4	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.167 to require clarification over whether duplication will arise with territorial authority rules with the addition of indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.167	PC7-149.4	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.167 to require clarification over whether duplication will arise with territorial authority rules with the addition of indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.167	PC7-3.1	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.167 to require clarification over whether duplication will arise with territorial authority rules with the addition of indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.167	PC7-107.4	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.167 to require clarification over whether duplication will arise with territorial authority rules with the addition of indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.167	PC7-343.54	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.167 as follows: 5. The vegetation clearance does not occur adjacent to a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat ; and
05.168	PC7-430.59	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain the amendment to condition 4 of Rule 5.168 as notified.
05.168	PC7-351.21	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.168 as notified.
05.168	PC7-423.62	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.168 as notified.
05.168	PC7-95.82	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.168 as notified.
05.168	PC7-160.31	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 5.168 as follows: 3. The activity does not occur adjacent to a salmon spawning area listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the period of 1 January to 1 June inclusive, <u>adjacent to</u> or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat; and
05.168	PC7-300.3	Selwyn District Council	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 5.168 as follows: 3. The vegetation clearance does not occur adjacent to a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17 or in any inanga spawning habitat during the period of 1 January to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat, <u>except that where works are undertaken by the territorial authority in accordance with an adopted a Management Plan developed under the Local Government Act</u> ; and
05.168	PC7-430.49	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.168 to delete the reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.168	PC7-207.35	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.168 to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.168	PC7-312.49	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.168 to delete the reference to 'indigenous freshwater species habitat'.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.168	PC7-346.19	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 5.168 as follows: "3. The activity does not occur adjacent to a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the period of 1 January to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Waitaki Power Scheme; and ...</u> "
05.168	PC7-424.112	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.168 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: x. <u>The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.168	PC7-422.30	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 5.168 as follows: 3. The activity does not occur adjacent to a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat <u>unless the activity is associated with the Tekapo Power Scheme; and</u>
05.168	PC7-472.68	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.168 as necessary to protect indigenous freshwater species.
05.168	PC7-472.70	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.168 to ensure that "infrastructure" is defined and does not include irrigation or farm land.
05.168	PC7-148.4	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.168 to require clarification over whether duplication will arise with territorial authority rules with the addition of indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.168	PC7-42.5	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.168 to require clarification over whether duplication will arise with territorial authority rules with the addition of indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.168	PC7-149.5	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.168 to require clarification over whether duplication will arise with territorial authority rules with the addition of indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.168	PC7-3.2	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.168 to require clarification over whether duplication will arise with territorial authority rules with the addition of indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.168	PC7-107.5	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.168 to require clarification over whether duplication will arise with territorial authority rules with the addition of indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.168	PC7-343.55	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 5.168 as follows: 3. The activity does not occur adjacent to a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17, or in any inanga spawning habitat during the inanga spawning season of 1 March to 1 June inclusive, or in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat; and
05.170	PC7-65.19	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Rule 5.170 as notified.
05.170	PC7-214.65	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.170 as notified.
05.170	PC7-430.60	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.170 as notified.
05.170	PC7-224.4	Rayonier New Zealand Limited and Port Blakely Limited	Oppose	Delete condition 5 of Rule 5.170, or alternatively amend to address the various issues raised by the submitter for the provision.
05.170	PC7-224.5	Rayonier New Zealand Limited and Port Blakely Limited	Oppose	Delete condition 6 of Rule 5.170, or alternatively amend to address the various issues raised by the submitter for the provision.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.170	PC7-424.113	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.170 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.171	PC7-424.114	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.171 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.172	PC7-424.115	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.172 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.172	PC7-424.116	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.175 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.175	PC7-337.79	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.175 as notified.
05.175	PC7-430.61	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.175 as follows: 2. Over an unconfined or semi-confined aquifer: ... b. the volume of material excavated is more than 100 ³ and: i. there is more than 1 m of undisturbed material between the deepest part of the excavation and the <u>seasonal high water table highest groundwater level</u> ; and...
05.176	PC7-337.80	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.176 as notified.
05.176	PC7-424.175	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain matter of discretion 5 of Rule 5.176 as notified.
05.176	PC7-423.63	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.176 as notified.
05.176	PC7-160.127	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 5 of Rule 5.176 as notified.
05.176	PC7-399.4	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.176 as notified.
05.176	PC7-430.312	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 5 of Rule 5.176.
05.176	PC7-424.117	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.176 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.177	PC7-337.81	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.177 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.177	PC7-507.5	Twelfth Knight Consulting	Support	Amend Plan Change 7 so that irrigation over areas that has been cleanfilled is not permitted. Additionally, covenants may need to be placed over the relevant land parcels to ensure that this prohibition is continued in perpetuity.
05.177	PC7-507.7	Twelfth Knight Consulting	Support	Amend Rule 5.177 to only refer to 'asphalt' rather than 'cured asphalt'.
05.177	PC7-507.9	Twelfth Knight Consulting	Support	Retain the exclusion of concrete slurries from acceptable cleanfill materials in Rule 5.177 as notified.
05.177	PC7-428.9	Fulton Hogan Limited	Oppose	Delete condition 7 of Rule 5.177.
05.177	PC7-428.12	Fulton Hogan Limited	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 3 of Rule 5.177.
05.177	PC7-480.3	Road Metals Company Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.177 to delete all amendments other than the 'associated discharge of contaminants..'
05.177	PC7-430.62	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.177 as follows: The use of land for the deposition of more than 50 m ³ of material in any consecutive 12 month period onto land which is excavated to a depth in excess of 5 m below the natural land surface and is located over an unconfined or semi-confined aquifer, where the seasonal high water table highest groundwater level is less than 5 m below the deepest point in the excavation, and the associated discharge of contaminants onto or into land where it may enter water, is a controlled activity, provided the following conditions are met: ... 3. The material is placed in the land at least 1 m above the seasonal high water table highest groundwater level at the site; and ...
05.177	PC7-507.3	Twelfth Knight Consulting	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.177 to require the testing of coal tar in a manner that replicates the environment in local cleanfills (i.e by the use of the LEAF testing regime rather than SPLP or TCLP) prior to a decision being made on the acceptability or otherwise of these materials into local cleanfills.
05.177	PC7-507.4	Twelfth Knight Consulting	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.177 as follows: 2. The volume of vegetative matter in any cubic metre of material deposited does not exceed 3% <u>2.5% per load</u> ;
05.177	PC7-507.6	Twelfth Knight Consulting	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to include a specific list of what is acceptable as cleanfill materials.
05.178	PC7-337.82	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.178 as notified.
05.178	PC7-424.176	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain matter of discretion 6 of Rule 5.178 as notified.
05.178	PC7-423.64	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.178 as notified.
05.178	PC7-160.128	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 6 of Rule 5.178 as notified.
05.178	PC7-428.10	Fulton Hogan Limited	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 4 of Rule 5.178.
05.178	PC7-430.313	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 6 of Rule 5.178.
05.178	PC7-428.13	Fulton Hogan Limited	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 5 of Rule 5.178.
05.178	PC7-480.4	Road Metals Company Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.178 to delete all amendments other than the 'associated discharge of contaminants..'

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.178	PC7-430.63	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.178 as follows: The use of land for the deposition of more than 50 m ³ of material in any consecutive 12 month period onto land which is excavated to a depth in excess of 5 m below the natural land surface and is located over an unconfined or semi-confined aquifer, where the <u>seasonal high water table highest groundwater level</u> is less than 5 m below the deepest point in the excavation, and the associated discharge of contaminants onto or into land where it may enter water, that does not comply with the conditions of Rule 5.177 is a restricted discretionary activity. ...
05.179	PC7-424.118	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.179 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.179	PC7-424.177	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.179 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve</u>
05.180	PC7-337.83	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain matter of discretion 4 of Rule 5.180 as notified.
05.180	PC7-424.178	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain matter of discretion 4 of Rule 5.180.
05.180	PC7-423.65	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.180 as notified.
05.180	PC7-160.129	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 4 of Rule 5.180 as notified.
05.180	PC7-430.314	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 4 of Rule 5.180.
05.180	PC7-214.66	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.180 to provide for existing activities that might be affected by the change.
05.180	PC7-424.119	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.180 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.181	PC7-424.120	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.181 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.181	PC7-424.179	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.181 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.186	PC7-424.121	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.186 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: The use of land for a site investigation to assess concentrations of hazardous substances that may be present in the soil that does not meet one or more of the conditions in Rule 5.185 is a restricted discretionary activity. The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters: ... <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.187	PC7-424.122	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.187 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
05.189	PC7-65.20	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Rule 5.189 as notified.
05.189	PC7-160.32	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 5.189 as notified.
05.189	PC7-292.35	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.189 as notified.
05.189	PC7-430.64	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.189 as notified.
05.189	PC7-423.90	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Rule 5.189 as notified.
05.189	PC7-224.3	Rayonier New Zealand Limited and Port Blakely Limited	Oppose	Delete condition 3 of Rule 5.189, or alternatively amend to address the various issues raised by the submitter for the provision.
05.189	PC7-224.6	Rayonier New Zealand Limited and Port Blakely Limited	Oppose	Delete condition 4 of Rule 5.189, or alternatively amend to address the concerns raised by the submitter including a less stringent permitted activity standard.
05.189	PC7-193.12	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.189 to strengthen erosion and sediment control measures used in commercial forest harvesting on steep hill country beyond those set in the Forestry ECOP 2007.
05.189	PC7-193.13	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.189 so that it takes precedence over Rule 5.167.
05.189	PC7-193.23	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that plans for plantation forest harvest on highly erodible soils require extension natural indigenous vegetation barriers to sediment migration to be fully established and separate the harvest area from storm water carrying channels at least two years prior to harvest.
05.189	PC7-193.24	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to define planting areas and densities for barrier agents for harvest of forestry blocks on highly erodible soils.
05.189	PC7-252.7	Ulrich, P H	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 5.189 to allow new planting to the level necessary to offset each farms' carbon emissions within the Flow Sensitive Catchments listed in the Plan.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.189	PC7-252.8	Ulrich, P H	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.189 as follows: 2. Within any Flow Sensitive Catchment listed in Sections 6 to 15 of this Plan: a. the total area <u>planted and replanted</u> does not exceed the <u>sum of the area of harvested</u> and the area calculated to offset carbon emission for that farm; and b. the <u>planting and replanting</u> occurs <u>within the farm boundary</u> in the same location or within the same area used as part of the rotation of the forestry operation as at 1 November 2010; and c. any replanting occurs within five years of the removal of the previous forest cover and
05.189	PC7-207.36	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.189 to remove the Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitats restrictions.
05.189	PC7-351.98	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.189 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>8. The activity is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17.</u>
05.189	PC7-423.66	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.189 to add a new condition as follows: <u>"the activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area"</u>
05.189	PC7-224.1	Rayonier New Zealand Limited and Port Blakely Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.189 to ensure that the flow sensitive catchment provisions are no more stringent than those within Rules 5.72, 5.73 and 5.74 in the operative LWRP.
05.189	PC7-224.10	Rayonier New Zealand Limited and Port Blakely Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 7 of Rule 5.189 to replicate Rule 5.145.
05.189	PC7-95.84	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.189 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>8. The activity is not undertaken in a salmon spawning site listed in Schedule 17.</u>
05.189	PC7-351.22	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Rule 5.189 as follows: b. the planting, <u>harvesting</u> , replanting or clearance of vegetation, including in the bed of a lake or river, or in a wetland; or ...
05.189	PC7-95.83	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Rule 5.189 as follows: b. the planting, <u>harvesting</u> , replanting or clearance of vegetation, including in the bed of a lake or river, or in a wetland; or ...
05.190	PC7-65.21	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Rule 5.190 as notified.
05.190	PC7-292.39	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.190 as notified.
05.190	PC7-430.65	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.190 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.190	PC7-193.18	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.190 to strengthen erosion and sediment control measures used in commercial forest harvesting on steep hill country beyond those set in the Forestry ECOP 2007.
05.190	PC7-193.28	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.190 so that the harvest of commercial forests on hill country become discretionary activities during periods of moderate to heavy rain events.
05.190	PC7-193.29	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.190 so that forests on highly erodable soils are required to establish substantial buffer zones of indigenous vegetation.
05.190	PC7-193.30	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.190 so that planting densities and areas along the contour line separating the harvest area are deemed capable of meeting their purpose before permits for land disturbance activities or harvest are issued.
05.190	PC7-224.2	Rayonier New Zealand Limited and Port Blakely Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.190 to ensure that the flow sensitive catchment provisions are no more stringent than those within Rules 5.72, 5.73 and 5.74 in the operative LWRP.
05.190	PC7-224.7	Rayonier New Zealand Limited and Port Blakely Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 5.190 to a restricted discretionary activity where condition 4 of Rule 5.189 is not complied with (if condition 4 of Rule 5.189 is not deleted).
05.190	PC7-224.8	Rayonier New Zealand Limited and Port Blakely Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 5.190 to a restricted discretionary activity where condition 5 of Rule 5.189 is not complied with.
05.190	PC7-224.9	Rayonier New Zealand Limited and Port Blakely Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 5.190 to a restricted discretionary activity where condition 6 of Rule 5.189 is not complied with.
05.190	PC7-224.11	Rayonier New Zealand Limited and Port Blakely Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 5.190 to a restricted discretionary activity where condition 7 of Rule 5.189 is not complied with.
05.191	PC7-113.24	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.191 as notified.
05.191	PC7-160.33	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 5.191 as notified.
05.191	PC7-292.40	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.191 as notified.
05.191	PC7-357.9	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.191 as notified.
05.191	PC7-289.32	Bay, P S	Support	Retain Rule 5.191 as notified.
05.191	PC7-430.66	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.191 as notified.
05.191	PC7-381.5	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.191 as notified.
05.191	PC7-415.3	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Rule 5.191 as notified.
05.191	PC7-179.23	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Support	Retain Rule 5.191 as notified.
05.191	PC7-437.9	Scottville Farm	Support	Retain Rule 5.191 as notified.
05.191	PC7-372.3	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.191 as notified.
05.191	PC7-317.31	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 5.191 as notified.
05.191	PC7-160.130	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain matter of discretion 14 of Rule 5.191 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.191	PC7-177.15	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.191 in its entirety.
05.191	PC7-218.10	MHV Water Limited (MHV)	Oppose	Delete condition 6(a) of Rule 5.191.
05.191	PC7-430.315	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 14 of Rule 5.191.
05.191	PC7-423.67	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.191 in its entirety.
05.191	PC7-337.84	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.191 to be consistent with the definition of MAR to provide for other freshwater to be used.
05.191	PC7-153.24	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.191 as follows: ... 5. The application demonstrates the proposal will not reduce the quality of human and animal drinking water at any existing drinking water supply source within 1 kilometre of the point of the discharge; and where there are no existing drinking water supply sources within 1 kilometre of the proposal the application demonstrates there will be no degradation in groundwater quality further than <u>up to</u> 1 kilometre beyond the discharge point; and
05.191	PC7-154.20	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.191 as follows: ... 5. The application demonstrates the proposal will not reduce the quality of human and animal drinking water at any existing drinking water supply source within 1 kilometre of the point of discharge; and where there are no existing drinking water supply sources within 1 kilometre of the proposal the application demonstrates there will be no degradation in groundwater quality further than <u>up to</u> 1 kilometre beyond the discharge point; and ...
05.191	PC7-347.9	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.191 to include a condition that requires Managed Aquifer Recharge water to not be discharged at least 100 metres from private drinking water supplies.
05.191	PC7-345.6	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.191 as follows: "...the application demonstrates there will be no degradation in groundwater quality further than <u>up to</u> 1 kilometre beyond the discharge point..."
05.191	PC7-345.7	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Oppose in part	Amend condition 6(a) of Rule 5.191 as follows: "a. directly into the bed of a river or lake, an artificial watercourse or a wetland, excluding an artificial wetland"
05.191	PC7-394.6	Hinds Drain Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.191 as follows: "...the application demonstrates there will be no degradation in groundwater quality further than <u>up to</u> 1 kilometre beyond the discharge point..."
05.191	PC7-394.7	Hinds Drain Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend condition 6(a) of Rule 5.191 as follows: "a. directly into the bed of a river or lake, an artificial watercourse or a wetland, excluding an artificial wetland"
05.191	PC7-430.50	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.191 to delete the reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.191	PC7-207.37	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.191 to insert a new condition as follows: "The application demonstrates the proposal will not raise the height of the receiving groundwater table or any hydraulically connected surface water bodies to an extent that will adversely affect people, property or land use activities"
05.191	PC7-207.38	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend matter for discretion 11 of Rule 5.191 as follows: "11. Any adverse effects of the discharge on people and property, <u>and land use activities</u> from raised groundwater levels and higher flows in hydraulically connected surface water bodies"
05.191	PC7-312.50	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.191 to delete the reference to 'indigenous freshwater species habitat'.
05.191	PC7-312.57	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.191 as follows: "5. The application demonstrates the proposal will not reduce the quality of human and animal drinking water at any existing drinking water supply source within 1 kilometre of the point of discharge; and where there are no existing drinking water supply sources within 1 kilometre of the proposal the application demonstrates there will be no degradation in groundwater quality further than <u>up to 1 kilometre</u> beyond the discharge point; and ..."
05.191	PC7-312.58	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend condition 6(a) of Rule 5.191 as follows: " 6. The point of discharge is not: a. directly into the bed of a river or lake, an artificial watercourse or a wetland, excluding an artificial wetland; or ..."
05.191	PC7-351.23	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.191 as follows: ... 2. Unless the proposed take is the replacement of a lawfully established take for managed aquifer recharge affected by the provisions of section 124 -124C of the RMA, the take, in addition to all existing consented takes, does not result in an any further exceedance of any environmental flow or an allocation limit, or rate of take, or seasonal or annual volume limit set in Sections 6 to 15 of this Plan for that surface water body, <u>or an environmental flow not being met</u> ; and ...
05.191	PC7-424.123	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.191 to be a non-complying activity.
05.191	PC7-423.68	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.192 as a consequential change to PC7-423.67 as follows: "The take of surface water for managed aquifer recharge, the associated use and discharge of that water and entrained contaminants into water or into or onto land, the use of land for the excavation and deposition of material to construct the managed aquifer recharge system, and the discharge of construction-phase stormwater into or onto land where it may enter water, that does not meet one or more of the conditions of Rule 5.191, excluding condition 1, <u>that is not prohibited by Rule 5.193 is a non-complying activity provided it does not: ..."</u>
05.191	PC7-339.8	Fenwick, G D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.191 to insert a new matter of discretion as follows: <u>16. Any adverse effects on groundwater biodiversity, endemic groundwater biodiversity and/or on the groundwater ecosystem functioning.</u>
05.191	PC7-422.31	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.191 to ensure activities considered under this rule must avoid adverse effects on the operation of the Tekapo Power Scheme.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.191	PC7-356.45	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 13 of Rule 5.191 as follows: '13. Any adverse effects of the discharge on water quality in the receiving groundwater and any hydraulically connected surface water bodies, including the availability, quality and safety of human and animal drinking water, and rootstock and crop survival water ; and'
05.191	PC7-472.71	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.191 to insert a new condition following condition 4 as follows: <u>The application demonstrates the proposal will not adversely affect the groundwater ecosystem or groundwater dependent ecosystems of the receiving water bodies.</u>
05.191	PC7-472.72	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 6 of Rule 5.191 to provide prescriptive guidance on what 'monitoring and reporting processes' should look like or include as a minimum.
05.191	PC7-472.73	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 7 of Rule 5.191 to indicate where 'existing or planned water infrastructure' might be located.
05.191	PC7-472.74	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 10 of Rule 5.191 to delete the use of the term "ecosystem services" and include effects on groundwater ecosystems and groundwater dependent ecosystems.
05.191	PC7-472.75	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 12 of Rule 5.191 to clarify that 'any adverse effects of the discharge on the hydraulic properties of the receiving groundwater' applies to both 'groundwater up-gradient and down-gradient of the point of discharge'.
05.191	PC7-472.76	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 15 of Rule 5.191 to include effects on groundwater ecosystems and groundwater dependent ecosystems.
05.191	PC7-154.26	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose in part	Amend condition 6(a) of Rule 5.191 as follows: ... 6. The point of discharge is not: a. directly into the bed of a river or lake, an artificial watercourse or a wetland, excluding an artificial wetland; or
05.191	PC7-95.85	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.191 as follows: 2. Unless the proposed take is the replacement of a lawfully established take for managed aquifer recharge affected by the provisions of section 124 -124C of the RMA, the take, in addition to all existing consented takes, does not result in an any further exceedance of any environmental flow or an allocation limit, or rate of take, or seasonal or annual volume limit set in Sections 6 to 15 of this Plan for that surface water body, or an environmental flow not being met ; and...
05.191	PC7-337.153	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.191 to provide for targeted stream augmentation in a similar manner as proposed by PC7 in Section 8 (Rules 8.5.18, 8.5.19 and 8.5.20).
05.191	PC7-153.27	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose in part	Amend condition 6 of Rule 5.191 as follows: ... 6. The point of discharge is not: a. directly into the bed of a river or lake, an artificial watercourse or a wetland, excluding an artificial wetland; or b. onto or into contaminated land or potentially contaminated land; or c. within 50 m of an existing bore used for water abstraction; or d. within a Community Drinking-water Protection Zone as set out in Schedule 1; and ...
05.191	PC7-343.39	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 5.191 as follows: 5. The application demonstrates the proposal will not reduce the quality of human and animal drinking water at any existing drinking water supply source within 1 kilometre of the point of discharge; and where there are no existing drinking water supply sources within 1 kilometre of the proposal the application demonstrates there will be no degradation in groundwater quality further than <u>up to</u> 1 kilometre beyond the discharge point; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.191	PC7-343.40	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend condition 6(a) of Rule 5.191 as follows: directly into the bed of a river or lake, an artificial watercourse or a wetland, excluding an artificial wetland; or
05.191	PC7-343.56	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.191 to delete reference to indigenous freshwater species habitat.
05.191	PC7-190.6	W J & L E Bailey Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.191 to require monitoring of low land water tables and ensure water is not discharged when levels are high.
05.192	PC7-113.25	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.192 as notified.
05.192	PC7-292.41	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Rule 5.192 as notified.
05.192	PC7-289.33	Bay, P S	Support	Retain Rule 5.192 as notified.
05.192	PC7-430.67	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.192 as notified.
05.192	PC7-381.6	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.192 as notified.
05.192	PC7-415.4	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Rule 5.192 as notified.
05.192	PC7-179.24	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Support	Retain Rule 5.192 as notified.
05.192	PC7-437.10	Scottville Farm	Support	Retain Rule 5.192 as notified.
05.192	PC7-372.4	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.192 as notified.
05.192	PC7-317.32	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 5.192 as notified.
05.192	PC7-177.16	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.192 in its entirety.
05.192	PC7-337.85	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.192 to be consistent with the definition of MAR to provide for other freshwater to be used.
05.192	PC7-351.24	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.192 as follows: The take of surface water for managed aquifer recharge, the associated use and discharge of that water and entrained contaminants into water or into or onto land, the use of land for the excavation and deposition of material to construct the managed aquifer recharge system, and the discharge of construction-phase stormwater into or onto land where it may enter water, that does not meet one or more of the conditions of Rule 5.191, excluding condition 1 <u>or</u> 2, is a non-complying activity.
05.192	PC7-423.69	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.192 to add a new condition as follows: "...is a non-complying activity, <u>provided it does not:</u> <u>Contain treated or untreated wastewater"</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.192	PC7-423.70	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.192 to add a new condition as follows: "...is a non-complying activity, <u>provided it does not:</u> <u>2.The take and use of water, in combination with all other takes complies with the provisions of any relevant Water Conservation Order"</u>
05.192	PC7-423.71	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.192 to add a new condition as follows: "...is a non-complying activity, <u>provided it does not:</u> <u>3.It does not result in the exceedance of any environmental flow or allocation limit, or rate of take, or seasonal or annual volume set in Section 6 to 15 of this Plan for that surface water body"</u>
05.192	PC7-95.86	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.192 as follows: The take of surface water for managed aquifer recharge, the associated use and discharge of that water and entrained contaminants into water or into or onto land, the use of land for the excavation and deposition of material to construct the managed aquifer recharge system, and the discharge of construction-phase stormwater into or onto land where it may enter water, that does not meet one or more of the conditions of Rule 5.191, excluding condition 1 <u>or 2</u> , is a non-complying activity.
05.192	PC7-337.154	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.192 to provide for targeted stream augmentation in a similar manner as proposed by PC7 in Section 8 (Rules 8.5.18, 8.5.19 and 8.5.20).
05.192	PC7-190.7	W J & L E Bailey Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.192 to require monitoring of low land water tables and ensure water is not discharged when levels are high.
05.193	PC7-113.26	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.193 as notified.
05.193	PC7-289.34	Bay, P S	Support	Retain Rule 5.193 as notified.
05.193	PC7-430.68	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 5.193 as notified.
05.193	PC7-381.7	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.193 as notified.
05.193	PC7-415.5	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Rule 5.193 as notified.
05.193	PC7-179.25	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Support	Retain Rule 5.193 as notified.
05.193	PC7-437.11	Scottville Farm	Support	Retain Rule 5.193 as notified.
05.193	PC7-372.5	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Retain Rule 5.193 as notified.
05.193	PC7-317.33	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 5.193 as notified.
05.193	PC7-177.17	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose	Delete Rule 5.193 in its entirety.
05.193	PC7-337.86	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.193 to be consistent with the definition of MAR to provide for other freshwater to be used.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
05.193	PC7-351.25	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.193 as follows: The take of surface water for managed aquifer recharge, the associated use and discharge of that water and entrained contaminants into water or into or onto land, the use of land for the excavation and deposition of material to construct the managed aquifer recharge system, and the discharge of construction-phase stormwater into or onto land where it may enter water, that does not meet condition 1 <u>or</u> 2 of Rule 5.191 is a prohibited activity.
05.193	PC7-423.72	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.193 as follows: "The take of surface water for managed aquifer recharge, the associated use and discharge of that water and entrained contaminants into water or into or onto land, the use of land for the excavation and deposition of material to construct the managed aquifer recharge system, and the discharge of construction-phase stormwater into or onto land where it may enter water, that does not meet condition 1 of Rule 5.191 where is does not meet Rule 5.192 is a prohibited activity."
05.193	PC7-95.87	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.193 as follows: The take of surface water for managed aquifer recharge, the associated use and discharge of that water and entrained contaminants into water or into or onto land, the use of land for the excavation and deposition of material to construct the managed aquifer recharge system, and the discharge of construction-phase stormwater into or onto land where it may enter water, that does not meet condition 1 <u>or</u> 2 of Rule 5.191 is a prohibited activity.
05.193	PC7-337.155	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.193 to provide for targeted stream augmentation in a similar manner as proposed by PC7 in Section 8 (Rules 8.5.18, 8.5.19 and 8.5.20).
05.193	PC7-190.8	W J & L E Bailey Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 5.193 to require monitoring of low land water tables and ensure water is not discharged when levels are high.
Section 7 - Hurunui-Waiau				
<u>Section 7.6 - Allocation Limits</u>				
07.Table 6	PC7-65.22	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Table 6 as notified.
07.Table 6	PC7-423.73	Ngā Rūnanga	Support	Retain Table 6 as notified.
07.Table 6	PC7-472.77	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Table 6 as notified, if the reduction in allocation provides for ecosystem health.
07.Table 6	PC7-84.2	Bowden Environmental	Oppose	Amend Table 6 to delete proposed limit and retain limit of 17.4 MCM/yr.
Waimakariri				
TOPIC				
<u>General</u>				
General Support	PC7-205.17	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Support	Supports consent duration and review in Waimakariri, with no specific decision requested.
General Support	PC7-337.145	Christchurch City Council	Support	Support the inclusion of the Waimakariri Sub Region within the jurisdiction of the Land and Water Regional Plan.
General Support	PC7-387.1	As One Incorporated	Support	General support with no specific decision requested.
General Support	PC7-387.2	As One Incorporated	Support	General support of the intent of the proposal to implement improved controls on the loss of nutrients to groundwater and surface water.
General Support	PC7-387.44	As One Incorporated	Support	General support for improvements sought to water quality in the Silver Stream.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General Support	PC7-566.4	Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust	Support	General support for the provisions within Section C of Plan Change 7.
General Opposition	PC7-329.1	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Support submission made by Waimakariri Irrigation Limited.
General Opposition	PC7-266.1	Saunders, A	Oppose	Opposes Part C of Plan Change 7 in its entirety with no specific decision requested.
General Opposition	PC7-329.3	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose	General opposition with no specific decision requested.
General Opposition	PC7-329.68	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose	General opposition to planning maps with no specific decision requested.
General Opposition	PC7-231.7	Eyreton Produce Limited	Oppose	General opposition to plan change provisions
General Opposition	PC7-369.46	Stokes, T	Oppose	General opposition to the Planning maps with no specific decision requested.
General Opposition	PC7-525.5	Buck, V	Oppose	Opposes plan change on the basis of there being lack of alignment between costs and benefits.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-230.23	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to encompass the Waimakariri area within one zone.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-403.4	Scott, G P	Oppose in part	Require Plan Change 7 to consider whether nitrogen levels in the Levels Plains water are introduced from sources beyond levels plains.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-196.5	The Downs Pastures Limited and the Gillespie Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Part C of Plan Change 7 to change lifestyle blocks and urban areas with no specific decision requested.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-554.4	Adams, M	Oppose in part	Require a targeted approach of farmers that have high N losses and work with them top address the issues.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-384.23	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	Amend Part B of Plan Change 7 to add a new sub-catchment called 'Upper Coopers Creek Sub-catchment' encompassing the spring-fed section of Upper Coopers Creek and the lower reach above the SH72 monitoring site to the confluence with the Scotsburn as identified in the map at Annexure 2 of the submission.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-384.24	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	Amend Part B Plan Change 7 so the relief sought in decision requested PC7-384.23 can be subject to provisions to: (i) Recognise the hydraulic connection between groundwater abstractions on surface flows at Upper Coopers Creek Sub-Catchment; and to recognise the minor (at most) effect from groundwater abstractions on surface water flows in Upper Coopers Creek; (ii) Enable groundwater abstraction provided that effects on surface water flows are minor; (iii) Encourage integrated management between water users in the Upper Coopers Creek Sub-Catchment to improve the ecological values of the spring-fed section of Upper Coopers Creek and allocate maximum volume between water users equivalent to efficient use of water; (iv) Exempt the Upper Coopers Creek Sub-catchment from restrictions on minimum flows recorded at the SH72 monitoring site or establish a new minimum flow or an alternative flow management regime; (v) Establish rules which limit stream-depleting groundwater abstractions based on maximum allocation volume as opposed to a minimum flow. Consider abstraction restrictions based on ground water levels or flow at an alternative minimum flow site (such as Mulligans Weir). (vi) Establish rules which provide for integrated management between water users in the Upper Coopers Creek sub-catchment to; (1) Share water during periods of high demand; (2) Promote methods (other the flow management) to improve ecological values in Upper Coopers Creek Sub- Catchment; (vii) Amend existing provisions within PC7 which give effect to the above and achieve the Objectives and Policies of the NPS-FM and CRPS; and (b) Any consequential amendments required to give effect to the above relief at (a) and the relief at Annexure 01.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-399.28	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Part C of Plan Change 7 to include a new protection zone, 'Cam/Ruataniwha River Zone', associated provisions and changes to the planning maps to include the area within the Cam River, North Brook, South Brook and Middle Brook surface water zones and MR873 that is shown as wetland on the Black Maps and in the map attached to the submission.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-387.32	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend nutrient management rules for Part C of Plan Change 7 to include the condition as follows: <u>A groundwater sample, (the sample) is to be taken from the shallowest bore on the property for which a consent is held; or in the case of a scheme requiring a sample from each property supplied. The sample shall be analysed by a laboratory that is certified for that method of analysis for nitrate-nitrogen and the results of this analysis shall be provided to the Canterbury Regional Council, Attention RMA Compliance and Enforcement Manager, within one month of the sample collection.</u>
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-566.9	Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change to ensure mahinga kai and freshwater resources are protected.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-564.1	Larsen, R	Oppose in part	Amend Section 8 of the plan to reverse unrealistic and unattainable policies.
<u>Legal Issues and Preliminary Matters</u>				
Consultation	PC7-195.4	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Require Council to undertake a more comprehensive consultation process.
Consultation	PC7-36.2	De Lu, D	Oppose in part	Opposes the consultation process and seeks that it is revised to include all impacted parties, including the residents of Christchurch.
Section 32 Evaluation Report	PC7-3.40	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Require Section 32 analysis to consider whether there is any environmental gain from inserting Rules 8.5.24 and 8.5.25.
<u>Science, Investigations and Models</u>				
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-91.11	Avon-Otakaro Network	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for a science-based precautionary approach to the protection of Canterbury urban waterways such as the Ōtākaro Avon River.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-96.1	Rangvet Ltd (Rangiora Vet Centre)	Oppose in part	Require a comprehensive zone monitoring programme for the next 10 years to ensure the science is well-informed in future plan changes.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-274.28	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change to place heightened emphasis on undertaking zone monitoring prior to 2030 to ensure science is well-formed prior to notification of future plan changes.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-234.23	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to place significant emphasis on undertaking a comprehensive zone monitoring programme for the next 10 years to ensure the science is well-informed for future plan changes.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-231.5	Eyreton Produce Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the modelling used for water allocation so that it is based on reality not figures that won't ever be achieved.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-42.3	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Require continuous monitoring of water quality in the middle of the Kaiapoi River to clarify the extent and effectiveness of solutions to address this problem.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-171.19	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Consider report 'Nitrate concentrations in Canterbury groundwater - a review of existing data' as technical evidence at the hearing, as attached to the submission.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-171.20	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Consider report 'Nitrate in drinking water and colorectal cancer risk: A nationwide population-based cohort study' as technical evidence at the hearing, as attached to the submission.
Overseer	PC7-349.9	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose in part	Amend Section 8 to provide for a specific Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL) scheme load using the MacFarlane Rural Business (MRB) methodology, based on the baseline of its existing consent, and provide for the use of this load as the starting point for any reductions to be made by WIL.
Overseer	PC7-405.12	Tallott, S & J	Oppose in part	Amend Section 8 to provide for a specific Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL) scheme load using the MacFarlane Rural Business (MRB) methodology, and provide for the use of this load as the starting point for any reductions to be made by WIL.
Nitrate modelling	PC7-360.8	Talbot, A G	Oppose	Opposes modelling based on a 50+ year time span.
Nitrate modelling	PC7-273.11	Carleton Daries Ltd	Oppose in part	Require nitrogen reductions to not be based on a groundwater model that has a high level of uncertainty and is not backed up by robust science.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate modelling	PC7-409.1	Oxford Agriculture Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Require the Council to provide more certainty around the science used to set nitrate reductions, and how the effectiveness of these reductions will be monitored going forward.
Nitrate modelling	PC7-202.23	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose in part	Require nitrogen reductions to not be based on a groundwater model that has a high level of uncertainty and is not backed up by robust science.
Nitrate modelling	PC7-483.24	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose in part	Opposes lack of technical data to support setting Nitrate Priority Sub-Areas with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate modelling	PC7-324.18	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to apply 'measured science' to nitrate management.
Nitrate modelling	PC7-329.66	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Require nitrogen reductions to not be based on a groundwater model that has a high level of uncertainty and is not backed up by robust science.
Nitrate modelling	PC7-196.2	The Downs Pastures Limited and the Gillespie Family Trust	Oppose in part	Require a relevant model data that can adequately show or present nitrate diffusion changes.
Nitrate modelling	PC7-196.6	The Downs Pastures Limited and the Gillespie Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Part C of Plan Change 7 to ensure future modelling regarding nitrate diffusion is required.
Nitrate modelling	PC7-232.7	Onfarm Data Ltd	Oppose in part	Require 'Waimakariri Zone Groundwater Modelling Technical Review' powerpoint to be considered as evidence, as attached in submission.
<u>Environmental Enhancement</u>				
Managed Aquifer Recharge	PC7-433.35	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable the trialing, implementation and monitoring of Managed Aquifer Recharge within the Waimakariri Zone.
Targeted Stream Augmentation	PC7-56.18	Devlin, R	Support	Retain provisions relating to targeted stream augmentation.
Targeted Stream Augmentation	PC7-473.16	Spencer-Bower, M J	Support	General support of catchment based initiatives such as Targeted stream augmentation.
Targeted Stream Augmentation	PC7-477.4	Brough, M J	Oppose in part	Require Targeted Stream Augmentation to be considered in the plan as a method to help meet the water quality target.
Targeted Stream Augmentation	PC7-454.3	Croft, B & W	Oppose in part	Require Targeted Stream Augmentation to be considered in the plan as a method to help meet the water quality target.
Targeted Stream Augmentation	PC7-232.4	Onfarm Data Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to consider Targeted Stream Augmentation as a means to meet water quality targets.
Targeted Stream Augmentation	PC7-433.36	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable the trialing, implementation and monitoring of Targeted Stream Augmentation within the Waimakariri Zone.
Targeted Stream Augmentation	PC7-278.71	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the water quantity targets for Seadown Drain so that augmentation becomes an available tool to mitigate decreased reliability and deliver positive environmental outcomes.
Targeted Stream Augmentation	PC7-456.40	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Require investigations of targeted stream augmentation to show its potential to assist in achieving water quality targets.
<u>Allocation of Resources</u>				
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-56.16	Devlin, R	Support	Retain provisions capping allocations and prohibiting new abstractions in Waimakariri.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-91.8	Avon-Otakaro Network	Support	Retain as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-239.1	Hallatt, A	Support	Retain provisions relating to the capping on any new allocation of water.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-151.2	Adriaens, G	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-360.2	Talbot, A G	Support	Supports caps on water takes, with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-94.13	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-128.2	Black, L	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-320.2	Bebbington, C	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-461.2	Barnes, J	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-147.2	Michael, S	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-321.2	Christensen, C	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-163.2	Cockburn, A	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-164.2	Campbell, S	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-241.2	Curtis, D	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-395.2	Jacobs, S	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-93.2	Gallagher, K	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-215.2	Dalziel, J	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-258.2	Dopleach, D	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-83.2	Frankland, R	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-366.2	McNab, R	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-77.2	Oldham, J	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-250.2	McInnes, S	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-124.2	List, B	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-466.2	Hailstone, S	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-106.5	Cotter, J	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-463.2	Currie, M	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-455.3	Richmond Residents and Business Association (RR&BA)	Support	Supports the proposed caps on any new water allocation to help protect aquifers, shallow groundwater and spring-fed streams.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-85.2	Neave, R	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-256.2	De Lu, K	Support	Retain as notified caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-268.2	Baird, M	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-261.2	Downard, J	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-98.13	McGregor, A	Support	Supports phasing out over allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-99.2	Low, C	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-398.2	Perriam, E	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-119.2	Reiner, W	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-388.2	Ridden-Harper, A	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-116.2	Robertson, J	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-78.2	Ross, M J	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-80.2	Zhang, F	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-247.2	W..	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-123.4	Evans, D J	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-205.14	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the time frames for allocation so outcomes are achieved earlier.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-360.4	Talbot, A G	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change to determine how caps on water takes will be implemented in practice.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-94.2	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Part C of Plan Change 7 to require irrigation companies to change the way water is charged to be based on actual volume used rather than a l/s rate
<u>Limits</u>				
Minimum flows	PC7-56.17	Devlin, R	Support	Retain provisions relating to increasing minimum flows over time in Waimakariri.
Minimum flows	PC7-239.3	Hallatt, A	Support	Retain provisions for minimum flows of waterways.
Minimum flows	PC7-98.14	McGregor, A	Support	Supports increasing minimum flows in rivers and adopting partial restrictions with no specific decision requested.
Minimum flows	PC7-91.9	Avon-Otakaro Network	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to ensure all minimum flows and partial restrictions are set at a level to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoons) within the life of the current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-151.8	Adriaens, G	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-334.2	Stewart, S	Oppose in part	Requirement for a consent review when Plan Change 7 is operative and is in force within two years after the operative date.
Minimum flows	PC7-205.13	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the time frames for the minimum flows so outcomes are achieved earlier.
Minimum flows	PC7-94.19	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-128.8	Black, L	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Minimum flows	PC7-320.8	Bebbington, C	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-461.8	Barnes, J	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-147.8	Michael, S	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-321.8	Christensen, C	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-163.8	Cockburn, A	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-164.8	Campbell, S	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-241.8	Curtis, D	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-395.8	Jacobs, S	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-93.8	Gallagher, K	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-215.8	Dalziel, J	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-258.8	Dopleach, D	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-83.8	Frankland, R	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-366.8	McNab, R	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-77.8	Oldham, J	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-250.8	McInnes, S	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-124.8	List, B	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-466.8	Hailstone, S	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-106.11	Cotter, J	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-463.10	Currie, M	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-85.8	Neave, R	Oppose in part	Amend PC7 to give priority to minimum water flows and the ecological health of all our waterways.
Minimum flows	PC7-256.8	De Lu, K	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-268.8	Baird, M	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-261.8	Downard, J	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-99.8	Low, C	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-398.8	Perriam, E	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Minimum flows	PC7-119.8	Reiner, W	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-388.8	Ridden-Harper, A	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-116.8	Robertson, J	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-78.9	Ross, M J	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-80.8	Zhang, F	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-247.8	W..	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-123.10	Evans, D J	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-433.27	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Support	Retain provisions relating to long-term water quality.
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-126.1	Dennis, G	Oppose in part	Amend Part C of Plan Change 7 to ensure aquifers are as pure as possible.
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-266.15	Saunders, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to consider other sources of water quality contaminants.
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-400.7	Hill, F	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so no cows should be allowed in the Waimakariri zone until overwhelming evidence that water quality is improving.
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-433.31	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that long-term water quality targets are clearly articulated.
Nitrate - General	PC7-56.14	Devlin, R	Support	Retain provisions for managing to nitrate limits in Waimakariri.
Nitrate - General	PC7-151.4	Adriaens, G	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-360.3	Talbot, A G	Support	Supports limits on nitrogen losses, with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-360.7	Talbot, A G	Support	Supports the consideration of lower N loss limits than are currently proposed, with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-94.15	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-128.4	Black, L	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-320.4	Bebbington, C	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-461.4	Barnes, J	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-147.4	Michael, S	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate - General	PC7-321.4	Christensen, C	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-163.4	Cockburn, A	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-164.4	Campbell, S	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-241.4	Curtis, D	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-395.4	Jacobs, S	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-93.4	Gallagher, K	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-215.4	Dalziel, J	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-258.4	Dopleach, D	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-83.4	Frankland, R	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-366.4	McNab, R	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-77.4	Oldham, J	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-250.4	McInnes, S	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-124.4	List, B	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-466.4	Hailstone, S	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-106.7	Cotter, J	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-463.4	Currie, M	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-85.4	Neave, R	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-256.4	De Lu, K	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate - General	PC7-268.4	Baird, M	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-261.4	Downard, J	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-99.4	Low, C	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-398.4	Perriam, E	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-119.4	Reiner, W	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-388.4	Ridden-Harper, A	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-116.4	Robertson, J	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-78.5	Ross, M J	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-80.4	Zhang, F	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-247.4	W..	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-123.6	Evans, D J	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-15.1	Basil-Jones, M	Oppose	Delete plan change in its entirety.
Nitrate - General	PC7-12.2	Harrison, G	Oppose in part	Amend plan provisions to set acceptable nitrate rates that reflect a low-risk approach to the health and wellbeing of tangata and awa.
Nitrate - General	PC7-26.1	Ackroyd, A	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to focus on fast and immediate actions to address nitrate levels.
Nitrate - General	PC7-162.1	Main, D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to take a precautionary approach in managing nitrate levels
Nitrate - General	PC7-162.2	Main, D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to apply penalties when nitrates exceed the nitrate level as an incentive to reduce nitrate levels.
Nitrate - General	PC7-25.1	Hutchison, J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to prevent any increase in nitrate.
Nitrate - General	PC7-91.5	Avon-Otakaro Network	Oppose in part	Amend nitrate limits to achieve the range of limits suggested as part of the Te Waikoropupu springs Water Conservation Order Hearing.
Nitrate - General	PC7-275.1	Demeter, J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to reduce nitrate losses such that nitrates in the Christchurch aquifer are unable to rise to 3.8mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-239.4	Hallatt, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to reduce the nitrate limit of 3.8 mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-285.1	Van Houten, W	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that the nitrate levels are not permitted to increase to 3.8mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-227.1	Didham, T	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to reduce upper nitrate limits to less than 1mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-220.37	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Require farming changes relating to the Christchurch Drinking capture zone not be permitted at all.
Nitrate - General	PC7-265.2	McKay, K	Oppose in part	Amend to insert nitrate limits for aquifers of less than 1 mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-212.2	Onelaw	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that nitrate levels are reduced to a level that is not more than 1mg/L.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate - General	PC7-151.15	Adriaens, G	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-204.1	McLennan, EJ	Oppose in part	Amend the provisions of Part C of Plan Change 7 to ensure nitrate limits do not exceed 1 mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-437.18	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Section 8 of Plan Change 7 so that nitrate priority sub-areas are removed so that there is no differentiation between different areas.
Nitrate - General	PC7-372.13	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Section 8 of Plan Change 7 to remove the different nitrate priority sub-areas so there is no differentiation between different sub-areas.
Nitrate - General	PC7-360.5	Talbot, A G	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change to determine how limits on nitrogen losses will be implemented in practice.
Nitrate - General	PC7-360.6	Talbot, A G	Oppose in part	Require, in the event the proposed measurement of nutrient outputs is not going to adequately manage nitrogen losses and water quality/quantity, consideration be given to limiting stock numbers and fertiliser inputs to manage the capacity of the ecosystem to meet the required limits under the CLWRP.
Nitrate - General	PC7-536.2	Moore, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that nitrate levels are unable to increase.
Nitrate - General	PC7-94.26	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-337.139	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Require low concentrations of nitrate nitrogen in the deep aquifer bores supplying Christchurch and in shallow groundwater that feeds spring fed streams.
Nitrate - General	PC7-337.143	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Section 8 policies and rules under nutrient management to adequately connect to the Tables in section 8.
Nitrate - General	PC7-337.148	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Require PC7 to account for inter zone nitrate issues in the Christchurch-West Melton Sub-regional chapter.
Nitrate - General	PC7-293.5	Southworth, V	Oppose in part	Review the severity and likelihood of potential impacts, the 3.8mg/L threshold selected for the Christchurch aquifer, and the appropriate level of conservatism in selecting the probability percentile to apply (50th, 95th or 99th) when interpreting the results of the groundwater modelling given that the 95th percentile indicates the potential for nitrate concentrations to rise to 6mg/L in the future and for a period of around 150 years.
Nitrate - General	PC7-128.15	Black, L	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-320.15	Bebbington, C	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-461.15	Barnes, J	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-147.15	Michael, S	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-321.15	Christensen, C	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-163.15	Cockburn, A	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-164.15	Campbell, S	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-241.15	Curtis, D	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-395.15	Jacobs, S	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-93.15	Gallagher, K	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-314.2	Finch, R J	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve the ranges of limits suggested in the Te Waikoropupu Springs WCO hearing of 0.4-0.5mg/l
Nitrate - General	PC7-215.10	Dalziel, J	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate - General	PC7-258.10	Dopleach, D	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-83.10	Frankland, R	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-366.10	McNab, R	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-77.10	Oldham, J	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-250.10	McInnes, S	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-124.10	List, B	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-466.10	Hailstone, S	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-466.11	Hailstone, S	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve an average of 0.89mg/L and maximum level of 2.1mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-106.2	Cotter, J	Oppose in part	Amend provisions to immediately reduce the nitrate limit to a maximum of 0.5mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-106.13	Cotter, J	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-463.12	Currie, M	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-465.1	Keall, L	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to be kept well below 3.8mg/L, consider a maximum limit of 0.87mg/L.
Nitrate - General	PC7-465.2	Keall, L	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-256.10	De Lu, K	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-268.10	Baird, M	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-261.10	Downard, J	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-98.16	McGregor, A	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-99.10	Low, C	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-398.10	Perriam, E	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change
Nitrate - General	PC7-119.10	Reiner, W	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-388.10	Ridden-Harper, A	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-116.10	Robertson, J	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-78.11	Ross, M J	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-438.1	Schacherer, D	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-111.2	Seed The Change	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate - General	PC7-420.5	Sustainable Otago Christchurch	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-80.10	Zhang, F	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-247.10	W..	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate - General	PC7-566.6	Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate trigger level within the Waimakariri chapter from 3.8 mg/L to 0.6 mg/L.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-215.11	Dalziel, J	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-258.11	Dopleach, D	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-83.11	Frankland, R	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-366.11	McNab, R	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-77.11	Oldham, J	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-250.11	McInnes, S	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-124.11	List, B	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-466.12	Hailstone, S	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-106.14	Cotter, J	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-463.13	Currie, M	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-465.3	Keall, L	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-256.11	De Lu, K	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-268.11	Baird, M	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-261.11	Downard, J	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-98.17	McGregor, A	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-99.11	Low, C	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-398.11	Perriam, E	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-119.11	Reiner, W	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-388.12	Ridden-Harper, A	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-116.11	Robertson, J	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-78.12	Ross, M J	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-111.3	Seed The Change	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-420.6	Sustainable Otautahi Christchurch	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-81.7	Tie, M A	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-80.11	Zhang, F	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-247.11	W..	Oppose	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-12.1	Harrison, G	Oppose in part	Amend provisions to address isolated incidents of high nitrate concentrations to ensure mitigation is put in place immediately after acceptable thresholds are exceeded. Consider adding upper limits beyond the average to do this.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-14.1	Wallace, V	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so there can be no increase in nitrate levels in Christchurch drinking water.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-38.1	Brown, I D	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to minimise nitrate levels quicker than a 20 year plan.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-34.1	Youngman, G	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to prevent any leaching nitrate in drinking water.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-24.1	de Vocht, J	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to prevent increase in nitrate levels.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-28.1	Donaldson, B	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to prevent any increase in nitrate levels in drinking water in Christchurch.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-32.1	Donaldson, E	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to prevent any increase of nitrate in drinking water in Christchurch.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-1.2	Burke, C	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to reduce land used for dairy farming.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-35.1	Groundwater, L	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to prevent any increase of nitrates in water.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-41.1	Trower, D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so there can be no further increase in nitrate levels in Christchurch and Canterbury's drinking water.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-62.1	Kenton, S	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to prevent any increase in nitrate levels.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-100.1	Benaia, M	Oppose in part	Amend nitrate levels in Part C - Waimakariri to apply a science-based precautionary approach to human health and Christchurch drinking water.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-17.1	Moon, T	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to reduce the maximum allowable concentration of nitrate in groundwater to below 3.87 mg/l.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-198.1	Gray, J	Oppose in part	Amend Part C of Plan Change 7 to limit the Christchurch aquifer nitrate levels to no more than 1 mg/L.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-198.2	Gray, J	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to protect the drinking water in Christchurch's aquifers.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-209.1	Aotearoa Water Action	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to give a higher priority to the risks to the health of Christchurch than to short-term economic benefits.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-233.1	Wells, J	Oppose in part	Require ECan to protect drinking water and for protection of the aquifer to be immediate, reducing nitrate levels dramatically so they don't exceed 1mg/L (or similar) and reduce other toxic chemicals from getting into drinking water.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-246.1	Warren, C	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that nitrate levels are reduced to a level that is not more than 1mg/L.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-270.1	Thomas, R	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to ensure drinking water is protected and nitrate levels are unable to reach 3.8mg/L.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-264.1	Stack, A & J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to protect Christchurch City's drinking water at all costs.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-269.5	Sutton, P	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to protect Christchurch City's pure aquifers.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-38.3	Brown, I D	Oppose in part	Require ECan to consider the implications of the plan change for Christchurch's drinking water.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-303.1	Thompson, F	Oppose in part	Require ECan to protect drinking water and for protection of the aquifer.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-303.2	Thompson, F	Oppose in part	Amend Part C of Plan Change 7 to ensure health and environmental effects of increasing nitrate in Christchurch aquifers are considered.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-429.1	Smith, B	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to prevent any increase in nitrate levels.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-429.2	Smith, B	Oppose in part	Amend Part C of Plan Change 7 to ensure health and environmental effects of increasing nitrates in Christchurch aquifers are secured.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-265.1	McKay, K	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to provide absolute protection to aquifers and Christchurch drinking water.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-212.1	Onelaw	Oppose in part	Amend Part C of Plan Change 7 to ensure Christchurch aquifers are protected
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-151.20	Adriaens, G	Oppose in part	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-436.1	Stenhouse, D	Oppose in part	Amend Part C of Plan Change 7 to ensure low land streams and Christchurch aquifers are kept pure
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-400.1	Hill, F	Oppose in part	Oppose parts of the plan that allow for significantly increased nitrate levels in Christchurch ground water supply with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-313.1	Hamilton, R	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so acceptable levels of nitrate-N do not increase within the Christchurch aquifer system.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-367.1	Smith, N	Oppose in part	Amend Part C of Plan Change 7 to ensure no increase of nitrates in Christchurch aquifers.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-322.1	Richards, C H	Oppose in part	Oppose raising allowable nitrate limits in drinking water with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-322.2	Richards, C H	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that council supplied drinking water is filtered at bore source.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-360.9	Talbot, A G	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change to provide greater protection of the deep aquifer that supplies Christchurch's drinking water.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-496.1	Brorens, F	Oppose in part	Amend Part C of Plan Change 7 to ensure nitrate levels are reduced quickly.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-489.1	Cull, P	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that there can be no increase in nitrate levels in the water.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-525.1	Buck, V	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to provide better protection for Christchurch's drinking water within shorter timeframes.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-525.2	Buck, V	Oppose in part	Require Council to consider the health impacts of nitrate increases in Christchurch's drinking water.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-519.1	Chambers, J	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to provide better protection for Christchurch's drinking water within shorter time frames.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-519.2	Chambers, J	Oppose in part	Require Council to consider the health impacts of nitrate increases in Christchurch's drinking water.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-524.1	McLean, R	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to provide better protection for Christchurch's drinking water within shorter time frames.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-524.2	McLean, R	Oppose in part	Require Council to consider the health impacts of nitrate increases in Christchurch's drinking water.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-561.2	Dingle, C	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to ensure health effects of increasing nitrate in drinking water are considered.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-94.31	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-337.138	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Require that maintaining the high quality drinking water resource is a high priority and that freshwater outcomes are maintained for social, cultural and environmental/ecological values as well and supports the introduction of additional protections for indigenous freshwater species.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-337.140	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Require the maintenance of a supply of high quality groundwater for drinking water supplies without treatment for nitrates and that a nitrate threshold of less than 1mg/L is the Council's preferred option.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-549.1	Carstens, J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that nitrate levels are reduced to a level that is not more than 1mg/L.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-293.2	Southworth, V	Oppose in part	Develop an economic assessment that accounts for the potential costs to Christchurch's water supply, impacted businesses reliant on high quality water and public health costs associated with increased cancer cases.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-128.20	Black, L	Oppose in part	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-320.20	Bebbington, C	Oppose in part	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-461.20	Barnes, J	Oppose in part	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-147.20	Michael, S	Oppose in part	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-321.19	Christensen, C	Oppose in part	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-163.20	Cockburn, A	Oppose in part	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-164.20	Campbell, S	Oppose in part	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-241.20	Curtis, D	Oppose in part	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-395.20	Jacobs, S	Oppose in part	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-171.3	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Opposes the 3.8mg/L limit for Christchurch's drinking water with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-93.20	Gallagher, K	Oppose in part	Require that greater protection is given to Christchurch's drinking water than is currently set out in the nitrate reduction rules.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-171.4	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to only embed new nitrate levels after the Government reviews the drinking water standards unless the limits and timeframes are tightened much further than the notified version.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-171.6	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to protect shallow and deep aquifers to provide clean drinking water for the city of Christchurch, communities and the wider region.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-446.1	Beckenham Neighbourhood Association Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Part C of Plan Change 7 so that nitrate levels are reduced to a level that is not more than 0mg/L.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-314.1	Finch, R J	Oppose in part	Require PC7 to support a science-based precautionary approach to both the protection of human health and the protection of Christchurch's drinking water sources.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-314.3	Finch, R J	Oppose in part	Amend PC7 to limit nitrate levels to 0.4mg/L in Christchurch's drinking water.
Nitrate - Drinking Water	PC7-525.4	Buck, V	Oppose in part	Require ECan as the effective guardian of water to apply duty of care and precautionary principals in this case.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate – Ecological Limits	PC7-486.1	Rimell, A	Oppose in part	Amend nitrate limits in Part C of Plan Change 7 to apply a precautionary approach to ensuring ecosystem health and Christchurch Drinking water are protected
Nitrate – Ecological Limits	PC7-293.4	Southworth, V	Oppose in part	Require a review of the potential risk to the aquifer ecosystem of persistently elevated nitrate concentrations to determine whether a concentration of 3.8mg/L or more is sustainable and doesn't unduly risk the long term function of the aquifer, in particular with regard to stygofauna.
Nitrate – Ecological Limits	PC7-85.9	Neave, R	Oppose in part	Amend Part C of PC7 based on the precautionary principle based on good science to stop further degradation of ecological health.
Nitrate – Ecological Limits	PC7-566.7	Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate trigger value to ensure protection of the natural filtration Stygofauna ecology and instream ecology.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-56.15	Devlin, R	Support	Retain provisions staging nitrate reductions in Waimakariri.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-239.2	Hallatt, A	Support	Retain provisions allowing for reductions in nitrogen losses and the setting of nitrate limits.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-85.6	Neave, R	Support	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-230.22	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete all nitrate priority sub-areas from planning maps.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-433.28	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose	No specific decision sought.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-372.11	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose	Amend the Nitrate Priority Sub-area maps to remove the different nitrate priority sub-areas so there is no differentiation between different sub-areas
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-387.23	As One Incorporated	Oppose	Delete Nitrate Priority Area in its entirety.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-387.49	As One Incorporated	Oppose	Delete Map - Nitrate Priority Area in its entirety.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-387.50	As One Incorporated	Oppose	Delete Map - Nitrate Priority Sub Area in its entirety.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-566.8	Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust	Oppose	Opposes timeframes for reducing nitrate run off from farms by 5 to 15 percent each decade over the next 40 to 50 years, with no specific decision sought.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-1.1	Burke, C	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to reduce nitrate levels.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-40.1	Fagg, Z	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to reduce nitrate discharges in a shorter timeframe.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-86.3	Alkington Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend staged nitrate reduction targets to enable measurement of actual effects of reductions between stages of further reductions, so that the effect of each stage is understood and the need for, or effectiveness of, further reductions is understood before future staged reductions are required to be implemented.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-91.4	Avon-Otakaro Network	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to require more ambitious, immediate actions to protect social, cultural and environmental/ecological values of aquifers, groundwater, and waterways that rely on them such as the Ōtākaro Avon River.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-325.3	Bishop Farms Oxford Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to scientifically test intended steps to meet GMP and reductions of 15% by 2030 to determine need for further reductions.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-486.2	Rimell, A	Oppose in part	Amend the provisions of Part C of Plan Change 7 to ensure nitrate reductions occur immediately
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-431.2	Ridden, N E	Oppose in part	Amend the provisions of Part C of Plan Change 7 to ensure stricter nitrate reductions occur immediately.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-477.1	Brough, M J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to take an adaptive approach to nitrate reductions, where initial reductions are undertaken then monitored.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-454.6	Croft, B & W	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to apply an adaptive approach to nitrate reductions, where initial reductions are made then monitored.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-202.22	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose in part	Amend reductions set out in table 08.07.03 Table 8-9 as they're not achievable

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-151.6	Adriaens, G	Oppose in part	Support higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-474.1	Parsonson, B P	Oppose in part	Require an assessment of the effectiveness of existing/previous policies to address nitrate in water, with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-474.3	Parsonson, B P	Oppose in part	Opposes the nitrate priority area staged reductions for small farm properties.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-379.2	Acton-Adams, T L	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 15-30% reductions in nitrogen losses to give time for new testing to be done rather than 'shift the goal post' again.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-151.11	Adriaens, G	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-226.11	Koroa Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate sub-areas and include catchment orientated Rules.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-230.18	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that if each individual farmer can show that the extent of reductions required is achievable and that it is reasonable to lower the nitrate diffusion of the area further, then there is only one zone that encompasses the area with one set of reductions that are certain, achievable, irreversible, and sustainable for farming operations in the future.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-230.20	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to require a review to be undertaken in 2030
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-216.3	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to delete the sub-areas and replace with one 'light blue' zone (sub-area A).
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-216.9	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to not unduly punish farmers in sub-area E, but allow them to meet reductions up to 2030.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-205.12	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the time frames for the nitrate priority areas so outcomes are achieved earlier.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-436.2	Stenhouse, D	Oppose in part	Amend the provisions of Part C of Plan Change 7 to ensure nitrate reductions occur rapidly
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-353.19	Parkdale Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to assess effectiveness of reductions in nitrate diffusion after 10 years.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-433.33	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that farmers in the Nitrate Priority Area should be required to make nitrate reductions beyond their baseline GMP number of 15% Dairy and 5% Other by 2030.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-433.34	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to monitor the relief sought in submission point 433.33 through a comprehensive water quality and ecosystem health monitoring programme before further targets are set.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-442.24	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose in part	Delete sub areas from plan change 7 and replace with one zone encompassing the entire district.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-196.3	The Downs Pastures Limited and the Gillespie Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend nitrate reductions to set 15% reductions for the next 10 years then re-evaluate the measured data to reset reductions.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-525.3	Buck, V	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to require larger reductions in nitrate.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-519.3	Chambers, J	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to require larger reductions in nitrate.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-524.3	McLean, R	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to require larger reductions in nitrate.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-94.17	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-94.22	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-337.142	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Require that the reduction targets are increased (such as those provided in Table 8-9) and are brought forward such that nitrate nitrogen concentrations predicted to enter the Christchurch aquifer system are abated over shorter timeframes and nutrient loads are attenuated more quickly.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-562.1	Hoskin, K	Oppose in part	Amend nitrate reductions to be more ambitious.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-128.6	Black, L	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-128.11	Black, L	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-320.6	Bebbington, C	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-320.11	Bebbington, C	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-461.6	Barnes, J	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-461.11	Barnes, J	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-147.6	Michael, S	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-147.11	Michael, S	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-387.7	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Nitrate Priority Areas to align with catchment boundaries and not break the catchment into different sub catchments.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-387.12	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend nitrate reductions to target land users and discharges within specific catchments and groundwater resources, and across the full Waimakariri Sub Zone.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-387.14	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend the Nitrate Priority Area to abandon measures that have significant adverse effects on the ability of people and communities within the Nitrate Priority Area where these measures are proven likely to have no real or significant positive effect on water quality.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-321.6	Christensen, C	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-321.11	Christensen, C	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-387.15	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend nitrate reductions to include measures to be established that are more likely to improve water quality without removing or significantly reducing peoples and communities ability to provide for their social, economic and cultural health and well being.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-163.6	Cockburn, A	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-163.11	Cockburn, A	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-164.6	Campbell, S	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-164.11	Campbell, S	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-241.6	Curtis, D	Oppose in part	Support higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-241.11	Curtis, D	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-395.6	Jacobs, S	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-395.11	Jacobs, S	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-93.6	Gallagher, K	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-93.11	Gallagher, K	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-405.1	Tallott, S & J	Oppose in part	Require consideration of the level of investment required to achieve the proposed changes in the nitrate priority area.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-405.3	Tallott, S & J	Oppose in part	Require any nitrate reductions to be applied equally within the nitrate priority area.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-215.6	Dalziel, J	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-215.9	Dalziel, J	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-258.6	Dopleach, D	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-258.9	Dopleach, D	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-83.6	Frankland, R	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-83.9	Frankland, R	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-366.6	McNab, R	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-366.9	McNab, R	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-77.6	Oldham, J	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-77.9	Oldham, J	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-250.6	McInnes, S	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-250.9	McInnes, S	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-124.6	List, B	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-124.9	List, B	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-466.6	Hailstone, S	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-466.9	Hailstone, S	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-106.9	Cotter, J	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-106.12	Cotter, J	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-463.6	Currie, M	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-463.11	Currie, M	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-256.6	De Lu, K	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-256.9	De Lu, K	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-268.6	Baird, M	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-268.9	Baird, M	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-261.6	Downard, J	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan. In particular reductions of 90% so that the average nitrate concentration threshold remains at 0.6mg/l.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-261.9	Downard, J	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-98.15	McGregor, A	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-99.6	Low, C	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-99.9	Low, C	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-398.6	Perriam, E	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-398.9	Perriam, E	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-119.6	Reiner, W	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-119.9	Reiner, W	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-388.6	Ridden-Harper, A	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-388.9	Ridden-Harper, A	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-388.11	Ridden-Harper, A	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.5mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-116.6	Robertson, J	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-116.9	Robertson, J	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-78.7	Ross, M J	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-78.10	Ross, M J	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-111.1	Seed The Change	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-81.5	Tie, M A	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-81.6	Tie, M A	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate limits to achieve 0.4-1.1mg/l in the life of this plan change.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-80.6	Zhang, F	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-80.9	Zhang, F	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-247.6	W..	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-247.9	W..	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-123.8	Evans, D J	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-123.11	Evans, D J	Oppose in part	Amend the nitrate reduction rules to contain appropriate reductions in the Nitrate priority area to maintain or improve the current quality of the Christchurch drinking water aquifers as is required under the NPS for Freshwater.
Cultural Matters				
Mahinga Kai	PC7-91.3	Avon-Otakaro Network	Support	Supports plan change in its entirety.
Mahinga Kai	PC7-205.15	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Support	No specific decision requested.
Mahinga Kai	PC7-98.10	McGregor, A	Support	Supports allocating water for mahinga kai enhancement and other catchment restoration with no specific decision requested.
Te Aka Aka Protection Zone	PC7-138.8	Canterbury Aoraki Conservation Board	Support	Supports the identification of the <i>Te Aka Aka Ashley Estuary and Coastal Protection Zone</i> where consent will be needed for activities permitted elsewhere in the zone.
Te Aka Aka Protection Zone	PC7-56.20	Devlin, R	Support	Retain provisions related to the Te Aka Aka Protection Zone.
Te Aka Aka Protection Zone	PC7-160.39	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain the provision of the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone as notified.
Te Aka Aka Protection Zone	PC7-307.4	Winter, K A	Support	Support engagement with private landowners to protect the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone
Te Aka Aka Protection Zone	PC7-350.4	Winter, R F C	Support	Support engagement with private landowners to protect the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone
Te Aka Aka Protection Zone	PC7-302.4	Winter, J H	Support	Support engagement with private landowners to protect the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone
Te Aka Aka Protection Zone	PC7-399.27	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone as notified.
Te Aka Aka Protection Zone	PC7-330.1	Ashley Oaks Farm	Oppose	Delete the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone in its entirety.
Te Aka Aka Protection Zone	PC7-330.2	Ashley Oaks Farm	Oppose	Delete the inclusion of hill-fed streams within the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone in its entirety.
Te Aka Aka Protection Zone	PC7-201.4	Eder, M / Morriss, G D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to acknowledge that the Coastal Zone is a catchment impacted by upstream challenges.
Te Aka Aka Protection Zone	PC7-330.3	Ashley Oaks Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to require properties with 5ha or more of irrigation to need land use consent in the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone east of the Inland Scenic Highway and south of the estuary.
Te Aka Aka Protection Zone	PC7-307.3	Winter, K A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone is not introduced.
Te Aka Aka Protection Zone	PC7-350.3	Winter, R F C	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone is not introduced.
Te Aka Aka Protection Zone	PC7-302.3	Winter, J H	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone is not introduced.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Te Aka Aka Protection Zone	PC7-257.1	Molloy, T & H	Oppose in part	Oppose the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone with no specific decision requested.
Te Aka Aka Protection Zone	PC7-257.2	Molloy, T & H	Oppose in part	Oppose the inclusion of hill-fed streams within the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone with no specific decision requested.
<u>Farming</u>				
Good Management Practice	PC7-483.23	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Nutrient Management Provisions in Section 8 to ensure sure the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate. OR delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice"
Good Management Practice	PC7-433.32	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to define the baseline GMP numbers used in OVERSEER modelling for the Waimakariri Zone in a new schedule.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-56.21	Devlin, R	Support	Retain provisions related to stock exclusion in Waimakariri.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-205.16	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Support	No specific decision requested.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-98.11	McGregor, A	Support	Supports extending stock exclusion rules with no specific decision requested.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-266.2	Saunders, A	Oppose in part	Amend stock exclusion provisions to not require fencing in flood prone areas.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-88.46	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend plan change 7 to include the Lees Valley in the stock exclusion provisions.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-372.18	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to remove 'farm drains' from the description of waterways.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-88.54	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to apply stock exclusion provision in the Lees Valley.
Winter Grazing	PC7-266.13	Saunders, A	Oppose in part	Amend winter grazing provisions to manage only unreasonable practices.
Winter Grazing	PC7-196.4	The Downs Pastures Limited and the Gillespie Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the stock exclusion thresholds of 5% with no specific decision requested.
<u>Other</u>				
General	PC7-56.19	Devlin, R	Support	Retain provisions relating to catchment restoration activities in Waimakariri.
General	PC7-86.4	Alkington Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to provide for catchment wide solutions including on-farm reductions as well as other tools such as Managed Aquifer Recharge, and Targeted Stream Augmentation as solutions to achieve water quality improvement.
General	PC7-138.7	Canterbury Aoraki Conservation Board	Oppose in part	Amend the timetable for incremental improvement in the Waimakariri zone so that new rules become operative sooner.
General	PC7-13.1	Huggins, T	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to reduce irrigation.
General	PC7-13.2	Huggins, T	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to eliminate all topdressing of nitrogen within 30km of the Waimakariri River or in the Central Plains Scheme and drill fertiliser into the soil when planting only.
General	PC7-347.22	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to provide additional or consequential amendments, deletions or additional that are necessary or appropriate as per the submission lodged.
General	PC7-349.20	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to balance the NPSFM framework against the wider considerations that reflect sustainable management (rather than the achievement of water quantity and quality outcomes at the potential cost of the total loss of farming within at least large parts of the nitrogen priority area).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General	PC7-199.29	Aratika Trust	Oppose in part	Delete Graph 88.1(a) in its entirety.
General	PC7-379.1	Acton-Adams, T L	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to target f and s for non-compliance.
General	PC7-333.2	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 if Nitrate Priority Sub-Areas go ahead so that provision is made for lifestylers subdivisions.
General	PC7-216.10	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to ensure robust monitoring is in place over the next 10 years.
General	PC7-363.27	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to apply a comprehensive zone monitoring programme over the next 10 years.
General	PC7-447.1	McKendry, P	Oppose in part	Supports the submission of Dr Daniel Hartwell.
General	PC7-433.29	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to take a more adaptive approach.
General	PC7-377.16	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan change to provide consequential amendments to other rules as a result of the submitter's relief sought for Rules 8.5.6 to 8.5.11.
General	PC7-178.10	Taggart Farms Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Section 8 to allow for an adaptive management process to meet the zone's long term water quality objectives which will be achieved through a rigorous, comprehensive water quality monitoring programme.
General	PC7-148.1	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to ensure that the pace of implementation takes into account the situation of individual farmers and works with them, ensuring their economic viability and personal well-being.
General	PC7-148.2	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Require farm advisory assistance to be made available to those most seriously affected by changes arising from Plan Change 7.
General	PC7-171.10	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Support recommendations 1.15 and 1.16 of Part D Waimakariri Water Solutions Programme of the Waimakariri ZIPA with no specific decision requested.
General	PC7-171.11	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Support recommendations 3.1 and 3.18 of Part D Waimakariri Water Solutions Programme of the Waimakariri ZIPA with no specific decision requested.
General	PC7-171.12	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Support recommendations 4.1, 4.3, 4.5, 4.6, 4.7 and 4.16 of Part D Waimakariri Water Solutions Programme of the Waimakariri ZIPA with no specific decision requested.
General	PC7-171.13	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Support recommendations 5.1- 5.7 of Part D Waimakariri Water Solutions Programme of the Waimakariri ZIPA with no specific decision requested.
General	PC7-171.14	Waimakariri Group	Oppose in part	Support recommendations at paragraph 4.1 of Part C Waimakariri Water Solutions Programme of the Waimakariri ZIPA with no specific decision requested.
General	PC7-337.171	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend PC7 to make any consequential changes necessary to give effect to submission points PC7-337.110, PC7-337.170, PC7-337.111, PC7-337.112, including altering any region-wide rule in Section 5 that would otherwise prevail.
General	PC7-412.27	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Make any alternative amendments to PC7 to address the substance of the concerns raised in this submission.
General	PC7-412.28	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Make all consequential amendments required to address the concerns raised in this submission and ensure a coherent planning document.
General	PC7-349.24	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose in part	Amend Section 8 to identify at a policy level the outcomes being sought, but deferring longer-term reductions until existing water quality is better understood and the model is ground-truthed.
Planning Maps				
Map - Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-273.5	Carleton Daries Ltd	Oppose	Delete Nitrate Priority Area from planning maps and replace with practical solutions and environmental outcomes through farm environment plan processes and facilitation of localised catchment management to improve water quality and biodiversity.
Map - Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-96.10	Rangvet Ltd (Rangiora Vet Centre)	Oppose	Delete Nitrate Priority Area from Planning Maps
Map - Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-255.6	McAllister, C & S	Oppose	Delete the Nitrate Priority Area from the Planning Maps.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Map - Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-255.8	McAllister, C & S	Oppose	Delete the 'Run-off priority Area' from the Planning Maps.
Map - Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-114.60	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the Nitrate Priority Area to the area identified as the 'Nitrate Priority Management Area_Rev 1' in Map 3.1 of the Waimakariri Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (on page 29).
Map - Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-299.1	Cresslands Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to only be encompassed by one zone with one set of reductions.
Map - Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-333.1	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to have a single Nitrate Priority Area.
Map - Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-216.8	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 Nitrate Priority Area Map to not distinguish between sub-areas.
Map - Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-324.5	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to only be encompassed by one zone.
Map - Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-329.4	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend zoning in nitrate priority area to encompass via one zone with one set of reductions.
Map - Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-337.113	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend the Nitrate Priority Area map so that it covers the full extent of the area that is the groundwater source for Christchurch aquifers.
Map - Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-415.66	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend the boundary of the Nitrate Priority Area to follow the green zone boundary as detailed in the submission.
Map - Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-337.191	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Insert a new nitrate policy area (buffer area) located on the north bank of the Waimakariri River.
Map - Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-564.3	Larsen, R	Oppose	Delete nitrate priority sub-areas from all Section 8 provisions and maps.
Map - Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-114.61	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose	Delete the Nitrate Priority Area Sub-areas (A, B, C, D, E) layer from the planning maps.
Map - Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-113.31	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose	Delete nitrate priority sub-areas from the planning maps.
Map - Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-349.19	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose	Delete the Nitrate Priority Sub-areas from the Planning Maps in their entirety.
Map - Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-289.36	Bay, P S	Oppose	Delete sub-areas from Waimakariri Section 8 of Plan Change 7 so reductions are applied equally.
Map - Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-96.11	Rangvet Ltd (Rangiora Vet Centre)	Oppose	Delete Nitrate Priority Sub area from Planning Maps
Map - Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-415.61	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose	Delete the Nitrate Priority Sub-areas from the Planning Maps.
Map - Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-255.7	McAllister, C & S	Oppose	Delete the Nitrate Priority Sub-areas from the Planning Maps.
Map - Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-179.28	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose	Delete sub-areas from planning maps in Section 8.
Map - Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-437.17	Scottville Farm	Oppose	Delete the Nitrate Priority Sub-area maps in Section 8.
Map - Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-195.28	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose	Delete the Nitrate Priority Sub-areas so that there is only one nitrate zone encompassing the Waimakariri District.
Map - Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-368.2	Knightlea Limited	Oppose	Delete the Nitrate Priority sub-areas from the Planning Maps.
Map - Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-273.12	Carleton Daries Ltd	Oppose	Delete Nitrate Priority Sub-areas from planning maps and replace with practical solutions and environmental outcomes through farm environment plan processes and facilitation of localised catchment management to improve water quality and biodiversity.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Map - Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-473.7	Spencer-Bower, M J	Oppose in part	Amend Nitrate Priority Sub-area Map with no specific decision requested.
Map - Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-405.2	Tallott, S & J	Oppose in part	Delete the nitrate priority sub-areas from the Planning Maps in their entirety.
Map A-028	PC7-337.128	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend map A-028 to align the boundaries to remove gaps.
Map A-029	PC7-337.129	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend map A029 to align the boundaries to remove gaps.
Map A-035	PC7-337.130	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Map A-035 to align the boundaries to remove gaps.
Map A-036	PC7-337.131	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Map A-036 to align the boundaries to remove gaps.
Map A-042	PC7-337.132	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Map A-042 to align the boundaries to remove gaps.
Map A-043	PC7-337.133	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Map A-043 to align the boundaries to remove gaps.
Map A-049	PC7-337.134	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend map A-0-49 to align the boundaries to remove gaps.
Map A-050	PC7-337.135	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Map A-050 to align the boundaries to remove gaps.
Map A-051	PC7-337.136	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Map A-051 to align the boundaries to remove gaps.
Map A-058	PC7-337.137	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Map A--058 to align the boundaries to remove gaps.
Map A-C01	PC7-337.124	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Map A-C01 to align the boundaries to remove gaps.
Map A-C02	PC7-337.125	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Map A-C02 to align the boundaries to remove gaps.
Map A-C03	PC7-337.126	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend map A-C03 to align the boundaries to remove gaps.
Map A-C04	PC7-337.127	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend map A-C04 as follows: i. Make a clear statement in the plan under the heading for Nutrient Management (at page 80 of the Plan change documents) or provide a table that clearly explains that the rules in Section 5 for nutrient management do not apply to the Waimakariri subregion and the Nitrate Policy Area. ii. Provide clear polices and rules with maps covering the full extent of the Nitrate Policy Area and PC7 extent. iii. Align the boundaries to remove gaps.
Map - General	PC7-195.26	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend the Planning Maps in the Waimakariri sub-region to correctly zone the submitter's property.
Maps - General	PC7-43.3	Wium, D H	Oppose in part	Amend Planning Maps to provide greater detail, particularly in the upper reaches of the Waimakariri, Clarence and Hurunui rivers.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-199.32	Aratika Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the nutrient management provisions in section 8 to provide more achievable targets and time frames
PLAN PROVISIONS				
Section 8 - Waimakariri				
<u>Section 8 - Introduction</u>				
Introduction	PC7-114.62	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain the amendment (deletion and insertion of new figure) to the Waimakariri sub-region figure in Section 8 as notified.
Introduction	PC7-114.63	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain the description of Zone Committee recommendations, or outcomes in Section 8, as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Introduction	PC7-114.64	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain the description of 'What this Plan does' in Section 8 as notified.
Introduction	PC7-357.10	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain the Zone Committee community outcomes in Section 8 as notified.
Introduction	PC7-425.4	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Support	Retain the Freshwater Management Units (FMU) map and associated descriptions in Section 8 as notified.
Introduction	PC7-430.69	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	<p>Amend the relevant note within the 'Zone Committee' outcomes in Section 8 as follows:</p> <p>Outcome 9 was established in response to science investigations which concluded that a proportion of recharge to Christchurch's deep aquifer system <u>beneath Belfast and North Christchurch</u> is likely to be derived from an area within the Waimakariri Sub-region.</p> <p><u>Any effect on the aquifers below the main part of Christchurch is much less certain. It should also be noted that, currently, there is no evidence of elevated nitrate concentrations in Christchurch's aquifers, that any effect would be long term (timeframe of 50 - 100 years) and, even over that timeframe (assuming a worst case scenario), any increases in nitrate concentration would be below the Maximum Acceptable Value for safe drinking water.</u></p>
Introduction	PC7-356.47	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend introductory text of Section 8 to include a description of horticultural activities in the zone and the importance of produce grown in Waimakariri in being part of the wider national food supply network.
Introduction	PC7-84.3	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend the description of the Eyre River in Section 8.
<u>Section 8.1A - Waimakariri Sub-region Definitions</u>				
08.01A	PC7-114.65	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definitions in Section 8.1A to ensure more alignment with terminology used throughout the LWRP.
08.01A Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone	PC7-356.73	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain the definition of Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone as notified.
08.01A Bird colony	PC7-472.78	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Bird colony' to consider at risk and vulnerable native bird species that nest in single pairs e.g. wrybill or banded dotterel.
08.01A Deep Groundwater	PC7-419.6	Robinson Dairy Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'deep groundwater' in its entirety.
08.01A Deep Groundwater	PC7-84.4	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Delete clause (c) of the definition for Deep Groundwater.
08.01A Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-139.14	Croft, W D	Oppose	Delete the definition of nitrate priority areas and further sub-catchment areas in their entirety.
08.01A Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-421.3	Mehrtens, J F	Oppose	Delete the definition of Nitrate Priority Area in its entirety.
08.01A Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-413.1	Hawkins, A	Oppose	Delete definition for Nitrate Priority Area in its entirety.
08.01A Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-387.19	As One Incorporated	Oppose	Delete the definition of Nitrate Priority Area in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.01A Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-413.14	Hawkins, A	Oppose	Delete all references to Nitrate Priority Areas and Table 8-9. Alternatively, insert new definition Dairy Support as: <u>Dairy support means pastoral farming where the animals grazed are dairy cattle not being milked (young animals or mixed-age cows) that are grazed off the milking platform (i.e. the area devoted to feeding dairy cows on a daily basis during the milking season) either temporarily or throughout the year.</u>
08.01A Nitrate Priority Area	PC7-113.29	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Nitrate Priority Area' to delete sub-areas.
08.01A Targeted Stream Augmentation	PC7-337.87	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Targeted Stream Augmentation to enable the activity to be considered non-consumptive in terms of groundwater allocation limits.
08.01A Targeted Stream Augmentation	PC7-472.79	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Targeted Steam Augmentation' to ensure that it is consistent across all sections of the LWRP.
08.01A Targeted Stream Augmentation	PC7-337.156	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Targeted Stream Augmentation to relocate it to Section 2 of the LWRP.
08.01A.Dairy Support	PC7-413.15	Hawkins, A	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for Dairy Support (as alternative relief sought alongside decision requested under PC7-413.1 and PC7-413.2) as follows: <u>Dairy Support means pastoral farming where the animals grazed are dairy cattle not being milked (young animals or mixed-age cows) that are grazed off the milking platform (i.e. the area devoted to feeding dairy cows on a daily basis during the milking season) either temporarily or throughout the year.</u>
08.01A.Drain	PC7-148.8	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of 'drain' and exclude conveyance of stormwater and intermittently-wet drains from this definition.
08.01A.Drain	PC7-42.9	Kaiapoi-Tuahivi Community Board	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of 'drain' and exclude conveyance of stormwater and intermittently wet drains from this definition.
08.01A.Drain	PC7-149.9	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of 'drain' and exclude conveyance of stormwater and intermittently wet drains from this definition.
08.01A.Drain	PC7-3.6	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of 'drain' and exclude conveyance of stormwater and intermittently wet drains from this definition.
08.01A.Drain	PC7-107.9	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of 'drain' and exclude conveyance of stormwater and intermittently wet drains from this definition.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-188.2	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Nitrate Priority Sub-area' in its entirety.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-307.1	Winter, K A	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Nitrate Priority Sub-area' in its entirety.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-350.1	Winter, R F C	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Nitrate Priority Sub-area' in its entirety.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-302.1	Winter, J H	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Nitrate Priority Sub-area' in its entirety.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-230.21	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Nitrate Priority Sub-area' in its entirety.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-421.4	Mehrtens, J F	Oppose	Delete the definition of Nitrate Priority Sub-area in its entirety.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-415.62	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Nitrate Priority Sub-area' in its entirety, as a consequential amendment of deleting the areas from Section 8.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-413.2	Hawkins, A	Oppose	Delete definition for Nitrate Priority Sub-Area in its entirety.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-329.67	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Nitrate Priority Sub-area' in its entirety.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-564.2	Larsen, R	Oppose	Delete the definition of Nitrate Priority Sub-area in its entirety.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-114.106	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose	Delete the definition of Nitrate Priority Sub-area as a consequential amendment to submission point PC7-114.61
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-387.20	As One Incorporated	Oppose	Delete the definition of Nitrate Priority Sub-area in its entirety.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-368.1	Knightlea Limited	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Nitrate Priority Sub-area' in its entirety.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-113.30	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose	Delete Nitrate Priority Sub-areas from Section 8.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-179.29	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose	Delete Nitrate Priority Sub-areas within Section 8 relative to the relief sought in submission point 179.7 and 179.8.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-195.27	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose	Delete the Nitrate Priority Sub-areas so that one nitrate zone encompasses the Waimakariri District.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-299.3	Cresslands Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Nitrate Priority Sub-area' to only be encompassed by one zone with one set of reductions.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-216.4	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Nitrate Priority Sub-area' to delete the sub-areas and replace with one 'light blue' zone (sub-area A).
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-324.6	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Nitrate Priority Sub-area' to only be encompassed by one zone.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-372.12	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the Nitrate Priority Sub-area definition to remove the different nitrate priority sub-areas so there is no differentiation between different sub-areas.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-369.44	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Nitrate Priority Sub-area' to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-369.45	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Nitrate Priority Sub-area' requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.01A.Nitrate Priority Sub-area	PC7-557.5	Southern Peake Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend Nitrate Priority Areas to ensure reductions are done as a collective not split up.
08.01A.Principal Water Supplier	PC7-148.9	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Insert a definition for 'principal water supplier' and exclude 'community and/or stock water schemes' from this definition.
08.01A.Principal Water Supplier	PC7-42.10	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Insert a definition for 'principal water supplier' and exclude 'community and/or stock water schemes' from this definition.
08.01A.Principal Water Supplier	PC7-149.10	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Insert a definition for 'principal water supplier' and exclude 'community and/or stock water schemes' from this definition.
08.01A.Principal Water Supplier	PC7-3.7	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Insert a definition for 'principal water supplier' and exclude 'community and/or stock water schemes' from this definition.
08.01A.Principal Water Supplier	PC7-107.10	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Insert a definition for 'principal water supplier' and exclude 'community and/or stock water schemes' from this definition.
Section 8.4 - Policies				
08.04.01	PC7-430.70	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.1 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.02	PC7-430.71	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.2 as notified.
08.04.03	PC7-430.72	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.3 as notified.
08.04.04	PC7-357.11	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.4 as notified.
08.04.04	PC7-425.5	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.4 as notified.
08.04.04	PC7-430.73	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.4 as notified.
08.04.04	PC7-114.66	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.4 as follows: Management of freshwater in the Waimakariri sub-region is achieved through the establishment of two Freshwater Management Units and the <u>maintenance and improvements in freshwater quality and quantity</u> attained through setting of, and managing to, water quality and quantity limits <u>and targets</u> for each area.
08.04.05	PC7-160.47	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.5 as notified.
08.04.05	PC7-95.2	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.5 as notified.
08.04.05	PC7-430.74	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.5 in its entirety.
08.04.05	PC7-84.5	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.5 to delete the reference to the Eyre River.
08.04.05	PC7-148.12	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.5 to remove the inclusion of Eyre River and View Hill Stream as Natural State Water Bodies.
08.04.05	PC7-42.13	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.5 to remove the inclusion of Eyre River and View Hill Stream as Natural State Water Bodies.
08.04.05	PC7-149.13	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.5 to remove the inclusion of Eyre River and View Hill Stream as Natural State Water Bodies.
08.04.05	PC7-3.10	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.5 to remove the inclusion of Eyre River and View Hill Stream as Natural State Water Bodies.
08.04.05	PC7-107.13	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.5 to remove the inclusion of Eyre River and View Hill Stream as Natural State Water Bodies.
08.04.06	PC7-160.48	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.6 as notified.
08.04.06	PC7-95.3	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.6 as notified.
08.04.06	PC7-399.30	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain policy support for mahinga kai and mahinga kai use of water.
08.04.06	PC7-399.43	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.6 to provide for mahinga kai enhancement allocation from the Ashley/Rakahuri River to move into the A block over time.
08.04.06	PC7-399.54	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.6 to include an allocation of 200l/s for mahinga kai enhancement from the Courtney Stream.
08.04.07	PC7-160.49	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.7 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.07	PC7-95.4	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.7 as notified.
08.04.07	PC7-399.31	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain policy support for mahinga kai and mahinga kai use of water.
08.04.07	PC7-214.67	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.7 to delete 'avoid' and replace it with a term that more appropriately reflects the intent of the plan change.
08.04.07	PC7-399.44	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.7 to provide for mahinga kai enhancement allocation from the Ahley/Rakahuri River to move into the A block over time.
08.04.07	PC7-399.55	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.7 to include an allocation of 200l/s for mahinga kai enhancement from the Courtney Stream.
08.04.08	PC7-160.50	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.8 as notified.
08.04.08	PC7-95.5	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.8 as notified.
08.04.08	PC7-399.32	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain policy support for mahinga kai and mahinga kai use of water.
08.04.08	PC7-214.68	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.8 as follows: Protect mahinga kai values for all lakes, rivers, wetlands and springs (waipuna) through close evaluation of any actions and timeframes described in the Farm Environment Plan when considering applications for resource consent for farming activities.
08.04.08	PC7-399.45	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.8 to provide for mahinga kai enhancement allocation from the Ahley/Rakahuri River to move into the A block over time.
08.04.08	PC7-399.56	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.8 to include an allocation of 200l/s for mahinga kai enhancement from the Courtney Stream.
08.04.09	PC7-160.51	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.9 as notified.
08.04.09	PC7-95.6	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.9 as notified.
08.04.09	PC7-399.33	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain policy support for mahinga kai and mahinga kai use of water.
08.04.09	PC7-430.75	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete clause (c) of Policy 8.4.9 in its entirety.
08.04.09	PC7-430.317	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 8.4.9 in its entirety.
08.04.09	PC7-214.70	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.9 to require springs to be managed through a Farm Environment Plan rather than by stock exclusion.
08.04.09	PC7-537.1	Stalker, R	Oppose in part	Amend condition (c) of Policy 8.4.9 as follows: c. reserving an allocation of water from the Cam River/Ruataniwha, Ashley River/Rakahuri, and Silverstream for mahinga kai enhancement purposes; <u>and make sure that the allocatable water is set from an actual maximum allocatable limit, and not a past figure that is not reflective of the true flow of the river. (especially regarding the Cam).</u>
08.04.09	PC7-399.46	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.9 to provide for mahinga kai enhancement allocation from the Ahley/Rakahuri River to move into the A block over time.
08.04.09	PC7-399.57	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.9 to include an allocation of 200l/s for mahinga kai enhancement from the Courtney Stream.
08.04.10	PC7-160.52	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.10 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.10	PC7-95.7	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.10 as notified.
08.04.10	PC7-430.76	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.10 as notified, subject to the appropriateness of minimum flows and allocations in Tables 8-1, 8-2 and 8-3.
08.04.11	PC7-95.8	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.11 as notified.
08.04.12	PC7-95.9	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.12 as notified.
08.04.12	PC7-214.71	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.12 as notified.
08.04.12	PC7-84.6	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.12 as follows: Avoid flows in surface waterbodies falling below the minimum flows in Tables 8-1 and 8-2 due to water abstraction, by implementing Waimakariri pro-rata partial restrictions on all abstractions except abstractions for stock drinking water, <u>dairyshed washdown and animal welfare, an individual's domestic needs including drinking water needs</u> , and community water supply purposes.
08.04.12	PC7-139.1	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.12 to reference that the cumulative effect of stock drinking water and community drinking supply on the Cust River flows are taken into account.
08.04.12	PC7-430.77	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.12 as follows: <u>Seek to a</u> Avoid flows in surface waterbodies falling below the minimum flows in Tables 8-1 and 8-2 due to water abstraction, by implementing Waimakariri pro-rata partial restrictions on all abstractions except abstractions for stock drinking water and community water supply purposes.
08.04.12	PC7-272.1	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.12 to reference that the cumulative effect of stock drinking water and community water supply are taken into account on the Cust River flows.
08.04.12	PC7-255.19	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.12 to reference that the cumulative effects of stock drinking water and community water supply on the Cust River Flows are taken into account.
08.04.12	PC7-377.2	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.12 to reference that the cumulative effect of stock drinking water and community water supply on the Cust River flows are taken into account.
08.04.12	PC7-356.48	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.12 as follows: 'Avoid flows in surface waterbodies falling below the minimum flows in Tables 8-1 and 8-2 due to water abstraction, by implementing Waimakariri pro-rata partial restrictions on all abstractions except abstractions for stock drinking water, <u>rootstock and crop survival</u> water and community water supply purposes.'
08.04.13	PC7-95.10	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.13 as notified.
08.04.13	PC7-399.34	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain policy support for mahinga kai and mahinga kai use of water.
08.04.13	PC7-430.78	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.13 in its entirety until such time as sufficient clarity is provided.
08.04.13	PC7-472.80	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.13 to provide certainty with respect to other purposes to which water allocated for enhancement of mahinga kai could be used and whether this would be a better outcome than using the water for enhancement.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.13	PC7-399.41	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.13 as follows: Assist with delivering mahinga kai outcomes for Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga and the Cam River/Ruataniwha, Ashley River/Rakahuri and Silverstream, by requiring any application for resource consent to take and use water from the mahinga kai enhancement allocation in Table 8-3, to include a Cultural Impact Assessment and a description of how the proposal is consistent with the Ngāi Tūāhuriri kaitiakitanga responsibilities for upholding Te Mana o Te Wai and will protect or enhance mahinga kai values.
08.04.13	PC7-399.47	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.13 to provide for mahinga kai enhancement allocation from the Ahley/Rakahuri River to move into the A block over time.
08.04.13	PC7-399.58	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.13 to include an allocation of 200l/s for mahinga kai enhancement from the Courtney Stream.
08.04.14	PC7-95.11	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.14 as notified.
08.04.14	PC7-472.81	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.14 to refer to "values" rather than "outcomes".
08.04.14	PC7-472.82	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.14 to include direction to consider cumulative effects.
08.04.15	PC7-160.56	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.15 as notified.
08.04.15	PC7-95.12	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.15 as notified.
08.04.15	PC7-399.9	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain policy framework for replacing stream-depleting groundwater takes with deeper groundwater.
08.04.15	PC7-209.4	Aotearoa Water Action	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.15 in its entirety.
08.04.15	PC7-179.2	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.15 in its entirety. If not deleted, amend Policy 8.4.15 to protect takes affected by sections 124-124C.
08.04.15	PC7-472.83	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.15 to ensure it does not restrict the consideration of environmental effects as a determining factor for grant or decline.
08.04.16	PC7-160.57	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.16 as notified.
08.04.16	PC7-95.13	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.16 as notified.
08.04.16	PC7-399.35	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain policy support for mahinga kai and mahinga kai use of water.
08.04.16	PC7-472.84	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.16 to provide clarity for how permits which may be granted under the provision will comply with the freshwater targets/limits to achieve freshwater outcomes.
08.04.16	PC7-399.48	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.16 to provide for mahinga kai enhancement allocation from the Ahley/Rakahuri River to move into the A block over time.
08.04.16	PC7-399.59	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.16 to include an allocation of 200l/s for mahinga kai enhancement from the Courtney Stream.
08.04.16	PC7-84.7	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.16 as follows: b. the take and use is for <u>stockwater needs, including water for stock drinking, dairymshed washdown and animal welfare, an individual's and community water supply, enhancement of mahinga kai, environmental enhancement (including managed aquifer recharge or targeted stream augmentation), or the take is non-consumptive.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.16	PC7-214.72	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.16 as follows: b. The take and use is for a community water supply, <u>stock drinking water</u> , enhancement of mahinga kai, environmental enhancement (including managed aquifer recharge of targeted stream augmentation), or the take is non-consumptive
08.04.16	PC7-558.1	WHoW Charitable Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.16 as follows: b. the take and use is for a community water supply, <u>community recreational/amenity facility</u> enhancement of mahinga kai, environmental enhancement (including managed aquifer recharge or targeted stream augmentation), or the take is non-consumptive.
08.04.17	PC7-193.14	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.17 as notified.
08.04.17	PC7-430.79	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.17 as notified.
08.04.17	PC7-356.49	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.17 as notified.
08.04.17	PC7-84.8	Bowden Environmental	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.17 in its entirety.
08.04.17	PC7-177.1	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.17 in its entirety.
08.04.17	PC7-199.26	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.17 in its entirety
08.04.17	PC7-255.20	McAllister, C & S	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.17 in its entirety, or if this relief is declined, amend Policy 8.4.17 to clearly define the area restricted by the policy.
08.04.17	PC7-377.3	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.17 in its entirety. Or Amend Policy 8.4.17 to clearly define the area restricted by the policy.
08.04.17	PC7-272.18	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.17 in its entirety, Alternatively, amend Policy 8.4.17 to clearly define the area restricted by the policy.
08.04.17	PC7-368.4	Knightlea Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.17 in its entirety.
08.04.18	PC7-160.58	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.18 as notified.
08.04.18	PC7-193.15	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.18 as notified.
08.04.18	PC7-430.80	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.18 as notified.
08.04.18	PC7-214.73	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain clause (b) of Policy 8.4.18 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.18	PC7-84.9	Bowden Environmental	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.18 in its entirety.
08.04.18	PC7-177.9	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.18 in its entirety.
08.04.18	PC7-199.27	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.18 in its entirety
08.04.18	PC7-272.2	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.18 in its entirety.
08.04.18	PC7-255.21	McAllister, C & S	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.18 in its entirety.
08.04.18	PC7-377.4	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.18 in its entirety.
08.04.18	PC7-472.85	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.18 in its entirety, or amend to require 100% surrender.
08.04.18	PC7-368.5	Knightlea Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.18 in its entirety.
08.04.18	PC7-419.3	Robinson Dairy Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.18 so that water proposed to be transferred is assessed on a case-by-case basis rather than requiring the 50 percent of water to be surrendered.
08.04.18	PC7-95.14	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.18 as follows: b. requiring, in over-allocated Surface Water Allocation Zones and except where the water is to be used for community supply or stock drinking water, that 50 percent of the <u>actual use rate of take or actual use volume of</u> water proposed to be transferred is surrendered and not re-allocated, <u>based on the average of the actual allowable take during the preceding five-year period or part thereof.</u>
08.04.18	PC7-428.3	Fulton Hogan Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.18 as follows: b. requiring, in over-allocated Surface Water Allocation Zones and except where the water is to be used for community supply or stock drinking water <u>or gravel extraction and ancillary activities</u> , that 50 percent of the water proposed to be transferred is surrendered and not re-allocated.
08.04.18	PC7-356.50	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.18 as follows: 'requiring, in over-allocated Surface Water Allocation Zones and except where the water is to be used for community supply or , stock drinking water, <u>and rootstock and crop survival water</u> that 50 percent of the water proposed to be transferred is surrendered and not re-allocated.'
08.04.18	PC7-404.18	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.18 as follows: 'b. requiring, in over-allocated Surface Water Allocation Zones and except where the water is to be used for community supply, <u>baseline commercial vegetable growing areas</u> or stock drinking water, that 50 percent of the water proposed to be transferred is surrendered and not re-allocated.'
08.04.19	PC7-113.16	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.19 as notified.
08.04.19	PC7-160.59	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.19 as notified.
08.04.19	PC7-273.2	Carleton Daries Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.19 as notified.
08.04.19	PC7-193.16	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.19 as notified.
08.04.19	PC7-357.12	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.19 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.19	PC7-289.24	Bay, P S	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.19 as notified.
08.04.19	PC7-96.5	Rangvet Ltd (Rangiora Vet Centre)	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.19 as notified.
08.04.19	PC7-430.81	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.19 as notified.
08.04.19	PC7-226.2	Koroa Group Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.19 as notified.
08.04.19	PC7-415.52	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.19 as notified.
08.04.19	PC7-255.2	McAllister, C & S	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.19 as notified.
08.04.19	PC7-179.10	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.19 as notified.
08.04.19	PC7-437.1	Scottville Farm	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.19 as notified.
08.04.19	PC7-372.41	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.19 as notified.
08.04.19	PC7-356.51	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.19 as notified.
08.04.19	PC7-337.88	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.19 to provide for TSA as a non-consumptive take, or alternatively insert provision for TSA to the groundwater allocation tables.
08.04.19	PC7-349.1	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.19 as follows: b. adverse effects on Ngāi Tahu values, including those associated with unnatural mixing of water, are avoided <u>or mitigated as far as practicable</u> ; and
08.04.19	PC7-425.6	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.19 as follows: b. adverse effects on Ngāi Tahu values, including those associated with unnatural mixing of water, are avoided <u>where it is practicable to do so, as far as practicable or otherwise remedied or mitigated to minimise adverse effects</u> ; and
08.04.19	PC7-433.2	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.19 as follows: b. adverse effects on Ngāi Tahu values, including those associated with unnatural mixing of water, are avoided <u>as far as practicable or mitigated</u> .
08.04.19	PC7-472.86	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.19 as follows: b. adverse effects on Ngāi Tahu values, including those associated with unnatural mixing of water, are avoided <u>as far as practicable</u> ; and
08.04.19	PC7-405.4	Tallott, S & J	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.19 as follows: b. adverse effects on Ngāi Tahu values, including those associated with unnatural mixing of water, are avoided <u>or mitigated as far as practicable</u> ; and
08.04.19	PC7-472.87	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 8.4.19 as follows: d. the inundation of existing wetlands is avoided <u>or mitigated</u> ; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.19	PC7-472.89	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (e) of Policy 8.4.19 as follows: e. any adverse effects on fish passage are avoided or mitigated ; and
08.04.19	PC7-472.88	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (g) of Policy 8.4.19 as follows: g. there is no net loss, including through inundation, of avoid adverse effects on significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna; and
08.04.19	PC7-88.109	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (h) of Policy 8.4.19 as follows: h. adverse effects on people, property and drainage systems from higher flows are avoided or mitigated.
08.04.20	PC7-113.17	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.20 as notified.
08.04.20	PC7-160.60	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.20 as notified.
08.04.20	PC7-95.15	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.20 as notified.
08.04.20	PC7-357.13	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.20 as notified.
08.04.20	PC7-289.25	Bay, P S	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.20 as notified.
08.04.20	PC7-226.3	Koroa Group Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.20 as notified.
08.04.20	PC7-415.53	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.20 as notified.
08.04.20	PC7-179.11	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.20 as notified.
08.04.20	PC7-337.89	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.20 as notified
08.04.20	PC7-437.2	Scottville Farm	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.20 as notified.
08.04.20	PC7-372.42	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.20 as notified.
08.04.20	PC7-356.52	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.20 as notified.
08.04.20	PC7-430.83	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.20 in its entirety. OR Amend Policy 8.4.20 as follows: Ecological benefits from the discharge of water from targeted stream augmentation into a surface water body are protected by avoiding, in all circumstances, abstraction of that discharged water, <u>unless the purpose of the augmentation, wholly or in part, is to increase reliability of supply.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.20	PC7-349.2	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.20 as follows: Ecological The benefits from the discharge of water from targeted stream augmentation into a surface water body <u>for ecological purposes</u> are protected by avoiding, in all circumstances, abstraction of that discharged water.
08.04.20	PC7-425.7	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.20 as follows: Ecological The benefits from the discharge of water from targeted stream augmentation into a surface water body <u>for ecological purposes</u> are protected by avoiding, in all circumstances, abstraction of that discharged water.
08.04.20	PC7-405.5	Tallott, S & J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.20 as follows: Ecological The benefits from the discharge of water from targeted stream augmentation into a surface water body <u>for ecological purposes</u> are protected by avoiding, in all circumstances, abstraction of that discharged water.'
08.04.21	PC7-113.18	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.21 as notified.
08.04.21	PC7-160.61	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.21 as notified.
08.04.21	PC7-289.26	Bay, P S	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.21 as notified.
08.04.21	PC7-430.82	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.21 as notified.
08.04.21	PC7-226.4	Koroa Group Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.21 as notified.
08.04.21	PC7-415.54	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.21 as notified.
08.04.21	PC7-179.12	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.21 as notified.
08.04.21	PC7-437.3	Scottville Farm	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.21 as notified.
08.04.21	PC7-372.43	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.21 as notified.
08.04.21	PC7-356.53	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.21 as notified.
08.04.22	PC7-95.16	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.22 as notified.
08.04.22	PC7-349.3	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.22 as notified.
08.04.22	PC7-337.90	Christchurch City Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.22 as notified.
08.04.22	PC7-405.6	Tallott, S & J	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.22 as notified.
08.04.22	PC7-148.13	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.22 to remove the phrase "system used to convey water" owned or operated by Waimakariri District Council (which has no definition) and replace it with " <u>artificial watercourse used for irrigation and/or stock water</u> " to exclude other systems such as urban stormwater and wastewater networks.
08.04.22	PC7-42.14	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.22 to remove the phrase "system used to convey water" owned or operated by Waimakariri District Council (which has no definition) and replace it with " <u>artificial watercourse used for irrigation and/or stock water</u> " to exclude other systems such as urban stormwater and wastewater networks.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.22	PC7-149.14	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.22 to remove the phrase "system used to convey water" owned or operated by Waimakariri District Council (which has no definition) and replace it with " <u>artificial watercourse used for irrigation and/or stock water</u> " to exclude other systems such as urban stormwater and wastewater networks.
08.04.22	PC7-3.11	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.22 to remove the phrase "system used to convey water" owned or operated by Waimakariri District Council (which has no definition) and replace it with " <u>artificial watercourse used for irrigation and/or stock water</u> " to exclude other systems such as urban stormwater and wastewater networks.
08.04.22	PC7-107.14	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.22 to remove the phrase "system used to convey water" owned or operated by Waimakariri District Council (which has no definition) and replace it with " <u>artificial watercourse used for irrigation and/or stock water</u> " to exclude other systems such as urban stormwater and wastewater networks.
08.04.22	PC7-357.14	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.22 to insert a new clause as follows: <u>In the event of a conflict between Schedule 24 and/or the ECan portal, Policy 8.4.22 shall prevail.</u>
08.04.22	PC7-430.84	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.22 as follows: Any decision on a proposal to maximise efficient use in accordance with Policy 4.69 associated with the alteration of a system used to convey water owned or operated by Waimakariri District Council or an irrigation scheme, takes into account: ... <u>Any moves to limit the development of more efficient conveyance of water must be made in consultation with, and with the agreement of, the owners of the infrastructure.</u>
08.04.23	PC7-357.15	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.23 as notified.
08.04.23	PC7-430.85	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.23 as notified.
08.04.23	PC7-273.3	Carleton Daries Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.23 in its entirety.
08.04.23	PC7-349.4	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.23 in its entirety.
08.04.23	PC7-255.3	McAllister, C & S	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.23 in its entirety.
08.04.23	PC7-405.7	Tallott, S & J	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.23 in its entirety.
08.04.23	PC7-179.5	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.23 as follows: Where a property is supplied with water by an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier, applications to take and use additional water are only granted where the applicant demonstrates that water supplied to the property by the irrigation scheme is being used efficiently and to the fullest extent possible.
08.04.23	PC7-179.16	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.23 as follows: Where a property is supplied with water by an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier, applications to take and use additional water are only granted where the applicant demonstrates that water supplied to the property by the irrigation scheme is being used efficiently and to the fullest extent possible.
08.04.24	PC7-349.5	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.24 in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.24	PC7-335.1	Neil Kerr Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.24 in its entirety.
08.04.24	PC7-179.6	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.24 in its entirety.
08.04.24	PC7-405.8	Tallott, S & J	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.24 in its entirety.
08.04.24	PC7-133.1	Sparrow Family Trust and Mary Sparrow	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.24 to add further criteria to be taken into account in addition to past water records, including the level of water use in dry seasons, the land type in relation to providing the efficient use of water, the land use prevailing at the time of the replacement of the consent and other previous and potential future patterns of water use on the property, and location of the take within the relevant groundwater allocation zone.
08.04.24	PC7-430.86	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.24 as follows: When determining an efficient allocation (in accordance with Schedule 10) for the replacement of a lawfully established permit to take and use water for irrigation affected by the provisions of section 124-124C of the RMA, consider records of past water use <u>use the methodologies in Schedule 10.</u>
08.04.24	PC7-472.90	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.24 to signal that reviews could reduce allocation over time to achieve environmental outcomes.
08.04.25	PC7-16.1	Zest Biotech	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.25 as notified.
08.04.25	PC7-95.17	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.25 as notified.
08.04.25	PC7-193.17	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.25 as notified.
08.04.25	PC7-349.7	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.25 as notified, subject to the relief sought for Table 8-9 within the submission.
08.04.25	PC7-357.16	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.25 as notified, subject to amendments sought for Table 8-9.
08.04.25	PC7-289.35	Bay, P S	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.25 as notified.
08.04.25	PC7-425.8	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.25 as notified, subject to amendments sought within submission for Table 8-9.
08.04.25	PC7-415.58	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain the qualification in Policy 8.4.25 that no further reductions are required beyond 3 kg of nitrogen per hectare for dairy and 1 kg for other farming activities as notified.
08.04.25	PC7-324.61	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Support the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030.
08.04.25	PC7-329.5	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Support 15% reductions to 2030 with no specific decision requested.
08.04.25	PC7-399.14	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.25 as notified.
08.04.25	PC7-405.10	Tallott, S & J	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.25 as notified, subject to the relief sought for Table 8-9 within the submission.
08.04.25	PC7-430.88	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain clause (b) of Policy 8.4.25 as notified, subject to the requested amendments to Table 8-9.
08.04.25	PC7-179.17	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Support	Retain the provision in clause (b) of Policy 8.4.25 as notified that no further reductions will be required when the individual property reduction is less than 3 kg of nitrogen per hectare for dairy and 1 kg of nitrogen per hectare for dairy and 1 kg for other farming activities.
08.04.25	PC7-177.10	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.25 in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.25	PC7-214.74	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.25 in its entirety and replace with the framework proposed in submission point PC7-214.81.
08.04.25	PC7-199.1	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.25 in its entirety.
08.04.25	PC7-202.1	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.25 in its entirety.
08.04.25	PC7-483.1	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.25 in its entirety.
08.04.25	PC7-230.2	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.25 in its entirety.
08.04.25	PC7-260.1	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.25 in its entirety.
08.04.25	PC7-353.1	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.25 in its entirety.
08.04.25	PC7-442.1	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.25 in its entirety.
08.04.25	PC7-234.3	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.25 in its entirety.
08.04.25	PC7-274.3	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.25 in its entirety.
08.04.25	PC7-178.1	Taggart Farms Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.25 in its entirety.
08.04.25	PC7-368.6	Knightlea Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.25 in its entirety.
08.04.25	PC7-421.5	Mehrtens, J F	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 8.4.25.
08.04.25	PC7-413.3	Hawkins, A	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 8.4.25 and apply any subsequent changes required.
08.04.25	PC7-387.22	As One Incorporated	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 8.4.25.
08.04.25	PC7-415.29	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Policy 8.4.25 to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.04.25	PC7-179.30	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.04.25	PC7-139.15	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to delete all reference to nitrate priority areas and Table 8.9.
08.04.25	PC7-136.1	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.4.25 to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.04.25	PC7-113.1	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to remove the requirement for reductions in Table 8-9 after January 2030.
08.04.25	PC7-219.1	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
08.04.25	PC7-229.2	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to clarify the starting point for reductions
08.04.25	PC7-229.10	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.25	PC7-289.1	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.25	PC7-441.10	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to consider the appropriateness of the management of activities (i.e. winter grazing) via FEPs compared to planning tools.
08.04.25	PC7-96.6	Rangvet Ltd (Rangiora Vet Centre)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to remove the long term reductions and require 15% reduction for dairy and 5% for all other below GMP by 2030.
08.04.25	PC7-96.12	Rangvet Ltd (Rangiora Vet Centre)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to focus on practical solutions and environmental outcomes through the farm environment plan process.
08.04.25	PC7-96.13	Rangvet Ltd (Rangiora Vet Centre)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to facilitate localised catchment management to improve water quality and biodiversity.
08.04.25	PC7-406.5	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to apply an adaptive approach.
08.04.25	PC7-333.4	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.25	PC7-216.11	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.04.25	PC7-363.1	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.25	PC7-255.4	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to focus on a catchment wide approach for all farms in the Waimakariri district with a 15% reduction for dairy and 5% reduction for all others below GMP by 2030 and remove long term reductions.
08.04.25	PC7-255.9	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to focus on practical solutions and environmental outcomes through farm environment plan process.
08.04.25	PC7-255.10	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to facilitate localised catchment management and waterway restoration projects to improve water quality and biodiversity (e.g. improved riverbed and drain management to replace gorse, broom and willows with indigenous species).
08.04.25	PC7-324.19	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to take into account historic investments.
08.04.25	PC7-324.40	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.04.25	PC7-324.82	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.04.25	PC7-324.103	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.04.25	PC7-337.95	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to specify the method(s) by which nitrate levels will be monitored and the frequency with which monitoring will be undertaken in order to determine progress towards meeting limits/targets in Tables 8-5 to 8-8.
08.04.25	PC7-374.17	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.04.25	PC7-374.38	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.04.25	PC7-374.53	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to remove requirement for reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.25	PC7-374.58	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.04.25	PC7-329.6	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 2030.
08.04.25	PC7-329.7	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.25	PC7-437.19	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.04.25	PC7-317.1	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.04.25	PC7-372.21	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.04.25	PC7-195.5	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.25	PC7-57.1	McLachlan, C	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to shorten the time period for nitrate reductions.
08.04.25	PC7-57.2	McLachlan, C	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to significantly increase the overall reduction required (e.g. the 30% reduction in current levels for sub area A).
08.04.25	PC7-57.3	McLachlan, C	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to lower the proposed nitrate levels in water to a maximum level of 2.4 mg/L.
08.04.25	PC7-369.3	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.25	PC7-369.4	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.04.25	PC7-406.26	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.25	PC7-406.46	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.04.25	PC7-216.31	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 so reductions are financially viable.
08.04.25	PC7-333.28	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.04.25	PC7-337.157	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to specify/clarify how the targets in Table 8-5, 8-6, 8-7 and 8.8 will apply to a resource consent application on an individual basis.
08.04.25	PC7-337.158	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to provide greater policy support for the targets identified in Tables 8-5, 8-6, 8-7, and 8-8, (similar to the last part of Policy 8.4.28A) including a requirement to minimise the loss or discharge of contaminants to achieve the outcomes sought in the tables.
08.04.25	PC7-430.87	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete clause (a) of Policy 8.4.25 in its entirety, or amend to adopt the Plan Change 5 winter grazing thresholds.
08.04.25	PC7-172.2	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 8.4.25 to exclude the Ashley/Rakahuri Fresh Water Management Unit or the Orange Nutrient Allocation zone OR specifically only include the Nitrate Priority Area or the Red Nutrient Allocation Zone.
08.04.25	PC7-114.67	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.25 as follows: b. requiring within the Nitrate Priority Area, further reductions in nitrogen loss from farming activities (including farming activities managed by an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier) <u>are required to contribute to the achievement of the nitrate-nitrogen and total nitrogen targets specified in Tables 8-5, 8-6 and 8-8 and, by 2030, for nitrogen losses from dairy farming activities to be reduced by 15% and from all other farming activities by 5% in accordance with Table 8-9</u> , provided that any further stage of reduction required is greater than 3 kg of nitrogen per hectare per year for dairy, or 1 kg of nitrogen per hectare per year for all other farming activities.
08.04.26	PC7-16.2	Zest Biotech	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.26 as notified.
08.04.26	PC7-160.62	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.26 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.26	PC7-425.9	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.26 as notified, subject to amendments sought within submission for Table 8-9.
08.04.26	PC7-430.89	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.26 as notified, subject to the requested amendments to Table 8-9.
08.04.26	PC7-329.8	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Policy 8.4.26 as notified.
08.04.26	PC7-399.15	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain in Policy 8.4.26 the requirements for staged reductions in Table 8-9 as notified.
08.04.26	PC7-214.75	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.26 in its entirety and replace with the framework proposed in submission point PC7-214.81.
08.04.26	PC7-199.2	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.26 in its entirety.
08.04.26	PC7-202.2	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.26 in its entirety.
08.04.26	PC7-483.2	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.26 in its entirety.
08.04.26	PC7-230.3	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.26 in its entirety.
08.04.26	PC7-260.2	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.26 in its entirety.
08.04.26	PC7-353.2	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.26 in its entirety.
08.04.26	PC7-442.2	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.26 in its entirety.
08.04.26	PC7-234.4	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.26 in its entirety.
08.04.26	PC7-274.4	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.26 in its entirety.
08.04.26	PC7-178.2	Taggart Farms Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.26 in its entirety.
08.04.26	PC7-421.6	Mehrtens, J F	Oppose	Delete clause (c) of Policy 8.4.26.
08.04.26	PC7-413.4	Hawkins, A	Oppose	Delete clause (c) of Policy 8.4.26.
08.04.26	PC7-387.25	As One Incorporated	Oppose	Delete clause (c) of Policy 8.4.26 .
08.04.26	PC7-415.30	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Policy 8.4.26 to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.04.26	PC7-179.31	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.04.26	PC7-139.16	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to delete all reference to nitrate priority areas and Table 8.9.
08.04.26	PC7-136.2	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.04.26	PC7-113.2	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to remove the requirement for reductions in Table 8-9 after January 2030.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.26	PC7-219.2	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
08.04.26	PC7-229.3	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.04.26	PC7-229.11	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.04.26	PC7-289.2	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.26	PC7-406.6	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to apply an adaptive approach.
08.04.26	PC7-333.5	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.26	PC7-172.4	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to replace Baseline GMP Loss Rate with Baseline Loss Rate.
08.04.26	PC7-216.12	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.04.26	PC7-363.2	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.26	PC7-324.20	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to take into account historic investments.
08.04.26	PC7-324.41	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.04.26	PC7-324.83	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.04.26	PC7-324.104	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.04.26	PC7-337.96	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to specify the method(s) by which nitrate levels will be monitored and the frequency with which monitoring will be undertaken in order to determine progress towards meeting limits/targets in Tables 8-5 to 8-8.
08.04.26	PC7-374.18	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.04.26	PC7-374.39	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.04.26	PC7-374.59	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.04.26	PC7-329.9	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.04.26	PC7-329.10	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions.
08.04.26	PC7-437.20	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.04.26	PC7-317.2	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.04.26	PC7-372.22	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.04.26	PC7-195.6	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.26	PC7-369.5	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.26	PC7-369.6	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.04.26	PC7-406.27	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.26	PC7-406.47	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.04.26	PC7-216.32	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 so reductions are financially viable.
08.04.26	PC7-333.29	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.04.26	PC7-337.159	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to specify/clarify how the targets in Table 8-5, 8-6, 8-7 and 8.8 will apply to a resource consent application on an individual basis.
08.04.26	PC7-337.160	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.26 to provide greater policy support for the targets identified in Tables 8-5, 8-6, 8-7, and 8-8, (similar to the last part of Policy 8.4.28A) including a requirement to minimise the loss or discharge of contaminants to achieve the outcomes sought in the tables.
08.04.26	PC7-172.3	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 8.4.26 to exclude the Ashley / Rakahuri Fresh Water Management Unit or the Orange Nutrient Allocation zone OR specifically only include the Nitrate Priority Area or the Red Nutrient Allocation Zone.
08.04.26	PC7-172.22	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.26 to exclude the Ashley / Rakahuri Fresh Water Management Unit or the Orange Nutrient Allocation zone OR specifically only include the Nitrate Priority Area or the Red Nutrient Allocation Zone.
08.04.26	PC7-114.68	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 8.4.26 as follows: c. for properties within the Nitrate Priority Area, the applicant demonstrates through actions and a timeframe set out in the Farm Environment Plan, how any further <u>nitrogen loss</u> reductions <u>will be implemented to contribute to the achievement of the nitrate-nitrogen and total nitrogen targets specified in Tables 8-5, 8-6 and 8-8 and, by 2030, for nitrogen losses from dairy farming activities to be reduced by 15% and from all other farming activities by 5% required by Table 8-9 will be achieved.</u>
08.04.26	PC7-357.17	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 8.4.26 as follows: c. for properties within the Nitrate Priority Area, the applicant demonstrates through actions and a timeframe set out in the Farm Environment Plan, how <u>steps towards any further stage 1</u> reductions required by Table 8-9 will be achieved.
08.04.26	PC7-172.23	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 8.4.26 to exclude the Ashley / Rakahuri Fresh Water Management Unit or the Orange Nutrient Allocation zone OR specifically only include the Nitrate Priority Area or the Red Nutrient Allocation Zone.
08.04.27	PC7-16.3	Zest Biotech	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.27 as notified
08.04.27	PC7-177.2	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.27 as notified.
08.04.27	PC7-425.10	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.27 as notified.
08.04.27	PC7-430.90	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.27 as notified.
08.04.27	PC7-226.9	Koroa Group Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.27 as notified.
08.04.27	PC7-197.16	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.27 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.27	PC7-324.63	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Policy 8.4.27 as notified.
08.04.27	PC7-433.5	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.27 as notified.
08.04.27	PC7-329.11	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Policy 8.4.27 as notified.
08.04.27	PC7-399.16	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain in Policy 8.4.27 the requirements for staged reductions in Table 8-9 as notified.
08.04.27	PC7-368.7	Knightlea Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.27 as notified.
08.04.27	PC7-214.76	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.27 in its entirety and replace with the framework proposed in submission point PC7-214.81.
08.04.27	PC7-199.3	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.27 in its entirety.
08.04.27	PC7-202.3	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.27 in its entirety.
08.04.27	PC7-483.3	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.27 in its entirety.
08.04.27	PC7-230.4	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.27 in its entirety.
08.04.27	PC7-260.3	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.27 in its entirety.
08.04.27	PC7-421.7	Mehrtens, J F	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.27 in its entirety.
08.04.27	PC7-413.5	Hawkins, A	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.27 in its entirety.
08.04.27	PC7-353.3	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.27 in its entirety.
08.04.27	PC7-442.3	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.27 in its entirety.
08.04.27	PC7-234.5	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.27 in its entirety.
08.04.27	PC7-274.5	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.27 in its entirety.
08.04.27	PC7-178.3	Taggart Farms Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.27 in its entirety.
08.04.27	PC7-387.26	As One Incorporated	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.27 in its entirety.
08.04.27	PC7-415.31	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Policy 8.4.27 to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.04.27	PC7-179.32	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.04.27	PC7-139.17	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to delete all reference to nitrate priority areas and Table 8.9.
08.04.27	PC7-136.3	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.27	PC7-114.69	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 as follows: Where an application for a land use consent for a farming activity demonstrates the nitrogen loss rate reductions required by Policy 8.4.26(c) are unable <u>may not be able to be achieved by the dates specified in Table 8-9</u> , any application for an extension of time to achieve those reductions will be considered having regard to:...
08.04.27	PC7-113.3	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to remove the requirement for reductions in Table 8-9 after January 2030.
08.04.27	PC7-219.3	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
08.04.27	PC7-229.4	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to clarify the starting point for reductions
08.04.27	PC7-229.12	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.04.27	PC7-289.3	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.27	PC7-357.18	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to insert a new clause as follows: <u>f. the extent to which the applicant is affected by additive impacts of increased minimum flows and nitrogen loss rate reductions.</u>
08.04.27	PC7-406.7	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to apply an adaptive approach.
08.04.27	PC7-333.6	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.27	PC7-216.13	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.04.27	PC7-363.3	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.27	PC7-324.21	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to take into account historic investments.
08.04.27	PC7-324.42	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.04.27	PC7-324.84	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.04.27	PC7-324.105	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.04.27	PC7-337.93	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to provide more restricted circumstances and clearer direction on where and why consent will be granted where the nitrogen loss reductions in Table 8-9 cannot be met within the nitrate Policy Area.
08.04.27	PC7-337.97	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to specify the method(s) by which nitrate levels will be monitored and the frequency with which monitoring will be undertaken in order to determine progress towards meeting limits/targets in Tables 8-5 to 8-8.
08.04.27	PC7-374.19	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.04.27	PC7-374.40	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.27	PC7-374.60	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.04.27	PC7-329.12	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.04.27	PC7-329.13	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions.
08.04.27	PC7-437.21	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.04.27	PC7-317.3	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.04.27	PC7-372.23	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.04.27	PC7-195.7	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.27	PC7-369.7	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.25 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.27	PC7-369.8	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.04.27	PC7-406.28	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.27	PC7-406.48	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.04.27	PC7-216.33	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 so reductions are financially viable.
08.04.27	PC7-333.30	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.04.27	PC7-337.161	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to specify/clarify how the targets in Table 8-5, 8-6, 8-7 and 8.8 will apply to a resource consent application on an individual basis.
08.04.27	PC7-337.162	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.27 to provide greater policy support for the targets identified in Tables 8-5, 8-6, 8-7, and 8-8, (similar to the last part of Policy 8.4.28A) including a requirement to minimise the loss or discharge of contaminants to achieve the outcomes sought in the tables.
08.04.27	PC7-114.113	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 8.4.27 as follows: d. the nature, sequencing, measurability, effectiveness and enforceability of any steps proposed to achieve the nitrogen loss rate reductions; and
08.04.27	PC7-337.94	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (e) of Policy 8.4.27 as follows: e. progress made towards achieving nitrate-nitrogen limits and targets in Tables 8-5, 8-6, 8-7 and 8-8.
08.04.28	PC7-16.4	Zest Biotech	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28 as notified.
08.04.28	PC7-114.70	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28 as notified.
08.04.28	PC7-160.40	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28 as notified.
08.04.28	PC7-95.18	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.28	PC7-357.19	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28 as notified.
08.04.28	PC7-425.11	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28 as notified.
08.04.28	PC7-430.91	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28 as notified.
08.04.28	PC7-324.12	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 2030 reductions in Policy 8.4.28 as notified.
08.04.28	PC7-324.64	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 as notified.
08.04.28	PC7-433.6	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28 as notified.
08.04.28	PC7-329.14	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Policy 8.4.28 as notified.
08.04.28	PC7-399.24	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28 as notified.
08.04.28	PC7-113.4	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28 in its entirety.
08.04.28	PC7-214.83	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28 in its entirety and replace with the framework proposed in submission point PC7-214.81.
08.04.28	PC7-199.4	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28 in its entirety.
08.04.28	PC7-483.4	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28 in its entirety.
08.04.28	PC7-230.5	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28 in its entirety.
08.04.28	PC7-260.4	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28 in its entirety.
08.04.28	PC7-353.4	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28 in its entirety.
08.04.28	PC7-442.4	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28 in its entirety.
08.04.28	PC7-234.6	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28 in its entirety.
08.04.28	PC7-274.6	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28 in its entirety.
08.04.28	PC7-178.4	Taggart Farms Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28 in its entirety.
08.04.28	PC7-415.32	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Policy 8.4.28 to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.04.28	PC7-179.33	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.04.28	PC7-136.4	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.04.28	PC7-219.4	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.28	PC7-229.5	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.04.28	PC7-229.13	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.04.28	PC7-266.3	Saunders, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to delete the 5 ha threshold and retain the 10 ha threshold in the operative plan.
08.04.28	PC7-289.4	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.28	PC7-406.8	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to apply an adaptive approach.
08.04.28	PC7-333.7	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.28	PC7-172.5	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to ensure the requirement for audited Farm Environment Plans only applies to farms directly adjoining a river or coastal lake within the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone.
08.04.28	PC7-216.14	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.04.28	PC7-363.4	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.28	PC7-324.14	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Delete the 2040 reductions in Policy 8.4.28.
08.04.28	PC7-324.22	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to take into account historic investments.
08.04.28	PC7-324.43	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.04.28	PC7-324.85	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.04.28	PC7-324.106	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.04.28	PC7-374.20	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.04.28	PC7-374.41	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.04.28	PC7-374.61	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.04.28	PC7-329.15	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.04.28	PC7-329.16	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions.
08.04.28	PC7-437.22	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.04.28	PC7-317.4	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.04.28	PC7-372.24	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.04.28	PC7-195.8	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.28	PC7-369.9	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.28	PC7-369.10	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.04.28	PC7-406.29	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.28	PC7-406.49	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.04.28	PC7-216.34	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 so reductions are financially viable.
08.04.28	PC7-333.31	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28 to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.04.28A	PC7-114.71	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28A as notified.
08.04.28A	PC7-160.41	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28A as notified.
08.04.28A	PC7-95.19	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28A as notified.
08.04.28A	PC7-324.65	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain in Policy 8.4.28A the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 as notified.
08.04.28A	PC7-329.17	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Policy 8.4.28A as notified.
08.04.28A	PC7-399.25	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28A as notified.
08.04.28A	PC7-199.5	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28A in its entirety.
08.04.28A	PC7-202.4	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28A in its entirety.
08.04.28A	PC7-430.92	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28A in its entirety.
08.04.28A	PC7-483.18	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28A in its entirety.
08.04.28A	PC7-260.5	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28A in its entirety.
08.04.28A	PC7-442.5	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28A in its entirety.
08.04.28A	PC7-234.7	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28A in its entirety.
08.04.28A	PC7-274.7	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28A in its entirety.
08.04.28A	PC7-178.5	Taggart Farms Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28A in its entirety.
08.04.28A	PC7-415.33	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Policy 8.4.28A to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.04.28A	PC7-179.34	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.28A	PC7-136.5	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.04.28A	PC7-113.5	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to remove the requirement for reductions in Table 8-9 after January 2030.
08.04.28A	PC7-219.5	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
08.04.28A	PC7-229.6	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.04.28A	PC7-229.14	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.04.28A	PC7-214.84	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to delete the term 'avoid' and replace it with a term that more appropriately reflects the intent of the plan change.
08.04.28A	PC7-289.5	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.28A	PC7-406.9	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to apply an adaptive approach.
08.04.28A	PC7-333.8	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.28A	PC7-216.15	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.04.28A	PC7-363.5	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.28A	PC7-427.2	Todd Property Pegasus Town Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to recognise the difficulties in managing contaminants including stormwater and groundwater inflows and alternative interventions in Lake Pegasus.
08.04.28A	PC7-324.23	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to take into account historic investments.
08.04.28A	PC7-324.44	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.04.28A	PC7-324.86	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.04.28A	PC7-324.107	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.04.28A	PC7-374.21	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.04.28A	PC7-374.42	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.04.28A	PC7-374.62	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.04.28A	PC7-329.18	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to delete nitrate reductions in post 2030.
08.04.28A	PC7-329.19	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions
08.04.28A	PC7-317.5	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.04.28A	PC7-195.9	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.28A	PC7-369.11	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.28A	PC7-369.12	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.04.28A	PC7-148.14	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A as follows: For all activities within the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone, discharges of contaminants to surface water or onto or into land in circumstances where contaminants may enter surface water are avoided as a first priority, and if this is not achievable, the best practicable option is used to minimise the loss of contaminants so as to achieve: ...
08.04.28A	PC7-42.15	Kaiapoi-Tuahivi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A as follows: For all activities within the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone, discharges of contaminants to surface water or onto or into land in circumstances where contaminants may enter surface water are avoided as a first priority, and if this is not achievable, the best practicable option is used to minimise the loss of contaminants so as to achieve:
08.04.28A	PC7-149.15	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A as follows: For all activities within the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone, discharges of contaminants to surface water or onto or into land in circumstances where contaminants may enter surface water are avoided as a first priority, and if this is not achievable, the best practicable option is used to minimise the loss of contaminants so as to achieve:
08.04.28A	PC7-3.12	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A as follows: For all activities within the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone, discharges of contaminants to surface water or onto or into land in circumstances where contaminants may enter surface water are avoided as a first priority, and if this is not achievable, the best practicable option is used to minimise the loss of contaminants so as to achieve:
08.04.28A	PC7-107.15	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A as follows: For all activities within the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone, discharges of contaminants to surface water or onto or into land in circumstances where contaminants may enter surface water are avoided as a first priority, and if this is not achievable, the best practicable option is used to minimise the loss of contaminants so as to achieve:
08.04.28A	PC7-406.30	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.28A	PC7-406.50	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.04.28A	PC7-216.35	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A so reductions are financially viable.
08.04.28A	PC7-437.37	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.04.28A	PC7-333.32	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28A to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.04.28B	PC7-114.72	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28B as notified.
08.04.28B	PC7-95.20	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28B as notified.
08.04.28B	PC7-430.93	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28B as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.28B	PC7-324.66	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Policy 8.4.28B as notified.
08.04.28B	PC7-329.20	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Policy 8.4.28B as notified.
08.04.28B	PC7-177.11	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28B in its entirety.
08.04.28B	PC7-214.85	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28B in its entirety.
08.04.28B	PC7-199.6	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28B in its entirety.
08.04.28B	PC7-202.5	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28B in its entirety.
08.04.28B	PC7-483.19	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28B in its entirety.
08.04.28B	PC7-260.6	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28B in its entirety.
08.04.28B	PC7-442.6	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28B in its entirety.
08.04.28B	PC7-234.8	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28B in its entirety.
08.04.28B	PC7-274.8	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28B in its entirety.
08.04.28B	PC7-178.6	Taggart Farms Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28B in its entirety.
08.04.28B	PC7-368.8	Knightlea Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28B in its entirety.
08.04.28B	PC7-425.12	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 8.4.28B as follows:</p> <p>Provide for the use of an Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate in those limited circumstances where it is demonstrated that the Farm Portal is unable to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate or the number generated is demonstrated to be erroneous.</p> <p>Additionally, if a definition in Section 2.9 is not added (as sought elsewhere in this submission), insert criteria within Policy 8.4.28B that identifies when a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate is demonstrated to be erroneous, or other amendments of similar effect.</p>
08.04.28B	PC7-441.12	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-441.11 (Definition of "Erroneous") is rejected, then amend Policy 8.4.28B to provide criteria that identifies when a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate is demonstrated to be erroneous, or other amendment to similar effect.
08.04.28B	PC7-415.34	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Policy 8.4.28B to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.04.28B	PC7-433.37	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to add criteria that identifies when a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate is demonstrated to be erroneous; or other amendments to a similar effect (if a new definition of erroneous isn't added as per PC7-433.8).
08.04.28B	PC7-179.35	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.04.28B	PC7-136.6	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.28B	PC7-113.6	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to remove the requirement for reductions in Table 8-9 after January 2030.
08.04.28B	PC7-219.6	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
08.04.28B	PC7-229.7	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.04.28B	PC7-229.15	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.04.28B	PC7-289.6	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.28B	PC7-357.20	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B as follows: Provide for the use of an Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate in those limited circumstances where it is demonstrated that the Farm Portal is unable to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate or the number generated is demonstrated to be erroneous.
08.04.28B	PC7-357.21	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to insert criteria for determining when Farm Portal numbers are 'erroneous' and/or examples of where erroneous numbers may occur (e.g. where there are heavy soils present or when cropping occurs).
08.04.28B	PC7-406.10	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to apply an adaptive approach.
08.04.28B	PC7-333.9	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.28B	PC7-172.6	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B as follows: Provide for the use of an Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate in those limited circumstances where it is demonstrated that the Farm Portal is unable to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate or the number generated is demonstrated to be erroneous.
08.04.28B	PC7-172.7	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to provide an alternate loss rate calculation where the farm portal nitrogen fertiliser proxy is omitted and different set of irrigation management inputs is used.
08.04.28B	PC7-216.16	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.04.28B	PC7-363.6	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.28B	PC7-194.3	Oscar Farming Co Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B so that reductions are achievable.
08.04.28B	PC7-324.24	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to take into account historic investments.
08.04.28B	PC7-324.45	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.04.28B	PC7-324.87	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.04.28B	PC7-324.108	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.28B	PC7-433.7	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B as follows: Provide for the use of an Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate in those limited circumstances where it is demonstrated that the Farm Portal is unable to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate or the number generated is demonstrated to be erroneous.
08.04.28B	PC7-374.22	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.04.28B	PC7-374.43	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.04.28B	PC7-374.63	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.04.28B	PC7-329.21	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.04.28B	PC7-329.22	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions.
08.04.28B	PC7-317.6	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.04.28B	PC7-195.10	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.28B	PC7-369.13	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.28B	PC7-369.14	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.04.28B	PC7-406.31	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.28B	PC7-406.51	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.04.28B	PC7-216.36	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B so reductions are financially viable.
08.04.28B	PC7-437.38	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.04.28B	PC7-333.33	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28B to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.04.28C	PC7-114.73	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28C as notified.
08.04.28C	PC7-441.13	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.28C as notified.
08.04.28C	PC7-324.67	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Policy 8.4.28C as notified.
08.04.28C	PC7-329.23	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Policy 8.4.28C as notified.
08.04.28C	PC7-177.12	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28C in its entirety.
08.04.28C	PC7-349.8	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28C in its entirety.
08.04.28C	PC7-214.86	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28C and replace with the framework proposed in submission point PC7-214.81

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.28C	PC7-199.7	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28C in its entirety.
08.04.28C	PC7-357.22	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28C in its entirety.
08.04.28C	PC7-425.14	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28C in its entirety.
08.04.28C	PC7-202.6	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28C in its entirety.
08.04.28C	PC7-483.20	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28C in its entirety.
08.04.28C	PC7-260.7	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28C in its entirety.
08.04.28C	PC7-433.9	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28C in its entirety.
08.04.28C	PC7-442.7	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28C in its entirety.
08.04.28C	PC7-234.9	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28C in its entirety.
08.04.28C	PC7-274.9	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28C in its entirety.
08.04.28C	PC7-178.7	Taggart Farms Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28C in its entirety.
08.04.28C	PC7-405.11	Tallott, S & J	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28C in its entirety.
08.04.28C	PC7-368.9	Knightlea Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.28C in its entirety.
08.04.28C	PC7-415.35	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Policy 8.4.28C to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.04.28C	PC7-179.36	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.04.28C	PC7-136.7	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.04.28C	PC7-113.7	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to remove the requirement for reductions in Table 8-9 after January 2030.
08.04.28C	PC7-219.7	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
08.04.28C	PC7-95.21	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C as follows: Where resource consent is granted for the use of land for a farming activity and that resource consent restricts the nitrogen loss rate from the farming activity to an Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate, impose conditions that enable a review <u>the immediate replacement of the loss rate</u> in that resource consent when the Farm Portal is able to generate Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate for that farming activity.
08.04.28C	PC7-229.8	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.04.28C	PC7-229.16	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.28C	PC7-289.7	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.28C	PC7-406.11	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to apply an adaptive approach.
08.04.28C	PC7-430.94	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C as follows: Where resource consent is granted for the use of land for a farming activity and that resource consent restricts the nitrogen loss rate from the farming activity to an Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate, impose conditions that enable a review of that resource consent when the Farm Portal is able to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate, <u>which is not erroneous</u> , for that farming activity.
08.04.28C	PC7-333.10	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.28C	PC7-216.17	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.04.28C	PC7-363.7	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.28C	PC7-324.25	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to take into account historic investments.
08.04.28C	PC7-324.46	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.04.28C	PC7-324.88	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.04.28C	PC7-324.109	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.04.28C	PC7-374.23	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.04.28C	PC7-374.44	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.04.28C	PC7-374.64	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.04.28C	PC7-329.24	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.04.28C	PC7-329.25	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions.
08.04.28C	PC7-317.7	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.04.28C	PC7-195.11	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.28C	PC7-369.15	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.28C	PC7-369.16	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.04.28C	PC7-406.32	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.28C	PC7-406.52	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to make the starting point for reductions clear.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.28C	PC7-216.37	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C so reductions are financially viable.
08.04.28C	PC7-437.39	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.04.28C	PC7-333.34	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.28C to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.04.29	PC7-16.5	Zest Biotech	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.29 as notified.
08.04.29	PC7-430.95	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.[29] as notified, subject to the requested amendments to Table 8-9.
08.04.29	PC7-324.68	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Policy 8.4.29 as notified.
08.04.29	PC7-329.26	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Policy 8.4.29 as notified.
08.04.29	PC7-399.17	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain requirements for staged reductions in Table 8-9 in Policy 8.4.29 as notified.
08.04.29	PC7-177.13	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.29 in its entirety.
08.04.29	PC7-199.8	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.29 in its entirety.
08.04.29	PC7-202.7	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.29 in its entirety.
08.04.29	PC7-483.5	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.29 in its entirety.
08.04.29	PC7-230.6	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.29 in its entirety.
08.04.29	PC7-260.8	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.29 in its entirety.
08.04.29	PC7-353.5	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.29 in its entirety.
08.04.29	PC7-234.10	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.29 in its entirety.
08.04.29	PC7-274.10	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.29 in its entirety.
08.04.29	PC7-178.8	Taggart Farms Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.29 in its entirety.
08.04.29	PC7-368.10	Knightlea Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.29 in its entirety.
08.04.29	PC7-415.36	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Policy 8.4.29 to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.04.29	PC7-179.37	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.04.29	PC7-139.18	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to delete all reference to nitrate priority areas and Table 8.9.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.29	PC7-136.8	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.04.29	PC7-113.8	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to remove the requirement for reductions in Table 8-9 after January 2030.
08.04.29	PC7-219.8	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
08.04.29	PC7-229.9	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.04.29	PC7-229.17	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.04.29	PC7-349.10	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 as follows: Facilitate the achievement of water quality limits within the Waimakariri Sub-region by requiring: a. iii. describe how any nitrogen loss reductions required by Table 8-9 or <u>Table 8-9A</u> will be achieved; and b. ii. the Baseline GMP Loss Rate (or Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met) less any further reductions required by Table 8-9 <u>or Table 8-9A</u> , for land within the Nitrate Priority Area, except that where the nitrogen loss...less any further reductions required by Table 8-9 <u>or Table 8-9A</u> for land within the Nitrate Priority Area.
08.04.29	PC7-289.8	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.29	PC7-406.12	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to apply an adaptive approach.
08.04.29	PC7-333.11	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.29	PC7-216.18	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.04.29	PC7-363.8	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.29	PC7-324.26	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to take into account historic investments.
08.04.29	PC7-324.47	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.04.29	PC7-324.89	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.04.29	PC7-324.110	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.04.29	PC7-374.1	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to remove Table 8-9 and replace with a new rate.
08.04.29	PC7-374.24	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.29	PC7-374.45	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.04.29	PC7-374.65	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.04.29	PC7-329.27	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.04.29	PC7-329.28	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions.
08.04.29	PC7-437.23	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.04.29	PC7-317.8	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.04.29	PC7-372.25	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.04.29	PC7-195.12	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.29	PC7-369.17	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.04.29	PC7-472.91	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to avoid audited self-management and ensure schemes are held accountable for the nutrient discharges in their command areas.
08.04.29	PC7-472.92	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to require much tougher reductions in the Nitrate Priority Areas.
08.04.29	PC7-114.107	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to delete reference to Table 8-9 as a consequential amendment of submission point PC7-114.99.
08.04.29	PC7-148.26	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 8.4.29 by removing the term "principal water supplier" as follows:</p> <p>Facilitate the achievement of water quality limits within the Waimakariri sub-region by requiring:</p> <p>a. any resource consent application for the discharge of nutrients submitted by an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier to:</p> <p>i. describe the methods that will be used to implement the Good Management Practices on any land supplied with water from the scheme or principal water supplier; and</p> <p>ii. describe whether the irrigation scheme or principal water supplier intends to manage nutrient losses within their command area on an aggregated basis or on a 'property by property' basis; and</p> <p>iii. describe how any nitrogen loss reductions required by Table 8-9 will be achieved; and</p> <p>b. discharge permits granted to irrigation schemes or principal water suppliers to be subject to conditions that restrict the total nitrogen loss to a limit not exceeding:</p> <p>i. the Baseline GMP Loss Rate (or Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met), for land within the Waimakariri sub-region but outside the Nitrate Priority Area; and</p> <p>ii. the Baseline GMP Loss Rate (or Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met) less any further reductions required by Table 8-9, for land within the Nitrate Priority Area, except that where the nitrogen loss from the land is authorised by a condition on an existing water permit or discharge permit granted to an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier, and intensification on that land or change of land use occurred prior to 20 July 2019, the new discharge permit is to include a condition that limits the nitrogen loss to a rate that not greater than the aggregated Good Management Practice Loss Rate (or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met) less any further reductions required by Table 8-9 for land within the Nitrate Priority Area.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.29	PC7-42.28	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 8.4.29 by removing the term "principal water supplier" as follows:</p> <p>Facilitate the achievement of water quality limits within the Waimakariri sub-region by requiring:</p> <p>a. any resource consent application for the discharge of nutrients submitted by an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier to:</p> <p>i. describe the methods that will be used to implement the Good Management Practices on any land supplied with water from the scheme or principal water supplier; and</p> <p>ii. describe whether the irrigation scheme or principal water supplier intends to manage nutrient losses within their command area on an aggregated basis or on a 'property by property' basis; and</p> <p>iii. describe how any nitrogen loss reductions required by Table 8-9 will be achieved; and</p> <p>b. discharge permits granted to irrigation schemes or principal water suppliers to be subject to conditions that restrict the total nitrogen loss to a limit not exceeding:</p> <p>i. the Baseline GMP Loss Rate (or Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met), for land within the Waimakariri sub-region but outside the Nitrate Priority Area; and</p> <p>ii. the Baseline GMP Loss Rate (or Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met) less any further reductions required by Table 8-9, for land within the Nitrate Priority Area, except that where the nitrogen loss from the land is authorised by a condition on an existing water permit or discharge permit granted to an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier, and intensification on that land or change of land use occurred prior to 20 July 2019, the new discharge permit is to include a condition that limits the nitrogen loss to a rate that not greater than the aggregated Good Management Practice Loss Rate (or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met) less any further reductions required by Table 8-9 for land within the Nitrate Priority Area.</p>
08.04.29	PC7-149.28	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 8.4.29 by removing the term "principal water supplier" as follows:</p> <p>Facilitate the achievement of water quality limits within the Waimakariri sub-region by requiring:</p> <p>a. any resource consent application for the discharge of nutrients submitted by an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier to:</p> <p>i. describe the methods that will be used to implement the Good Management Practices on any land supplied with water from the scheme or principal water supplier; and</p> <p>ii. describe whether the irrigation scheme or principal water supplier intends to manage nutrient losses within their command area on an aggregated basis or on a 'property by property' basis; and</p> <p>iii. describe how any nitrogen loss reductions required by Table 8-9 will be achieved; and</p> <p>b. discharge permits granted to irrigation schemes or principal water suppliers to be subject to conditions that restrict the total nitrogen loss to a limit not exceeding:</p> <p>i. the Baseline GMP Loss Rate (or Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met), for land within the Waimakariri sub-region but outside the Nitrate Priority Area; and</p> <p>ii. the Baseline GMP Loss Rate (or Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met) less any further reductions required by Table 8-9, for land within the Nitrate Priority Area, except that where the nitrogen loss from the land is authorised by a condition on an existing water permit or discharge permit granted to an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier, and intensification on that land or change of land use occurred prior to 20 July 2019, the new discharge permit is to include a condition that limits the nitrogen loss to a rate that not greater than the aggregated Good Management Practice Loss Rate (or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met) less any further reductions required by Table 8-9 for land within the Nitrate Priority Area.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.29	PC7-3.25	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 8.4.29 by removing the term "principal water supplier" as follows:</p> <p>Facilitate the achievement of water quality limits within the Waimakariri sub-region by requiring:</p> <p>a. any resource consent application for the discharge of nutrients submitted by an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier to:</p> <p>i. describe the methods that will be used to implement the Good Management Practices on any land supplied with water from the scheme or principal water supplier; and</p> <p>ii. describe whether the irrigation scheme or principal water supplier intends to manage nutrient losses within their command area on an aggregated basis or on a 'property by property' basis; and</p> <p>iii. describe how any nitrogen loss reductions required by Table 8-9 will be achieved; and</p> <p>b. discharge permits granted to irrigation schemes or principal water suppliers to be subject to conditions that restrict the total nitrogen loss to a limit not exceeding:</p> <p>i. the Baseline GMP Loss Rate (or Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met), for land within the Waimakariri sub-region but outside the Nitrate Priority Area; and</p> <p>ii. the Baseline GMP Loss Rate (or Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met) less any further reductions required by Table 8-9, for land within the Nitrate Priority Area, except that where the nitrogen loss from the land is authorised by a condition on an existing water permit or discharge permit granted to an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier, and intensification on that land or change of land use occurred prior to 20 July 2019, the new discharge permit is to include a condition that limits the nitrogen loss to a rate that not greater than the aggregated Good Management Practice Loss Rate (or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met) less any further reductions required by Table 8-9 for land within the Nitrate Priority Area.</p>
08.04.29	PC7-107.28	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 8.4.29 by removing the term "principal water supplier" as follows:</p> <p>Facilitate the achievement of water quality limits within the Waimakariri sub-region by requiring:</p> <p>a. any resource consent application for the discharge of nutrients submitted by an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier to:</p> <p>i. describe the methods that will be used to implement the Good Management Practices on any land supplied with water from the scheme or principal water supplier; and</p> <p>ii. describe whether the irrigation scheme or principal water supplier intends to manage nutrient losses within their command area on an aggregated basis or on a 'property by property' basis; and</p> <p>iii. describe how any nitrogen loss reductions required by Table 8-9 will be achieved; and</p> <p>b. discharge permits granted to irrigation schemes or principal water suppliers to be subject to conditions that restrict the total nitrogen loss to a limit not exceeding:</p> <p>i. the Baseline GMP Loss Rate (or Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met), for land within the Waimakariri sub-region but outside the Nitrate Priority Area; and</p> <p>ii. the Baseline GMP Loss Rate (or Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met) less any further reductions required by Table 8-9, for land within the Nitrate Priority Area, except that where the nitrogen loss from the land is authorised by a condition on an existing water permit or discharge permit granted to an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier, and intensification on that land or change of land use occurred prior to 20 July 2019, the new discharge permit is to include a condition that limits the nitrogen loss to a rate that not greater than the aggregated Good Management Practice Loss Rate (or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met) less any further reductions required by Table 8-9 for land within the Nitrate Priority Area.</p>
08.04.29	PC7-406.33	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.04.29	PC7-406.53	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to make the starting point for reductions clear.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.29	PC7-387.27	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 as follows: Facilitate the achievement of water quality limits within the Waimakariri Sub-region by requiring: ... except that where the nitrogen loss from the land is authorised by a condition on an existing water permit or discharge permit granted to an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier, and intensification on that land or change of land use occurred prior to 20 July 2019, the new discharge permit is to include a condition that limits the nitrogen loss to a rate that not greater than the aggregated Good Management Practice Loss Rate (or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met) less any further reductions required by Table 8-9 for land within the Nitrate Priority Area.
08.04.29	PC7-216.38	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 so reductions are financially viable.
08.04.29	PC7-333.35	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.04.29	PC7-405.13	Tallott, S & J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.29 as follows: 'Facilitate the achievement of water quality limits within the Waimakariri Sub-region by requiring: a. iii. describe how any nitrogen loss reductions required by Table 8-9 or <u>Table 8-9A</u> will be achieved; and b. ii. the Baseline GMP Loss Rate (or Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met) less any further reductions required by Table 8-9 <u>or Table 8-9A</u> , for land within the Nitrate Priority Area, except that where the nitrogen loss...less any further reductions required by Table 8-9 <u>or Table 8-9A</u> for land within the Nitrate Priority Area.'
08.04.29	PC7-357.23	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 8.4.29 as follows: a. ... i. describe the <u>range of</u> methods that will be used to implement the Good Management Practices on any land supplied with water from the scheme or principal water supplier; and ii. ... iii. describe how any <u>the next stage of</u> nitrogen loss reductions required by Table 8-9 will be achieved; and
08.04.29	PC7-387.52	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 8.4.29 as follows: a. any resource consent application for the discharge of nutrients submitted by an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier to: i. describe the methods that will be used to implement the Good Management Practices on any land supplied with water from the scheme or principal water supplier; and ii. describe whether the irrigation scheme or principal water supplier intends to manage nutrient losses within their command area on an aggregated basis or on a 'property by property' basis; and iii. describe how any nitrogen loss reductions required by Table 8-9 will be achieved; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.29	PC7-387.53	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.29 as follows [Note: submitter refers to clause (d) of Policy 8.4.29, however clause (d) does not exist. Based on the text and amendments provided, it is assumed the submitter seeks to amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.29]: b. discharge permits granted to irrigation schemes or principal water suppliers to be subject to conditions that restrict the total nitrogen loss to a limit not exceeding: i. the Baseline GMP Loss Rate (or Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met), for land within the Waimakariri Sub-region but outside the Nitrate Priority Area, and ii. the Baseline GMP Loss Rate (or Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate where any one of the criteria in clauses (a) to (c) of Rule 8.5.23A is met) less any further reductions required by Table 8-9, for land within the Nitrate Priority Area,
08.04.30	PC7-114.74	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.30 as notified while recognising that there may be implementation challenges for farmers.
08.04.30	PC7-160.66	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.30 as notified.
08.04.30	PC7-95.22	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.30 as notified.
08.04.30	PC7-357.24	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.30 as notified.
08.04.30	PC7-324.9	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.30 as notified.
08.04.30	PC7-376.1	Caseley, D & V	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.30 in its entirety.
08.04.30	PC7-75.1	Patterson, G B	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.30 to recognise that livestock exclusion from springs on non-intensive farms may not always be needed to achieve good water quality.
08.04.30	PC7-150.1	Brook & Rupert Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.30 by clarifying that intermittently flowing waterways are "ephemeral waterways or a specific body of water in a location flowing for a minimum length of time (e.g. a stream in a gully that flows for 24 hours)".
08.04.30	PC7-75.2	Patterson, G B	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.30 to recognise that livestock exclusion from springs on non-intensive farms may not always be needed to achieve good water quality.
08.04.30	PC7-73.1	Patterson, V J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.30 to recognise that livestock exclusion from springs on non-intensive farms may not always be needed to achieve good water quality.
08.04.30	PC7-296.2	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association Canterbury/West Coast and South Canterbury/North Otago branches	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.30 to recognise that livestock exclusion from springs and artificial watercourses on non-intensive farms may not always be needed to achieve good water quality, and alternative management practices exist and are used by deer farmers.
08.04.30	PC7-214.69	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Support the submission from NZ Deer Farmers Association on Policy 8.4.30 with regards to seeking recognition that livestock exclusion from springs on non-intensive farms may not always be needed to achieve good water quality.
08.04.30	PC7-214.87	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.30 to recognise that stock exclusion from springs on non-intensive farms may not be needed to achieve good water quality, and enable a risk assessment and appropriate management practices for springs to be expressed through a Management Plan or Farm Environment Plan where this is required for other reasons such as nitrogen management, irrigation or winter grazing.
08.04.30	PC7-372.16	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.30 to remove reference to intermittently flowing and include permanently flowing.
08.04.30	PC7-188.3	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.30 to ensure that permanent stock exclusion (e.g. permanent fencing) is not required for ephemeral water bodies.
08.04.30	PC7-148.6	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.30 to standardise and define terms such as 'open drain', or alternatively remove them.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.30	PC7-42.7	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.30 to standardise and define terms such as 'open drain', or alternatively remove them.
08.04.30	PC7-149.7	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.30 to standardise and define terms such as 'open drain', or alternatively remove them.
08.04.30	PC7-3.4	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.30 to standardise and define terms such as 'open drain', or alternatively remove them.
08.04.30	PC7-430.96	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 8.4.[30] as follows: a. permanently or intermittently flowing springs (waipuna) <u>that discharge into a lake, river or wetland</u> ; and ...
08.04.30	PC7-472.93	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 8.4.30 to provide greater certainty.
08.04.30	PC7-430.318	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.30 as follows: b. open drains and other artificial watercourses with <u>flowing</u> surface water in them that discharge into a lake, river or wetland.
08.04.31	PC7-114.75	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.31 as notified while recognising that there may be implementation challenges for farmers.
08.04.31	PC7-160.67	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.31 as notified.
08.04.31	PC7-95.23	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.31 as notified.
08.04.31	PC7-299.4	Cresslands Farms Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.31 as notified.
08.04.31	PC7-334.4	Stewart, S	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.31 as notified.
08.04.31	PC7-419.5	Robinson Dairy Farm Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.31 as notified.
08.04.31	PC7-214.156	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain the exemption of sheep within Policy 8.4.31 as notified.
08.04.31	PC7-42.1	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Support	Supports clause (b) of Policy 8.4.31 which requires the exclusion of stock from all waterways, artificial or natural.
08.04.31	PC7-376.2	Caseley, D & V	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.31 in its entirety.
08.04.31	PC7-374.3	Reed, G	Oppose	Delete and replace clause (b) of Policy 8.4.31 as follows: ... b. excluding, within the Ashley-Waimakariri Plains Area, all farmed cattle, deer and pigs from the bed (including the banks) of any lake, river, permanently or intermittently flowing spring, or any open drain or other artificial watercourse that contains surface water and which discharges into a river or lake. <u>exclude all farm cattle, deer and pigs from the bed of any lake, river, permanently or intermittently flowing springs or any open drain or other artificial water course while that body contains surface water which discharges into a river or lake.</u>
08.04.31	PC7-214.88	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.31 to recognise that stock exclusion from springs on non-intensive farms may not be needed to achieve good water quality, and enable a risk assessment and appropriate management practices for springs to be expressed through a Management Plan or Farm Environment Plan where this is required for other reasons such as nitrogen management, irrigation or winter grazing.
08.04.31	PC7-372.17	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.31 to remove reference to intermittently flowing and include permanently flowing.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.31	PC7-148.7	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.31 to standardise and define terms such as 'open drain', or alternatively remove them.
08.04.31	PC7-42.8	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.31 to standardise and define terms such as 'open drain', or alternatively remove them.
08.04.31	PC7-149.8	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.31 to standardise and define terms such as 'open drain', or alternatively remove them.
08.04.31	PC7-3.5	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.31 to standardise and define terms such as 'open drain', or alternatively remove them.
08.04.31	PC7-107.7	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.31 to standardise and define terms such as 'open drain', or alternatively remove them.
08.04.31	PC7-107.8	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.31 to standardise and define terms such as 'open drain', or alternatively remove them.
08.04.31	PC7-107.1	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.31 to allow stock to cross dry watercourses and only be restricted from intermittent watercourses when said watercourses have water present.
08.04.31	PC7-177.6	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.31 as follows: a. excluding, within the Ashley-Waimakariri Plains Area, all farmed cattle, deer and pigs from the bed (including the banks) of any lake, river, permanently or intermittently <u>intermittently flowing when flowing and water present</u> spring, or any open drain or other artificial watercourse that contains surface water and which discharges into a river or lake.
08.04.31	PC7-430.97	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.[31] as follows: b. excluding, within the Ashley-Waimakariri Plains Area, all farmed cattle, deer and pigs from the bed (including the banks) of any lake, river, permanently or intermittently flowing spring <u>that discharges into a river or lake</u> , or any open drain or other artificial watercourse that contains <u>flowing</u> surface water and which discharges into a river or lake.
08.04.31	PC7-148.15	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.31 to clarify what is meant by "intermittently" and "contains surface water".
08.04.31	PC7-42.16	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.31 to clarify what is meant by "intermittently" and "contains surface water".
08.04.31	PC7-149.16	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.31 to clarify what is meant by "intermittently" and "contains surface water".
08.04.31	PC7-3.13	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.31 to clarify what is meant by "intermittently" and "contains surface water".
08.04.31	PC7-107.2	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.31 to allow stock to cross dry watercourses and only be restricted from intermittent watercourses when said watercourses have water present.
08.04.31	PC7-107.16	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.31 to clarify what is meant by "intermittently" and "contains surface water".
08.04.31	PC7-190.2	W J & L E Bailey Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.31 to replace 'intermittently flowing' with 'when flowing and water present'.
08.04.32	PC7-160.71	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.32 as notified.
08.04.32	PC7-95.24	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.32 as notified.
08.04.32	PC7-357.25	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.32 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.32	PC7-430.98	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.32 as notified.
08.04.32	PC7-324.16	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.32 as notified.
08.04.32	PC7-399.36	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain policy support for mahinga kai and mahinga kai use of water.
08.04.32	PC7-472.94	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.32 to phase out cattle winter grazing in areas with high ecological value during the life of this Plan.
08.04.32	PC7-399.49	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.32 to provide for mahinga kai enhancement allocation from the Ahley/Rakahuri River to move into the A block over time.
08.04.32	PC7-399.60	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.32 to include an allocation of 200l/s for mahinga kai enhancement from the Courtney Stream.
08.04.33	PC7-160.72	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.33 as notified.
08.04.33	PC7-430.99	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.33 as notified.
08.04.33	PC7-95.25	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.33 as follows: Enable catchment restoration activities that focus on the protection of springs, the protection, establishment or enhancement of planted riparian margins, the creation, restoration or enhancement of wetlands, indigenous biodiversity in riparian margins, <u>targeted</u> weed and pest control activities, and the targeted removal of fine sediment from waterbodies.
08.04.33	PC7-357.26	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.33 as follows: Enable catchment restoration activities that focus on the protection of springs, the protection, establishment or enhancement of planted riparian margins, the creation, restoration or enhancement of wetlands, indigenous biodiversity in riparian margins, weed and pest control activities, and the targeted removal of fine sediment from waterbodies, <u>or any other targeted activities to improve water quality.</u>
08.04.33	PC7-472.95	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.33 to phase out cattle winter grazing in areas with high ecological value during the life of this Plan.
08.04.34	PC7-160.68	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.34 as notified.
08.04.34	PC7-95.26	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.34 as notified.
08.04.34	PC7-214.157	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain the exemption of sheep within Policy 8.4.34 as notified.
08.04.34	PC7-430.100	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.34 in its entirety.
08.04.34	PC7-214.89	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.34 to recognise that stock exclusion from springs on non-intensive farms may not be needed to achieve good water quality, and enable a risk assessment and appropriate management practices for springs to be expressed through a Management Plan or Farm Environment Plan where this is required for other reasons such as nitrogen management, irrigation or winter grazing.
08.04.34	PC7-472.96	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.34 to phase out cattle winter grazing in areas with high ecological value during the life of this Plan.
08.04.35	PC7-177.3	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.35	PC7-114.76	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-113.28	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-273.8	Carleton Daries Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-349.11	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-357.27	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-299.5	Cresslands Farms Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35.as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-425.15	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-96.16	Rangvet Ltd (Rangiورا Vet Centre)	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-226.8	Koroa Group Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-230.19	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-216.6	Thompson, N	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-363.24	Torlesse Farm Limited	Support	Support Policy 8.4.35 where all zones reduce nitrates to 15% over the next 10 years.
08.04.35	PC7-324.3	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-421.9	Mehrtens, J F	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-415.60	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-255.13	McAllister, C & S	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-413.12	Hawkins, A	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-179.19	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Support	Amend Policy 8.4.35 to insert a new clause as follows: <u>the results of any investigation into the impact on ground and/or surface water nitrate-nitrogen levels, and how these can be mitigated.</u>
08.04.35	PC7-179.27	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-374.7	Reed, G	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-433.10	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-329.61	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-437.13	Scottville Farm	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-372.7	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.35	PC7-188.4	Synlait Milk Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-274.26	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-195.2	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-387.34	As One Incorporated	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-405.14	Tallott, S & J	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-368.11	Knightlea Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.35 as notified.
08.04.35	PC7-133.2	Sparrow Family Trust and Mary Sparrow	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.35 to insert a new clause as follows: <u>(d) ... outcomes and limits; and</u> <u>(e) the results of specific investigations undertaken to identify the effects of legacy and local issues impacting on ground and/or surface water nitrate-nitrogen levels, and how these can be mitigated.</u>
08.04.35	PC7-95.27	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.35 as follows: Inform successive plan <u>annual</u> review cycles by reporting every <u>year</u> 5 years on: ...
08.04.35	PC7-430.101	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.35 to insert a new clause as follows: Inform successive plan review cycles by reporting every 5 years on: ... d. progress made towards freshwater outcomes and limits, including an assessment of the effectiveness of the framework, (including any non-statutory actions) in achieving those outcomes and limits; <u>and</u> <u>e. environmental monitoring will form the basis for the adaptive management of N discharge in the Nitrate Priority Areas.</u>
08.04.35	PC7-363.25	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.35 so that following 1 January 2030 on-farm nitrate diffusions are assessed.
08.04.35	PC7-337.103	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.35 or provide a new policy, 8.4.35A, to clarify the scope and timing of the plan change to Section 9 Christchurch-West Melton.
08.04.35	PC7-442.21	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.35 to require reductions up to 1 January 2030 to be monitored to make an informed decision regarding reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.04.35	PC7-472.97	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.35 to require annual reporting.
08.04.35	PC7-95.57	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 8.4.35 as follows: d. progress made towards freshwater outcomes and limits, including an assessment of the effectiveness of the framework, (including any non-statutory actions) in achieving those outcomes and limits, <u>and recommending any adaptive management interventions required where inadequate progress is being made due to severe climatic or land use change variables, that significantly threaten achievement of the targets, outcomes and limits set in the plan.</u>
08.04.36	PC7-114.77	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.36 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.36	PC7-357.28	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.36 as notified.
08.04.36	PC7-399.22	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.36 as notified.
08.04.36	PC7-84.10	Bowden Environmental	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.36 in its entirety.
08.04.36	PC7-139.2	Croft, W D	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.36 in its entirety.
08.04.36	PC7-273.9	Carleton Daries Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.36 in its entirety.
08.04.36	PC7-349.12	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.36 in its entirety, or alternatively, amend to include the exceptions listed in Section 4 of the LWRP for large scale infrastructure.
08.04.36	PC7-199.23	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.36 in its entirety.
08.04.36	PC7-272.3	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.36 in its entirety.
08.04.36	PC7-255.14	McAllister, C & S	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.36 in its entirety.
08.04.36	PC7-442.26	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.36 in its entirety.
08.04.36	PC7-377.5	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.36 in its entirety.
08.04.36	PC7-405.15	Tallott, S & J	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.36 in its entirety, or alternatively, amend to include the exceptions listed in Section 4 of the LWRP for large scale infrastructure.
08.04.36	PC7-368.12	Knightlea Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.36 in its entirety.
08.04.36	PC7-177.7	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.36 so that each consent can be held for a maximum duration in accordance with resource management.
08.04.36	PC7-95.28	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.36 as follows: Provide for the regular review and adjustments in progress towards achieving the freshwater outcomes and limits for the Waimakariri Sub-region, by applying the following common expiry dates to resource consents: a. 1 July 2037 <u>2032</u> ...; b. 1 July 2037 <u>2032</u> ...; c. 1 July 2037 <u>2032</u> ...; d. 1 July 2047 <u>2040</u> ...
08.04.36	PC7-425.17	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.36 to include exceptions to the common expiry dates based on the scale and complexity of the activity.
08.04.36	PC7-441.14	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.36 as follows: Provide for the regular review and adjustments in progress towards achieving the freshwater outcomes and limits for the Waimakariri Sub-region, by applying the following common expiry dates to resource consents: ... <u>Except that a longer consent duration may be granted if the following conditions are met:</u> <u>1. It is demonstrated that the activity will be managed in a way that the relevant limits are able to be achieved within the duration of the consent; or</u> <u>2. It is demonstrated that the activity will be managed in a way that the relevant targets within the duration of the consent, are able to be achieved.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.36	PC7-96.17	Rangvet Ltd (Rangiora Vet Centre)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.36 to allow up to a 15 year consent duration.
08.04.36	PC7-430.102	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.36 to moderate the requirement for common expiry dates so that there is capacity to manage consent renewals in a fair, consistent and cost-effective manner.
08.04.36	PC7-433.12	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.36 to include exceptions to the common expiry dates based on the scale and complexity of the activity.
08.04.36	PC7-356.54	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.36 as follows: 'Provide for the regular review and adjustments in progress towards achieving the freshwater outcomes and limits for the Waimakariri subregion, by applying the following common expiry dates to resource consents: a. 1 July 2037 <u>2042</u> for resource consents granted for the use of land for a farming activity; b. 1 July 2037 <u>2042</u> for resource consents granted for the discharge of nutrients by an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier; c. 1 July 2037 <u>2042</u> for resource consents granted for the take and use of water; ...'
08.04.36	PC7-441.50	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.36 to consider the appropriateness of the management of activities via FEPs compared to planning tools to manage this issue.
08.04.36	PC7-148.16	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.36 as follows: Provide for regular review and adjustments in progress towards achieving the freshwater outcomes and limits for the Waimakariri Sub-region <u>(with the exception of a territorial authority and community water suppliers as the applicant)</u> , by applying the following common expiry dates to resource consents: ...
08.04.36	PC7-472.98	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.36 as follows: Provide for the regular review and adjustments in progress towards achieving the freshwater outcomes and limits for the Waimakariri Sub-region, by applying the following common expiry dates to resource consents: a. 1 July 2037 <u>2027</u> for resource consents granted for the use of land for a farming activity; b. 1 July 2037 <u>2027</u> for resource consents granted for the discharge of nutrients by an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier; c. 1 July 2037 <u>2027</u> for resource consents granted for the take and use of water; d. 1 July 2047 <u>2037</u> for any resource consent that replaces an existing water permit that expires after 1 July 2030 and that is affected by the provisions of section 124-124C of the RMA.
08.04.36	PC7-42.17	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.36 as follows: Provide for regular review and adjustments in progress towards achieving the freshwater outcomes and limits for the Waimakariri Sub-region <u>(with the exception of a territorial authority and community water suppliers as the applicant)</u> , by applying the following common expiry dates to resource consents: ...
08.04.36	PC7-149.17	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.36 as follows: Provide for regular review and adjustments in progress towards achieving the freshwater outcomes and limits for the Waimakariri Sub-region <u>(with the exception of a territorial authority and community water suppliers as the applicant)</u> , by applying the following common expiry dates to resource consents: ...
08.04.36	PC7-3.14	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.36 as follows: Provide for regular review and adjustments in progress towards achieving the freshwater outcomes and limits for the Waimakariri Sub-region <u>(with the exception of a territorial authority and community water suppliers as the applicant)</u> , by applying the following common expiry dates to resource consents: ...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.36	PC7-107.17	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.36 as follows: Provide for regular review and adjustments in progress towards achieving the freshwater outcomes and limits for the Waimakariri Sub-region (<u>with the exception of a territorial authority and community water suppliers as the applicant</u>), by applying the following common expiry dates to resource consents: ...
08.04.36	PC7-179.20	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.36 as to avoid having to renew consents in short time frames, especially for renewals. This could be achieved by amending clause (d) to be an exception to all of (a) to (c) as follows or with similar relief that addresses concern: <u>provided that for any resource consent that replaces an existing water permit or land use consent that expires on or after 1 July 2030 and that is affected by the provisions of section 124-124C of the RMA, shall be granted with an expiry date of 1 July 2047</u>
08.04.37	PC7-114.78	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.37 as notified.
08.04.37	PC7-357.29	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.37 as notified.
08.04.37	PC7-399.23	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.37 as notified.
08.04.37	PC7-472.99	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.37 as notified.
08.04.37	PC7-84.11	Bowden Environmental	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.37 in its entirety.
08.04.37	PC7-139.3	Croft, W D	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.37 in its entirety.
08.04.37	PC7-349.13	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.37 in its entirety, or alternatively, amend to include the exceptions listed in Section 4 of the LWRP for large scale infrastructure.
08.04.37	PC7-199.24	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.37 in its entirety.
08.04.37	PC7-430.103	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.37 in its entirety.
08.04.37	PC7-272.4	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.37 in its entirety.
08.04.37	PC7-255.15	McAllister, C & S	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.37 in its entirety.
08.04.37	PC7-442.27	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.37 in its entirety.
08.04.37	PC7-377.6	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.37 in its entirety.
08.04.37	PC7-405.16	Tallott, S & J	Oppose	Delete Policy 8.4.37 in its entirety, or alternatively, amend to include the exceptions listed in Section 4 of the LWRP for large scale infrastructure.
08.04.37	PC7-273.10	Carleton Daries Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.37 to allow a consent duration of up to 15 years.
08.04.37	PC7-95.29	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.37 as follows: Apply the following durations to any resource consent granted after the relevant common expiry date in Policy 8.4.36 <u>and enable the inclusion of adaptive management conditions into these consents to allow for required interventions, in line with the monitoring review cycle in 8.4.35 for severe climatic or land use change variation, that significantly threatens achievement of the outcomes and limits set in the plan.</u> ...
08.04.37	PC7-214.90	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.37 to allow for more certainty through longer consent durations.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.37	PC7-425.18	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.37 to include exceptions to the common expiry dates based on the scale and complexity of the activity.
08.04.37	PC7-441.15	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-441.11 (Policy 8.4.36) is rejected, then amend Policy 8.4.37 to enable a longer consent term to be granted, where it is warranted by the scale and complexity of the activity.
08.04.37	PC7-433.13	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.37 to include exceptions to the common expiry dates based on the scale and complexity of the activity.
08.04.37	PC7-356.55	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.37 as follows: 'Apply the following durations to any resource consent granted after the relevant common expiry date in Policy 8.4.36: a. 15 years for resource consents for the use of land for a farming activity; and b. 15 years for resource consents for the discharge of nutrients by an irrigation scheme or principal water supplier; and c. 15 years for resource consents for take and use of water.'
08.04.37	PC7-441.51	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.37 to consider the appropriateness of the management of activities via FEPs compared to planning tools to manage this issue.
08.04.37	PC7-148.17	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.37 as follows: Apply the following durations to any resource consent granted after the relevant common expiry date in Policy 8.4.36 <u>(with the exception of a Territorial Authority and community water suppliers as the applicant):</u> ...
08.04.37	PC7-42.18	Kaiapoi-Tuahiri Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.37 as follows: Apply the following durations to any resource consent granted after the relevant common expiry date in Policy 8.4.36 <u>(with the exception of a Territorial Authority and community water suppliers as the applicant):</u> ...
08.04.37	PC7-149.18	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.37 as follows: Apply the following durations to any resource consent granted after the relevant common expiry date in Policy 8.4.36 <u>(with the exception of a Territorial Authority and community water suppliers as the applicant):</u> ...
08.04.37	PC7-3.15	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.37 as follows: Apply the following durations to any resource consent granted after the relevant common expiry date in Policy 8.4.36 <u>(with the exception of a Territorial Authority and community water suppliers as the applicant):</u> ...
08.04.37	PC7-107.18	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.37 as follows: Apply the following durations to any resource consent granted after the relevant common expiry date in Policy 8.4.36 <u>(with the exception of a Territorial Authority and community water suppliers as the applicant):</u> ...
08.04.37	PC7-296.4	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association Canterbury/West Coast and South Canterbury/North Otago branches	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 8.4.37 as follows: a. 20 years for resource consents for the use of land for a farming activity; and
08.04.38	PC7-160.73	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 8.4.38 as notified.
08.04.38	PC7-399.10	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain policy framework for replacing stream-depleting groundwater takes with deeper groundwater.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.38	PC7-172.8	Melbury Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (a) of Policy 8.4.38.
08.04.38	PC7-84.12	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.38 review date to that of the majority of consent expiry dates for the surface waterbodies, being from early to mid 2030s.
08.04.38	PC7-139.4	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.38 review date to that of the majority of consent expiry dates for surface waterbodies, approximately the early to mid 2030s.
08.04.38	PC7-95.30	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.38 to insert a new clause as follows: <u>c. enable the inclusion of adaptive management conditions into these consents to allow any required interventions, in line with the monitoring review cycle in 8.4.35 for severe climatic or land use change variables that significantly threaten achievement of the outcomes and limits set in the plan.</u>
08.04.38	PC7-430.104	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.38 as follows: Assist with achieving the freshwater outcomes or the Waimakariri Sub-region by: ... b. reviewing, by 31 December 2029, all surface water or stream depleting groundwater permits within the Northern Waimakariri Tributaries Freshwater Management Unit that have a direct or high stream depletion effect, and by implementing the environmental flow and allocation regimes in Tables 8-2 and 8-3 on all reviewed permits and any new permits granted; <u>and</u> c. the review of consents must be initiated only after thorough consultation with, and consideration by, consent-holders.
08.04.38	PC7-272.5	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.38 review date to that of the majority of consent expiry dates for the surface waterbodies.
08.04.38	PC7-255.22	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Amend the review dates in Policy 8.4.38 to that of the majority of consent expiry dates for the surface waterbodies (this is around the early to mid-2030s).
08.04.38	PC7-377.7	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.38 to achieve consistency with the majority of consent expiry dates for surface water bodies. This is estimated to be around the early to mid 2030's.
08.04.38	PC7-148.18	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.38 as follows: Assist with achieving the freshwater outcomes for the Waimakariri Sub-region by <u>(with the exception of community water supply consents)</u> : ...
08.04.38	PC7-42.2	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.38 to advance the consent review to the date Plan Change 7 becomes operative, and require the reviewed consents to be in place within two years of that date.
08.04.38	PC7-42.19	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.38 as follows: Assist with achieving the freshwater outcomes for the Waimakariri Sub-region by <u>(with the exception of community water supply consents)</u> : ...
08.04.38	PC7-149.19	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.38 as follows: Assist with achieving the freshwater outcomes for the Waimakariri Sub-region by <u>(with the exception of community water supply consents)</u> : ...
08.04.38	PC7-3.16	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.38 as follows: Assist with achieving the freshwater outcomes for the Waimakariri Sub-region by <u>(with the exception of community water supply consents)</u> : ...
08.04.38	PC7-107.19	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 8.4.38 as follows: Assist with achieving the freshwater outcomes for the Waimakariri Sub-region by <u>(with the exception of community water supply consents)</u> : ...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.38	PC7-472.100	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 8.4.38 as follows: a. reviewing, by 31 December 2027 <u>2024</u> , all surface water or stream depleting groundwater permits within the Ashley River/Rakahuri Freshwater Management Unit that have a direct or high stream depletion effect, and by implementing the environmental flow and allocation regimes in Tables 8-1 and 8-3 on all reviewed permits and any new permits granted; and...
08.04.38	PC7-449.1	Kaiapoi Promotions Association Inc	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.38 so that the consent review process starts straight after the plan change becomes operative and be in place within two years from the date PC7 becomes operative.
08.04.38	PC7-472.101	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 8.4.38 as follows: b. reviewing, by 31 December 2029 <u>2026</u> , all surface water or stream depleting groundwater permits within the Northern Waimakariri Tributaries Freshwater Management Unit that have a direct or high stream depletion effect, and by implementing the environmental flow and allocation regimes in Tables 8-2 and 8-3 on all reviewed permits and any new permits granted.
08.04.New Policy	PC7-433.11	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Support	Insert a new policy as follows: <u>Work with the community, including farmers, primary sector groups and other stakeholders, in the design and implementation of a water quality monitoring programme that provides for the matters set out in Policy 8.4.35, and in the analysis of the results found.</u>
08.04.New Policy	PC7-437.16	Scottville Farm	Support	Insert a new policy (consistent with the Hinds Plains) that anticipates the community working towards an overall groundwater nitrate-nitrogen concentration of 6.9mg/L.
08.04.New Policy	PC7-372.9	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Insert a new policy (consistent with PC1/PC2) that anticipates the whole community working towards an overall groundwater nitrate-nitrogen concentration of 6.9mg/L.
08.04.New Policy	PC7-421.8	Mehrtens, J F	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy as follows, to replace the definitions for Nitrate Priority Area and Nitrate Priority Sub-Area and Table 8-9: <u>"Maintain and improve water quality limits within the Waimakariri sub-region in order to achieve the outcomes in Tables 8.5, 8.6, 8.7 and 8.8 by requiring farming activities to comply with GMP."</u>
08.04.New Policy	PC7-219.40	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy that anticipates the whole community working towards an overall groundwater nitrate-nitrogen concentration of 6.9mg/L that is consistent with PC1 and PC2.
08.04.New Policy	PC7-349.6	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy within Section 8.4 as follows: <u>8.4.25A</u> <u>Improve water quality in the Waimakariri Nitrate Priority Area to achieve the target nitrate toxicity levels set out in Table 8-5 for Hill-fed Lower and Spring-fed Plains surface water bodies, and an annual average groundwater nitrate-nitrogen concentration of 6.9 mg/L by:</u> <u>(a) reducing the discharge of nitrogen from farming activities in fulfilment of Table 8-9; and</u> <u>(b) implementing Managed Aquifer Recharge and Targeted Stream Augmentation; and</u> <u>(c) undertaking monitoring and review in fulfilment of Policy 8.4.35.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.New Policy	PC7-214.81	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new provisions that achieve the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure resource use is efficient including through establishment of nitrogen allocation frameworks if nitrogen is required to be allocated; and 2. Ensure that resource use takes into account the natural capital of soils including the natural productive potential of soils (for example Land Use Capability(LUC)), climate, geology, and assimilative capacity of water; and 3. Manage or allocate nitrogen based on: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. 'flat rate per hectare' permitted threshold (where the sub catchment load is divided by the total number of hectares in the sub catchment and this amount is allocated as a nitrogen discharge threshold to each hectare of land) for example 20kgN/ha/yr; or b. Natural capital or land use capability based allocation per hectare' where a sub catchment nitrogen load is attributed to land based on its underlying characteristics and factors (including productive capability using the Land Use Capability classification system). This approach is used to determine the permitted baseline, and where required to stage reductions in nitrogen discharges over time for example as set out in the table below; and c. Natural capital or land use capability based threshold for the discharge of Nitrogen per hectare' that is used to determine where and when Council require additional regulatory standards or stricter activity status to reduce nitrogen loss over time – based on calculating a sub catchment Nitrogen load and focusing on priority areas where nitrogen is over allocated and therefore reductions from land uses are required. For example as set out in the table below. d. [See Appendix 1 of the SODR] 4. Ensure that low discharging land uses such as small scale (<20kg N/ha) or low impact activities (those discharging at or below the sustainable level) are enabled to continue and are provided with flexibility to change farm systems and stocking rates up to the sustainable levels for the subcatchment (FMU); and 5. Require farming activities which exceed the 'sustainable level' for the sub-catchment (FMU) to progressively reduce contaminant discharges over time, where the reductions are proportionate to the level of overallcoation within the sub-catchment and proportionate to the discharge level of the activity; and 6. Enable establishment of nutrient user groups within the same catchment as part of catchment collective groups, and enable transfer of nutrients (at a level not exceeding the desired instream nutrient load), where the following principles are met: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. the initial allocation system meets all of the allocation principles in Appendix 1 (annexed to these submissions), for clarity this precludes nutrient transfer when allocation is based on current or historic discharges (NRP or Grandparenting, the allocation framework that PC 7 currently proposes). b. transfer within nutrient user groups should only occur: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. within a sub-catchment or watershed; and ii. within an established sub catchment programme that's based on fair allocation of a load c. only pertains to the load which achieves the desired environmental outcome. d. results in improved economic outcomes and land use optimisation 7. Require the application of best practicable option to avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects of a discharge (either directly or indirectly to freshwater) where the discharge may cause or contribute to a freshwater attribute being exceeded, through resource consents.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.New	PC7-214.82	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new provisions that achieve the following:</p> <p>Ensure resource use is efficient including through establishment of nitrogen allocation frameworks if nitrogen is required to be allocated; andEnsure that resource use takes into account the natural capital of soils including the natural productive potential of soils (for example Land Use Capability(LUC)), climate, geology, and assimilative capacity of water; andManage or allocate nitrogen based on:‘flat rate per hectare’ permitted threshold (where the sub catchment load is divided by the total number of hectares in the sub catchment and this amount is allocated as a nitrogen discharge threshold to each hectare of land) for example 20kgN/ha/yr; orNatural capital or land use capability based allocation per hectare’ where a sub catchment nitrogen load is attributed to land based on its underlying characteristics and factors (including productive capability using the Land Use Capability classification system). This approach is used to determine the permitted baseline, and where required to stage reductions in nitrogen discharges over time for example as set out in the table below; and Natural capital or land use capability based threshold for the discharge of Nitrogen per hectare’ that is used to determine where and when Council require additional regulatory standards or stricter activity status to reduce nitrogen loss over time – based on calculating a sub catchment Nitrogen load and focusing on priority areas where nitrogen is over allocated and therefore reductions from land uses are required. For example as set out in the table below.</p> <p>Ensure that low discharging land uses such as small scale (<20kg N/ha) or low impact activities (those discharging at or below the sustainable level) are enabled to continue and are provided with flexibility to change farm systems and stocking rates up to the sustainable levels for the subcatchment (FMU); andRequire farming activities which exceed the ‘sustainable level’ for the sub-catchment (FMU) to progressively reduce contaminant discharges over time, where the reductions are proportionate to the level of overallcoation within the sub-catchment and proportionate to the discharge level of the activity; andEnable establishment of nutrient user groups within the same catchment as part of catchment collective groups, and enable transfer of nutrients (at a level not exceeding the desired instream nutrient load), where the following principles are met:the initial allocation system meets all of the allocation principles in Appendix 1 (annexed to these submissions), for clarity this precludes nutrient transfer when allocation is based on current or historic discharges (NRP or Grandparenting, the allocation framework that PC 7 currently proposes).transfer within nutrient user groups should only occur:within a sub-catchment or watershed; andwithin an established sub catchment programme that’s based on fair allocation of a loadonly pertains to the load which achieves the desired environmental outcome.results in improved economic outcomes and land use optimisation Require the application of best practicable option to avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects of a discharge (either directly or indirectly to freshwater) where the discharge may cause or contribute to a freshwater attribute being exceeded, through resource consents.</p>
08.04.New	PC7-266.14	Saunders, A	Oppose in part	Amend framework to include management of sediment and flooding in spring-fed streams by clearing drains.
08.04.New	PC7-376.5	Caseley, D & V	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy in Section 8 to provide an exemption for stock exclusion from ephemeral waterbodies.
08.04.New Policy	PC7-289.23	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Insert new policy to anticipate the community working towards an overall groundwater concentration of 6.9mg/L.
08.04.New Policy	PC7-425.3	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy and method that commit Canterbury Regional Council to work with farmers, primary sector groups and other stakeholders, in the design and implementation of a water quality monitoring programme for the Waimakariri Zone, and in the analysis of the results found. As part of this work, utilise data and modelling to confirm the relationship between water in the Waimakariri Zone and Christchurch's aquifers.
08.04.New Policy	PC7-425.16	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy in Section 8 as follows, or words of similar effect:</p> <p><u>Policy 8.4.35A</u></p> <p><u>Work with the community, including farmers, primary sector groups and other stakeholders, in the design and implementation of a water quality monitoring programme that provides for the matters set out in Policy 8.4.35, and in the analysis of the results found.</u></p>
08.04.New Policy	PC7-425.31	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy and method that commits the Canterbury Regional Council to undertake a robust programme of water quality monitoring to inform a review of the targets needed for the decade after 2030 and to identify targets for the period after 2040.
08.04.New Policy	PC7-425.34	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy that requires by 2040 water quality is to be improved to achieve defined nitrate toxicity limits, and that this is to be achieved by reducing the discharge of nitrogen from farming activities (i.e. meeting the 2030 and 2040 reduction targets), and implementing managed aquifer recharge and targeted stream augmentation.
08.04.New Policy	PC7-441.27	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy that requires the targets in Table 8-9 to be reviewed every ten years or in conjunction with the plan review.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.New Policy	PC7-415.51	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy in Section 8 as follows:</p> <p><u>8.4.25A</u> <u>Improve water quality in the Waimakariri Nitrate Priority Area to achieve the target nitrate toxicity levels set out in Table 8-5 for Hill-fed Lower and Spring-fed Plains surface water bodies, and an annual average groundwater nitrate-nitrogen concentration of 6.9 mg/L by:</u> <u>a. reducing the discharge of nitrogen from farming activities in fulfilment of Table 8-9; and</u> <u>b. implementing Managed Aquifer Recharge and Targeted Stream Augmentation; and</u> <u>c. undertaking monitoring and review in fulfilment of Policy 8.4.35.</u></p>
08.04.New Policy	PC7-413.13	Hawkins, A	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 8.4.XX</u> <u>Maintain and improve the water quality limits within the Waimakariri sub-region in order to achieve the outcomes in Tables 8.5, 8.6, 8.7 and 8.8 by requiring farming activities comply with GMP.</u></p>
08.04.New Policy	PC7-179.9	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy as follows:</p> <p><u>Improve water quality in the Waimakariri Nitrate Priority Area to achieve the target nitrate toxicity levels set out in Table 8-5 for Hill-fed Lower and Spring-fed Plains surface water bodies, and an annual average groundwater nitrate-nitrogen concentration of 6.9 mg/L by:</u> <u>a. reducing the discharge of nitrogen from farming activities in fulfilment of Table 8-9 [relative to the relief sought in sought in submission point 179.7];</u> <u>b. implementing Managed Aquifer Recharge and Targeted Stream Augmentation; and</u> <u>c. undertaking monitoring and review in fulfilment of Policy 8.4.35.</u></p>
08.04.New Policy	PC7-427.3	Todd Property Pegasus Town Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new Policy 8.4.28AB as follows:</p> <p><u>For activities within the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone, enable the trial and use of adaptive management techniques for informing possible future water quality parameters.</u></p> <p>Alternatively: and the extent to which it may be possible to achieve the water quality outcomes in Table 8b.</p>
08.04.New Policy	PC7-353.20	Parkdale Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a policy that anticipates the whole community working towards an overall reduction in groundwater nitrate nitrogen concentration.</p>
08.04.New Policy	PC7-433.23	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy that commits the Canterbury Regional Council to undertake a robust programme of water quality monitoring to inform the targets needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.</p>
08.04.New Policy	PC7-433.24	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy that commits Canterbury Regional Council to work in partnership with farmers, primary sector groups and other stakeholders, in the design and implementation of a water quality monitoring programme, and in the analysis of the results found.</p>
08.04.New Policy	PC7-433.25	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy that commits the Canterbury Regional Council to determine the targets needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.</p>
08.04.New Policy	PC7-317.23	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new policy that anticipates the whole community working towards an overall groundwater nitrate-nitrogen concentration of 6.9mg/L, that is consistent with PC1 and PC2.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.04.New Policy	PC7-188.5	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy in Section 8 as follows: <u>Policy 8.4.XX</u> <u>Increase knowledge and understanding of water quality results through increased monitoring of nitrate nitrogen levels in groundwater, by requiring consent holders to undertake in the month of August a groundwater sample.</u>
08.04.New Policy	PC7-274.25	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Insert new policy to anticipate the community working towards an overall groundwater concentration of 6.9mg/L.
08.04.New Policy	PC7-399.6	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Insert new policy under 'Tangata Whenua' to direct a future increase in minimum flows for the Silverstream, Cam River, Waikuku Stream and Saltwater Creek.
08.04.New Policy	PC7-399.29	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Insert a policy and rule framework for the Cam/Ruataniwha River Zone as sought in decision requested PC7-399.28 that provides for Ngāi Tūāhuriri to have rangatiratanga over Māori Reserve MR873 and that restricts the use of land for intensive farming activities within the zone.
08.04.New Policy	PC7-387.28	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy as follows: <u>Maintain and improve the water quality limits within the Waimakariri sub-region in order to achieve the outcomes in Tables 8.5, 8.6, 8.7 and 8.8 by requiring farming activities comply with GMP.</u>
08.04.New Policy	PC7-387.33	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy as follows: <u>Address the cumulative adverse effect on water quality of small scale but high localised nutrient loading rates by:</u> <u>a. Requiring regular checks and certification of existing lawfully established onsite domestic wastewater disposal systems to detect and eliminate leaks and other malfunctions;</u> <u>b. Requiring phased improvement of on-site domestic wastewater disposal fields to systems by implementing the best practicable option to achieve loadings within the disposal field that are as close as is reasonably practicable to 20kg/ha/year.</u> <u>c. Promoting on-going investigation of other small scale but high concentration discharges of nutrients to land, with a view to including further controls on these in future plan changes or reviews.</u>
08.04.New Policy	PC7-405.9	Tallott, S & J	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy as follows: <u>'8.4.25A Improve water quality in the Waimakariri Nitrate Priority Area to achieve the target nitrate toxicity levels set out in Table 8-5 for Hill-fed Lower and Spring-fed Plains surface water bodies, and an annual average groundwater nitrate-nitrogen concentration of 6.9 mg/L by:</u> <u>(a) reducing the discharge of nitrogen from farming activities in fulfilment of Table 8-9 [As amended elsewhere in this submission]; and</u> <u>(b) implementing Managed Aquifer Recharge and Targeted Stream Augmentation; and</u> <u>(c) undertaking monitoring and review in fulfilment of Policy 8.4.35.'</u>
08.04.New Policy	PC7-337.192	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy to cover the full extent of the Nitrate Priority Area.
<u>Section 8.5 Rules</u>				
08.05	PC7-202.24	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Section 8.5 in its entirety.
08.05 New Rule	PC7-148.22	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Insert a new discretionary rule for any dewatering required in Rangiora or Kaiapoi for future utilities and/or groundwater management purposes, and for the installation of new infrastructure. Alternatively, exempt these activities from the minimum flow requirement.
08.05 New Rule	PC7-42.24	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Insert a new discretionary rule for any dewatering required in Rangiora or Kaiapoi for future utilities and/or groundwater management purposes, and for the installation of new infrastructure. Alternatively, exempt these activities from the minimum flow requirement.
08.05 New Rule	PC7-149.24	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Insert a new discretionary rule for any dewatering required in Rangiora or Kaiapoi for future utilities and/or groundwater management purposes, and for the installation of new infrastructure. Alternatively, exempt these activities from the minimum flow requirement.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05 New Rule	PC7-3.21	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Insert a new discretionary rule for any dewatering required in Rangiora or Kaiapoi for future utilities and/or groundwater management purposes, and for the installation of new infrastructure. Alternatively, exempt these activities from the minimum flow requirement.
08.05 New Rule	PC7-107.24	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Insert a new discretionary rule for any dewatering required in Rangiora or Kaiapoi for future utilities and/or groundwater management purposes, and for the installation of new infrastructure. Alternatively, exempt these activities from the minimum flow requirement.
08.05.00	PC7-84.22	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend the notes to the rules in Section 8 to clarify that regional rules still apply.
08.05.01	PC7-95.31	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.1 as notified.
08.05.01	PC7-430.105	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Retain Rule 8.5.1 as notified, subject to the map reference location being below the potential dam site in the upper gorge, to enable the potential for water storage in the Lees Valley to be retained. OR If this is not the case, amend the map reference in Rule 8.5.1 to downstream of the potential dam site in the upper gorge, to enable the potential for water storage in the Lees Valley to be retained.
08.05.01	PC7-220.1	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.1 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased colorectal cancer.
08.05.01	PC7-472.102	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.1 to prohibit dams on the entire length of the Ashley/Rakahuri River.
08.05.02	PC7-220.2	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.2 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.03	PC7-220.3	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.3 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.04	PC7-220.4	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.4 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.05	PC7-220.5	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.5 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased colorectal cancer.
08.05.06	PC7-357.30	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.6 as notified.
08.05.06	PC7-399.37	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain rule support for mahinga kai and mahinga kai use of water.
08.05.06	PC7-430.106	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	<p>Delete Rule 8.5.6 in its entirety.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>If not deleted, amend Rule 8.5.6 as follows:</p> <p>The taking and use of surface water for the purposes of mahinga kai enhancement is a restricted discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met:</p> <p>...</p> <p>2. The take, in addition to all existing consented takes, does not result in an exceedance of any environment flow or allocation limit in Table 8-3-; <u>and</u></p> <p>3. <u>The take does not result in decreased reliability of supply for other water users.</u></p> <p>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</p> <p>...</p> <p>11. The proximity and actual or potential adverse environmental effects of the use of water on any significant indigenous biodiversity and adjacent dryland habitats-; <u>and</u></p> <p>12. <u>The provisions of any relevant Water Conservation Order.</u></p>
08.05.06	PC7-272.14	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.6 in its entirety, and apply any consequential amendments required to other rules.
08.05.06	PC7-377.8	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.6 in its entirety.
08.05.06	PC7-220.6	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.6 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.06	PC7-255.27	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.6 if required as consequential relief in relation to submission points PC7-255.23, PC7-255.24, PC7-255.25 and PC7-255.26.
08.05.06	PC7-399.42	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.6 to replace the reference of Cultural Impact Assessment; and with 'is consistent with the Ngāi Tūāhuriri kaitiakitanga responsibilities for upholding Te Mana o Te Wai and'.
08.05.06	PC7-399.50	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.6 to provide for mahinga kai enhancement allocation from the Ahley/Rakahuri River to move into the A block over time.
08.05.06	PC7-399.61	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.6 to include an allocation of 200l/s for mahinga kai enhancement from the Courtney Stream.
08.05.07	PC7-399.38	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain rule support for mahinga kai and mahinga kai use of water.
08.05.07	PC7-430.107	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.7 in its entirety, if Rule 8.5.6 is deleted. If Rule 8.5.6 is not deleted, then retain Rule 8.5.7 as notified.
08.05.07	PC7-272.15	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.7 in its entirety, and apply any consequential amendments required to other rules.
08.05.07	PC7-377.9	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.7 in its entirety.
08.05.07	PC7-220.7	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.7 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.07	PC7-255.28	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.7 if required as consequential relief in relation to submission points PC7-255.23, PC7-255.24, PC7-255.25 and PC7-255.26.
08.05.07	PC7-399.51	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.7 to provide for mahinga kai enhancement allocation from the Ahley/Rakahuri River to move into the A block over time.
08.05.07	PC7-399.62	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.7 to include an allocation of 200l/s for mahinga kai enhancement from the Courtney Stream.
08.05.08	PC7-399.39	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain rule support for mahinga kai and mahinga kai use of water.
08.05.08	PC7-430.108	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.8 in its entirety, if Rule 8.5.6 is deleted. If Rule 8.5.6 is not deleted, then retain Rule 8.5.8 as notified.
08.05.08	PC7-272.16	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.8, and apply any consequential amendments required to other rules.
08.05.08	PC7-377.10	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.8 in its entirety.
08.05.08	PC7-220.8	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.8 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.08	PC7-255.29	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.8 if required as consequential relief in relation to submission points PC7-255.23, PC7-255.24, PC7-255.25 and PC7-255.26.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.08	PC7-399.52	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.8 to provide for mahinga kai enhancement allocation from the Ahley/Rakahuri River to move into the A block over time.
08.05.08	PC7-399.63	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.8 to include an allocation of 200l/s for mahinga kai enhancement from the Courtney Stream.
08.05.09	PC7-84.15	Bowden Environmental	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 13 of Rule 8.5.9 or insert reference to Policy 4.50 instead of seeming to require the first renewals to achieve all of the reduction required to meet the allocation limit.
08.05.09	PC7-139.7	Croft, W D	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 13 of Rule 8.5.9 or add a reference to Policy 4.50 instead of seeming to require the first renewals to achieve all the reduction requires to meet the allocation limit.
08.05.09	PC7-430.109	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 15 of Rule 8.5.9.
08.05.09	PC7-272.12	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 13 of Rule 8.5.9. Alternatively, add reference to Policy 4.50 instead of requiring the first renewals to achieve all the reduction required to meet the allocation limit, and, apply any consequential amendments required to other rules.
08.05.09	PC7-377.13	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 13 of Rule 8.5.9 in its entirety. Or Amend matter of discretion 13 of Rule 8.5.9 to add reference to Policy 4.50.
08.05.09	PC7-84.13	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2(a) of Rule 8.5.9 to delete the phrase following the abbreviation "RMA".
08.05.09	PC7-84.14	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2(b) of Rule 8.5.9 to refer to all consented takes that exist at the date of the Plan, rather than a summation of the allocation limit.
08.05.09	PC7-139.5	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2(a) of Rule 8.5.9 to delete the phrase following the abbreviation "RMA".
08.05.09	PC7-139.6	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2(b) of Rule 8.5.9 to refer to all consented takes that exist as at the date of the Plan, rather than a summation for the allocation limit.
08.05.09	PC7-95.32	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 12 of Rule 8.5.9 as follows: 12. The proximity and actual or potential adverse environmental effects of water use on any significant indigenous biodiversity and adjacent dry land habitats <u>or the significant habitat of trout and salmon</u> ; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.09	PC7-214.91	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 14 of Rule 8.5.9 as follows: ... 14. Where the water is to be used for irrigation, the preparation and implementation of a Farm Environment Plan in accordance with Schedule 7 <u>or a Management Plan in accordance with Schedule 7A</u> that demonstrates that the water is being used efficiently; and ... Alternatively, delete Rule 8.5.9 in its entirety.
08.05.09	PC7-220.9	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.9 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.09	PC7-272.10	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 8.5.9 as follows: 2. The take: (a) will replace a lawfully established take affected by the provisions of Section 124-124C of the RMA, and the take, in addition to all existing consented takes, will not result in an exceedance of any allocation limit, or rate of take, or seasonal or annual volume limit set in Table 8-1 or 8-2; or... and, apply any consequential amendments required to other rules.
08.05.09	PC7-272.11	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.9 to refer to all consented takes that exist at the date of the Plan, and, apply any consequential amendments required to other rules.
08.05.09	PC7-255.23	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2(a) of Rule 8.5.9 as follows: 2. ... a. will replace a lawfully established take affected by the provisions of Section 124-124C of the RMA, and the take, in addition to all existing consented takes, will not result in an exceedance of any allocation limit, or rate of take, or seasonal or annual volume limit set in Table 8-1 or 8-2; or...
08.05.09	PC7-255.24	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2(b) of Rule 8.5.9 to refer to all consented takes that exist as at the date of the Plan, rather than a potentially incorrect summation for the allocation limit.
08.05.09	PC7-255.25	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Delete [matter of discretion] 13 of Rule 8.5.9, or add reference to Policy 4.50 instead of seeming to require the first renewals to achieve all the reduction required to meet the allocation limit.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.09	PC7-377.11	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2(a) of Rule 8.5.9 as follows: 2.The take: a. will replace a lawfully established take affected by the provisions of Section 124-124C of the RMA, and the take, in addition to all existing consented takes, will not result in an exceedance of any allocation limit , or rate of take, or seasonal or annual volume limit set in Table 8-1 or 8-2; or
08.05.09	PC7-377.12	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2(b) of Rule 8.5.9 to refer to all consented takes that exist at the date of the Plan, rather than a potentially incorrect summation for the allocation limit.
08.05.09	PC7-148.19	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.9 to exclude the tidal reaches of these waterways and the downstream wetter catchments with high shallow groundwater from the minimum flow prohibition.
08.05.09	PC7-472.103	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 8 of Rule 8.5.9 to reflect CWMS first order priority environment.
08.05.09	PC7-472.104	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 12 of Rule 8.5.9 to clarify where the activity should avoid.
08.05.09	PC7-472.105	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.9 to give effect to Te Mana o Te Wai.
08.05.09	PC7-42.20	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.9 to exclude the tidal reaches of these waterways and the downstream wetter catchments with high shallow groundwater from the minimum flow prohibition.
08.05.09	PC7-149.20	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.9 to exclude the tidal reaches of these waterways and the downstream wetter catchments with high shallow groundwater from the minimum flow prohibition.
08.05.09	PC7-3.17	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.9 to exclude the tidal reaches of these waterways and the downstream wetter catchments with high shallow groundwater from the minimum flow prohibition.
08.05.09	PC7-107.20	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.9 to exclude the tidal reaches of these waterways and the downstream wetter catchments with high shallow groundwater from the minimum flow prohibition.
08.05.09	PC7-387.29	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.9 by adding a new condition as follows: <u>A groundwater sample, (the sample) is to be taken from the shallowest bore on the property for which a consent is held; or in the case of a scheme requiring a sample from each property supplied. The sample shall be analysed by a laboratory that is certified for that method of analysis for nitrate-nitrogen and the results of this analysis shall be provided to the Canterbury Regional Council, Attention RMA Compliance and Enforcement Manager, within one month of the sample collection.</u>
08.05.10	PC7-430.113	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.10 as notified.
08.05.10	PC7-84.16	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.10 to delete the reference to condition 2(a) of Rule 8.5.9.
08.05.10	PC7-139.8	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.10 to delete the reference to condition 2(a) of Rule 8.5.9.
08.05.10	PC7-220.10	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.10 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased colorectal cancer.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.10	PC7-272.13	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.10 as follows: The taking and use of surface water that does not meet one or more of conditions 2a or 4 of Rule 8.5.9 is a non-complying activity..., and, apply any consequential amendments required to other rules.
08.05.10	PC7-255.26	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.10 as follows: The taking and use of surface water that does not meet one or more of conditions 2a or 4 of Rule 8.5.9 is a non-complying activity.
08.05.10	PC7-377.14	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.10 as follows: The taking and use of surface water that does not meet one or more of conditions 2a or 4 of Rule 8.5.9 is a non-complying activity.
08.05.10	PC7-42.22	Kaipoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend activity status of Rule 8.5.10 to discretionary.
08.05.10	PC7-149.22	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend activity status of Rule 8.5.10 to discretionary.
08.05.10	PC7-3.19	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend activity status of Rule 8.5.10 to discretionary.
08.05.10	PC7-107.22	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend activity status of Rule 8.5.10 to discretionary.
08.05.11	PC7-430.114	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.11 as notified.
08.05.11	PC7-199.28	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.11 in its entirety.
08.05.11	PC7-272.17	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.11 in its entirety, and apply any consequential amendments required to other rules.
08.05.11	PC7-377.15	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.11 in its entirety.
08.05.11	PC7-220.11	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.11 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.11	PC7-255.30	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.11 if required as consequential relief in relation to submission points PC7-255.23, PC7-255.24, PC7-255.25 and PC7-255.26.
08.05.12	PC7-95.33	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.12 as notified.
08.05.12	PC7-399.11	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain rule framework for replacing stream-depleting groundwater takes with deeper groundwater.
08.05.12	PC7-357.31	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose	Delete condition 5 of Rule 8.5.12.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.12	PC7-430.110	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 8 of Rule 8.5.12.
08.05.12	PC7-179.3	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose	Delete or amend Rules 8.5.12 and 8.5.14 to combine them.
08.05.12	PC7-357.32	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.12 to insert a new condition to specify that new takes should not be from over-allocated zones if it is not already provided for under cross-references to this rule.
08.05.12	PC7-220.12	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.12 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.12	PC7-472.106	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.12 to give effect to section 6(c) of the RMA and Te Mana o Te Wai.
08.05.12	PC7-387.30	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.12 by adding a new condition as follows: <u>A groundwater sample, (the sample) is to be taken from the shallowest bore on the property for which a consent is held; or in the case of a scheme requiring a sample from each property supplied. The sample shall be analysed by a laboratory that is certified for that method of analysis for nitrate-nitrogen and the results of this analysis shall be provided to the Canterbury Regional Council, Attention RMA Compliance and Enforcement Manager, within one month of the sample collection.</u>
08.05.13	PC7-430.115	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 8.5.13 from a prohibited activity to a non-complying activity.
08.05.13	PC7-220.13	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.13 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.14	PC7-84.21	Bowden Environmental	Oppose	Delete condition 1 of Rule 8.5.14 and replace it with condition 2 of Rule 5.128 and with the necessary modifications to refer to Tables 8.1 and 8.2.
08.05.14	PC7-430.111	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 13 of Rule 8.5.14.
08.05.14	PC7-179.50	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose	Delete or amend Rules 8.5.12 and 8.5.14 to combine them.
08.05.14	PC7-84.17	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2(a) of Rule 8.5.14 to delete the phrase following the abbreviation "RMA".
08.05.14	PC7-84.18	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2(b) of Rule 8.5.14 to refer to all consented takes that exist as at the date of the Plan rather than a summation of the allocation limit.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.14	PC7-220.14	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.14 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.14	PC7-197.17	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.14 to allow for groundwater allocation limits to be reviewed for existing poultry farms requiring replacement consents.
08.05.14	PC7-148.20	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.14 to exclude the tidal reaches of these waterways and the downstream wetter catchments with high shallow groundwater from the minimum flow prohibition.
08.05.14	PC7-42.21	Kaiapoi-Tuahwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.14 to exclude the tidal reaches of these waterways and the downstream wetter catchments with high shallow groundwater from the minimum flow prohibition.
08.05.14	PC7-149.21	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.14 to exclude the tidal reaches of these waterways and the downstream wetter catchments with high shallow groundwater from the minimum flow prohibition.
08.05.14	PC7-3.18	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.14 to exclude the tidal reaches of these waterways and the downstream wetter catchments with high shallow groundwater from the minimum flow prohibition.
08.05.14	PC7-107.21	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.14 to exclude the tidal reaches of these waterways and the downstream wetter catchments with high shallow groundwater from the minimum flow prohibition.
08.05.14	PC7-387.31	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.14 by adding a new condition as follows: <u>A groundwater sample, (the sample) is to be taken from the shallowest bore on the property for which a consent is held; or in the case of a scheme requiring a sample from each property supplied. The sample shall be analysed by a laboratory that is certified for that method of analysis for nitrate-nitrogen and the results of this analysis shall be provided to the Canterbury Regional Council, Attention RMA Compliance and Enforcement Manager, within one month of the sample collection.</u>
08.05.15	PC7-430.116	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.15 as notified.
08.05.15	PC7-84.19	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.15 to delete reference to condition 2(a) and insert reference to condition 2(b).
08.05.15	PC7-220.15	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.15 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.15	PC7-197.18	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.15 to allow for groundwater allocation limits to be reviewed for existing poultry farms requiring replacement consents.
08.05.16	PC7-430.117	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.16 as notified.
08.05.16	PC7-84.20	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.16 to delete reference to condition 2(b) related to Table 8.4 groundwater allocation limits, and insert reference to condition 2(b) in Rule 8.5.15.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.16	PC7-220.16	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.16 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.16	PC7-179.4	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.16 to change the activity status to non-complying.
08.05.17	PC7-214.92	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.17 as notified.
08.05.17	PC7-430.118	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.17 as notified.
08.05.17	PC7-201.3	Eder, M / Morriss, G D	Oppose	Delete condition 1 of Rule 8.5.17 in its entirety.
08.05.17	PC7-95.34	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 8.5.17 as follows: 1. In over-allocated surface water allocation zones, 50 percent of the <u>actual use</u> rate of take or <u>actual use</u> volume of water to be transferred is surrendered...; and
08.05.17	PC7-428.11	Fulton Hogan Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 8.5.17 as follows: 1. In over-allocated surface water allocation zones, 50 percent of the rate of take or volume of water to be transferred is surrendered unless the transfer of water is for community water supply or stock drinking water requirements <u>or for gravel extraction and ancillary activities</u> ; and...
08.05.17	PC7-220.17	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.17 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.17	PC7-419.4	Robinson Dairy Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.17 so that water proposed to be transferred is assessed on a case-by-case basis rather than requiring the 50 percent of water to be surrendered.
08.05.17	PC7-472.107	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.17 to give effect to Te Mana o Te Wai.
08.05.18	PC7-113.19	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.18 as notified.
08.05.18	PC7-349.14	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.18 as notified.
08.05.18	PC7-289.27	Bay, P S	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.18 as notified.
08.05.18	PC7-357.33	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.18 as notified.
08.05.18	PC7-425.19	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.18 as notified.
08.05.18	PC7-226.5	Koroa Group Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.18 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.18	PC7-415.55	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.18 as notified.
08.05.18	PC7-179.13	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.18 as notified.
08.05.18	PC7-433.14	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.18 as notified.
08.05.18	PC7-437.4	Scottville Farm	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.18 as notified.
08.05.18	PC7-317.26	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.18 as notified.
08.05.18	PC7-372.38	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.18 as notified.
08.05.18	PC7-405.17	Tallott, S & J	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.18 as notified.
08.05.18	PC7-430.112	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 8 of Rule 8.5.18.
08.05.18	PC7-430.119	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete condition 6 of Rule 8.5.18.
08.05.18	PC7-337.110	Christchurch City Council	Oppose	Delete condition 1 of Rule 8.5.18.
08.05.18	PC7-84.23	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.18 by inserting condition 4 of Rule 8.5.12.
08.05.18	PC7-177.14	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose Rule 8.5.18 in part, with no specific decision requested.
08.05.18	PC7-214.93	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend condition 6 of Rule 8.5.18 as follows: 6. The discharge is not within 100 m of an abstraction used to supply drinking water <u>or stock drinking water</u> .
08.05.18	PC7-220.18	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.18 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.18	PC7-472.108	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 8.5.18 to a non-complying activity.
08.05.18	PC7-472.109	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.18 so that it is only used as a last resort and as a temporary measure.
08.05.18	PC7-472.110	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.18 by inserting an additional matter of discretion to avoid adverse effects of the take and use on significant indigenous flora and habitats of indigenous fauna.
08.05.18	PC7-88.110	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 6 of Rule 8.5.18 as follows: 6. Any adverse effects on people and property from raised water levels and any reduction in the capacity of a drainage system;

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.18	PC7-88.111	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.18 to insert a new matter of discretion as follows: <u>Any beneficial effects of improving the ecological health and function of a waterway or wetland and restoring degraded wetlands.</u>
08.05.18	PC7-337.170	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.18 appropriately, or provide an alternative, so that it is clear that targeted stream augmentation is non-consumptive and not subject to the allocation limits in Tables 8-1, 8-2, 8-3 and 8-4.
08.05.18	PC7-190.3	W J & L E Bailey Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.18 to require monitoring of low land water tables and ensure water is not discharged when levels are high.
08.05.19	PC7-113.20	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.19 as notified.
08.05.19	PC7-349.15	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.19 as notified.
08.05.19	PC7-289.28	Bay, P S	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.19 as notified.
08.05.19	PC7-425.20	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.19 as notified.
08.05.19	PC7-430.120	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.19 as notified.
08.05.19	PC7-226.6	Koroa Group Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.19 as notified.
08.05.19	PC7-415.56	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.19 as notified.
08.05.19	PC7-179.14	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.19 as notified.
08.05.19	PC7-433.15	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.19 as notified.
08.05.19	PC7-437.5	Scottville Farm	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.19 as notified.
08.05.19	PC7-317.27	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.19 as notified.
08.05.19	PC7-372.39	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.19 as notified.
08.05.19	PC7-405.18	Tallott, S & J	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.19 as notified.
08.05.19	PC7-220.19	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.19 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.19	PC7-337.111	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.19 to provide consistency and to give effect to submission points PC7-337.110 and PC7-337.170.
08.05.19	PC7-190.4	W J & L E Bailey Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.19 to require monitoring of low land water tables and ensure water is not discharged when levels are high.
08.05.20	PC7-113.21	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.20 as notified.
08.05.20	PC7-349.16	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.20 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.20	PC7-289.29	Bay, P S	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.20 as notified.
08.05.20	PC7-425.21	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.20 as notified.
08.05.20	PC7-430.121	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.20 as notified.
08.05.20	PC7-226.7	Koroa Group Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.20 as notified.
08.05.20	PC7-415.57	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.20 as notified.
08.05.20	PC7-179.15	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.20 as notified.
08.05.20	PC7-433.16	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.20 as notified.
08.05.20	PC7-437.6	Scottville Farm	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.20 as notified.
08.05.20	PC7-317.28	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.20 as notified.
08.05.20	PC7-372.40	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.20 as notified.
08.05.20	PC7-405.19	Tallott, S & J	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.20 as notified.
08.05.20	PC7-220.20	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.20 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.20	PC7-337.112	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.20 to provide consistency and to give effect to submission points PC7-337.110 and PC7-337.170.
08.05.20	PC7-190.5	W J & L E Bailey Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.20 to require monitoring of low land water tables and ensure water is not discharged when levels are high.
08.05.21	PC7-114.79	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain the note above Rule 8.5.21 as notified.
08.05.21	PC7-114.80	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.21 as notified.
08.05.21	PC7-430.122	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.21 as notified.
08.05.21	PC7-324.69	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Rule 8.5.21 as notified.
08.05.21	PC7-329.29	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Rule 8.5.21 as notified.
08.05.21	PC7-387.35	As One Incorporated	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.21 as notified.
08.05.21	PC7-12.4	Harrison, G	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.21 in its entirety.
08.05.21	PC7-199.9	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.21 in its entirety.
08.05.21	PC7-202.8	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.21 in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.21	PC7-483.6	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.21 in its entirety.
08.05.21	PC7-230.7	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.21 in its entirety.
08.05.21	PC7-260.9	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.21 in its entirety.
08.05.21	PC7-313.3	Hamilton, R	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.21 in its entirety.
08.05.21	PC7-353.6	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.21 in its entirety.
08.05.21	PC7-442.8	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.21 in its entirety.
08.05.21	PC7-274.11	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.21 in its entirety.
08.05.21	PC7-234.11	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.21 in its entirety.
08.05.21	PC7-415.37	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Rule 8.5.21 to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.21	PC7-179.38	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.21	PC7-113.9	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to remove the requirement for the reductions in Table 8-9 after 2030.
08.05.21	PC7-229.18	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.05.21	PC7-229.30	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.21	PC7-266.4	Saunders, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to delete the 5 ha threshold and retain the 10 ha threshold in the operative plan.
08.05.21	PC7-290.4	Alex Mason Contracting	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to allow farmers to comply with proposed nitrate rules over an extended period of time.
08.05.21	PC7-289.9	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.21	PC7-406.13	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to apply an adaptive approach.
08.05.21	PC7-333.12	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.21	PC7-220.21	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.21	PC7-216.19	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.21	PC7-363.9	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.21	PC7-335.2	Neil Kerr Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to a minimum block size of 30ha.
08.05.21	PC7-197.19	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose in part	Amend threshold area in Rule 8.5.21 for permitted farming activities.
08.05.21	PC7-324.27	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to take into account historic investments.
08.05.21	PC7-324.48	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.05.21	PC7-324.90	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.05.21	PC7-324.111	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.05.21	PC7-374.25	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.05.21	PC7-374.46	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.05.21	PC7-374.66	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.05.21	PC7-329.30	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.05.21	PC7-329.31	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions.
08.05.21	PC7-437.24	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.05.21	PC7-317.9	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.21	PC7-372.26	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.05.21	PC7-195.13	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.21	PC7-369.18	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.21	PC7-369.19	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.05.21	PC7-356.56	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Retain the Nutrient Management note that precedes Rule 8.5.21. Include consequential amendment subsequent to relief in submission points 32 and 36 to 39, amending the rule numbering and terms in the note.
08.05.21	PC7-472.111	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to align with the conditions of Rule 8.5.24.
08.05.21	PC7-406.34	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.21	PC7-406.54	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to make the starting point for reductions clear.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.21	PC7-216.39	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 so reductions are financially viable.
08.05.21	PC7-333.36	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.05.22	PC7-214.94	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.22 as notified.
08.05.22	PC7-357.34	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.22 as notified, subject to the amendments sought for Table 8-9.
08.05.22	PC7-425.22	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.22 as notified, subject to the amendments sought within the submission for Table 8-9.
08.05.22	PC7-441.16	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.22 as notified.
08.05.22	PC7-430.123	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.22 as notified.
08.05.22	PC7-324.70	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Rule 8.5.22 as notified.
08.05.22	PC7-329.32	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Rule 8.5.22 as notified.
08.05.22	PC7-188.7	Synlait Milk Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.22 as notified.
08.05.22	PC7-399.18	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain requirements for staged reductions in Table 8-9 in Rule 8.5.22 as notified.
08.05.22	PC7-199.10	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.22 in its entirety.
08.05.22	PC7-202.9	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.22 in its entirety.
08.05.22	PC7-483.7	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.22 in its entirety.
08.05.22	PC7-230.8	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.22 in its entirety.
08.05.22	PC7-260.10	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.22 in its entirety.
08.05.22	PC7-421.10	Mehrtens, J F	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.22 in its entirety.
08.05.22	PC7-413.6	Hawkins, A	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.22 in its entirety.
08.05.22	PC7-353.7	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.22 in its entirety.
08.05.22	PC7-442.9	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.22 in its entirety.
08.05.22	PC7-274.12	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.22 in its entirety.
08.05.22	PC7-234.12	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.22 in its entirety.
08.05.22	PC7-387.36	As One Incorporated	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.22 in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.22	PC7-415.38	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Rule 8.5.22 to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.22	PC7-179.39	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.22	PC7-12.5	Harrison, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to apply the most restrictive values to land within part of a subarea, or across subareas.
08.05.22	PC7-139.19	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to delete all reference to nitrate priority areas and Table 8.9.
08.05.22	PC7-136.9	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.05.22	PC7-114.81	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 as follows: Where any property or Farming Enterprise includes land within the Nitrate Priority Area, the nitrogen loss reductions in <u>Table 8-9 requirements</u> only apply to that part of the property within the Nitrate Priority Area.
08.05.22	PC7-113.10	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to remove the requirement for the reductions in Table 8-9 after 2030.
08.05.22	PC7-229.19	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.05.22	PC7-229.31	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.22	PC7-290.5	Alex Mason Contracting	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to allow farmers to comply with proposed nitrate rules over an extended period of time.
08.05.22	PC7-289.10	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.22	PC7-406.14	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to apply an adaptive approach.
08.05.22	PC7-333.13	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.22	PC7-220.22	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.22	PC7-216.20	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.05.22	PC7-363.10	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.22	PC7-313.4	Hamilton, R	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to apply the precautionary principle.
08.05.22	PC7-313.5	Hamilton, R	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to place the most restrictive values to part of a sub-area or across sub-areas.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.22	PC7-324.28	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to take into account historic investments.
08.05.22	PC7-324.49	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.05.22	PC7-324.91	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.05.22	PC7-324.112	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.05.22	PC7-374.5	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to remove Table 8-9.
08.05.22	PC7-433.17	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Retain Rule 8.5.22 as notified relative to the relief sought in submission point 433.4.
08.05.22	PC7-374.26	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.05.22	PC7-374.47	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.05.22	PC7-374.67	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.05.22	PC7-329.33	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.05.22	PC7-329.34	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions.
08.05.22	PC7-437.25	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.05.22	PC7-317.10	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.22	PC7-372.27	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.05.22	PC7-195.14	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.22	PC7-369.20	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.21 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.22	PC7-369.21	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.05.22	PC7-406.35	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.22	PC7-406.55	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.05.22	PC7-216.40	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 so reductions are financially viable.
08.05.22	PC7-333.37	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.22 to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.05.23	PC7-214.95	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23 as notified.
08.05.23	PC7-441.17	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23 as notified.
08.05.23	PC7-430.124	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.23	PC7-324.71	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Rule 8.5.23 as notified.
08.05.23	PC7-329.35	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Rule 8.5.23 as notified.
08.05.23	PC7-114.82	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23 in its entirety.
08.05.23	PC7-199.11	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23 in its entirety.
08.05.23	PC7-202.10	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23 in its entirety.
08.05.23	PC7-483.8	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23 in its entirety.
08.05.23	PC7-230.9	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.22 in its entirety.
08.05.23	PC7-421.11	Mehrtens, J F	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23 in its entirety.
08.05.23	PC7-413.7	Hawkins, A	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23 in its entirety.
08.05.23	PC7-353.8	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23 in its entirety.
08.05.23	PC7-442.10	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23 in its entirety.
08.05.23	PC7-274.13	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23 in its entirety.
08.05.23	PC7-234.13	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23 in its entirety.
08.05.23	PC7-387.37	As One Incorporated	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23 in its entirety.
08.05.23	PC7-415.39	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Rule 8.5.23 to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.23	PC7-179.40	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.23	PC7-12.6	Harrison, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to apply the most restrictive values to land within part of a subarea, or across subareas.
08.05.23	PC7-139.20	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to delete all reference to nitrate priority areas and Table 8.9.
08.05.23	PC7-136.10	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.05.23	PC7-113.11	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to remove the requirement for the reductions in Table 8-9 after 2030.
08.05.23	PC7-229.20	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.05.23	PC7-229.32	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.23	PC7-290.6	Alex Mason Contracting	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to allow farmers to comply with proposed nitrate rules over an extended period of time.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.23	PC7-289.11	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.23	PC7-406.15	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to apply an adaptive approach.
08.05.23	PC7-333.14	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.23	PC7-220.23	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.23	PC7-216.21	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.05.23	PC7-363.11	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.23	PC7-260.11	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose in part	Delete Rule 8.5.23 in its entirety.
08.05.23	PC7-313.6	Hamilton, R	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to place the most restrictive values to part of a sub-area or across sub-areas.
08.05.23	PC7-313.7	Hamilton, R	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to apply the precautionary principle.
08.05.23	PC7-324.29	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to take into account historic investments.
08.05.23	PC7-324.50	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.05.23	PC7-324.92	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.05.23	PC7-324.113	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.05.23	PC7-374.27	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.05.23	PC7-374.48	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.05.23	PC7-374.68	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.05.23	PC7-329.36	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.05.23	PC7-437.26	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.05.23	PC7-317.11	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.23	PC7-372.28	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.05.23	PC7-195.15	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.23	PC7-369.22	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.23	PC7-369.23	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.05.23	PC7-406.36	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.23	PC7-406.56	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.05.23	PC7-216.41	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 so reductions are financially viable.
08.05.23	PC7-333.38	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23 to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.05.23A	PC7-441.18	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23A as notified, subject to relief sought elsewhere in this submission including the provision of a definition for 'erroneous' or amendments to Policy 8.4.28B to that effect.
08.05.23A	PC7-114.83	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23A as notified.
08.05.23A	PC7-425.23	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23A as notified, subject to relief sought elsewhere in the submission to define and/or clarify the use of the term 'erroneous'.
08.05.23A	PC7-430.125	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23A as notified.
08.05.23A	PC7-324.72	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Rule 8.5.23A as notified.
08.05.23A	PC7-433.18	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23A as notified relative to the relief sought in submission point 433.8.
08.05.23A	PC7-329.37	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Rule 8.5.23A as notified.
08.05.23A	PC7-199.12	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23A in its entirety.
08.05.23A	PC7-202.11	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23A in its entirety.
08.05.23A	PC7-483.9	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23A in its entirety.
08.05.23A	PC7-260.12	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23A in its entirety.
08.05.23A	PC7-353.15	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23A in its entirety.
08.05.23A	PC7-442.11	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23A in its entirety.
08.05.23A	PC7-274.14	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23A in its entirety.
08.05.23A	PC7-234.14	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23A in its entirety.
08.05.23A	PC7-415.40	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Rule 8.5.23A to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.23A	PC7-179.41	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.23A	PC7-136.11	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.05.23A	PC7-113.12	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to remove the requirement for the reductions in Table 8-9 after 2030.
08.05.23A	PC7-95.35	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to provide for the immediate replacement of the loss rate in that resource consent when the Farm Portal is able to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate for that farming activity.
08.05.23A	PC7-229.21	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.05.23A	PC7-229.33	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.23A	PC7-266.5	Saunders, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to delete 5 ha threshold and retain 10 ha threshold in the operative plan.
08.05.23A	PC7-290.7	Alex Mason Contracting	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to allow farmers to comply with proposed nitrate rules over an extended period of time.
08.05.23A	PC7-289.12	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.23A	PC7-406.16	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to apply an adaptive approach.
08.05.23A	PC7-333.15	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.23A	PC7-172.9	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A as follows; Despite Rules 8.5.24 to 8.5.29, the use of land for a farming activity on a property greater than 5 hectares where: a. the Farm Portal is unable to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate or the number generated is demonstrated to be erroneous; or b. more than 25% of the property is used to produce, farm, or rear a crop or animal type that is not able to be selected as an option in OVERSEER and where the OVERSEER Best Practice Data Input Standard does not recommend an alternative; or c. the Farm Portal is unable to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate that is representative for the property as a consequence of that property being subject to nutrient management rules in: i. both the region-wide section of this Plan and rules in a sub-region section of this Plan; or ii. more than one sub-region section of this Plan; ...
08.05.23A	PC7-220.24	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend 8.5.23A to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.23A	PC7-216.22	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.05.23A	PC7-363.12	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.23A	PC7-324.30	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to take into account historic investments.
08.05.23A	PC7-324.51	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.05.23A	PC7-324.93	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.05.23A	PC7-324.114	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.05.23A	PC7-374.28	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.05.23A	PC7-374.49	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.05.23A	PC7-374.69	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.05.23A	PC7-329.38	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to delete nitrate reductions in post 2030.
08.05.23A	PC7-329.39	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions.
08.05.23A	PC7-317.13	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.23A	PC7-372.29	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.05.23A	PC7-437.34	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.05.23A	PC7-195.16	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.23A	PC7-369.24	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.23A	PC7-369.25	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.05.23A	PC7-406.37	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.23A	PC7-406.57	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.05.23A	PC7-216.42	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A so reductions are financially viable.
08.05.23A	PC7-333.39	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23A to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.05.23B	PC7-441.19	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23B as notified, subject to relief sought elsewhere in this submission including the provision of a definition for 'erroneous' or amendments to Policy 8.4.28B to that effect.
08.05.23B	PC7-114.84	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23B as notified.
08.05.23B	PC7-425.24	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23B as notified, subject to relief sought elsewhere in the submission to define and/or clarify the use of the term 'erroneous'.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.23B	PC7-430.126	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23B as notified.
08.05.23B	PC7-324.73	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Rule 8.5.23B as notified.
08.05.23B	PC7-433.19	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23B relative to the relief sought in submission point 433.8.
08.05.23B	PC7-329.40	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Rule 8.5.23B as notified.
08.05.23B	PC7-199.13	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23B in its entirety.
08.05.23B	PC7-202.12	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23B in its entirety.
08.05.23B	PC7-483.10	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23B in its entirety.
08.05.23B	PC7-172.10	Melbury Limited	Oppose	Amend Rule 8.5.23B as follows: The use of land for a farming activity on a property greater than 5 hectares where: a. the Farm Portal is unable to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate or the number generated is demonstrated to be erroneous; or b. more than 25% of the property is used to produce, farm, or rear a crop or animal type that is not able to be selected as an option in OVERSEER® and where the OVERSEER® Best Practice Data Input Standard does not recommend an alternative; or c. the Farm Portal is unable to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate that is representative for the property as a consequence of that property being subject to nutrient management rules in: i. both the region-wide section of this Plan and rules in a sub-region section of this Plan; or ii. more than one sub-region section of this Plan; that does not meet condition 2 of Rule 8.5.23A is a non-complying activity.
08.05.23B	PC7-260.13	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23B in its entirety.
08.05.23B	PC7-353.16	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23B in its entirety.
08.05.23B	PC7-442.12	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23B in its entirety.
08.05.23B	PC7-274.15	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23B in its entirety.
08.05.23B	PC7-234.15	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23B in its entirety.
08.05.23B	PC7-415.41	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Rule 8.5.23B to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.23B	PC7-179.42	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.23B	PC7-136.12	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.05.23B	PC7-113.13	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to remove the requirement for the reductions in Table 8-9 after 2030.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.23B	PC7-95.36	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to provide for the immediate replacement of the loss rate in that resource consent when the Farm Portal is able to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate for that farming activity.
08.05.23B	PC7-229.22	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.05.23B	PC7-229.34	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.23B	PC7-266.6	Saunders, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to delete the 5 ha threshold and retain the 10 ha threshold in the operative plan.
08.05.23B	PC7-290.8	Alex Mason Contracting	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to allow farmers to comply with proposed nitrate rules over an extended period of time.
08.05.23B	PC7-289.13	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.23B	PC7-406.17	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to apply an adaptive approach.
08.05.23B	PC7-333.16	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.23B	PC7-220.25	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.23B	PC7-216.23	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.05.23B	PC7-363.13	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.23B	PC7-324.31	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to take into account historic investments.
08.05.23B	PC7-324.52	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.05.23B	PC7-324.94	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.05.23B	PC7-324.115	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.05.23B	PC7-374.29	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.05.23B	PC7-374.50	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.05.23B	PC7-374.70	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.05.23B	PC7-329.41	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.05.23B	PC7-329.42	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions.
08.05.23B	PC7-317.14	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.23B	PC7-372.30	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.05.23B	PC7-437.35	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.05.23B	PC7-195.17	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.23B	PC7-369.26	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.23B	PC7-369.27	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.05.23B	PC7-406.38	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.23B	PC7-406.58	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.05.23B	PC7-216.43	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B so reductions are financially viable.
08.05.23B	PC7-333.40	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23B to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.05.23C	PC7-441.20	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23C as notified, subject to relief sought elsewhere in this submission including the provision of a definition for 'erroneous' or amendments to Policy 8.4.28B to that effect.
08.05.23C	PC7-114.85	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23C as notified.
08.05.23C	PC7-425.25	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23C as notified, subject to relief sought elsewhere in the submission to define and/or clarify the use of the term 'erroneous'.
08.05.23C	PC7-430.127	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23C as notified.
08.05.23C	PC7-324.74	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Rule 8.5.23C as notified.
08.05.23C	PC7-433.20	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.23C relative to the relief sought in submission point 433.8.
08.05.23C	PC7-199.14	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23C in its entirety.
08.05.23C	PC7-202.14	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23C in its entirety.
08.05.23C	PC7-483.11	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23C in its entirety.
08.05.23C	PC7-172.11	Melbury Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23C in its entirety
08.05.23C	PC7-260.14	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23C in its entirety.
08.05.23C	PC7-353.17	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23C in its entirety.
08.05.23C	PC7-442.13	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23C in its entirety.
08.05.23C	PC7-274.16	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23C in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.23C	PC7-234.16	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.23C in its entirety.
08.05.23C	PC7-415.42	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Rule 8.5.23C to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.23C	PC7-179.43	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.23C	PC7-131.3	Agri Magic Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to make limitations of the portal explicit and direct farmers to the equivalent pathway
08.05.23C	PC7-131.4	Agri Magic Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to clearly define the Equivalent Pathway.
08.05.23C	PC7-136.13	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.05.23C	PC7-113.14	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to remove the requirement for the reductions in Table 8-9 after 2030.
08.05.23C	PC7-95.37	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to provide for the immediate replacement of the loss rate in that resource consent when the Farm Portal is able to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate for that farming activity.
08.05.23C	PC7-229.23	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.05.23C	PC7-229.35	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.23C	PC7-266.7	Saunders, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to delete the 5 ha threshold and retain the 10 ha threshold in the operative plan.
08.05.23C	PC7-290.9	Alex Mason Contracting	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to allow farmers to comply with proposed nitrate rules over an extended period of time.
08.05.23C	PC7-289.14	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.23C	PC7-406.18	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to apply an adaptive approach.
08.05.23C	PC7-333.17	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.23C	PC7-220.26	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.23C	PC7-216.24	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.05.23C	PC7-363.14	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.23C	PC7-324.32	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to take into account historic investments.
08.05.23C	PC7-324.53	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.23C	PC7-324.95	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.05.23C	PC7-324.116	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.05.23C	PC7-374.30	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.05.23C	PC7-374.51	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.05.23C	PC7-374.71	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.05.23C	PC7-317.15	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.23C	PC7-372.31	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.05.23C	PC7-437.36	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.05.23C	PC7-195.18	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.23C	PC7-369.28	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.23C	PC7-369.29	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.05.23C	PC7-406.39	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.23C	PC7-406.59	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.05.23C	PC7-216.44	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C so reductions are financially viable.
08.05.23C	PC7-333.41	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.23C to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.05.24	PC7-160.42	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.24 as notified.
08.05.24	PC7-357.35	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.24 as notified.
08.05.24	PC7-324.75	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Rule 8.5.24 as notified.
08.05.24	PC7-374.6	Reed, G	Support	Support all remaining livestock farms reducing end losses to 5% by 2030.
08.05.24	PC7-329.43	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Rule 8.5.24 as notified.
08.05.24	PC7-329.62	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain the 10% area threshold for winter grazing in Rule 8.5.24 as notified.
08.05.24	PC7-399.26	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone as notified.
08.05.24	PC7-98.12	McGregor, A	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.24 as notified.
08.05.24	PC7-214.96	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete condition 4 of Rule 8.5.24.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.24	PC7-199.15	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.24 in its entirety.
08.05.24	PC7-202.15	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.24 in its entirety.
08.05.24	PC7-483.12	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.24 in its entirety.
08.05.24	PC7-230.10	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.24 in its entirety.
08.05.24	PC7-260.15	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.24 in its entirety.
08.05.24	PC7-353.9	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.24 in its entirety.
08.05.24	PC7-442.14	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.24 in its entirety.
08.05.24	PC7-234.17	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.24 in its entirety.
08.05.24	PC7-415.43	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Rule 8.5.24 to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.24	PC7-179.44	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.24	PC7-136.14	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.05.24	PC7-114.86	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 8.5.24 as follows: 3. For any property...the bed of any river or coastal lake: a. Any increase in the area of the property that is irrigated is limited to 10 hectares above that which was irrigated at 20 July 2019, provided that no more than 50 hectares is authorised to be irrigated in total; and
08.05.24	PC7-273.6	Carleton Daries Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3(b) of Rule 8.5.24 as follows: b. The total area of the property used for winter grazing is less than or equal to: i. 5 <u>10</u> hectares for any property less than 100 hectares in area; or ii. 5% <u>10%</u> of the area of the property, for any property between 100 and 1000 hectares in area; or iii. 50 <u>100</u> hectares, for any property greater than 1000 hectares in area; and
08.05.24	PC7-229.24	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.05.24	PC7-229.36	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.24	PC7-229.42	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to increase limit to 10% up to 1000ha.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.24	PC7-266.8	Saunders, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to delete the 5 ha threshold and retain the 10 ha threshold in the operative plan.
08.05.24	PC7-290.10	Alex Mason Contracting	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to allow farmers to comply with proposed nitrate rules over an extended period of time.
08.05.24	PC7-289.15	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.24	PC7-425.26	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 8.5.24 as follows: The use of land for a farming activity on a property greater than 5 hectares in area is a permitted activity, provided the following conditions are met: ... 3. ... b. The total area of the property used for winter grazing is less than or equal to: i. 5 10 hectares for any property less than 100 hectares in area; or ii. 5% 10% of the area of the property, for any property between 100 and 1000 hectares in area; or iii. 50 100 hectares, for any property greater than 1000 hectares in area; and ...
08.05.24	PC7-96.14	Rangvet Ltd (Rangiora Vet Centre)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to change the permitted activity winter grazing thresholds to: a. 10ha for any property less than 100ha. b. 10% of area of property for 100-1,000ha. c. 100ha for any property >1,000ha.
08.05.24	PC7-406.19	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to apply an adaptive approach.
08.05.24	PC7-430.128	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to reflect the winter grazing thresholds in Plan Change 5.
08.05.24	PC7-333.18	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.24	PC7-172.12	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 8.5.24 to exclude the Ashley / Rakahuri Freshwater Management Unit from this rule and apply the region wide thresholds.
08.05.24	PC7-220.27	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.24	PC7-216.25	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.05.24	PC7-363.15	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.24	PC7-324.17	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3(b) of Rule 8.5.24 as follows: ... b. The total area of the property used for winter grazing is less than or equal to: i. 5 hectares for any property less than 100 hectares in area; or ii. <u>5</u> 10% of the area of the property, for any property between 100 and 1000 hectares in area; or...
08.05.24	PC7-197.20	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to provide clearer wording.
08.05.24	PC7-255.11	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3(b) of Rule 8.5.24 as follows: 3. ... b. ... i. <u>5</u> 10 hectares for any property less than 100 hectares in area; or ii. <u>5</u> 10% of the area of the property, for any property between 100 and 1000 hectares in area; or iii. <u>50</u> 100 hectares, for any property greater than 1000 hectares in area; and...
08.05.24	PC7-324.33	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to take into account historic investments.
08.05.24	PC7-324.54	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.05.24	PC7-324.96	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.05.24	PC7-324.117	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.05.24	PC7-374.31	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.05.24	PC7-374.52	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.05.24	PC7-374.72	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.05.24	PC7-329.44	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.05.24	PC7-329.45	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions
08.05.24	PC7-437.27	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.05.24	PC7-442.23	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3(b) of Rule 8.5.24 to delete the 5% winter grazing area threshold and replace with a 10% winter grazing area threshold.
08.05.24	PC7-372.14	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Condition 3(b) of Rule 8.5.24 to increase the limit to 10 percent up to 1000 hectares.
08.05.24	PC7-317.12	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.24	PC7-372.32	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.05.24	PC7-195.1	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3(b) of Rule 8.5.24 to allow for a 10% area threshold for winter grazing.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.24	PC7-195.19	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.24	PC7-369.30	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.24	PC7-369.31	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.05.24	PC7-369.47	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3(b)(ii) of Rule 8.5.24 to delete the limit of 5% winter grazing threshold and replace with a 10% winter grazing area threshold up to 1000 ha.
08.05.24	PC7-148.23	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 8.5.24 as follows: ... 4. For any property located within the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone and directly adjoins the bed of any river or coastal lake <u>where</u> there is no irrigation or winter grazing on any part of the property.
08.05.24	PC7-42.25	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 8.5.24 as follows: ... For any property located within the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone and directly adjoins the bed of any river or coastal lake <u>where</u> there is no irrigation or winter grazing on any part of the property.
08.05.24	PC7-149.25	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 8.5.24 as follows: ... 4. For any property located within the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone and directly adjoins the bed of any river or coastal lake <u>where</u> there is no irrigation or winter grazing on any part of the property.
08.05.24	PC7-3.22	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 8.5.24 as follows: ... 4. For any property located within the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone and directly adjoins the bed of any river or coastal lake <u>where</u> there is no irrigation or winter grazing on any part of the property.
08.05.24	PC7-107.25	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 8.5.24 as follows: ... 4. For any property located within the Ashley Estuary (Te Aka Aka) and Coastal Protection Zone and directly adjoins the bed of any river or coastal lake <u>where</u> there is no irrigation or winter grazing on any part of the property.
08.05.24	PC7-406.40	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.24	PC7-406.60	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.05.24	PC7-216.45	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 so reductions are financially viable.
08.05.24	PC7-333.42	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.24 to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.05.25	PC7-160.43	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.25 as notified.
08.05.25	PC7-324.76	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Rule 8.5.25 as notified.
08.05.25	PC7-329.46	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Rule 8.5.25 as notified.
08.05.25	PC7-114.87	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose	Delete condition 2 of Rule 8.5.25.
08.05.25	PC7-214.77	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.25 in its entirety and replace with the framework proposed in submission point PC7-214.81.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.25	PC7-199.16	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.25 in its entirety.
08.05.25	PC7-202.16	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.25 in its entirety.
08.05.25	PC7-483.13	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.25 in its entirety.
08.05.25	PC7-230.11	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.25 in its entirety.
08.05.25	PC7-260.16	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.25 in its entirety.
08.05.25	PC7-353.10	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.25 in its entirety.
08.05.25	PC7-442.15	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.25 in its entirety.
08.05.25	PC7-274.17	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.25 in its entirety.
08.05.25	PC7-234.18	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.25 in its entirety.
08.05.25	PC7-415.44	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Rule 8.5.25 to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.25	PC7-179.45	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.25	PC7-136.15	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.05.25	PC7-273.7	Carleton Daries Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 8.5.25 as follows: 4. The total area of the property used for winter grazing is less than or equal to: a. 5 <u>10</u> hectares for any property less than 100 hectares in area; or b. 5% <u>10%</u> of the area of the property, for any property between 100 and 1000 hectares in area; or c. 50 <u>100</u> hectares, for any property greater than 1000 hectares in area; and
08.05.25	PC7-229.25	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.05.25	PC7-229.37	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.25	PC7-229.43	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to increase limit to 10% up to 1000 ha.
08.05.25	PC7-266.9	Saunders, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to delete the 5 ha threshold and retain the 10 ha threshold in the operative plan.
08.05.25	PC7-290.11	Alex Mason Contracting	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to allow farmers to comply with proposed nitrate rules over an extended period of time.
08.05.25	PC7-289.16	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.25	PC7-425.27	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 8.5.25 as follows: 4. The total area of the property used for winter grazing is less than or equal to: a. 5 <u>10</u> hectares for any property less than 100 hectares in area; or b. 5% <u>10%</u> of the area of the property, for any property between 100 and 1000 hectares in area; or c. 50 <u>100</u> hectares, for any property greater than 1000 hectares in area.
08.05.25	PC7-96.15	Rangvet Ltd (Rangiora Vet Centre)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to change the permitted activity winter grazing thresholds to: a. 10ha for any property less than 100ha. b. 10% of area of property for 100-1,000ha. c. 100ha for any property >1,000ha.
08.05.25	PC7-406.20	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to apply an adaptive approach.
08.05.25	PC7-430.129	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to reflect the winter grazing thresholds in Plan Change 5.
08.05.25	PC7-333.19	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.25	PC7-172.13	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to exclude the Ashley/Rakahuri Freshwater Management Unit from this rule and apply the region wide thresholds.
08.05.25	PC7-220.28	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend 8.5.25 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased colorectal cancer.
08.05.25	PC7-216.26	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.05.25	PC7-363.16	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.25	PC7-255.12	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 8.5.25 as follows: 4. ... a. 5 <u>10</u> hectares for any property less than 100 hectares in area; or b. 5% <u>10%</u> of the area of the property, for any property between 100 and 1000 hectares in area; or c. 50 <u>100</u> hectares, for any property greater than 1000 hectares in area...
08.05.25	PC7-324.34	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to take into account historic investments.
08.05.25	PC7-324.55	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.05.25	PC7-324.97	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.05.25	PC7-324.118	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.25	PC7-374.32	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.05.25	PC7-374.73	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.05.25	PC7-329.47	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.05.25	PC7-329.48	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions.
08.05.25	PC7-437.28	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.05.25	PC7-372.15	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 8.5.25 to increase the limit to 10 percent up to 1000 hectares.
08.05.25	PC7-317.16	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.25	PC7-372.33	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.05.25	PC7-195.20	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.25	PC7-369.32	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.25	PC7-369.33	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.05.25	PC7-369.48	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4(b)(ii) of Rule 8.5.25 to delete the limit of 5% winter grazing threshold and replace with a 10% winter grazing area threshold up to 1000 ha.
08.05.25	PC7-114.104	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 8.5.25 as follows: 3. Any increase in the irrigated area of the property is limited to 10 hectares above that which was irrigated at 20 July 2019, provided that no more than 50 hectares are <u>is authorised to be</u> irrigated in total; and
08.05.25	PC7-406.41	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.25	PC7-406.61	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.05.25	PC7-472.216	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to replace the word "efficacy" with "The quality of, compliance with, and auditing of the Farm Environment Plan by an independent qualified person".
08.05.25	PC7-216.46	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 so reductions are financially viable.
08.05.25	PC7-333.43	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.25 to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.05.26	PC7-160.44	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.26 as notified.
08.05.26	PC7-430.130	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.26 as notified.
08.05.26	PC7-324.77	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Rule 8.5.26 as notified.
08.05.26	PC7-329.49	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Rule 8.5.26 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.26	PC7-399.19	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain requirements for staged reductions in Table 8-9 and the rule support for these reductions.
08.05.26	PC7-214.78	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.26 in its entirety and replace with the framework proposed in submission point PC7-214.81.
08.05.26	PC7-199.17	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.26 in its entirety.
08.05.26	PC7-202.17	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.26 in its entirety.
08.05.26	PC7-483.14	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.26 in its entirety.
08.05.26	PC7-230.12	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.26 in its entirety.
08.05.26	PC7-260.17	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.26 in its entirety.
08.05.26	PC7-421.12	Mehrtens, J F	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 7 of Rule 8.5.26.
08.05.26	PC7-421.13	Mehrtens, J F	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 8 of Rule 8.5.26.
08.05.26	PC7-413.10	Hawkins, A	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 7 of Rule 8.5.26.
08.05.26	PC7-413.11	Hawkins, A	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 8 of Rule 8.5.26.
08.05.26	PC7-353.11	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.26 in its entirety.
08.05.26	PC7-442.16	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.26 in its entirety.
08.05.26	PC7-274.18	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.26 in its entirety.
08.05.26	PC7-234.19	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.26 in its entirety.
08.05.26	PC7-415.45	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Rule 8.5.26 to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.26	PC7-179.46	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.26	PC7-139.21	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to delete all reference to nitrate priority areas and Table 8.9.
08.05.26	PC7-136.16	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.05.26	PC7-114.88	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 as follows: The use of land for a farming activity on a property greater than 5 hectares in area that does not comply with one or more of conditions 1, 2 or 3 of Rule 8.5.24 or one or more of conditions 2, or 3 or 4 of Rule 8.5.25 is a restricted discretionary activity, provided the following conditions are met: ...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.26	PC7-114.89	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 7 of Rule 8.5.26 as follows: 7. For land within the Nitrate Priority Management Area, the methods and timeline in the Farm Environment Plan for <u>reducing nitrogen losses to contribute to the achievement of the nitrate-nitrogen and total nitrogen targets specified in Tables 8-5, 8-6 and 8-8 and, by 2030, for nitrogen losses for dairy farming activities to be reduced by 15% and from all other farming activities by 5%</u> achieving the nitrogen loss rate reductions set out in Table 8-9; and
08.05.26	PC7-229.26	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.05.26	PC7-229.38	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.26	PC7-266.10	Saunders, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to delete the 5 ha threshold and retain the 10 ha threshold in the operative plan.
08.05.26	PC7-290.12	Alex Mason Contracting	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to allow farmers to comply with proposed nitrate rules over an extended period of time.
08.05.26	PC7-289.17	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.26	PC7-406.21	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to apply an adaptive approach.
08.05.26	PC7-333.20	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.26	PC7-172.14	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to exclude the Ashley/Rakahuri Freshwater Management Unit.
08.05.26	PC7-172.15	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to remove reference to Baseline Good Management Practice Loss Rates and Good Management Practice Loss Rates.
08.05.26	PC7-220.29	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.26	PC7-216.27	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.05.26	PC7-363.17	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.26	PC7-197.21	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to provide clearer wording.
08.05.26	PC7-324.35	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to take into account historic investments.
08.05.26	PC7-324.56	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.05.26	PC7-324.98	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.05.26	PC7-324.119	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.26	PC7-374.4	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to remove Table 8-9 and replace.
08.05.26	PC7-374.33	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.05.26	PC7-374.54	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.05.26	PC7-374.74	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.05.26	PC7-329.50	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.05.26	PC7-329.51	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions
08.05.26	PC7-437.29	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.05.26	PC7-317.17	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.26	PC7-372.34	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.05.26	PC7-195.21	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.26	PC7-369.34	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.26	PC7-369.35	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.05.26	PC7-114.105	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 8 of Rule 8.5.26 as follows: 8. For land within the Nitrogen Priority Area, the extent to which any mitigations better than Good Management Practice implemented during the 2009-13 Baseline period have been taken into account when applying the further reductions in nitrogen loss required by Table 8-9; and
08.05.26	PC7-406.42	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.26	PC7-406.62	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.05.26	PC7-472.217	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to replace the word "efficacy" with "The quality of, compliance with, and auditing of the Farm Environment Plan by an independent qualified person".
08.05.26	PC7-387.38	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Delete matter of discretion 7 of Rule 8.5.26.
08.05.26	PC7-216.47	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 so reductions are financially viable.
08.05.26	PC7-333.44	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.26 to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.05.26	PC7-387.54	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Delete matter of discretion 8 of Rule 8.5.26.
08.05.27	PC7-114.90	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.27 as notified.
08.05.27	PC7-430.131	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.27 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.27	PC7-324.78	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Rule 8.5.27 as notified.
08.05.27	PC7-329.52	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Rule 8.5.27 as notified.
08.05.27	PC7-214.79	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.27 in its entirety and replace with the framework proposed in submission point PC7-214.81.
08.05.27	PC7-199.18	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.27 in its entirety.
08.05.27	PC7-202.18	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.27 in its entirety.
08.05.27	PC7-483.15	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.27 in its entirety.
08.05.27	PC7-230.13	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.27 in its entirety.
08.05.27	PC7-172.16	Melbury Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.27 in its entirety.
08.05.27	PC7-260.18	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.27 in its entirety.
08.05.27	PC7-353.12	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.27 in its entirety.
08.05.27	PC7-442.17	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.27 in its entirety.
08.05.27	PC7-274.19	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.27 in its entirety.
08.05.27	PC7-387.39	As One Incorporated	Oppose	Delete condition 3 of Rule 8.5.27.
08.05.27	PC7-368.13	Knightlea Limited	Oppose	Delete condition 3 from Rule 8.5.27.
08.05.27	PC7-415.46	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Rule 8.5.27 to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.27	PC7-179.47	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.27	PC7-139.22	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to delete all reference to nitrate priority areas and Table 8.9.
08.05.27	PC7-136.17	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.05.27	PC7-177.4	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose in part	Delete condition 3 of Rule 8.5.27.
08.05.27	PC7-229.27	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.05.27	PC7-229.39	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.27	PC7-290.13	Alex Mason Contracting	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to allow farmers to comply with proposed nitrate rules over an extended period of time.
08.05.27	PC7-289.18	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.27	PC7-406.22	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to apply an adaptive approach.
08.05.27	PC7-333.21	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.27	PC7-220.30	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.27	PC7-216.28	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.05.27	PC7-363.18	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.27	PC7-324.36	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to take into account historic investments.
08.05.27	PC7-324.57	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.05.27	PC7-324.99	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.05.27	PC7-324.120	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.05.27	PC7-374.34	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.05.27	PC7-374.55	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.05.27	PC7-374.75	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.05.27	PC7-329.53	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.05.27	PC7-329.54	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions.
08.05.27	PC7-437.30	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.05.27	PC7-317.18	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.27	PC7-372.35	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.05.27	PC7-195.22	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.27	PC7-369.36	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.27	PC7-369.37	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.05.27	PC7-406.43	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.27	PC7-406.63	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.05.27	PC7-216.48	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 so reductions are financially viable.
08.05.27	PC7-333.45	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.27 to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.05.28	PC7-114.91	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.28 as notified.
08.05.28	PC7-430.132	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.28 as notified.
08.05.28	PC7-324.10	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.28 as notified.
08.05.28	PC7-324.79	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Rule 8.5.28 as notified.
08.05.28	PC7-329.55	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Rule 8.5.28 as notified.
08.05.28	PC7-199.19	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.28 in its entirety.
08.05.28	PC7-202.19	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.28 in its entirety.
08.05.28	PC7-483.16	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.28 in its entirety.
08.05.28	PC7-230.14	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.28 in its entirety.
08.05.28	PC7-260.19	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.28 in its entirety.
08.05.28	PC7-353.13	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.28 in its entirety.
08.05.28	PC7-442.18	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.28 in its entirety.
08.05.28	PC7-274.20	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.28 in its entirety.
08.05.28	PC7-234.20	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.28 in its entirety.
08.05.28	PC7-415.47	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Rule 8.5.28 to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.28	PC7-179.48	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.28	PC7-136.18	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.05.28	PC7-229.28	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.05.28	PC7-229.40	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.28	PC7-266.11	Saunders, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to delete the 5 ha threshold and retain the 10 ha threshold in the operative plan.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.28	PC7-290.14	Alex Mason Contracting	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to allow farmers to comply with proposed nitrate rules over an extended period of time.
08.05.28	PC7-289.19	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.28	PC7-406.23	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to apply an adaptive approach.
08.05.28	PC7-333.22	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.28	PC7-172.17	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to exclude the Ashley/Rakahuri Freshwater Management Unit from this rule.
08.05.28	PC7-220.31	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.28	PC7-216.29	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.05.28	PC7-363.19	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.28	PC7-324.37	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to take into account historic investments.
08.05.28	PC7-324.58	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.05.28	PC7-324.100	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.05.28	PC7-324.121	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.05.28	PC7-374.35	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 so that reductions, required in Table 8-9, are less severe and are achievable.
08.05.28	PC7-374.56	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.05.28	PC7-374.76	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.05.28	PC7-329.56	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.05.28	PC7-329.57	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions.
08.05.28	PC7-437.31	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.05.28	PC7-317.19	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.28	PC7-372.36	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.05.28	PC7-195.23	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.28	PC7-369.38	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.28	PC7-369.39	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.05.28	PC7-406.44	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.28	PC7-406.64	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.05.28	PC7-216.49	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 so reductions are financially viable.
08.05.28	PC7-333.46	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.28 to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.05.29	PC7-114.92	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.29 as notified.
08.05.29	PC7-430.133	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.29 as notified.
08.05.29	PC7-324.80	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Rule 8.5.29 as notified.
08.05.29	PC7-329.58	Rosebrook Farm	Support	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Rule 8.5.29 as notified.
08.05.29	PC7-199.20	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.29 in its entirety.
08.05.29	PC7-202.20	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.29 in its entirety.
08.05.29	PC7-483.17	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.29 in its entirety.
08.05.29	PC7-230.15	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.29 in its entirety.
08.05.29	PC7-172.18	Melbury Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.29 in its entirety.
08.05.29	PC7-260.20	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.29 in its entirety.
08.05.29	PC7-353.14	Parkdale Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.29 in its entirety.
08.05.29	PC7-442.19	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.29 in its entirety.
08.05.29	PC7-274.21	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.29 in its entirety.
08.05.29	PC7-234.21	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.29 in its entirety.
08.05.29	PC7-415.48	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to ensure that it takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rates. Alternatively, amend Rule 8.5.29 to delete the references to Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.
08.05.29	PC7-179.49	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to delete the references to "Baseline GMP Loss Rate" and replace with "Good Management Practice" or such other definition that accurately assigns a load to reflect current on farm good management practice.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.29	PC7-136.19	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to include an alternative set of guidelines for calculating GMP until the Farm Portal provides credible and reliable results.
08.05.29	PC7-229.29	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to clarify the starting point for reductions.
08.05.29	PC7-229.41	Peter and Bridget Philpott (PLJ & BS Philpott)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.29	PC7-266.12	Saunders, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to delete the 5 ha threshold and retain the 10 ha threshold in the operative plan.
08.05.29	PC7-290.15	Alex Mason Contracting	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to allow farmers to comply with proposed nitrate rules over an extended period of time.
08.05.29	PC7-289.20	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.29	PC7-406.24	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to apply an adaptive approach.
08.05.29	PC7-333.23	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.29	PC7-220.32	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.29	PC7-216.30	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to take into account historic investments made by farmers for the environment.
08.05.29	PC7-363.20	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.29	PC7-324.38	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to take into account historic investments.
08.05.29	PC7-324.59	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 of Plan Change 7 with no specific decision requested.
08.05.29	PC7-324.101	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.05.29	PC7-324.122	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.05.29	PC7-374.36	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 so that reductions are less severe and are achievable.
08.05.29	PC7-374.57	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to remove requirement of reductions post 1 January 2030.
08.05.29	PC7-374.77	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 so that available data is reviewed in 2027 to signal further reductions required from 2030 to 2040.
08.05.29	PC7-329.59	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.05.29	PC7-329.60	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions.
08.05.29	PC7-437.32	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.29	PC7-317.20	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
08.05.29	PC7-372.37	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to set firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
08.05.29	PC7-195.24	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.29	PC7-369.40	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.05.29	PC7-369.41	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.05.29	PC7-406.45	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.05.29	PC7-406.65	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.05.29	PC7-216.50	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 so reductions are financially viable.
08.05.29	PC7-333.47	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.29 to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.05.30	PC7-160.63	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.30 as notified.
08.05.30	PC7-349.18	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Support	Retain the references to non-notification in Rule 8.5.30 as notified.
08.05.30	PC7-430.134	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.30 as notified, subject to the requested amendments to Table 8-9.
08.05.30	PC7-399.20	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain requirements for staged reductions in Table 8-9 in Rule 8.5.30 as notified.
08.05.30	PC7-472.112	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.30 as notified, subject to requested relief for Table 8-9.
08.05.30	PC7-405.21	Tallott, S & J	Support	Retain the references to non-notification in Rule 8.5.30 as notified.
08.05.30	PC7-114.93	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose	Amend Rule 8.5.30 as follows: The discharge of nutrients onto or into land...is a discretionary activity provided the following condition is met: 1. The staged reductions in nitrogen loss required by Table 8-9 will be met for any land within the Nitrate Priority Area.
08.05.30	PC7-387.40	As One Incorporated	Oppose	Delete condition 1 of Rule 8.5.30 and make any consequential amendments to address the concerns raised in the submission and to ensure a coherent planning document.
08.05.30	PC7-139.23	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.30 to delete all reference to nitrate priority areas and Table 8.9.
08.05.30	PC7-349.17	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 8.5.30 as follows (as a consequential amendment if alternative relief in the submission is accepted): 1. The staged reductions in nitrogen loss required by Table 8-9 A will be met for any land within the Nitrate Priority Area.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.30	PC7-220.33	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.30 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.30	PC7-148.24	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.30 as follows: The discharge of nutrients onto or into land in circumstances that may result in a contaminant entering water that would otherwise contravene s15(1) of the RMA where the applicant is an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier or the holder of a discharge permit will be an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier is a discretionary activity provided the following condition is met: ...
08.05.30	PC7-42.26	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.30 as follows: The discharge of nutrients onto or into land in circumstances that may result in a contaminant entering water that would otherwise contravene s15(1) of the RMA where the applicant is an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier or the holder of a discharge permit will be an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier is a discretionary activity provided the following condition is met:
08.05.30	PC7-149.26	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.30 as follows: The discharge of nutrients onto or into land in circumstances that may result in a contaminant entering water that would otherwise contravene s15(1) of the RMA where the applicant is an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier or the holder of a discharge permit will be an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier is a discretionary activity provided the following condition is met: ...
08.05.30	PC7-3.23	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.30 as follows: The discharge of nutrients onto or into land in circumstances that may result in a contaminant entering water that would otherwise contravene s15(1) of the RMA where the applicant is an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier or the holder of a discharge permit will be an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier is a discretionary activity provided the following condition is met:
08.05.30	PC7-107.26	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.30 as follows: The discharge of nutrients onto or into land in circumstances that may result in a contaminant entering water that would otherwise contravene s15(1) of the RMA where the applicant is an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier or the holder of a discharge permit will be an irrigation scheme or a principal water supplier is a discretionary activity provided the following condition is met:
08.05.30	PC7-405.20	Tallott, S & J	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 8.5.30 as follows (as a consequential amendment if alternative relief in the submission is accepted): '1. The staged reductions in nitrogen loss required by Table 8-9A will be met for any land within the Nitrate Priority Area.'
08.05.30A	PC7-160.64	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.30A as notified.
08.05.30A	PC7-430.135	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.30A as notified.
08.05.30A	PC7-472.113	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.30A as notified, subject to requested relief for Table 8-9.
08.05.30A	PC7-114.94	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.30A in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.30A	PC7-220.34	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.30A to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.31	PC7-114.95	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.31 as notified.
08.05.31	PC7-214.97	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.31 as notified.
08.05.31	PC7-441.21	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.31 as notified.
08.05.31	PC7-430.136	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.31 as notified, subject to the acceptance of the submitter's submissions on Rules 8.5.21 to 8.5.29.
08.05.31	PC7-197.22	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.31 as notified.
08.05.31	PC7-220.35	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.31 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.31	PC7-472.114	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 8.5.31 to non-complying or prohibited.
08.05.32	PC7-114.96	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.32 as notified.
08.05.32	PC7-441.22	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.32 as notified.
08.05.32	PC7-430.137	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.32 as notified.
08.05.32	PC7-220.36	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.32 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer.
08.05.32	PC7-472.115	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 8.5.32 to non-complying or prohibited.
08.05.33	PC7-114.97	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.33 as notified while recognising that there may be implementation challenges for farmers.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.33	PC7-160.69	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.33 as notified.
08.05.33	PC7-95.38	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.33 as notified.
08.05.33	PC7-430.138	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.33 as notified.
08.05.33	PC7-472.116	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.33 as notified, subject to the clarification of "stock" as requested in other submission points.
08.05.33	PC7-387.42	As One Incorporated	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.33 as notified.
08.05.33	PC7-376.3	Caseley, D & V	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.33 in its entirety.
08.05.33	PC7-372.19	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.33 in its entirety.
08.05.33	PC7-75.3	Patterson, G B	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.33 to recognise that livestock exclusion from springs on non-intensive farms may not always be needed to achieve good water quality. Amend Rule 8.5.33 to enable the risk assessment for springs and associated management practices to be expressed through a management plan and amend the discretionary activity status achieved via Rule 5.69 to permitted or controlled.
08.05.33	PC7-73.2	Patterson, V J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.33 to recognise that livestock exclusion from springs on non-intensive farms may not always be needed to achieve good water quality. Amend Rule 8.5.33 to enable the risk assessment for springs and associated management practices to be expressed through a management plan and amend the discretionary activity status achieved via Rule 5.69 to permitted or controlled.
08.05.33	PC7-296.3	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association Canterbury/West Coast and South Canterbury/North Otago branches	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.33 to provide for the activity as a permitted activity or controlled activity (instead of a discretionary activity in Rule 5.69) and provide for the use of a Management Plan or Farm Environment Plan to appropriately manage these practices.
08.05.33	PC7-214.98	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend the Rule 8.5.33 to recognise that stock exclusion from springs on non-intensive farms may not be needed to achieve good water quality, and enable a risk assessment and appropriate management practices for springs to be expressed through a Management Plan or Farm Environment Plan where this is required for other reasons such as nitrogen management, irrigation or winter grazing.and retain the following wording: ... but does not include any sub-surface drain or artificial watercourse that does not have surface water in it.
08.05.34	PC7-114.98	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.34 as notified while recognising that there may be implementation challenges for farmers.
08.05.34	PC7-160.70	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.34 as notified.
08.05.34	PC7-472.117	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.34 as notified, subject to the clarification of "stock" as requested in other submission points.
08.05.34	PC7-376.4	Caseley, D & V	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.34 in its entirety.
08.05.34	PC7-430.139	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.34 in its entirety.
08.05.34	PC7-372.20	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 8.5.34 in its entirety.
08.05.35	PC7-95.39	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.35 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.35	PC7-160.45	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.35 so that works do not occur within 100 metres of individual nests that are in use.
08.05.35	PC7-430.140	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.35 to add conditions to protect additional locations, such as Community Drinking Water Zones, freshwater bathing sites and consented water abstraction sites, consistent with other rules in this plan change and in the over-arching LWRP. Alternatively, if sufficient protection from adverse effects is not provided, delete Rule 8.5.35.
08.05.35	PC7-148.5	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.35 to enable biodiversity improvements in areas of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat.
08.05.35	PC7-42.6	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.35 to enable biodiversity improvements in areas of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat.
08.05.35	PC7-472.118	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 8.5.35 as follows: 1. The disturbance of the bed does not occur within 100 metres of any bird colony, nesting or rearing their young in riverbed gravels from 1 September to 31 January <u>1 August to 28 February</u> of the following year, or physically disturb any indigenous bird's nest currently in use; and...
08.05.35	PC7-149.6	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.35 to enable biodiversity improvements in areas of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat.
08.05.35	PC7-3.3	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.35 to enable biodiversity improvements in areas of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat.
08.05.35	PC7-107.6	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.35 to enable biodiversity improvements in areas of Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat.
08.05.36	PC7-430.141	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.36 as notified, provided sufficient protection from adverse effects is provided by the requested amendments to Rule 8.5.35.
08.05.36	PC7-95.40	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.36 to insert an additional matter of discretion as follows: The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters: ... <u>2. Any actual or potential positive environmental effects, despite not meeting the condition or conditions of Rule 8.5.35.</u>
08.05.37	PC7-95.41	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.37 as notified.
08.05.37	PC7-430.142	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.37 as notified.
08.05.37	PC7-160.46	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.37 so that works do not occur within 100 metres of individual nests that are in use.
08.05.37	PC7-472.119	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 8.5.37 as follows: 4. The activity, except for the use of the structure, does not occur within 100 metres of any bird colony, nesting or rearing their young in riverbed gravels from 1 September to 31 January <u>1 August to 28 February</u> of the following year, or physically disturb any indigenous bird's nest currently in use; and...
08.05.37	PC7-472.120	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 8.5.37 to expand the matters of discretion in order to capture effects on wild life and habitat outside the breeding season.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.38	PC7-95.42	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.38 as notified.
08.05.38	PC7-430.143	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 8.5.[38] as notified.
08.05.New Rule	PC7-376.6	Caseley, D & V	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule(s) in Section 8 to provide an exemption for stock exclusion from ephemeral waterbodies.
08.05.New Rule	PC7-220.38	Rankin, D A	Oppose in part	Insert new nutrient management rules removing all dairy farming as currently practiced and any other high emitters such as irrigated beef farming/ vegetable growing or only allowing farming practices that result in zero effluent or very little discharge in the aquifer capture zone.
08.05.New Rule	PC7-374.9	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows: <u>All farms in the Waimakariri region to meet GMP.</u>
08.05.New Rule	PC7-374.10	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows: <u>All dairy farms should further reduce nitrogen losses by 15% by 2030.</u>
08.05.New Rule	PC7-374.11	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows: <u>The use of site specific actions to target lower stream issues such as ASM denitrification walls and the use of modelling only as a guide to highlight where further monitoring sites are required.</u>
08.05.New Rule	PC7-374.12	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows: <u>Encourage further development of remedies or actions which will further reduce nitrogen losses and encourage farm practices to aim for base GMP</u>
08.05.New Rule	PC7-374.13	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows: <u>The permanent fencing of flood channels is excessive, only creates a build-up of weeds, tufted grasses, gorse and broom and causes channels to block up and overflow banks when in flood.</u>
08.05.New Rule	PC7-374.14	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows: <u>Set up a review of available data by 2027 to signal any further reductions in nitrogen losses needed from 2030-2040.</u>
08.05.New Rule	PC7-374.15	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows: <u>Nitrogen modelling losses should take into account attenuation factors.</u>
08.05.New Rule	PC7-374.16	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows: <u>Acceptance of site specific remedies that are having positive effects.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.05.New Rule	PC7-188.6	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new rule in Section 8 as follows:</p> <p><u>Where an application for a farming activity is made in relation to a property with at least one existing bore, a sample is to be taken from a specified bore on the property for which consent is held; or in the case of a scheme requiring a sample from each property supplied. The sample shall be analysed by a laboratory that is certified for that method of analysis for nitrate-nitrogen and the results of this analysis shall be provided to the Canterbury Regional Council, Attention RMA Compliance and Enforcement Manager, within one month of the sample collection.</u></p> <p>Alternatively, include this as a requirement of a permitted/controlled/restricted discretionary application when seeking a resource consent for farming activities, and if an applicant refuses to comply with the requirement, the application should be processed as a non-complying activity.</p>
08.05.New Rule	PC7-387.41	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new rule as follows:</p> <p><u>This rule applies to the discharge of domestic wastewater from an onsite system in the Waimakariri Sub-Zone instead of Rules 5.7, 5.8 and 5.9. The discharge of domestic wastewater to land via an on-site system is a:</u> <u>permitted activity only if:</u></p> <p>a. <u>it complies with the terms and conditions of Rule 5.7 or 5.8; and</u></p> <p>b. <u>Within the month of June each year, a certificate is provided to the Canterbury Regional Council by a registered drainlayer certifying that the system and associated disposal field are operating as designed and have no leaks or other flaws; and</u></p> <p>c. <u>For any system installed after 1 January 2021, a certificate is provided by a registered drainlayer as soon as reasonably practicable following installation and every five years thereafter, certifying that it meets the best practicable option for minimisation of loss of nutrients to surface and groundwater;</u></p> <p>d. <u>For any system installed before 1 January 2021, a certificate is provided by no later than 1 January 2025 and every five years thereafter, from a registered drainlayer, certifying that it meets the best practicable option for minimisation of loss of nutrients to surface and groundwater;</u></p> <p>e. <u>Prohibited activity if it fails to comply with one or more of the above conditions.</u></p>
08.05.New Rule	PC7-337.193	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Insert new rules to cover the full extent of the Nitrate Priority Area.
<u>Section 8.6 - Freshwater Outcomes</u>				
08.06.Table 8a	PC7-160.74	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Table 8a as notified.
08.06.Table 8a	PC7-433.21	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Support	Retain Table 8a as notified.
08.06.Table 8a	PC7-372.45	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Retain Table 8a as notified.
08.06.Table 8a	PC7-95.54	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8a to reduce the 'Chlorophyll a' limits set for hill-fed lower and spring-fed plains rivers from 200 to 120.
08.06.Table 8a	PC7-357.36	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8a to delete 'QMCI' and replace with 'MCI'.
08.06.Table 8a	PC7-425.28	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8a to adopt MCI rather than QMCI, and adjust the numbers for this attribute accordingly.
08.06.Table 8a	PC7-441.23	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8a to replace the values with those that are robust and provide a measurable environmental outcome in accordance with that sought for Waimakariri Sub-region rivers.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.06.Table 8a	PC7-559.1	Webster-Brown, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8a to delete targets and limits relating to Cust, Courtenay and Silverstream waterways and replace with nitrate-nitrogen limits which offer the greatest practical protection for these ecosystems.
08.06.Table 8a	PC7-148.27	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8a to set attribute limits at achievable levels based on practicable storm water improvements and investment by Waimakariri District Council.
08.06.Table 8a	PC7-42.29	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8a to set attribute limits at achievable levels based on practicable storm water improvements and investment by Waimakariri District Council.
08.06.Table 8a	PC7-149.29	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8a to set attribute limits at achievable levels based on practicable storm water improvements and investment by Waimakariri District Council.
08.06.Table 8a	PC7-3.26	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8a to set attribute limits at achievable levels based on practicable storm water improvements and investment by Waimakariri District Council.
08.06.Table 8a	PC7-107.29	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8a to set attribute limits at achievable levels based on practicable storm water improvements and investment by Waimakariri District Council.
08.06.Table 8a	PC7-357.69	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8a to adopt the E.coli NPS-FM attribute (i.e. four measures, instead of only median and 95th percentile).
08.06.Table 8a	PC7-357.70	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8a to define how 'maintained in natural state' will be determined for cyanobacteria mat cover and take into account the current variability.
08.06.Table 8a	PC7-357.71	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8a to define how 'maintained in natural state' will be determined for periphyton and define what value constitutes a deviation from the median value.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-160.75	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Table 8b as notified.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-357.39	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Table 8b as notified.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-441.24	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Table 8b as notified.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-372.46	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Retain Table 8b as notified.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-214.99	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8b to provide for primary contact recreation for those sites where primary contact recreation is an identified value and during the period when this activity occurs.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-214.100	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8b so that E. coli attributes apply during flows below medium flow in bathing season and exclude high flow events and periods outside the bathing season.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-214.101	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8b so that trophic level indices, chlorophyll a, and cyanobacteria outcomes reflect water quality at the date of notification, or if degraded, reflect the instream outcomes required to provide for achievement of trophic state such as macroinvertebrate health, and/or periphyton outcomes, consistent with the requirements of the NPSFWM including consideration of economic and cultural impacts.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-427.4	Todd Property Pegasus Town Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8b to remove the row relating to Lake Pegasus or as an alternative amend all figures relating to Lake Pegasus to be identified as targets, not limits.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-559.2	Webster-Brown, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8b to delete nitrate-nitrogen targets and limits relating to Cust, Courtenay and Silverstream waterways and replace with nitrate-nitrogen limits which offer the greatest practical protection for these ecosystems.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-148.28	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8b to clarify whether Lake Pegasus attributes in this table would be used to set conditions in future resource consents.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-148.29	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8b so the attributes for Lake Pegasus are the same as for Tutaepatu Lagoon.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-42.30	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8b to clarify whether Lake Pegasus attributes in this table would be used to set conditions in future resource consents.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-42.31	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8b so the attributes for Lake Pegasus are the same as for Tutaepatu Lagoon.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-149.30	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8b to clarify whether Lake Pegasus attributes in this table would be used to set conditions in future resource consents.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-149.31	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8b so the attributes for Lake Pegasus are the same as for Tutaepatu Lagoon.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-3.27	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8b to clarify whether Lake Pegasus attributes in this table would be used to set conditions in future resource consents.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-3.28	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8b so the attributes for Lake Pegasus are the same as for Tutaepatu Lagoon.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-107.30	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8b to clarify whether Lake Pegasus attributes in this table would be used to set conditions in future resource consents.
08.06.Table 8b	PC7-107.31	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8b so the attributes for Lake Pegasus are the same as for Tutaepatu Lagoon.
<u>Section 8.7 - Allocation Limits and Water Quality Limits</u>				
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-160.53	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Table 8-1 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-148.30	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Support	Retain Table 8-1 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-42.32	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Support	Retain Table 8-1 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-149.32	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Support	Retain Table 8-1 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-3.29	Waimakariri District Council	Support	Retain Table 8-1 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-107.32	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Support	Retain Table 8-1 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-65.23	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8.1 to increase minimum flows, and to decrease allocation limits over time.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-84.24	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-1 to insert a note to provide flexibility should the summations in the table be shown to be incorrect. Also provide details of summations to confirm limits.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-95.43	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-1 as follows: Ashley River/Rakahuri: Address over-allocation for A permits to improve flows between 2019 and 2032.Waikuku Stream: Phase out over allocation and impose a higher minimum flow by 2032.Saltwater Creek: Improve minimum flows to recognise salmonid spawning and indigenous fish values.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-201.1	Eder, M / Morriss, G D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-1 to include Saltwater Creek at factory Road as a measurement zone to create consistency with Table 8-5.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-201.2	Eder, M / Morriss, G D	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-201.1 is granted then require Table 8-1 to apply a minimum flow of 148l/s at the submitter's consented point of take, and a minimum flow of 200l/s at Factory Road, Alternatively, delete Table 8-1 in its entirety.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-335.3	Neil Kerr Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend 8.7.1 for continued use of Factory Road measuring site.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-335.4	Neil Kerr Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend 8.7.1 so the minimum flow is not altered.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-419.1	Robinson Dairy Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-1 to delete the proposed minimum flow limits for the Waikuku Stream.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-559.3	Webster-Brown, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-1 to delete nitrate-nitrogen limits targets and limits relating to Cust, Courtenay and Silverstream waterways and replace with nitrate-nitrogen limits which offer the greatest practical protection for these ecosystems.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-148.21	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-1 to include a new minimum flow gauge location in the lower Kaiapoi River to better measure lower river flows and tidal impacts.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-399.7	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-1 to remove the B Allocation for the Cust River.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-42.23	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-1 to include a new minimum flow gauge location in the lower Kaiapoi River to better measure lower river flows and tidal impacts.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-399.65	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-1 to substantially reduce the allocation limit and/or raise the minimum flow consistent with cultural flow recommendations for waterbodies, particularly for the Saltwater Creek, Waikuku Stream and Cust River.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-399.67	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-1 to reduce the allocation limits to an amount relative to the size of the waterbody, on the basis of how often cultural flow is achieved. Alternatively, replace the limits by figures calculated relative to the MALF or natural average recharge of the waterways.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-399.75	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-1 where efficiency improvements are achieved to return unutilised water allocation to the environment until the allocation is appropriate for Te Mana o Te Wai, then use to provide water to provide cultural flows or allocation to cultural uses.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-149.23	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-1 to include a new minimum flow gauge location in the lower Kaiapoi River to better measure lower river flows and tidal impacts.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-3.20	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-1 to include a new minimum flow gauge location in the lower Kaiapoi River to better measure lower river flows and tidal impacts.
08.07.01.Table 8-1	PC7-107.23	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-1 to include a new minimum flow gauge location in the lower Kaiapoi River to better measure lower river flows and tidal impacts.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-139.10	Croft, W D	Support	Retain the existing minimum flow of 60 L/s for the Cust River in Table 8-2 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-160.54	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Table 8-2 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-96.18	Rangvet Ltd (Rangiora Vet Centre)	Support	Retain Table 8-2 Cust River minimum flow as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-272.9	Four Hooves Ltd	Support	Retain Table 8-2 minimum flow for A permits at 60L/s for the Cust River.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-255.17	McAllister, C & S	Support	Retain the minimum flow of 60 L/s for the Cust River in Table 8-2 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-372.44	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Support	Retain the minimum flows for A permit water in the Cust Main Drain and allocation limit for A permits in Table 8-2.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-377.19	Westburn Farm Limited	Support	Retain in Table 8-2 the minimum flow of 60L/s for the Cust River as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-148.31	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Support	Retain Table 8-2 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-42.33	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Support	Retain Table 8-2 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-149.33	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Support	Retain Table 8-2 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-3.30	Waimakariri District Council	Support	Retain Table 8-2 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-107.33	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Support	Retain Table 8-2 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-274.27	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose	Amend Table 8-2 to delete the increase in Minimum Flows for A Permits in Silverstream.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-180.1	United Seadown	Oppose in part	Delete Plan Change 7 in its entirety due to effects on Seadown Community
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-65.24	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8.2 to increase limits to those likely to make a significant difference to water quality.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-84.25	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to insert a note that provides flexibility if the summation of allocation is later shown to be incorrect. Also include details of summations to confirm limits.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-84.26	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to amend the Cam River minimum flow to 890 L/s.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-84.27	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to amend the B allocation limit to 1,000 L/s.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-84.28	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to delete the Eyre River line.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-84.29	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to ensure the implementation date reflects the most current consent expiry dates.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-139.9	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to insert a note to provide flexibility should the summation of allocation be shown to be incorrect at a later date. Also include details of summations to confirm limits.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-139.11	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 so that the minimum flow site for the Cust River includes a permanent recorder.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-139.12	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to amend the B allocation limit of the Cust River to 1,000 L/s.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-139.13	Croft, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to ensure the implementation date reflects the most common consent expiry dates.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-95.44	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to increase the minimum flow for the Cust River to the preferred ecological flow of 150 l/s.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-460.1	McIntosh, J C	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to lower the minimum flow for the Cam River from 1000 L/s to 800 L/s.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-272.6	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 implementation date to reflect most current consent expiry dates.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-272.7	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to allow B allocation limit of 1000L/s.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-272.8	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 minimum flow site for the Cust River to include a permanent recorder.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-255.16	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Require Council to provide details of summations of current consent rates to confirm the limits in Table 8-2, and insert a note for Table 8-2 to provide flexibility should the summation later be shown to be incorrect.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-255.18	McAllister, C & S	Oppose in part	Amend the minimum flow site for the Cust River in Table 8-2 to include a permanent recorder for the Cust River rather than using a correlation with the Threlkelds Road recorder.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-377.17	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Request water quantity consents inventory to confirm environmental flow and allocation limits in Table 8-2.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-377.18	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to insert a note to provide flexibility should the consents inventory be incorrect.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-377.20	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to ensure the measurement location for the Cust River minimum flow site includes a permanent recorder.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-377.21	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the Cust River B allocation limit to 1000L/s in Table 8-2.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-377.22	Westburn Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend 20 July 2027 implementation dates in Table 8-2 to reflect the most current consent expiry dates.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-559.4	Webster-Brown, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to delete the nitrate-nitrogen limits targets and limits relating to Cust, Courtenay and Silverstream waterways and replace with nitrate-nitrogen limits which offer the greatest practical protection for these ecosystems.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-399.8	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to remove the B Allocation for the Cust River.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-399.66	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to substantially reduce the allocation limit and/or raise the minimum flow consistent with cultural flow recommendations for waterbodies, particularly for the Saltwater Creek, Waikuku Stream and Cust River.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-399.69	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to reduce the allocation limits to an amount relative to the size of the waterbody, on the basis of how often cultural flow is achieved. Alternatively, replace the limits by figures calculated relative to the MALF or natural average recharge of the waterways.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-399.76	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 where efficiency improvements are achieved to return unutilised water allocation to the environment until the allocation is appropriate for Te Mana o Te Wai, then use to provide water to provide cultural flows or allocation to cultural uses.
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-190.1	W J & L E Bailey Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the flowrate in Table 8-2 row: Greigs Drain; column: 'minimum flow for A permits; From 20 July 2027' to 150 L/s (i.e. delete 230 L/s).
08.07.01.Table 8-2	PC7-95.97	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-2 to increase the current minimum flow for the Kaiapoi River from 600 l/s to 900 l/s as necessary for salmon passage and provide more information about the proposed minimum flow for the Kaiapoi River.
08.07.01.Table 8-3	PC7-160.55	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Table 8-3 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-3	PC7-95.45	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Table 8-3 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-3	PC7-148.32	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Support	Retain Table 8-3 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-3	PC7-399.40	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain support for mahinga kai and mahinga kai use of water.
08.07.01.Table 8-3	PC7-149.34	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Support	Retain Table 8-3 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-3	PC7-3.31	Waimakariri District Council	Support	Retain Table 8-3 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-3	PC7-107.34	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Support	Retain Table 8-3 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-3	PC7-559.5	Webster-Brown, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-3 to delete nitrate-nitrogen limits targets and limits relating to Cust, Courtenay and Silverstream waterways and replace with nitrate-nitrogen limits which offer the greatest practical protection for these ecosystems.
08.07.01.Table 8-3	PC7-399.53	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-3 to provide for mahinga kai enhancement allocation from the Ahley/Rakahuri River to move into the A block over time.
08.07.01.Table 8-3	PC7-399.64	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-3 to include an allocation of 200l/s for mahinga kai enhancement from the Courtney Stream.
08.07.01.Table 8-3	PC7-42.34	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Retain Table 8-3 as notified.
08.07.01.Table 8-3	PC7-399.70	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-3 to reduce the allocation limits to an amount relative to the size of the waterbody, on the basis of how often cultural flow is achieved, Alternatively, replace the limits by figures calculated relative to the MALF or natural average recharge of the waterways.
08.07.01.Table 8-3	PC7-399.77	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-3 where efficiency improvements are achieved to return unutilised water allocation to the environment until the allocation is appropriate for Te Mana o Te Wai, then use to provide water to provide cultural flows or allocation to cultural uses.
Section 8.7.2 - Groundwater Allocation Limits				

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.07.02.Table 8-4	PC7-148.33	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Support	Retain Table 8-4 as notified.
08.07.02.Table 8-4	PC7-399.12	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain framework for replacing stream-depleting groundwater takes with deeper groundwater.
08.07.02.Table 8-4	PC7-399.13	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain the reduced groundwater allocation limits for the Ashley and Cust Groundwater Zones.
08.07.02.Table 8-4	PC7-42.35	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Support	Retain Table 8-4 as notified.
08.07.02.Table 8-4	PC7-149.35	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Support	Retain Table 8-4 as notified.
08.07.02.Table 8-4	PC7-3.32	Waimakariri District Council	Support	Retain Table 8-4 as notified.
08.07.02.Table 8-4	PC7-107.35	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Support	Retain Table 8-4 as notified.
08.07.02.Table 8-4	PC7-425.29	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendments to Table 8-4 in their entirety, and continue with the existing limits (prior to PC7).
08.07.02.Table 8-4	PC7-199.31	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Opposes groundwater allocation based on actual use with no specific decision requested.
08.07.02.Table 8-4	PC7-84.30	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-4 to delete proposed new limits and retain current limits as "interim" limits. Amend the rule relating to allocation above the limit to be non-complying rather than prohibited.
08.07.02.Table 8-4	PC7-95.46	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-4 to provide a staged reduction of at least 10% by 2027 and a further 10% reduction by 2032 in over allocated zones.
08.07.02.Table 8-4	PC7-433.22	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-4 to change the proposed limits with the existing limits.
08.07.02.Table 8-4	PC7-399.71	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-4 to reduce the allocation limits to an amount relative to the size of the waterbody, on the basis of how often cultural flow is achieved. Alternatively, replace the limits by figures calculated relative to the MALF or natural average recharge of the waterways.
08.07.02.Table 8-4	PC7-399.78	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-4 where efficiency improvements are achieved to return unutilised water allocation to the environment until the allocation is appropriate for Te Mana o Te Wai, then use to provide water to provide cultural flows or allocation to cultural uses.
<i>Section 8.7.3 - Catchment Water Quality Limits</i>				
08.07.03	PC7-16.6	Zest Biotech	Support	Retain as notified.
08.07.03	PC7-324.11	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Support Nitrate Reductions in Section 8.7.3
08.07.03	PC7-23.1	Wylie, S J	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to prevent any increase to existing limit (0.6mg/L) for nitrate in water.
08.07.03	PC7-23.4	Wylie, S J	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to apply penalties when nitrate levels in water go above 0.6mg/L
08.07.03	PC7-313.8	Hamilton, R	Oppose in part	Set a minimum target value that reflects a precautionary approach.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-357.38	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Table 8-5 as notified.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-387.43	As One Incorporated	Support	Retain Table 8-5 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-12.7	Harrison, G	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to set a minimum target value of 5 mg/L.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-160.76	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to ensure limits and/or targets are set to be consistent with the freshwater outcomes set for rivers in the Waimakariri sub-regional zone.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-95.47	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to provide a 30% precautionary reduction in the notified N mg/l limits.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-441.25	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to replace the values with those that are robust and provide a measurable environmental outcome in accordance with that sought for Waimakariri Sub-region rivers.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-337.98	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to specify the method(s) by which nitrate levels will be monitored and the frequency with which monitoring will be undertaken in order to determine progress towards meeting limits/targets in Tables 8-5 to 8-8.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-337.104	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to include a limit for the Waimakariri River at both the Gorge and SH1 Bridge of Nitrate 0.1 ppm.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-441.52	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to insert a provision to review and revise the targets and timeframe appropriately based on updates to the region's water quality data via a comprehensive monitoring program as well as potential changes in applicable practices and technology for nutrient management.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-559.6	Webster-Brown, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to delete nitrate-nitrogen limits targets and limits relating to Cust, Courtenay and Silverstream waterways and replace with nitrate-nitrogen limits which offer the greatest practical protection for these ecosystems.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-148.34	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to set an early target of 2040 to reach 3.8 mg/L targets.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-148.35	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to set a target of 6.9 mg/L nitrate from the date the plan is operative to align with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management bottom line.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-42.36	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to set an early target of 2040 to reach 3.8 mg/L targets.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-42.37	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to set a target of 6.9 mg/L nitrate from the date the plan is operative to align with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management bottom line.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-399.68	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to reduce the allocation limits to an amount relative to the size of the waterbody, on the basis of how often cultural flow is achieved, Alternatively, replace the limits by figures calculated relative to the MALF or natural average recharge of the waterways.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-399.79	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 where efficiency improvements are achieved to return unutilised water allocation to the environment until the allocation is appropriate for Te Mana o Te Wai, then use to provide water to provide cultural flows or allocation to cultural uses.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-149.36	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to set an early target of 2040 to reach 3.8 mg/L targets.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-149.37	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to set a target of 6.9 mg/L nitrate from the date the plan is operative to align with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management bottom line.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-472.121	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend limits and targets in Table 8-5 to provide for ecosystem health.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-472.122	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to amend the Nitrate-Nitrogen annual median targets for Northern Waimakariri Tributaries (hill-fed lower and spring-fed plains) to <1.0 mg/L.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-472.123	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to amend the timeframe for actions to achieve the nitrate targets to be implemented from 1 January 2080 to 1 January 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-3.33	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to set an early target of 2040 to reach 3.8 mg/L targets.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-3.34	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to set a target of 6.9 mg/L nitrate from the date the plan is operative to align with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management bottom line.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-107.36	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to set an early target of 2040 to reach 3.8 mg/L targets.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-107.37	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to set a target of 6.9 mg/L nitrate from the date the plan is operative to align with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management bottom line.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-337.163	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to reduce the limits for the northern Waimakariri tributaries in line with up to date research on effects of nitrate nitrogen on human health and aquatic ecosystems.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-95.98	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to amend the N limits to be consistent with the recommended COMAR levels.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-95.99	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to implement step-change nitrate-nitrogen target and limit reductions for the majority of the rivers and drains in the Northern Waimakariri Tributaries FMU.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-95.100	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to amend the 2080 implementation date to be less conservative, taking into account the relative carrying capacity of the zone to absorb current and projected nutrient discharges and recover to an overall healthy level.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-95.101	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to amend the phosphorus limits for waterbodies with limits above 0.01 mg/l DRP to 0.01 mg/l DRP, or at least set a target for waterbodies to reach this target.
08.07.03.Table 8-5	PC7-337.180	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-5 to specify/clarify how the targets in Table 8-5, 8-6, 8-7 and 8.8 will apply to a resource consent application on an individual basis.
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-357.37	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Table 8-6 as notified.
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-387.45	As One Incorporated	Support	Retain Table 8-6 as notified.
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-472.124	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Opposes Table 8-6, with no specific decision requested.
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-160.77	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-6 to set targets for lakes that are in line with the freshwater outcomes set in Table 8b.
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-441.26	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-6 to replace the values with those that are robust and provide a measurable environmental outcome in accordance with that sought for Waimakariri Sub-region rivers.
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-427.5	Todd Property Pegasus Town Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-6 to remove the row relating to Lake Pegasus or as an alternative amend all figures relating to Lake Pegasus to be identified as targets, not limits.
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-337.99	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-6 to specify the method(s) by which nitrate levels will be monitored and the frequency with which monitoring will be undertaken in order to determine progress towards meeting limits/targets in Tables 8-5 to 8-8.
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-441.53	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-6 to review and revise the targets and timeframe appropriately based on updates to the region's water quality data via a comprehensive monitoring program as well as potential changes in applicable practices and technology for nutrient management.
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-148.36	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-6 freshwater outcomes to ensure management options are able to be reasonably implemented.
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-42.38	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-6 freshwater outcomes to ensure management options are able to be reasonably implemented.
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-399.72	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-6 to reduce the allocation limits to an amount relative to the size of the waterbody, on the basis of how often cultural flow is achieved. Alternatively, replace the limits by figures calculated relative to the MALF or natural average recharge of the waterways.
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-399.80	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-6 where efficiency improvements are achieved to return utilised water allocation to the environment until the allocation is appropriate for Te Mana o Te Wai, then use to provide water to provide cultural flows or allocation to cultural uses.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-149.38	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-6 freshwater outcomes to ensure management options are able to be reasonably implemented.
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-3.35	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-6 freshwater outcomes to ensure management options are able to be reasonably implemented.
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-107.38	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-6 freshwater outcomes to ensure management options are able to be reasonably implemented.
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-337.182	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-6 to specify/clarify how the targets in Table 8-5, 8-6, 8-7 and 8.8 will apply to a resource consent application on an individual basis.
08.07.03.Table 8-6	PC7-337.183	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-6 to provide greater policy support for the targets identified in Tables 8-5, 8-6, 8-7, and 8-8, (similar to the last part of 8.4.28A) including a requirement to minimise the loss or discharge of contaminants to achieve the outcomes sought in the tables.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-357.40	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Table 8-7 as notified.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-387.46	As One Incorporated	Support	Retain Table 8-7 as notified.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-65.25	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to adopt lower limits in line with those recommended in the new Freshwater Policy Statement.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-170.2	Hartwell, D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to take a precautionary approach using a statistical model with 95% probability that the deep water aquifer nitrate levels will not increase above 0.8 mg/L.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-191.1	McFarlane, R	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 so the nitrate-nitrogen concentration maximum levels do not exceed 1mg/L
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-347.10	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to require the criteria for assessing community drinking water supplies to also apply to private drinking water supplies.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-347.11	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Amend 'Note 2' of Table 8-7 to clarify how a representative area is defined.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-462.1	Grigg, J M	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to delete unrealistic targets and replace with realistic targets.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-337.100	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to specify the method(s) by which nitrate levels will be monitored and the frequency with which monitoring will be undertaken in order to determine progress towards meeting limits/targets in Tables 8-5 to 8-8.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-337.105	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to include the Council deep aquifer bores with a limit of 1 mg/L Nitrate- Nitrogen.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-559.7	Webster-Brown, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to delete limits proposed and replace with nitrate-nitrogen values that are consistent with a vision of securing a safe potable drinking water supply for Waimakariri and Christchurch City.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-337.144	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to require additional protection for the Waimakariri River through adding a nitrate-nitrogen water quality target for the Waimakariri River of 0.1mg/L (annual median) to Table 8-7 and that this forms the basis of a new nitrate priority area *buffer area) to be added to the planning maps.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-148.37	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to require urgent New Zealand-based research into the link found between nitrate levels in drinking water and colorectal cancer incidences. Note that Waimakariri District Council supports the 5.65 mg/L limit on nitrate-nitrogen in principle as it is a precautionary level below the Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-42.39	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to require urgent New Zealand-based research into the link found between nitrate levels in drinking water and colorectal cancer incidences. Note that Waimakariri District Council supports the 5.65 mg/L limit on nitrate-nitrogen in principle as it is a precautionary level below the Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-399.73	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to reduce the allocation limits to an amount relative to the size of the waterbody, on the basis of how often cultural flow is achieved. Alternatively, replace the limits by figures calculated relative to the MALF or natural average recharge of the waterways.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-399.81	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 where efficiency improvements are achieved to return unutilised water allocation to the environment until the allocation is appropriate for Te Mana o Te Wai, then use to provide water to provide cultural flows or allocation to cultural uses.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-149.39	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to require urgent New Zealand-based research into the link found between nitrate levels in drinking water and colorectal cancer incidences. Note that Waimakariri District Council supports the 5.65 mg/L limit on nitrate-nitrogen in principle as it is a precautionary level below the Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-472.125	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to reduce the limits to ecosystem health (<1.0 mg/L).
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-3.36	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to require urgent New Zealand-based research into the link found between nitrate levels in drinking water and colorectal cancer incidences. Note that Waimakariri District Council supports the 5.65 mg/L limit on nitrate-nitrogen in principle as it is a precautionary level below the Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-107.39	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to require urgent New Zealand-based research into the link found between nitrate levels in drinking water and colorectal cancer incidences. Note that Waimakariri District Council supports the 5.65 mg/L limit on nitrate-nitrogen in principle as it is a precautionary level below the Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-337.164	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to insert a new note as follows (consequential to PC7-337.105): <u>3. The limit for Christchurch City Council Deep Aquifer bores is the median value for all samples collected from all actively used bores or as determined by Christchurch City Council.</u>
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-337.165	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to reduce the limit for individual Waimakariri District Council community supply wells from 5.65 mg/L (maximum) to less than 1 mg/L for consistency with Christchurch and account for recent research on effects of nitrate nitrogen on human health and ecosystems.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-337.166	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to reduce the limit for private water supply wells from 5.65 mg/L (median) to less than 1 mg/L for consistency with Christchurch and account for recent research on effects of nitrate nitrogen on human health and ecosystems.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-337.178	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Require Council to investigate further the links between increased health risks from nitrate nitrogen levels in groundwater, including colorectal cancer and revise the limits and targets in Table 8-7 accordingly.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-337.184	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to specify/clarify how the targets in Table 8-5, 8-6, 8-7 and 8.8 will apply to a resource consent application on an individual basis.
08.07.03.Table 8-7	PC7-337.185	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-7 to provide greater policy support for the targets identified in Tables 8-5, 8-6, 8-7, and 8-8, (similar to the last part of 8.4.28A) including a requirement to minimise the loss or discharge of contaminants to achieve the outcomes sought in the tables.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-65.28	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Table 8-8 as notified. Note duplication of numbering.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-95.49	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Table 8-8 as notified.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-357.41	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Table 8-8 as notified.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-65.26	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to lower limits and take a precautionary approach.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-214.102	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 so that instream nitrogen concentrations reflect water quality at the date of notification, or if degraded, reflect the instream concentration required to provide for achievement of trophic state such as macroinvertebrate health, and/or periphyton outcomes, consistent with the requirements of the NPSFWM including consideration of economic and cultural impacts.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-347.12	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 so that the Maximum Concentration of Nitrate Nitrogen reads <50% MAV.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-337.101	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to specify the method(s) by which nitrate levels will be monitored and the frequency with which monitoring will be undertaken in order to determine progress towards meeting limits/targets in Tables 8-5 to 8-8.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-337.106	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to add a groundwater allocation zone for deep groundwater in Christchurch with a nitrate threshold of less than 1ppm.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-274.22	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Require the content in Table 8-8 to be based off scientifically measured results.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-148.38	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to require urgent New Zealand-based research into the link found between nitrate levels in drinking water and colorectal cancer incidences. Note that Waimakariri District Council supports the 5.65 mg/L limit on nitrate-nitrogen in principle as it is a precautionary level below the Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-148.39	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to set an earlier target timeframe of 2040.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-148.40	Oxford-Ohoka Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 so that the 50% MAV limit does not apply to naturally-occurring contaminants, such as naturally occurring arsenic.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-42.40	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to require urgent New Zealand-based research into the link found between nitrate levels in drinking water and colorectal cancer incidences. Note that Waimakariri District Council supports the 5.65 mg/L limit on nitrate-nitrogen in principle as it is a precautionary level below the Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-42.41	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to set an earlier target timeframe of 2040.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-42.42	Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 so that the 50% MAV limit does not apply to naturally-occurring contaminants, such as naturally occurring arsenic.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-399.74	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to reduce the allocation limits to an amount relative to the size of the waterbody, on the basis of how often cultural flow is achieved. Alternatively, replace the limits by figures calculated relative to the MALF or natural average recharge of the waterways.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-399.82	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 where efficiency improvements are achieved to return unutilised water allocation to the environment until the allocation is appropriate for Te Mana o Te Wai, then use to provide water to provide cultural flows or allocation to cultural uses.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-149.40	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to require urgent New Zealand-based research into the link found between nitrate levels in drinking water and colorectal cancer incidences. Note that Waimakariri District Council supports the 5.65 mg/L limit on nitrate-nitrogen in principle as it is a precautionary level below the Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-149.41	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to set an earlier target timeframe of 2040.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-149.42	Rangiora-Ashley Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 so that the 50% MAV limit does not apply to naturally-occurring contaminants, such as naturally occurring arsenic.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-472.126	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the Nitrate-Nitrogen limits in Table 8-8 to <1.0 mg/L.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-472.127	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the timeframe for actions to achieve the nitrate targets in Table 8-8 to be implemented from 1 January 2080 to 1 January 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-3.37	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to require urgent New Zealand-based research into the link found between nitrate levels in drinking water and colorectal cancer incidences. Note that Waimakariri District Council supports the 5.65 mg/L limit on nitrate-nitrogen in principle as it is a precautionary level below the Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-3.38	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to set an earlier target timeframe of 2040.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-3.39	Waimakariri District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 so that the 50% MAV limit does not apply to naturally-occurring contaminants, such as naturally occurring arsenic.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-107.40	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to require urgent New Zealand-based research into the link found between nitrate levels in drinking water and colorectal cancer incidences. Note that Waimakariri District Council supports the 5.65 mg/L limit on nitrate-nitrogen in principle as it is a precautionary level below the Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-107.41	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to set an earlier target timeframe of 2040.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-107.42	Woodend-Sefton Community Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 so that the 50% MAV limit does not apply to naturally-occurring contaminants, such as naturally occurring arsenic.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-387.47	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 from 4.1 mg/l Nitrate Nitrogen Limit in the Eyre Zone to 5.65 mg/l nitrate nitrogen.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-337.167	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to reduce the limits for the Northern Waimakariri Tributaries in line with up to date research on effects of nitrate nitrogen on human health and ecosystems.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-337.186	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to specify/clarify how the targets in Table 8-5, 8-6, 8-7 and 8.8 will apply to a resource consent application on an individual basis.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-337.187	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-8 to provide greater policy support for the targets identified in Tables 8-5, 8-6, 8-7, and 8-8, (similar to the last part of 8.4.28A) including a requirement to minimise the loss or discharge of contaminants to achieve the outcomes sought in the tables.
08.07.03.Table 8-8	PC7-199.33	Aratika Trust	Oppose in part	Opposes Table 8-8 with no specific decision requested.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-65.29	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Table 8-9 as notified. Note duplication of numbering.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-160.65	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Table 8-9 as notified.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-304.2	Bain, G	Support	Retain 2030 reductions in Table 8-9 as notified.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-325.2	Bishop Farms Oxford Limited	Support	Retain 15% reduction in Table 8-9 where the reductions take into account historic environmental upgrades that have occurred on farm.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-325.6	Bishop Farms Oxford Limited	Support	Retain reductions to 2030 as established in Table 8-9.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-324.13	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 2030 reductions in Table 8-9 as notified.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-415.59	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain 'Note 3' under Table 8-9 as notified.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-179.18	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Support	Retain 'Note 3' under Table 8-9 as notified.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-324.81	Croft Farming Ltd	Support	Retain the 15% reduction in dairy, and 5% reduction in other land uses prior to 1 January 2030 in Table 8-9 as notified.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-433.3	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Support	Retain the targets within Table 8-9 of 15% and 5% reduction in nitrogen loss from Baseline GMP by 2030 for dairy farmers and other farms.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-317.22	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Support	Support Table 8-9 with no specific decision requested.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-399.21	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Support	Retain Table 8-9 as notified.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-139.24	Croft, W D	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety and make any subsequent changes required.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-114.99	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety and, as consequential amendments, remove all references to Table 8-9 in the plan change.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-214.80	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Table 8.9 in its entirety and replace with the framework proposed in submission point PC7-214.81.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-214.103	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-199.21	Aratika Trust	Oppose	Oppose Table 8-9 with no specific decision requested.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-409.5	Oxford Agriculture Services Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-96.7	Rangvet Ltd (Rangiora Vet Centre)	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-307.2	Winter, K A	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-350.2	Winter, R F C	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-230.16	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-421.14	Mehrtens, J F	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 and associated Nitrate Priority Area and Sub-area overlays in their entirety.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-255.5	McAllister, C & S	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-413.8	Hawkins, A	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-374.2	Reed, G	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety and replace with a new rate.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-374.8	Reed, G	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety and replace with: <u>all dairy farms within region to reduce end loss below GMP by 15% by 2030. All other livestockfarms to reduce nitrogen losses below GMP by 5%. All other farms to meet GMP.</u>
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-442.20	Parish Dairies/Todd Enterprises	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety and replace with reviewed Table 8-9.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-234.22	Silvacrest Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-564.4	Larsen, R	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-387.48	As One Incorporated	Oppose	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-421.1	Mehrtens, J F	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of Dairy Support as follows, if the definitions for Nitrate Priority Area and Nitrate Priority Sub-Area and Table 8-9 are not deleted in their entirety: <u>"Dairy support means pastoral farming where the animals grazed are dairy cattle not being milked (young animals or mixed-age cows) that are grazed of the milking platform (i.e. the area devoted to feeding dairy cows on a daily basis during the milking season) either temporarily or throughout the year."</u>
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-65.27	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to require the targets to be met and consider accelerating the process.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-23.2	Wylie, S J	Oppose in part	Amend targets in Table 8-9 so dairy and non-dairy have the same reduction targets.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-23.3	Wylie, S J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to increase nitrate reduction targets.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-86.1	Alkington Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 so that starting point is the baseline of WIL's existing consent.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-131.1	Agri Magic Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to clarify what point in time the land use associated with reductions is made from.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-131.2	Agri Magic Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to ensure reductions are "effects based" rather than related to land use or input constrained in accordance with the intent of the RMA.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-136.20	Eyrewell Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to allow properties with N leaching rates lower than GMP at the beginning of the period to only need to reduce their leaching rates by 2030 as if they started the period with leaching rates at GMP.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-177.5	W J Winter & Sons Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete columns past 2030.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-113.15	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to remove the requirement for the reductions after 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-102.4	Kemp, M	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to set the stages of nitrogen loss reductions (actions) to a maximum of 10 to 20 years, separating this from the expected longer timeline for correction to the background nitrogen (outcome).
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-219.39	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete the requirement for reductions beyond 1 January 2040.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-273.4	Carleton Daries Ltd	Oppose in part	Delete Table 8-9 and replace with a catchment wide approach for all farms in the Waimakariri District that requires a 15% reduction for Dairy and 5% reduction for all other [farming types] below GMP by 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-95.48	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to include selected six-year rolling average in-river loads as indicators for achieving the scheduled nitrogen reductions, as well as for showing improvements in phosphorus reduction initiatives in the catchment.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-199.30	Aratika Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to suspend requirement for reductions after 1 January 2030 to allow application of new technologies and understand how they affect water quality.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-330.4	Ashley Oaks Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 so that reductions are only specified out to 2040.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-330.5	Ashley Oaks Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to require review of the reductions at each new plan (ie. ten yearly).
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-304.3	Bain, G	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete all reductions post 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-289.21	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-289.22	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete all columns in Table 8-9.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-289.37	Bay, P S	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete sub-areas.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-325.1	Bishop Farms Oxford Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 so that nitrate reductions are measured presently, and then again in 2030, then re-evaluate the reductions in the table.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-325.5	Bishop Farms Oxford Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete all columns post 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-299.2	Cresslands Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to only be encompassed by one zone with one set of reductions.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-357.42	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete 2040 reductions and all subsequent reductions.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-349.21	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9. [see Appendix 10 of the SODR]
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-425.30	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 by deleting the targets for reductions in nitrogen loss from Baseline GMP beyond the 2040 target.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-425.32	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete all references to the breakdown of the Waimakariri Zone into the five Nitrate Priority Sub-areas.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-441.28	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to allow Council to review and revise the targets and timeframe appropriately based on the updates to the region's water quality data via a comprehensive monitoring program as well as potential changes in applicable practices and technology for nutrient management as well as associated capabilities and capacity within the region.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-425.36	Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 by deleting the term "generally" from Note 1 to Table 8-9
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-406.2	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to only extend to 1 January 2030, or 1 January 2040 only if it can be demonstrated at hearing that the reductions are achievable and reasonable.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-406.3	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to make the starting point for reductions clear.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-406.4	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to not differentiate between areas.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-202.21	Mehrtens, G & W	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to ensure the timeframes are more achievable, specifically past 2040.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-430.144	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete the nitrogen loss targets beyond 2030 (i.e. columns 4-8).
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-302.2	Winter, J H	Oppose in part	Delete Table 8-9 in its entirety.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-474.2	Parsonson, B P	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to require shorter timeframes for nitrogen loss reductions.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-333.24	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to take into account the significant reductions required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate, or alternatively delete Baseline GMP Loss Rate and replace with Good Management Practice.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-333.25	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete all columns after 2030, or after 1 January 2040 if it can be demonstrated at hearing that the extent of reductions required are reasonable and achievable
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-333.26	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to clarify the starting point for nitrate reductions.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-333.27	Wilson, A	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to not differentiate between sub-areas.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-483.21	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete reductions after 1 January 2030, OR Amend Table 8-9 to delete reductions after 1 January 2040 if it is demonstrated that the reductions required are achievable and reasonable.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-483.22	Gilchrist Brothers Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete Nitrate Priority Sub-areas.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-172.19	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to remove reference to Baseline Good Management Practice in the calculation of loss reduction targets.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-172.20	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to remove columns beyond 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-172.21	Melbury Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to require an increase of groundwater monitoring to improve the reliability of the groundwater modelling.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-230.17	DR & RE Clark, Wani Grange Limited and Swanlea Fields Limited	Oppose in part	If relief is not given to the submission point requesting deletion of Table 8-9, amend Table 8-9 to delete the column requiring reductions to be made by 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-462.2	Grigg, J M	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete unrealistic targets and replace with realistic targets.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-216.5	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete the sub-areas and replace with one 'light blue' zone (sub-area A).
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-216.7	Thompson, N	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete all columns post 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-363.21	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to remove any reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-363.23	Torlesse Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Oppose the zonings in Table 8-9 with no specific decision requested.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-324.4	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to be re-evaluated on or before 1 January 2030 to determine nature, extent and scope of prior reductions.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-324.15	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete the 2040 reductions.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-260.21	North Eyre Holdings	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 with no specific decision requested.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-194.1	Oscar Farming Co Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete reductions past 10 years.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-194.2	Oscar Farming Co Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete the sub-priority zones.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-217.2	Waterforce Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to reduce the objectives
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-217.4	Waterforce Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to include a review process to set future determinations post 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-415.49	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete the requirement for reductions in Table 8-9 after 1 January 2030 (or 1 January 2040 if it can be demonstrated as a part of any hearing process that the extent of reductions required is achievable and reasonable).
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-415.50	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to not differentiate between sub-areas.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-179.7	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete the requirement for nitrogen reductions after 1 January 2030 or 1 January 2040 if it can be demonstrated that the extent of reductions required is achievable on a catchment basis.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-179.8	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 so that it does not differentiate between sub-areas.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-324.39	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to take into account historic investments.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-324.60	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose base model reductions in Table 8-9 with no specific decision requested.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-324.102	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 so that reductions are evaluated after 1 January 2030 to assess nitrate diffusion following previous reductions.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-324.123	Croft Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to test individual nitrate diffusion on-farm using appropriate scientific methods.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-419.2	Robinson Dairy Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 so that there is no differentiation between different farm types and all farm types are treated the same.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-433.4	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete the targets for nitrogen loss from Baseline GMP beyond the 1 January 2030 target.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-353.18	Parkdale Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete the requirement for reduction after 1 January 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-329.2	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend zoning outlined in Table 8-9 with no specific decision requested.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-374.37	Reed, G	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 so that reductions are less severe and are achievable.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-337.102	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to specify the method(s) by which nitrate levels will be monitored and the frequency with which monitoring will be undertaken in order to determine progress towards meeting limits/targets in Tables 8-5 to 8-8.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-337.107	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to include tighter timeframes for achieving the required nitrogen loss reductions: Sub Area A Dairy by January 2030: 15% <u>40%</u> Dairy by January 2040: 30% <u>60%</u> Dairy by January 2050: <u>80%</u> All other by January 2030: 5% <u>20%</u> All other by January 2040: 10% <u>30%</u> All other by January 2050: <u>40%</u>
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-433.26	Claxby Irrigation Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete the five Nitrate Priority Sub-areas with the Waimakariri Zone.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-329.63	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Retain 15% reductions to 2030 in Table 8-9 as notified.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-329.64	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete nitrate reductions post 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-329.65	Rosebrook Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to allow for review to measure changes to ensure a robust model is used for specific reductions.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-437.14	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to remove the requirements for reductions after 1 January 2030 or alternatively 1 January 2040 if it can be demonstrated that the extent of reductions required are achievable and reasonable.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-437.15	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 so that it does not differentiate between different areas.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-437.33	Scottville Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to ensure that the provisions and reduction regime takes into account the significant reductions that may be required to reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate; or alternatively delete the references to 'Baseline GMP Loss Rate' and replace with 'Good Management Practice'.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-372.8	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to remove the requirements for reductions in nitrogen losses beyond 1 January 2040.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-372.10	Pineleigh Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to remove the different nitrate priority sub-areas so there is no differentiation between different sub-areas
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-317.21	Lovelock Livestock Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete the requirement for reductions post 1 January 2040.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-225.2	Smith Enterprises Ltd and Brentworth Dairy Farm Ltd and I & M Selak Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to only require reductions to 1 January 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-188.8	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete columns which include reference to dates past 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-274.23	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to require the content to be based on scientifically measured results.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-178.9	Taggart Farms Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete all reductions beyond 2030 and reassess the necessity for further reductions and the percentages that ought to be applied then using the available science and data.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-274.24	Wai Eyre Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete all columns after 2030 and replace with a review of the environmental changes at that date. If the on-farm changes are improving nitrate levels, there is no need for further reductions.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-537.2	Stalker, R	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to remove reductions post 2040.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-195.25	Ashley Gorge Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-473.5	Spencer-Bower, M J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 reductions proposed within the table with no specific decision requested.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-369.42	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete nitrate reductions beyond 1 January 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-369.43	Stokes, T	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 requiring a robust water quality monitoring program to inform the reductions needed for the next decade prior to the commencement of 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-557.4	Southern Peake Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to remove the requirements for nitrate reductions after 1 January 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-293.7	Southworth, V	Oppose in part	Require the review of the decisions that have led to the formation of Table 8-9.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-562.2	Hoskin, K	Oppose in part	Amend nitrate reduction target dates in Table 8-9 to two year increments.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-555.1	Robinson, G	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 so that the limits after 2030 will be set at a later date.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-472.128	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to include dairy support with the required dairy reductions.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-547.1	Stokes, A	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to have effect for 5-10 years.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-406.25	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to only extend to 1 January 2030 to allow for review at this point to ensure that reducing nitrate leeching is being achieved in the right manner.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-406.66	Austin, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 so that reductions aren't only required for farming as whole community is working towards maintaining or improving water quality.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-272.19	Four Hooves Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to delete Sub Area E Dairy reductions.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-337.168	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to meet lower nitrate water quality limits and thresholds, shorten the timeframes and amalgamate zones as appropriate: Sub Area A Dairy by January 2030: 15% <u>40%</u> Dairy by January 2040: 30% <u>60%</u> Dairy by January 2050: <u>80%</u> All other by January 2030: 5% <u>20%</u> All other by January 2040: 10% <u>30%</u> All other by January 2050: <u>40%</u>
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-337.169	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to amalgamate the five sub-areas into one nitrate priority area.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-405.24	Tallott, S & J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 [See Appendix 10 of the SODR]
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-368.3	Knightlea Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to remove the requirement for reductions after 1 January 2030.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-95.102	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to change the the reduction achievement dates as follows: - Dairy sub-areas A to E 15% reduction by 2030 <u>2027</u> ; - Dairy sub-areas A to E 30% reduction by 2040 <u>2032</u> ; - All other sub-areas A to E 5% reduction by 2030 <u>2027</u> ; - All other sub-areas A to E 10% reduction by 2040 <u>2032</u> ; and - For all improvements for 2050 and beyond, bring forward the dates by ten years respectively.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-337.188	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to specify/clarify how the targets in Table 8-5, 8-6, 8-7 and 8.8 will apply to a resource consent application on an individual basis.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-337.189	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to provide greater policy support for the targets identified in Tables 8-5, 8-6, 8-7, and 8-8, (similar to the last part of 8.4.28A) including a requirement to minimise the loss or discharge of contaminants to achieve the outcomes sought in the tables.
08.07.03.Table 8-9	PC7-337.190	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 8-9 to include a nitrate nitrogen water quality target of 0.1mg/L (annual median).
08.07.New Table	PC7-349.22	Waimakariri Irrigation Limited (WIL)	Oppose in part	Insert new Table 8-9A. [see Appendix 10 of the SODR]
08.07.New Table	PC7-405.25	Tallott, S & J	Oppose in part	Insert new Table 8-9A. [see Appendix 10 of the SODR]
<u>Section 8.8 - Flow Sensitive Catchments</u>				
08.08 Flow Sensitive Catchments	PC7-472.129	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Section 8.8 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
<u>Section 8.9 - High Naturalness Waterbodies</u>				
08.09 High Naturalness Waterbodies	PC7-472.130	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Section 8.9 as notified.
Section 11 - Selwyn-Te Waihora				
<u>Section 11.2A - Selwyn Te Waihora Definitions</u>				
11.02A Augmentation	PC7-472.131	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain the definition of 'Augmentation' as notified.
11.02A Augmentation	PC7-430.145	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendment to the definition of 'Augmentation' in Section 11 unless there is a clear and demonstrable advantage in retaining the amendment.
<u>Section 11.4 - Policies</u>				
11.04.22	PC7-430.146	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendment to Policy 11.4.22.
11.04.22	PC7-472.132	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (e) of Policy 11.4.22 to protect significant indigenous vegetation or significant habitats of indigenous biodiversity.
11.04.25	PC7-404.19	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 11.4.25 as follows: 'b. fifty percent of any transferred water is surrendered except where: i. the transferred water is to be used for a community water supply, or ii. <u>the transferred water is to be used for commercial vegetable growing in a baseline area, or</u> iii. the transferred water is or will, following transfer, be used for an industrial or trade process and result in a neutral or positive water balance.'
<u>Section 11.5 Rules</u>				
11.05.06	PC7-114.19	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain the notes above Rule 11.5.6 as notified.
11.05.06	PC7-430.147	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain 'Note 4' above Rule 11.5.6 as notified.
11.05.06	PC7-356.57	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Retain note 4 that precedes Rule 11.5.6. Include consequential amendments subsequent to the relief sought in submission points 32 and 36 to 39, amend the rule numbering and terms in note 4.
11.05.15	PC7-430.148	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain the amended note above Rule 11.5.15 as notified.
11.05.15	PC7-430.149	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 11.5.15 as notified.
11.05.33	PC7-84.31	Bowden Environmental	Oppose	Delete condition 8 of Rule 11.5.33 and replace it with condition 4 of Rule 8.5.14.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
11.05.35	PC7-430.150	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendment to Rule 11.5.35.
11.05.42	PC7-430.152	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain 'Note 3' above Rule 11.5.42 as notified.
11.05.42	PC7-430.151	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendment to the heading of 'Augmenting Surface Water' so that it reads 'Augmenting <u>Groundwater or Surface Water</u> '.
11.05.42	PC7-430.153	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendments to Rule 11.5.42.
11.05.43	PC7-430.154	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the proposed amendments to Rule 11.5.43.
Section 12 - Central Canterbury Alpine Rivers				
<u>Section 12.0 - Introduction</u>				
12.0 Alpine River Sub-region Map	PC7-352.25	Pye Group	Oppose	Delete this map as notified and retain the existing map in the Land and Water Regional Plan.
12.0 Introduction	PC7-424.43	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose	Delete proposed amendment to the OTOP Boundary and Braided River Boundary as it relates to the Rangitata River.
12.0 Introduction	PC7-472.133	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the Alpine River Sub-region map to provide an explanation why this boundary is being changed.
12.0 Introduction	PC7-472.219	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Require Council to undertake a plan change for Section 12 of the LWRP as quickly as possible so that a single regional plan applies and so Central Canterbury's braided rivers are managed in accordance with Te Mana o Te Wai.
<u>Section 12.1 - Other Regional Plans that apply</u>				
12.01.01	PC7-430.155	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend paragraph 12.1.1 as follows: The Waimakariri River Regional Plan 2004 controls the take and use of water in the Waimakariri River, its tributaries and hydraulically connected groundwater; discharges of contaminants to water bodies in the Waimakariri River and upper catchments; and land use activities in the beds of rivers and lakes in the Waimakariri River, its upper catchment and tributary catchments south of the Waimakariri River. For the avoidance of doubt, any surface water takes located within the mapped Waimakariri Sub-region (Section 8) of this Plan that take water directly from the Waimakariri River, or groundwater takes that are hydraulically connected to surface water in the Waimakariri River, are managed under the Waimakariri River Regional Plan.
12.01.01	PC7-430.319	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend paragraph 12.1.1 to state why land use activities in tributary catchments south of the Waimakariri River are controlled by the Waimakariri River Regional Plan, but not those to the north.
Section 13 - Ashburton				
<u>Section 13.1 - Hinds/Hekeao Definitions</u>				
13.01A Augmenting	PC7-345.8	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Support	Retain the definition of 'Augmenting' as notified.
13.01A Augmenting	PC7-394.8	Hinds Drain Working Party	Support	Retain definition of 'Augmenting' as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
13.01A Augmenting	PC7-430.156	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain the definition of 'Augmenting' as notified.
13.01A Augmenting	PC7-472.134	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain the definition of 'Augmenting' as notified, provided the definition is consistent throughout the LWRP.
13.01A Hinds Coastal Strip Zone	PC7-345.9	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Support	Retain the definition of 'Hinds Coastal Strip Zone' as notified.
13.01A Hinds Coastal Strip Zone	PC7-394.9	Hinds Drain Working Party	Support	Retain definition of 'Hinds Coastal Strip Zone' as notified.
13.01A Hinds Coastal Strip Zone	PC7-430.157	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain the definition of 'Hinds Coastal Strip Zone' as notified.
13.01A Main and Secondary Hinds Drain	PC7-345.10	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Support	Retain the definition of 'Main and Secondary Hinds Drain' as notified
13.01A Main and Secondary Hinds Drain	PC7-394.10	Hinds Drain Working Party	Support	Retain definition of 'Main and Secondary Hinds Drain' as notified.
13.01A Main and Secondary Hinds Drain	PC7-430.158	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain the definition of 'Main and Secondary Hinds Drain' as notified provided the Main and Secondary Hinds Drains identified on the planning maps reflect those identified on the map drawn by the Hinds Drains Working Party.
13.01A Main and Secondary Hinds Drain	PC7-11.1	Eiffelton Community Group Irrigation Scheme	Oppose in part	Amend definition of 'Main and Secondary Hinds Drain' to identify which drains this applies to as per the Hinds Drains Working Party recommendations
<u>Section 13.4 - Policies</u>				
13.04.05A	PC7-345.11	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.5A as notified
13.04.05A	PC7-444.2	Hall, M C	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.5A as notified, provided there no adverse environmental effects.
13.04.05A	PC7-394.11	Hinds Drain Working Party	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.5A as notified.
13.04.05A	PC7-430.159	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.5A as notified.
13.04.05A	PC7-84.32	Bowden Environmental	Oppose	Delete Policy 13.4.5A in its entirety.
13.04.05A	PC7-472.135	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Policy 13.4.5A in its entirety.
13.04.05A	PC7-209.5	Aotearoa Water Action	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 13.4.5A so that it is not possible to surrender a stream-depleting groundwater take or surface water take and replace it with a deeper groundwater take.
13.04.11	PC7-160.78	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.11 as notified.
13.04.11	PC7-345.12	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.11 as notified
13.04.11	PC7-394.12	Hinds Drain Working Party	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.11 as notified.
13.04.11	PC7-430.160	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain the amendment to Policy 13.4.11 as notified, provided the Main and Secondary Hinds Drains identified on the Planning Maps reflect those identified on the map drawn by the Hinds Drains Working Party.
13.04.11	PC7-351.26	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.11 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
13.04.11	PC7-95.88	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.11 as notified.
13.04.11	PC7-347.13	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 13.4.11 to specify other mitigation mechanisms, for example Farm Environment Plans.
13.04.11	PC7-472.136	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 13.4.11 as follows: b. excluding cattle, pigs and deer <u>all farmed animals</u> from drains, including any Main and Secondary Hinds Drain irrespective of whether water is present in the drain; and...
13.04.18	PC7-345.13	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.18 as notified
13.04.18	PC7-394.13	Hinds Drain Working Party	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.18 as notified.
13.04.18	PC7-430.161	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.18 as notified.
13.04.18	PC7-472.138	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 13.4.18 to delete the term "practicable".
13.04.18	PC7-472.137	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (e) of Policy 13.4.18 to delete "no net loss" and replace with "protect from" inundation.
13.04.18	PC7-472.139	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (f) of Policy 13.4.18 to delete the term "practicable".
13.04.22	PC7-394.14	Hinds Drain Working Party	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.22 as notified.
13.04.22	PC7-430.162	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.22 as notified.
13.04.22	PC7-351.27	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.22 as notified.
13.04.22	PC7-95.89	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.22 as notified.
13.04.22	PC7-472.140	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 13.4.22 to delete the amended timeframe.
13.04.23	PC7-430.163	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.23 as notified.
13.04.23	PC7-351.28	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.23 as notified.
13.04.23	PC7-95.90	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.23 as notified.
13.04.23	PC7-345.14	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 13.4.23 as follows: "...unless there is a collaboratively developed flow and allocation regime, <u>beginning in 2025 at latest</u> , that has been included in this plan through a Schedule 1 RMA process."
13.04.23	PC7-394.16	Hinds Drain Working Party	Oppose in part	Retain the change of date to 1 July 2030 in Policy 13.4.23 as notified.
13.04.23	PC7-394.17	Hinds Drain Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 13.4.23 to direct that from 1 July 2030 minimum flows and allocation limits will only be determined through a collaboratively developed flow and allocation regime that is provided for in the Schedule 1 RMA process.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
13.04.23	PC7-472.141	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 13.4.23 to delete the amended timeframe.
13.04.24	PC7-345.15	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.24 as notified.
13.04.24	PC7-394.15	Hinds Drain Working Party	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.24 as notified.
13.04.24	PC7-430.164	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 13.4.24 as notified.
13.04.24	PC7-472.142	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Policy 13.4.24 in its entirety.
Section 13.5 - Rules				
13.05.08	PC7-114.20	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain the notes above Rule 13.5.8 as notified.
13.05.08	PC7-430.165	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain 'Note 2' above Rule 13.5.8 as notified.
13.05.08	PC7-356.58	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Retain note 2 that precedes Rule 13.5.8. Include consequential amendments subsequent to the relief sought in submission points 32 and 36 to 39, amend the rule numbering and terms in note 2.
13.05.21	PC7-218.4	MHV Water Limited (MHV)	Support	Retain Rule 13.5.21 and associated advice note as notified.
13.05.21	PC7-430.166	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 13.5.21 as notified.
13.05.26	PC7-56.7	Devlin, R	Support	Retain all provisions relating to stock exclusion from Hinds Drains.
13.05.26	PC7-160.79	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 13.5.26 as notified.
13.05.26	PC7-430.167	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain 13.5.26 as notified, provided the Main and Secondary Hinds Drains identified on the Planning Maps reflect those identified on the map drawn by the Hinds Drains Working Party.
13.05.26	PC7-351.29	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 13.5.26 as notified.
13.05.26	PC7-472.143	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Rule 13.5.26 as notified.
13.05.26	PC7-95.91	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 13.5.26 as notified.
13.05.26	PC7-214.104	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 13.5.26 as follows: Within the Hinds/Kekeao Plains Area, any reference to the bed of a lake, river, or wetland in Rules 5.68, 5.69, 5.70, and 5.71 also includes any Main and Secondary Hinds Drain whether or not there is water in it, and any other drain that <u>permanently</u> has water in it. but does not include any sub-surface drain.
13.05.30	PC7-11.4	Eiffelton Community Group Irrigation Scheme	Support	Retain Rule 13.5.30 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
13.05.30	PC7-527.1	Bubb, M	Oppose	Delete the amendment to condition 2 of Rule 13.5.30.
13.05.30	PC7-345.16	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 13.5.30 as follows: "... the application for resource consent demonstrates that that take <u>will not have a direct or high stream depletion effect</u> is not from stream depleting groundwater ; and ..."
13.05.30	PC7-345.17	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Oppose in part	Amend condition 6(a) of Rule 13.5.30 to enable the holding of the surface water consent within the Hinds Coastal Strip Zone for a period of time to see if the deep bore is reliable as follows: "a. If a portion of the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater take will be retained, the combined <u>stream depletion effect volume</u> of the proposed deep groundwater take and the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater take is the same or lesser volume than the existing water permit, and the existing water permit is surrendered concurrently with the application; or and... "
13.05.30	PC7-345.18	Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust (HHWET)	Oppose in part	Amend condition 6(b) of Rule 13.5.30 as follows: b. if no a portion of the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater take will be retained, <u>within 36 months of the issue of the consent the combined volume of the proposed deep groundwater take and the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater take shall be the same or a lesser volume than the existing permit</u> the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater take is surrendered and the bore dis-established within 36 months of the date of the new resource consent, and the combined rate and volume of water taken at any time is the same or lesser amount than the existing water permit.
13.05.30	PC7-430.168	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 13.5.30 as follows: 5. The take is from deep groundwater or the application for resource consent demonstrates that the take <u>will not have a direct or high stream depletion effect</u> is not from stream depleting groundwater ; and
13.05.30	PC7-430.320	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend condition 6(a) of Rule 13.5.30 as follows: 6. Where the proposed point of take is within the Hinds Coastal Strip Zone: a. if a portion of the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater take will be retained, <u>for a period of up to 36 months the combined stream depletion effect volume</u> of the proposed deep groundwater take and the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater take is the same or lesser volume than the existing water permit; and the existing water permit is surrendered concurrently with the application; or
13.05.30	PC7-430.321	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend condition 6(b) of Rule 13.5.30 as follows: 6. Where the proposed point of take is within the Hinds Coastal Strip Zone: ... b. if no a portion of the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater take will be retained, <u>within 36 months of the issue of the consent the combined volume of the proposed deep groundwater take and the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater take shall be the same or a lesser volume than the existing permit.</u> the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater take is surrendered and the bore dis-established within 36 months of the date of the new resource consent, and the combined rate and volume of water taken is the same or lesser amount than the existing water permit.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
13.05.30	PC7-544.1	Aqualinc Research Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 6(a) of of Rule 13.5.30 as follows: 6. Where the proposed point of take is within the Hinds Coastal Strip Zone: a. if a portion of the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater take will be retained, <u>for a period of up to 36 months</u> the combined <u>stream depletion effect volume</u> of the proposed deep groundwater take and the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater take is the same or lesser <u>volume</u> than the existing water permit, and the existing water permit is surrendered concurrently with the application; or ...
13.05.30	PC7-472.144	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 13.5.30 to rewrite the provision in clear language and require the bore to be disestablished concurrently.
13.05.30	PC7-544.2	Aqualinc Research Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 6(b) of Rule 13.5.30 as follows: 6. Where the proposed point of take is within the Hinds Coastal Strip Zone: ... b. if no <u>a</u> portion of the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater take will be retained, <u>within 36 months of the issue of the consent</u> the combined volume of the proposed deep groundwater take and the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater take shall be the same or a lesser volume than the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater take shall be the same or a lesser volume than the existing permit. the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater take is surrendered and the bore dis-established within 36 months of the date of the new resource consent, and the combined rate and volume of water taken at any time is the same or lesser amount than the existing water permit.
13.05.30A	PC7-430.169	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 13.5.30A as notified.
13.05.30A	PC7-84.33	Bowden Environmental	Oppose	Delete the conditions of Rule 13.5.30A.
13.05.30A	PC7-347.14	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 13.5.30A to require further investigation on the cumulative impacts of increasing the number of deep groundwater takes and its effects on the distribution of contaminated water in the aquifer.
13.05.30A	PC7-472.145	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 13.5.30A to rewrite the provision in clear language and require the bore to be disestablished concurrently.
13.05.31	PC7-430.170	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 13.5.31 as notified.
13.05.31	PC7-84.34	Bowden Environmental	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 13.5.31 to delete reference to Rule 13.5.30A.
13.05.35	PC7-430.171	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain 'Note 3' above Rule 13.5.35 as notified.
13.05.35	PC7-430.172	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 13.5.35 as notified.
13.05.35	PC7-472.146	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 13.5.35 to insert a condition to avoid adverse effects on significant indigenous species or habitat of indigenous fauna.
13.05.35	PC7-472.147	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 13.5.35 to insert a condition to avoid effects of sedimentation on ecosystem health.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
13.05.36	PC7-430.173	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete condition 4 of Rule 13.5.36.
13.05.36	PC7-11.5	Eiffelton Community Group Irrigation Scheme	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 13.5.36 to remove the restriction on targeted stream augmentation for trials up to 5 years.
13.05.36	PC7-11.6	Eiffelton Community Group Irrigation Scheme	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 13.5.36 to remove restriction of targeted stream augmentation within any well used for potable supply.
13.05.36	PC7-472.148	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 13.5.36 to insert a condition to avoid adverse effects on significant indigenous species or habitat of indigenous fauna.
13.05.36	PC7-472.149	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 13.5.36 to insert a condition to avoid the effects of sedimentation on ecosystem health.
13.05.37	PC7-430.174	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 13.5.37 as notified.
<u>Section 13.7 - Environment Flow and Allocation and Water Quality Targets/Limits</u>				
13.07.01.Table 13(e)	PC7-11.2	Eiffelton Community Group Irrigation Scheme	Support	Retain Table 13(e) as notified.
13.07.01.Table 13(e)	PC7-430.175	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Table 13(e) as notified.
13.07.01.Table 13(e)	PC7-351.30	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Table 13(e) as notified.
13.07.01.Table 13(e)	PC7-95.92	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Table 13(e) as notified.
13.07.01.Table 13(ea)	PC7-11.3	Eiffelton Community Group Irrigation Scheme	Support	Retain Table 13(ea) as notified.
13.07.01.Table 13(ea)	PC7-430.176	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Table 13(ea) as notified.
OTOP				
TOPIC				
<u>General</u>				
General Support	PC7-407.3	Fraser, C D	Support	Supports all Section 14 plan change provisions, including Section 14.6.
General Support	PC7-279.1	Waimate District Council	Support	General support with no specific decision requested.
General Support	PC7-457.1	Mackenzie District Council	Support	Supports principals behind the introduction of Section 14 in the CLWRP, with no specific decision requested.
General Support	PC7-424.191	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Supports the direction of Part B in its entirety (unless addressed in submission points) and seeks no changes be made to weaken the effectiveness of the provisions proposed in PC7.
General Support	PC7-109.11	Brown, A	Support	General support for extending the groundwater allocation zone boundaries to include the entire sub-region.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General Opposition	PC7-108.1	Pareora Catchment Society (Inc)	Oppose	Delete Plan Change and re-draft for clarity with accurate definitions, accurate boundaries and translations and explanations of Maori terminology, reliable baseline data and ecological justification for rules and policies where such information can be found, then re-presented for genuine and meaningful public consultation.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-235.30	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 Part B to include additional definitions, policies and rules which enable the formation of Nutrient User Groups within OTOP zone. For example, equivalent framework to policy 15B.4.14, rules 15B.5.40 and 15B.5.41, amended to be applicable to the OTOP zone.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-341.12	Gibson Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend 14(1) to retain the status quo.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-315.2	Hyslop, Jonty & Nicky	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to consider the learnings and importance of the Opuha Environmental Flow Release Advisory Group.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-197.14	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose in part	Amend nutrient management provisions to be written cleaner and more concise.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-403.1	Scott, G P	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to place greater value on the Opuha Dam, and its value for the community.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-403.3	Scott, G P	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that Levels Plains Nitrogen Concentration and nitrogen application is determined by soil type, time of year and soil temperature.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-306.9	Springfield Partnership Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert provisions to cover coastal surface water takes.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-340.24	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Generally opposes Part B to the extent that it will preclude businesses and communities of the OTOP sub-region from providing for their social, economic and cultural wellbeing, with no specific relief sought.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-278.59	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Support the original work and outcomes delivered by the Orari Steering Group for adaptation into Section 14.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-278.60	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose any deviation from the original work and outcomes delivered by the Orari Steering Group for adaptation into Section 14.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-551.13	Orari River Protection Group	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to implement the OTOP ZIPA sooner than five years after 2022 and to implement the plan as soon as possible.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-206.15	Turley Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 Part B to apply a practical and achievable improvement rate of 20 years to improve water degeneration.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-109.7	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require new water quality limits for groundwater with no specific decision requested.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-109.8	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require protection including new water quality limits for water quality in the Orari River.
General Seeking Amendment	PC7-291.1	Stone, G B	Oppose in part	Require that the plan safeguards the health of people on the Pleasant Point and Timaru drinking water supplies.
Legal Issues and Preliminary Matters				
Consultation	PC7-108.2	Pareora Catchment Society (Inc)	Oppose in part	Delete proposed flow regimes and nutrient budgets and replace with flow regimes and nutrient budgets reconsidered by the OTOP Zone Committee alongside detailed economic reports and advice re-submitted to ECan.
Consultation	PC7-108.14	Pareora Catchment Society (Inc)	Oppose in part	Require the OTOP Committee to be re-formed, excluding anyone with perceived or actual pecuniary conflicts of interest and given time to reconsider advice given to help produce an easy to read and understand synopsis of the plan change which should be made available to the public with at least two months to respond.
Consultation	PC7-87.5	Guiney Family Trust	Oppose in part	Require true consultation with the community based on facts and data to understand the impacts on local communities.
Consultation	PC7-286.2	Aoraki Development Business and Tourism	Oppose in part	Require further consultation on Plan Change 7 to more fully understand the impacts and effects across environmental, social and economic areas.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Consultation	PC7-279.6	Waimate District Council	Oppose in part	Require additional consultation with the Zone Committee and public with a reviewed time frame.
Consultation	PC7-278.4	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to allow for catchment groups to act as advisory panels on determining actions to result in outcomes.
<u>Non-regulatory actions</u>				
Non-regulatory actions	PC7-109.22	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require the OTOP Zone Committee implement this plan sooner than five years beyond 2022.
<u>Science, Investigations and Models</u>				
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-242.4	Ardleigh Deer Farm	Oppose in part	Require further facts and data that represent the real situation, then amend the plan change to mitigate any issues.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-316.12	Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	Oppose in part	Require groundwater levels and nitrate concentrations to be monitored and reported accordingly so the public can see how the levels and concentrations are trending, and how the policies and rules are affecting the trends.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-554.1	Adams, M	Oppose in part	Require the Fairlie Basin, Ashwick Flat and Sherwood Downs areas be separated and tested individually to more accurately identify "hotspots" and manage these accordingly.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-109.10	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require a specific study on the Nitrate Levels in the Orari catchment and aquifer systems to inform the limit of cow numbers in the catchment.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-109.25	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require on going gathering studies be established for the length of the Orari regarding water quality and flow regimes for surface water and aquifer water.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-109.26	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require on going gathering studies to be establish to map Flora and Fauna for the length of the Orari River.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-109.27	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require on going gathering studies to establish weed and pest effects on the length of the Orari River length.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-109.28	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require on going gathering studies on extraction effects on water quality and ecosystem quality on the Orari River.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-109.29	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require on going gathering studies to be establish of extraction effects on agricultural business health.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-109.30	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require on going gathering studies to be establish to project changes to ecosystems by proposed changes in high country adjoining stations and farms.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-463.7	Currie, M	Oppose in part	Require that a secured 5m - 10m deep 50mm diameter monitoring bore be sunk on all dairy farms (or any properties contributing to nitrate losses). These wells must be monitored on a regular basis (say 3 to 6 monthly) with readings taken of both nitrates and phosphates to Give actual source concentrations Confirm that these concentrations are reducing at the required rate to meet the target concentration within the given time frame and use this measuring data rather than modelling to inform provisions.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-237.13	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Require ECan's groundwater team to conduct a review of all available groundwater monitoring data to determine which wells should be monitored against ZIPA outcomes to ensure they are representative of the groundwater zone in which they are located.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-237.16	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Require an extensive groundwater monitoring program is in place to track water quality improvements in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-456.38	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Require certainty on science, sample site selection and modelling that has been used to set nitrate reduction targets in Section 14.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-238.13	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Require ECan's groundwater team to conduct a review of all available groundwater monitoring data to determine which wells should be monitored against ZIPA outcomes to ensure they are representative of the groundwater zone in which they are located.
General Investigations and Modelling	PC7-238.16	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Require an extensive groundwater monitoring program to be in place to track water quality improvements in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas.
<u>Allocation of Resources</u>				

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General allocation and limits	PC7-138.6	Canterbury Aoraki Conservation Board	Oppose in part	Amend the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora flow and allocation regimes to speed up the recovery process for waterways in the zone.
General allocation and limits	PC7-381.43	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Require ECan to undertake further analysis to determine, at the time of notification of PC7, which surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones are over allocated.
General allocation and limits	PC7-457.11	Mackenzie District Council	Oppose in part	Require the location of recorder sites for MDC's water abstraction to be within the Mackenzie District.
General allocation and limits	PC7-278.3	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that second or third step changes in flow and allocation regime are not made in the final days of the plan.
General allocation and limits	PC7-278.50	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to ensure it creates behaviour patterns that encourage change in a positive direction.
General allocation and limits	PC7-5.6	Peelview Orchard	Oppose in part	Amend Part B - Allocation and water quality limits to take into consideration variability of water takes across the years for fruit growers.
General allocation and limits	PC7-551.5	Orari River Protection Group	Oppose in part	Require a review of allocation consents on the Orari River to be undertaken immediately and move into the second and third steps to introduce a higher minimum flow and reduce over-allocation as soon as possible.
General allocation and limits	PC7-295.28	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to enable community water alternatives to provide solutions for existing irrigators who are impacted by reduced allocation and higher minimum flows.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-151.1	Adriaens, G	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-239.5	Hallatt, A	Support	Retain provisions relating to the capping on any new allocation of water.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-94.12	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-128.1	Black, L	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-461.1	Barnes, J	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-147.1	Michael, S	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-321.1	Christensen, C	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-163.1	Cockburn, A	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-164.1	Campbell, S	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-241.1	Curtis, D	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-395.1	Jacobs, S	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-93.1	Gallagher, K	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-109.21	Brown, A	Support	General support for changes to minimum flows for rivers and streams including a cap on volume of water available.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-215.1	Dalziel, J	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-258.1	Dopleach, D	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-83.1	Frankland, R	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-366.1	McNab, R	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-77.1	Oldham, J	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-250.1	McInnes, S	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-124.1	List, B	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-466.1	Hailstone, S	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-106.4	Cotter, J	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-463.1	Currie, M	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-85.1	Neave, R	Support	Supports caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-256.1	De Lu, K	Support	Retain as notified caps on any new water allocation with no specific decision requested
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-268.1	Baird, M	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-261.1	Downard, J	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-98.7	McGregor, A	Support	Supports capping allocations at existing levels with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-98.9	McGregor, A	Support	Supports phasing out over-allocation with no specific decision requested.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-99.1	Low, C	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-398.1	Perriam, E	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-119.1	Reiner, W	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-388.1	Ridden-Harper, A	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-116.1	Robertson, J	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-78.1	Ross, M J	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-80.1	Zhang, F	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-247.1	W..	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-123.3	Evans, D J	Support	Retain caps on any new water allocation as notified.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-82.2	Lundie, R S	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to enable the submitter to continue irrigating in future as they have over the last five seasons.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-108.3	Pareora Catchment Society (Inc)	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to require a full quid pro quo exchange for surface water takes in exchange for deep groundwater.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-468.2	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the policy and rule framework to reflect Policy 14.4.7(a) and specify how A Allocation Limits are proposed to be achieved over time in over-allocated catchments.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-415.21	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Section 14 to ensure that all existing groundwater consents (even where they are connected to surface water) are treated as A Allocation in the Rangitata-Orton Area.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-278.2	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to equitable treatment in regards to banded flows, water allocation and nutrients without affecting reliability, volumes and options for farmers.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-278.53	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to apply water volumes based on land area.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-278.54	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable schemes to investigate and move towards reserving unused allocation and volume for when they need it.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-278.55	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to allow for environmental augmentation to be accounted for when part of allocation volumes.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-541.2	Newton, J	Oppose in part	Amend over allocation provisions to change time frames for allocation limits from 1 January 2035 to 1 January 2025.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-94.1	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Part B of Plan Change 7 to require irrigation companies to change the way water is charged to be based on actual volume used rather than a l/s rate.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-210.10	Tronnoco Farming Co Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable options to transfer surface water takes or hydraulically connected groundwater within the Orari Temuka Opihi Pareora zone.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-354.11	O'Kane, K & K	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable options to transfer surface water takes or hydraulically connected groundwater within the Orari Temuka Opihi Pareora zone.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-134.8	Oldfield, M E	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable options to transfer surface water takes or hydraulically connected groundwater within the Orari Temuka Opihi Pareora zone.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-375.8	Waipopo Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable options to transfer surface water takes or hydraulically connected groundwater within the Orari Temuka Opihi Pareora zone.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-284.8	Cotter, D & D	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable options to transfer surface water takes or hydraulically connected groundwater within the Orari Temuka Opihi Pareora zone.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-120.8	Belpher Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable options to transfer surface water takes or hydraulically connected groundwater within the Orari Temuka Opihi Pareora zone.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-364.8	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to enable options to transfer surface water takes or hydraulically connected groundwater within the Orari Temuka Opihi Pareora zone.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-109.19	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require over allocation to be reviewed annually to take into account climate change.
Water quantity - allocation	PC7-109.20	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require over allocation to be reviewed annually to take into account a potential demand by the Geraldine area for Orari water as a potable supply.
Limits				
Minimum flows	PC7-239.7	Hallatt, A	Support	Retain provisions for minimum flows of waterways.
Minimum flows	PC7-98.8	McGregor, A	Support	Supports increasing minimum flows in rivers and adopting partial restrictions with no specific decision requested.
Minimum flows	PC7-87.6	Guiney Family Trust	Oppose	Require any changes in minimum flows to be based on data/evidence that shows there is a problem with water quality, biodiversity and on the results of the collaborative process.
Minimum flows	PC7-396.1	Pearse, J	Oppose	Delete any increase in minimum flows for the Opihi River.
Minimum flows	PC7-418.1	VIBERi New Zealand Ltd	Oppose	General support for the objectives of the Plan Change 7 regarding an adaptive flow regime.
Minimum flows	PC7-424.193	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose	Opposes environmental flows and allocation limits and the timeframes set for reductions to take place for the Te Umu Kaha/Temuka River, Ōpūaha/Opuha River and Te Ana-a-Wai/Te Ana Wai River.
Minimum flows	PC7-22.1	Driver, P	Oppose in part	Amend flow and allocation regimes in the OTOP zone to create ecologically, culturally and socially healthy flows in all rivers.
Minimum flows	PC7-68.39	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to consider the environmental, economic social and cultural impacts of outcomes.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Minimum flows	PC7-68.40	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Require recognition and consideration that the Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group are the only AA consent holders and Shareholders in the Opuha Dam on the Te Ana Wai River.
Minimum flows	PC7-97.33	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to consider the environmental, economic, social and cultural impacts of outcomes.
Minimum flows	PC7-97.34	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Requires recognition and consideration of the Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group being the only AA consent holders and Shareholders in the Opuha Dam on the Te Ana Wai River.
Minimum flows	PC7-151.7	Adriaens, G	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-279.3	Waimate District Council	Oppose in part	Require flow regimes to be withdrawn and reconsider economic reports and advice
Minimum flows	PC7-397.1	Gibson Bros Ltd	Oppose in part	Request that Environment Canterbury make an informed decision, listening to all concerned
Minimum flows	PC7-457.10	Mackenzie District Council	Oppose in part	Require the location of recorder sites for the purpose of environmental flows and allocation regimes is, at a minimum, in the same location for all permit holders.
Minimum flows	PC7-340.21	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Plan change to ensure the environmental flow/augmentation regime for the mainstem of the Ophi River is sufficiently flexible to enable proactive management of the surface water resources of the Lake Opuha catchment, particularly in response to changing climactic conditions.
Minimum flows	PC7-541.1	Newton, J	Oppose in part	Amend minimum flow provisions so outcomes are achieved earlier and not in two stages.
Minimum flows	PC7-94.18	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-553.2	Woolstencroft, H	Oppose in part	Amend Minimum flows of the Temuka river to maintain flows of 10-12m ³ /s.
Minimum flows	PC7-128.7	Black, L	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-320.7	Bebbington, C	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-461.7	Barnes, J	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-147.7	Michael, S	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-321.7	Christensen, C	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-163.7	Cockburn, A	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-164.7	Campbell, S	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-241.7	Curtis, D	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-395.7	Jacobs, S	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-93.7	Gallagher, K	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hapua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-109.17	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require extraction consents on the Orari catchment to be reviewed annually.
Minimum flows	PC7-109.18	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require a higher minimum flow be established and be reviewed annually.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Minimum flows	PC7-215.7	Dalziel, J	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-258.7	Dopleach, D	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-83.7	Frankland, R	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-366.7	McNab, R	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-77.7	Oldham, J	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-250.7	McInnes, S	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-124.7	List, B	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-466.7	Hailstone, S	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-106.10	Cotter, J	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-463.9	Currie, M	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-85.7	Neave, R	Oppose in part	Amend PC7 to give priority to minimum water flows and the ecological health of all our waterways.
Minimum flows	PC7-256.7	De Lu, K	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-268.7	Baird, M	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-261.7	Downard, J	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-99.7	Low, C	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-398.7	Perriam, E	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-119.7	Reiner, W	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-388.7	Ridden-Harper, A	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-116.7	Robertson, J	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-78.8	Ross, M J	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-80.7	Zhang, F	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-247.7	W..	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows	PC7-448.1	Pearse, H and J	Oppose in part	Oppose minimum flows of the Opihi River with no specific decision requested.
Minimum flows	PC7-123.9	Evans, D J	Oppose in part	Require all minimum flows and associated partial restrictions to provide for the ecological health of the stream, river, hāpua (lagoons) within the life of this current plan.
Minimum flows OFREG regime	PC7-67.6	Morelea Farm	Oppose in part	Amend the provisions to leave the operation of the dam as it is.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Minimum flows OFREG regime	PC7-286.5	Aoraki Development Business and Tourism	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to acknowledge, maintain and utilise the skills and knowledge of already established cross-sector entities such as OEFRAG in all considerations and ongoing management of Plan Change 7 outcomes once fully agreed and assessed.
Minimum flows OFREG regime	PC7-90.5	Bell, M	Oppose in part	Amend the policies and rules relating to the Alternative Management Regime to have more flexibility to recognise the ability of OFRAG to make decisions on an on-going basis, with a working group managing the situation on an ongoing basis once it reaches a trigger point.
Minimum flows OFREG regime	PC7-137.2	Hart, J R	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to retain OFRAG and its role in Opihi Mainstem Flow management.
Minimum flows OFREG regime	PC7-396.2	Pearse, J	Oppose in part	Insert provisions which provide for the continued role of OFRAG.
Minimum flows OFREG regime	PC7-471.3	Mill Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that OEFRAG remain at the decision making table.
Minimum flows OFREG regime	PC7-418.2	VIBERi New Zealand Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to retain OFRAG and its role in Opihi Mainstem Flow management.
Minimum flows OFREG regime	PC7-403.2	Scott, G P	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to consider the OEFRAG Group as an effective community tool.
Minimum flows OFREG regime	PC7-340.22	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to expressly provide OEFRAG with an ongoing role in the management of the surface water resources in the Lake Opuha catchment.
Minimum flows OFREG regime	PC7-248.11	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to retain OFRAG and its role in Opihi Mainstem Flow management.
Minimum flows OFREG regime	PC7-448.2	Pearse, H and J	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to retain OFRAG and its role in Opihi Mainstem Flow management.
Minimum flows OFREG regime	PC7-445.35	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to retain OFRAG and its role in Opihi Mainstem Flow management.
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-310.22	Iles, H	Oppose in part	Amend Section 14 to reduce the time frame for achieving water quality targets below 3-5 years.
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-424.192	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change to improve water quality and quantity rather than providing for, and maintaining, the status quo.
Water quality (general except nitrate)	PC7-278.69	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the water quality targets for Levels to acknowledge responsibility of out of catchment water, and its quality on the Levels water quality.
Nitrate - General	PC7-56.11	Devlin, R	Support	Retain all provisions for managing to nitrate limits in OTOP.
Nitrate - General	PC7-151.3	Adriaens, G	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-94.14	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-128.3	Black, L	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-320.3	Bebbington, C	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-461.3	Barnes, J	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate - General	PC7-147.3	Michael, S	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-321.3	Christensen, C	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-163.3	Cockburn, A	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-164.3	Campbell, S	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-241.3	Curtis, D	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-395.3	Jacobs, S	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-93.3	Gallagher, K	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-215.3	Dalziel, J	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-258.3	Dopleach, D	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-83.3	Frankland, R	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-366.3	McNab, R	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-77.3	Oldham, J	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-250.3	McInnes, S	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-124.3	List, B	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-466.3	Hailstone, S	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-106.6	Cotter, J	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-463.3	Currie, M	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate - General	PC7-85.3	Neave, R	Support	Supports the setting of nitrate limits with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-256.3	De Lu, K	Support	Retain as notified the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate - General	PC7-268.3	Baird, M	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-261.3	Downard, J	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-99.3	Low, C	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-398.3	Perriam, E	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-119.3	Reiner, W	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-388.3	Ridden-Harper, A	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-116.3	Robertson, J	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-78.4	Ross, M J	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-80.3	Zhang, F	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-247.3	W..	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-123.5	Evans, D J	Support	Retain the setting of nitrate limits for rivers and groundwater and the policies and rules that restrict any further increase of nutrient discharges as notified.
Nitrate - General	PC7-418.4	VIBERi New Zealand Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend nitrate limits to ensure they're practical and achievable and should reflect the science regarding maximum levels of nitrate
Nitrate - General	PC7-316.14	Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	Oppose in part	Require the plan change to recognise and consider the lag time between an activity and any change in the activity when assessing groundwater levels and nitrate concentrations.
Nitrate - General	PC7-536.1	Moore, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that nitrate levels are unable to increase.
Nitrate - General	PC7-109.24	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require nitrate limits to be reviewed.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-239.6	Hallatt, A	Support	Retain provisions allowing for reductions in nitrogen losses and the setting of nitrate limits.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-85.5	Neave, R	Support	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-354.6	O'Kane, K & K	Oppose	Oppose the on-going staged nitrogen loss reductions and it is preferred to focus on achieving water quality outcomes with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-67.4	Morelea Farm	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to target high N loss farmers through Farm Environment and Management Plans.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-108.12	Pareora Catchment Society (Inc)	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to remove grandfathering exemptions by 2025 and agreed reductions should be met by 2030.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-151.5	Adriaens, G	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-415.64	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to provide for and focus on the actual outcomes sought (i.e. the relevant water quality parameters, including in groundwater), rather than providing for the reductions themselves as the 'measure of success'.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-457.9	Mackenzie District Council	Oppose in part	Require time frames for nutrient management to be carefully considered and achievable from social, economic and environmental perspectives.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-72.11	Midgley, A	Oppose in part	Amend the provisions requiring nitrate reductions to phase out high applications sooner.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-94.16	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-128.5	Black, L	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-320.5	Bebbington, C	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-461.5	Barnes, J	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-147.5	Michael, S	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-321.5	Christensen, C	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-210.5	Tronnoco Farming Co Ltd	Oppose in part	Oppose the on-going staged nitrogen loss reductions and it is preferred to focus on achieving water quality outcomes with no specific decision requested.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-163.5	Cockburn, A	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-164.5	Campbell, S	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-241.5	Curtis, D	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-395.5	Jacobs, S	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-93.5	Gallagher, K	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-109.13	Brown, A	Oppose in part	General support for the nitrogen reductions in the Orari catchment.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-109.14	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require the Orari high country catchment area to include nitrate reductions.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-109.15	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require the nitrate reductions be made by 5 and a half years instead of 11 years proposed in the plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-215.5	Dalziel, J	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-258.5	Dopleach, D	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-83.5	Frankland, R	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-366.5	McNab, R	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-77.5	Oldham, J	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-250.5	McInnes, S	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-124.5	List, B	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-466.5	Hailstone, S	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-106.8	Cotter, J	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-463.5	Currie, M	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-256.5	De Lu, K	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-268.5	Baird, M	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-261.5	Downard, J	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan. In particular reductions of 90% so that the average concentration threshold remains at 0.6mg/l.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-99.5	Low, C	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-398.5	Perriam, E	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-119.5	Reiner, W	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-388.5	Ridden-Harper, A	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-116.5	Robertson, J	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-78.6	Ross, M J	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-420.3	Sustainable Otautahi Christchurch	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-81.3	Tie, M A	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-80.5	Zhang, F	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-247.5	W..	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-294.1	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to use the baseline that existed prior to private investment in the Opuha Dam.
Nitrate – Reductions	PC7-123.7	Evans, D J	Oppose in part	Supports higher required reductions in nitrogen losses in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas beyond GMP but want to see greater reductions required in the life of this current plan.
Cultural Matters				
Cultural matters - General	PC7-457.2	Mackenzie District Council	Support	Supports provisions that enable the protection of sites of cultural significance, with no specific decision requested.
Cultural matters - General	PC7-336.12	Bonifacio Family Trust	Oppose in part	Require an alternative approach to achieving outcomes in the proposed Mātaitai Protection Zone that involves collaboration between interested parties to identify problem areas and work together to tackle priority areas first.
Cultural matters - General	PC7-424.194	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend provisions to ensure cultural allocation which is not extracted from the river is protected from being taken or used in a means that does not directly benefit the Te Umu Kaha/Temuka River by future plan reviews.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Cultural matters - General	PC7-292.143	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to make all consequential amendments to policies and/or rules in the plan that maybe required to ensure a consistent approach when referring to Ngāi Tahu values or sites of significance to Ngāi Tahu.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-98.4	McGregor, A	Support	Supports managing culturally significant sites with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-98.5	McGregor, A	Support	Supports Freshwater Mātaitai with no specific decision requested.
Ngai Tahu values	PC7-318.75	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Insert a layer into the Planning Maps, or create a new map series identifying sites such as wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga and nohoanga sites.
Mahinga Kai	PC7-98.6	McGregor, A	Support	Supports Mahinga Kai Enhancement with no specific decision requested.
Mahinga Kai	PC7-108.5	Pareora Catchment Society (Inc)	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to map Mahinga kai and other sites with credible and reliable information backed by historical data. Affected property owners must be given access to such information. Further negotiation with Iwi, other stakeholders and landowners over cost and other matters associated with these sites on private property shall also be undertaken on a case by case basis.
Mahinga Kai	PC7-279.7	Waimate District Council	Oppose in part	Amend planning maps to include more credible and reliable information with more negotiations with Iwi and other stakeholders.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-56.8	Devlin, R	Support	Retain all provisions relating to Rock Art Management Areas.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-331.2	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Retain the reference to rock art in the 'Cultural Significance' statement in Section 14 as notified.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-424.198	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Supports provisions being included in Section 14 to protect rock art sites within the OTOZ zone.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-424.199	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Supports inclusion of Rock Art Management Area map layer with no specific decision sought.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-106.20	Cotter, J	Support	Supports greater recognition of, tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-465.8	Keall, L	Support	Supports greater recognition of, tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-463.19	Currie, M	Support	Supports greater recognition of, tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-466.18	Hailstone, S	Support	Supports greater recognition of, tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-215.17	Dalziel, J	Support	Supports greater recognition of, tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-258.17	Dopleach, D	Support	Supports greater recognition of, tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-83.17	Frankland, R	Support	Supports greater recognition of, tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-77.17	Oldham, J	Support	Supports greater recognition of, tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-250.17	McInnes, S	Support	Supports greater recognition of, tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-124.17	List, B	Support	Supports greater recognition of, tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-94.38	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Support	Supports greater recognition of, tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-151.27	Adriaens, G	Support	Supports greater recognition of, tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-164.27	Campbell, S	Support	Supports greater recognition of, tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-163.27	Cockburn, A	Support	Supports greater recognition of, tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-321.26	Christensen, C	Support	Supports greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-320.27	Bebbington, C	Support	Supports greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-147.27	Michael, S	Support	Supports greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-461.27	Barnes, J	Support	Supports greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-128.22	Black, L	Support	Supports greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-93.27	Gallagher, K	Support	Supports greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-395.27	Jacobs, S	Support	Supports greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-241.27	Curtis, D	Support	Supports greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā with no specific decision requested.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-256.16	De Lu, K	Support	Retain greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā as notified.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-268.16	Baird, M	Support	Retain greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā as notified.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-261.16	Downard, J	Support	Retain greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā as notified.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-99.16	Low, C	Support	Retain greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā as notified.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-398.16	Perriam, E	Support	Retain greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā as notified.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-388.17	Ridden-Harper, A	Support	Retain greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā as notified.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-116.16	Robertson, J	Support	Retain greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā as notified.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-78.17	Ross, M J	Support	Retain greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā as notified.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-111.8	Seed The Change	Support	Retain greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā as notified.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-420.10	Sustainable Otautahi Christchurch	Support	Retain greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā as notified.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-81.12	Tie, M A	Support	Retain greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā as notified.
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-80.15	Zhang, F	Support	Retain greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Rock Art Management Area	PC7-247.16	W..	Support	Retain greater recognition of tuhituhi o neherā as notified.
<u>Farming</u>				
Good Management Practice	PC7-200.1	Newbigging, R	Support	Retain ability for farmers to use Good Management Practices and care for their land in the Levels and Seadown Plains Area and Timaru Freshwater Management Unit.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-138.11	Canterbury Aoraki Conservation Board	Support	Supports rules which prohibit stock from waterways upstream of Waihi Gorge and Otaio Gorge.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-56.12	Devlin, R	Support	Retain all provisions relating to stock exclusion in OTOP.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-109.9	Brown, A	Support	General support for all stock exclusion at all times in the Orari River.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-150.3	Brook & Rupert Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to recognise that stock exclusion from ephemeral waterways may not be needed to achieve good water quality and environmental outcomes.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-150.4	Brook & Rupert Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 so that Farm Environment Plans can be used to identify specific risk areas for water quality and mitigation options for each risk rather than instigating a blanket stock exclusion requirement.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-108.11	Pareora Catchment Society (Inc)	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to more accurately define waterways from which livestock must be excluded to.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-173.2	Knocklyn Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Require clarification on what are considered to be 'intermittently flowing springs' and 'artificial drains with surface water in them'.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-173.3	Knocklyn Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Require clarification on what Policy 14.04.15 (livestock exclusion from waterbodies) would entail, particularly for ephemeral or lower magnitude springs and drains.
Stock Exclusion from waterways	PC7-108.15	Pareora Catchment Society (Inc)	Oppose in part	Amend stock exclusion provisions to exempt any enclosed stag or pig wallows which are provided for animal welfare purposes, and permanent exclusion for horse being ridden through through or across a riverbed for legitimate and lawful farming purposes and all farmed grazing animals when being mustered or moved where there are no alternatives to river crossings.
Winter Grazing	PC7-56.9	Devlin, R	Support	Retain all provisions relating to winter grazing.
<u>Other</u>				
General	PC7-56.13	Devlin, R	Support	Retain provisions limiting consent durations in OTOP as notified.
General	PC7-457.3	Mackenzie District Council	Support	Supports provisions that seek to protect and provide for community water supplies, with no specific decision requested.
General	PC7-72.10	Midgley, A	Oppose	Opposes the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone provisions, with no specific decision requested.
General	PC7-443.1	Giddings, G	Oppose	Delete Plan Change 7 in its entirety so avoid increasing the risk of social, economic and psychological harm to the Fairlie community.
General	PC7-7.1	Campbell, A	Oppose in part	Require modification of the Opuha Dam outlet to discharge only epilimnetic water into the lower river.
General	PC7-108.4	Pareora Catchment Society (Inc)	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to provide an appropriate lead-in time for adaptation and change. This timeframe should be re-negotiated with key stakeholder groups.
General	PC7-242.5	Ardleigh Deer Farm	Oppose in part	Require further information about stormwater runoff and its effect on lagoons.
General	PC7-221.6	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	Require ECan to conduct a review of all available groundwater monitoring data to determine which wells should be monitored against the outcomes for High Nitrogen Concentration Areas in the ZIPA and ensure they are representative of the groundwater quality in the zone where they are located.
General	PC7-416.17	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the plan change to make any and all consequential amendments necessary to give full and accurate effect to the submission.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General	PC7-385.21	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Make any alternative amendments to PC7 to address the substance of the concerns raised in this submission.
General	PC7-385.22	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Make all consequential amendments required to address the concerns raised in this submission and ensure a coherent planning document.
General	PC7-145.10	Orari Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to make alternative amendments to the provisions of Plan Change 7 to address the substance of the concerns raised in the submission.
General	PC7-145.11	Orari Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend plan change to provide consequential amendments required to address the concerns raised in the submission and ensure a coherent planning document.
General	PC7-315.1	Hyslop, Jonty & Nicky	Oppose in part	Support Opuha Water Limited's submission in its entirety.
General	PC7-415.65	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Section 14 as necessary to give effect to the matters raised in submission reference #12 in Annexure 2 of the submission.
General	PC7-411.9	Kyle, J	Oppose in part	Amend Part B of the plan change with any consequential amendments to address the concerns raised in the submission and to ensure a coherent planning document.
General	PC7-418.3	VIBERi New Zealand Ltd	Oppose in part	Supports the submission made by Opuha Dam Company in regards to the Opihi Flow Regime.
General	PC7-340.23	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.9 to provide further time for affected consent holders to adjust to the changes to the status of groundwater take consents.
General	PC7-340.25	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend plan change with any alternative amendments to the provisions to address the substance and concerns raised elsewhere in this submission.
General	PC7-340.26	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend PC7 to make all consequential amendments required to address the concerns raised in this submission and ensure a coherent planning document.
General	PC7-278.61	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Support the Orari Water Users Group submission in principle.
General	PC7-278.62	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Support the Temuka Catchment Working Party submission in principle.
General	PC7-495.1	Hendriks, A	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to set outcome goals rather than restriction-based goals.
General	PC7-318.71	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Alternative amendments to the provisions of PC7 to address the substance of the concerns raised in this submissions.
General	PC7-318.72	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend PC7 with all consequential amendments required to address the concerns in this submission and ensure a coherent planning document.
General	PC7-551.8	Orari River Protection Group	Oppose in part	Insert provisions into Section 14 to stop further intensification in farming or forestry along the upper Orari in the Mackenzie District.
General	PC7-385.35	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Ensure that any adaptive management framework that is incorporated into PC7 is able to respond to various climatic and river health situations, and makes the best use of the storage capability of Lake Opuha for the purpose of: 1. Retaining connectivity in the Opihi River and reliability of supply for the river, affiliated community water supply and affiliated irrigators; and 2. Improving river health in the downstream catchment.
General	PC7-210.11	Tronnoco Farming Co Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Part B of the plan change with any consequential amendments to address the concerns raised in the submission and to ensure a coherent planning document.
General	PC7-210.12	Tronnoco Farming Co Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Plan B of the plan change to address the substance of the concerns raised in submission points 210.1 to 210.11, if the relief sought is not adopted.
General	PC7-354.12	O'Kane, K & K	Oppose in part	Amend Part B of the plan change with any consequential amendments to address the concerns raised in the submission and to ensure a coherent planning document.
General	PC7-354.13	O'Kane, K & K	Oppose in part	Amend Part B of the plan change to address the substance of the concerns raised in submission points 210.1 to 210.11, if the relief sought is not adopted.
General	PC7-134.9	Oldfield, M E	Oppose in part	Amend Part B of the plan change with any consequential amendments to address the concerns raised in the submission and to ensure a coherent planning document.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General	PC7-134.10	Oldfield, M E	Oppose in part	Amend Part B of the plan change to address the substance of the concerns raised in submission points 134.1 to 134.9, if the relief sought is not adopted.
General	PC7-375.10	Waipopo Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Part B of the plan change with any consequential amendments to address the concerns raised in the submission and to ensure a coherent planning document.
General	PC7-375.11	Waipopo Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Part B of the plan change to address the substance of the concerns raised in submission points 375.1 to 375.10, if the relief sought is not adopted.
General	PC7-284.9	Cotter, D & D	Oppose in part	Amend Part B of the plan change with any consequential amendments to address the concerns raised in the submission and to ensure a coherent planning document.
General	PC7-284.10	Cotter, D & D	Oppose in part	Amend Part B of the plan change to address the substance of the concerns raised in submission points 284.1 to 284.10, if the relief sought is not adopted.
General	PC7-120.9	Belpher Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Part B of the plan change with any consequential amendments to address the concerns raised in the submission and to ensure a coherent planning document.
General	PC7-120.10	Belpher Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Part B of the plan change to address the substance of the concerns raised in submission points 120.1 to 120.9, if the relief sought is not adopted.
General	PC7-364.9	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Part B of the plan change with any consequential amendments to address the concerns raised in the submission and to ensure a coherent planning document.
General	PC7-364.10	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Part B of the plan change to address the substance of the concerns raised in submission points 364.1 to 364.9, if the relief sought is not adopted.
General	PC7-68.44	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Require the recommendations [in the ZIPA] for the Te Ana Wai River to remain in its current format and for this to be the the final outcome sought for the Te Ana Wai catchment.
General	PC7-68.45	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Supports recommendations by Opuha Water Limited in relation to Recommendation 4.8.1, Table 1 in the ZIPA, with no specific decision requested.
General	PC7-68.46	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1 of the ZIPA to divide those sites where current state averages are known (Geraldine, Opihi, Orari and Pareora (lower)) are tied to a specified limit and monitoring programs are established for those with insufficient data (South Branch Pareora, Taiko Stream, Te Ana Wai, Timaru and Upper Pareora) to ensure current state can be determined and appropriate limits or targets related to the 5.65mg/l can be set at the next plan development stage.
General	PC7-68.47	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Recommendation 4.8.1(iii)(a), Table 1 and Table 2 of the ZIPA to be consistent with the NPS-FM (E.g: the word 'attribute' should precede 'state') and data averaged over 5 years should be referred to.
General	PC7-68.48	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Recommendation 4.9.8 in the ZIPA to clarify that shareholders in Opuha Dam are exempt from providing usage data given the shares held.
General	PC7-97.39	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Require the recommendations [in the ZIPA] for the Te Ana Wai River to remain in its current format and for this to be the final outcome sought for the Te Ana Wai catchment.
General	PC7-97.40	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Supports recommendations by Opuha Water Limited in relation to Recommendation 4.8.1, Table 1 in the ZIPA, with no specific decision requested.
General	PC7-97.41	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Table 1 of the ZIPA to divide those sites where current state averages are known (Geraldine, Opihi, Orari and Pareora (lower)) are tied to a specified limit and monitoring programs are established for those with insufficient data (South Branch Pareora, Taiko Stream, Te Ana Wai, Timaru and Upper Pareora) to ensure current state can be determined and appropriate limits or targets related to the 5.65mg/l can be set at the next plan development stage.
General	PC7-97.42	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Recommendation 4.8.1(iii)(a), Table 1 and Table 2 of the ZIPA to be consistent with the NPS-FM (E.g: the word 'attribute' should precede 'state') and data averaged over 5 years should be referred to.
General	PC7-97.43	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Recommendation 4.9.8 in the ZIPA to clarify that shareholders in Opuha Dam are exempt from providing usage data given the shares held.
General	PC7-109.12	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require the 2008 Integrated Catchment Management Plan for the Orari River developed by ORPG must be included in the LWRP.
General	PC7-109.31	Brown, A	Oppose in part	Require the Orari Gorge be formally designated a significant natural area within the LWRP with specific reference to upper catchment water quality preservation.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
General	PC7-412.41	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Ensure that any adaptive management framework that is incorporated into PC7 is able to respond to various climatic and river health situations, and makes the best use of the storage capability of Lake Opuha for the purpose of: 1. Retaining connectivity in the Opihi River and reliability of supply for the river, affiliated community water supply and affiliated irrigators; and 2. Improving river health in the downstream catchment.
General	PC7-223.1	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Support the submission of Opuha Water Limited
General	PC7-319.30	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change to treat the Barkers Take (authorised by CRC166228) as a Community Water Supply.
General	PC7-137.5	Hart, J R	Oppose in part	Support the submission of Opuha Water Limited.
General	PC7-439.9	O'Sullivan, R	Oppose in part	Support the submission of Opuha Water Limited in relation to: Policy 14.4.35.bthe role of OEFRAGPartial restriction regime for Opihi RiverTable 14(w)Table 14(v)Table 14(x)
General	PC7-439.10	O'Sullivan, R	Oppose in part	Support the submission of the Adaptive Management Working Group in relation to: Policy 14.4.35.bArtificial fresh regimethe role of OEFRAGPartial restriction regime for Opihi RiverTable 14(w)Table 14(v)Table 14(x)
General	PC7-382.15	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party	Oppose in part	Make any alternative amendments to PC7 to address the substance of the concerns raised in this submission.
General	PC7-382.16	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party	Oppose in part	Make all consequential amendments required to address the concerns raised in this submission and ensure a coherent planning document.
General	PC7-381.164	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Make any alternative amendments to PC7 to address the substance of the concerns raised in this submission.
General	PC7-381.165	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Make all consequential amendments required to address the concerns raised in this submission and ensure a coherent planning document.
Planning Maps				
14.00.11.Map - Culturally Significant Sites (new)	PC7-165.7	Orakipaoa Water Users	Oppose in part	If Policy 14.4.2 is not deleted, and a definition for "Culturally Significant Sites" is included, then Insert a new overlay to the planning maps to clearly identify the location of "Culturally Significant Sites" that require protection in accordance with Policy 14.4.2.
14.00.11.Map - Culturally Significant Sites (new)	PC7-319.12	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-319.11 (insert definition of "culturally significant sites") or submission PC7-319.10 (amend policy 14.4.2) is accepted, then insert a new map overlay or map series identifying the location of culturally significant sites (such as wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga and nohoanga sites).
14.00.11.Map - Culturally Significant Sites (new)	PC7-165.6	Orakipaoa Water Users	Oppose in part	If Policy 14.4.2 is not deleted, and Policy 14.4.2 is amended to clarify the meaning of "Culturally Significant Sites", then inert a new overlay to the planning maps to clearly identify the location of "Culturally Significant Sites" that require protection in accordance with Policy 14.4.2.
14.00.11.Map - Culturally Significant Sites (new)	PC7-165.11	Orakipaoa Water Users	Oppose in part	Insert new overlay in planning maps to clearly identify sites such as wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga and nohoanga sites.
14.00.11.Map - Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-74.4	Anderson, G W	Oppose in part	Amend Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area to reflect ground types, stocking densities and the different water catchments.
14.00.11.Map - Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-159.5	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area to distinguish Sherwood from Ashwick Flat.
14.00.11.Map - Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-221.7	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend map of the Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area to distinguish Sherwood from Ashwick Flat and boundaries take in to account soil type and land topography. Undertake monitoring of groundwater quality in these ares to ensure recommendations for all three areas are appropriate.
14.00.11.Map - Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-440.4	McAtamney, S & J	Oppose in part	Amend the Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area to distinguish Sherwood from Ashwick Flat.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.00.11.Map - Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-237.14	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Require map to distinguish Sherwood from Ashwick Flat and test/monitor these areas individually to ensure recommendations are appropriate for each area.
14.00.11.Map - Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-238.14	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Require Map to distinguish Sherwood from Ashwick Flat and test/monitor these areas individually to ensure recommendations are appropriate for each area.
14.00.11.Map - High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone	PC7-278.63	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Support	Support the intent of the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone.
14.00.11.Map - High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone	PC7-67.5	Morelea Farm	Oppose in part	Amend maps following further testing and follow up on Farm Management Plans.
14.00.11.Map - High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone	PC7-278.64	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone map so the data is derived from instream or other measurements/observations.
14.00.11.Map - High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone	PC7-278.66	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone map to be an evolving document.
14.00.11.Map - Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-568.31	McMillan, I & H	Oppose	Amend the boundary of the Levels Plains High Nitrogen Concentration Area in the Planning Maps to that shown in the map attached to the submission.
14.00.11.Map - Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-347.19	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Amend the extent of Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area to include the drinking water protection zones for Pleasant Point and Seadown drinking water supplies.
14.00.11.Map - Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-292.129	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Planning Maps Levels Plains High Nitrogen Concentration Area to include the Timaru District Council's Seadown and Pleasant Point Community Drinking-water Protection Zones.
14.00.11.Map - Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-471.2	Mill Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to consider the effects the Pleasant Point Oxidation Ponds have on the Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area.
14.00.11.Map - Mātaimai Protection Zone	PC7-481.2	Rural Advocacy Network	Oppose	Delete the Mātaimai Protection Zone layer from the Planning Maps in its entirety.
14.00.11.Map - Mātaimai Protection Zone	PC7-214.107	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend the Mātaimai planning map layer as requested in the Federated Farmers submission.
14.00.11.Map - Mātaimai Protection Zone	PC7-424.126	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend map title and extent as follows: Title: Mātaimai <u>and Waipuna (Springs)</u> Protection Zone Extent: Extend area of layer to include spring areas with in the catchments of the Orari and Pareora rivers.
14.00.11.Map - Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-453.5	Rooney Farms Ltd	Oppose	Delete the Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area from the planning maps
14.00.11.Map - Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-453.6	Rooney Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	If the relief sought from submission point 453.5 is not granted, amend the Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area to only include areas proximal to wells exhibiting a measurable decline in water quality.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.00.11.Map - Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-415.7	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend the Rangitata-Orton HNCA maps (including A-092) to restrict the HNCA to the areas currently zoned red and orange for nutrient allocation.
14.00.11.Map - Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-352.29	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend the map of the Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area to remove the existing Green Nutrient Allocation Zone.
14.00.11.Map - Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-235.37	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the Rangitata Orton High Concentration Area planning maps to re-draw the Planning Maps so that the Rangitata-Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area overlays the particular areas of the red zone where freshwater outcomes have not improved since 1 January YYYY.
14.00.11.Map - Rock Art Management Area	PC7-331.1	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Retain the Rock Art Management Area mapped layers as notified.
14.00.11.Map - B-083	PC7-384.22	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	Amend Planning Map to identify the Upper Coopers Creek sub-catchment as shown in Annexure 4 of the submission.
14.00.11.Maps - General	PC7-424.45	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Delete proposed amendment to the OTOP Boundary and Braided River Boundary as it relates to the Rangitata River.
PLAN PROVISIONS				
Section 14 - Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora				
<u>Section 14.0 - Introduction</u>				
14.0 Introduction	PC7-424.1	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose	Delete general information section and refer the reader to the OTOP ZIP and ZIPA.
14.0 Introduction	PC7-424.44	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose	Delete proposed amendment to the OTOP Boundary and Braided River Boundary as it relates to the Rangitata River.
14.0 Introduction	PC7-214.105	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend the 'Cultural Significance' paragraph in Section 14 as follows: ... This section includes provisions which seek to protect these sites of cultural importance from the effects of the use of land <u>uses for farming</u> , the take and use of water, and the discharge of contaminants...
14.0 Introduction	PC7-381.8	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend introductory narrative to recognise the linkages between the Opihi and Temuka FMUs by including the following text (or similar): <u>The Temuka FMU joins the Opihi FMU in the lower Opihi catchment approximately 3km upstream of the mouth of the Opihi River.</u>
14.0 Introduction	PC7-381.9	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the introductory narrative under the heading "Opihi Freshwater Management Unit" as follows: The Opihi Freshwater Management Unit encompasses the North and South Opuha, Opuha, Upper Opihi, Te Ana Wai, and Opihi rivers and the Opuha Dam. The Opuha Dam augments flows in the Opuha and the Opihi rivers providing for environmental values as well as providing a reliable source of irrigation water via run of river takes for shareholders <u>holders of entitlements to water supplied by Opuha Water Limited, and urban, and industrial and stock water users of the Timaru District via the Timaru District Council's community water takes.</u> There are three <u>four</u> irrigation schemes that abstract water from the Opuha and Opihi rivers, and <u>There are also shareholder irrigators and other parties with entitlements to water supplied by Opuha Water Limited who abstract directly from the Opuha, Opihi, Te Ana Wai, the Upper Opihi and North and South Opuha rivers. An</u> Environmental flow and allocation regimes <u>for the mainstem of the Opuha and Opihi rivers and their major tributaries in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit</u> are contained within this section. It includes a two-staged approach for increasing minimum flows alongside capping allocation at current consented levels. The purpose of the two staged approach is to increase minimum flows so as to enhance instream health of the waterbodies within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, while providing time for abstractors to adjust to the new flow and allocation regime. To offset some of the adverse impacts on irrigators due to a reduction in reliability of supply, an additional allocation block has been established that allows water to be abstracted and stored during periods of high flows in the river. A mātaimai reserve, which designates an area of particular importance for mahinga kai, extends up the Opihi River from the Opihi Lagoon and is managed by tangata kaitaki nominated by tangata whenua.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.0 Introduction	PC7-381.10	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the introductory narrative under the heading "Groundwater Freshwater Management Unit" as follows: ...These GAZs did not cover the entire spatial extent of the sub-region creating challenges when accounting for the volume of water allocated within the sub-region. Section 14 addresses this by extending the <u>boundaries of the seven existing GAZs boundaries</u> to cover the entire sub-region. <u>The Groundwater Freshwater Management Unit comprises the seven extended GAZ.</u>
14.0 Introduction	PC7-381.11	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the introductory narrative under the heading "High Nitrogen Concentration Areas" as follows: High Nitrogen Concentration Areas The Orari, Opihi and Timaru Freshwater Management Units contain the High Nitrogen Concentration Areas (<u>HNCA</u> s) of Rangitata Orton, Fairlie Basin and Levels Plain. Within these areas, nitrate-nitrogen concentrations in groundwater and surface water <u>comply with maximum allowable values</u> exceed recommended guidelines in the New Zealand Drinking Water Standards 2005 (revised 200 <u>18</u>), <u>but exceed community outcomes in relation to water quality.</u> and <u>In the Rangitata Orton HNCA, nitrate-nitrogen concentrations in surface water exceed</u> national bottom lines for ecosystem health in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management...
14.0 Introduction	PC7-356.59	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend introductory text of Section 14 to include a description of horticultural activities in the zone and the importance of produce grown in OTOP in being part of the wider national food supply network.
14.000.Groundwater Freshwater Management Unit	PC7-318.3	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Support	Retain introductory narrative for the 'Groundwater Freshwater Management Unit' as notified.
14.000.Groundwater Freshwater Management Unit	PC7-551.4	Orari River Protection Group	Support	Retain the proposed extension of the groundwater allocation zones to cover the entire sub-region.
14.000.Groundwater Freshwater Management Unit	PC7-319.2	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Support	Retain introductory narrative for 'Groundwater Freshwater Management Unit' as notified.
14.000.High Nitrogen Concentration Areas	PC7-114.27	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the description of 'High Nitrogen Concentration Areas' as follows: The Orari, Opihi and Timaru Freshwater Management Units contain the High Nitrogen Concentration Areas of Rangitata Orton, Fairlie Basin and Levels Plain. Within these areas, nitrate-nitrogen concentrations in groundwater and surface water exceed recommended guidelines in the New Zealand Drinking Water Standards 2005 (revised 2008), and national bottom lines for ecosystem health in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management. Water quality targets have been established in these areas alongside <u>an two- or three-tiered approach of for</u> nitrate reductions.
14.000.High Nitrogen Concentration Areas	PC7-292.44	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend the description of 'High Nitrogen Concentration Areas' as follows: The Orari, Opihi and Timaru Freshwater Management Units contain the High Nitrogen Concentration Areas of Rangitata Orton, Fairlie Basin and Levels Plain. Within these areas, nitrate-nitrogen concentrations in groundwater and surface water exceed recommended guidelines <u>Maximum Allowable Values</u> in the New Zealand Drinking Water Standards 2005 (revised 2008 <u>2018</u>), and national bottom lines for ecosystem health in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management. Water quality targets have been established in these areas alongside a two- or three-tiered approach of nitrate reductions.
14.000.High Nitrogen Concentration Areas	PC7-352.27	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend this paragraph to state that nitrate nitrogen concentrations do not exceed recommended guidelines in the New Zealand Drinking Water Standards 2005 (revised 2008).
14.000.High Nitrogen Concentration Areas	PC7-316.9	Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	Oppose in part	Require the effects of farming activities within the high nitrate concentration area, and associated mitigation, to be monitored and assessed against groundwater nitrate targets that exclude the effects of the Fonterra wastewater discharge.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.000.High Nitrogen Concentration Areas	PC7-551.9	Orari River Protection Group	Oppose in part	Amend the Plan Change 7 provisions in Section 14 so that the targets for reduction of nitrates in high nitrogen concentration areas occurs sooner than five years after 2023.
14.000.High Nitrogen Concentration Areas	PC7-235.27	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the description of 'High Nitrogen Concentration Areas' in Section 14 as follows: The Orari, Opihi and Timaru Freshwater Management Units contain the High Nitrogen Concentration Areas (HNCA) of Rangitata Orton, Fairlie Basin and Levels Plain. Within these areas, nitrate-nitrogen concentrations in groundwater and surface water <u>comply with-exceed</u> recommended guidelines in the New Zealand Drinking Water Standards 2005 (revised 2008), <u>but exceed community outcomes in relation to water quality. In the Rangitata Orton HNCA, and nitrate-nitrogen concentrations in groundwater and surface water exceed</u> national bottom lines for ecosystem health in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management. Water quality targets have been established in these areas alongside a two- or three-tiered approach of nitrate reductions.
14.000.New Introduction	PC7-292.42	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Insert a new paragraph into Section 14 Introduction, after the Cultural Significance paragraph as follows: <u>Community Water Supplies</u> Timaru District Council has community water supplies sourced from Temuka River in addition to the Pareora and Opihi Rivers, and from shallow groundwater (Orari Back Road near Geraldine; Orari Station Road near Geraldine; a spring near Peel Forest township; near Salesyard Bridge; and Mill Road at Seadown). These community water supplies are located within the Temuka, Opihi and Pareora Freshwater Management Units. In regard to the Orari Freshwater Management Unit, Timaru District Council currently takes and distributes stock drinking-water for the agriculture industry and it may wish to take community water supplies from the area in the future. In regard to the Timaru Freshwater Management Unit, Timaru District Council does service the water supply for the community. However, in the future, Timaru District Council may wish to source groundwater from the Timaru Groundwater Allocation Zone for community water supply.
14.000.New Introduction	PC7-551.3	Orari River Protection Group	Oppose in part	Insert the following text: <u>The Integrated Catchment Management Plan (ICMP 2008) for the Orari River developed by ECan with extensive community consultation needs to be included in the Land & Water Management Plan, with its strategies implemented as soon as possible. This ICMP needs to be incorporated into this Zone planning, until such time as the Orari River ICMP is reviewed.</u>
14.000.Opihi Freshwater Management Unit	PC7-291.3	Stone, G B	Support	Retain the description of the 'Opihi Freshwater Management Unit' as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.000.Opihi Freshwater Management Unit	PC7-292.43	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Section 14 Introduction as follows:</p> <p>Opihi Freshwater Management Unit</p> <p>The Opihi Freshwater Management Unit encompasses the North and South Opuha, Opuha, Upper Opihi, Te Ana Wai, and Opihi rivers and the Opuha Dam. The Opuha Dam augments flows in the Opuha and the Opihi rivers providing for environmental values as well as providing a reliable source of irrigation water via run of river takes for shareholders of holders of water supply agreements with Opuha Water Limited, and urban and industrial and stockwater users of Timaru District via the Timaru District Council's community water takes. There are three-four irrigation schemes that abstract water from the Opuha and Opihi rivers, and There are also shareholder-irrigators and other parties with water supply agreements with Opuha Water Limited who abstract directly from the <u>Opuha, Opihi, Te Ana Wai, the Upper Opihi and North and South Opuha rivers and Opihi mainstem.</u></p> <p>An environmental flow and allocation regime for the <u>mainstem of the Opuha and Opihi Rivers and their major tributaries are</u> Freshwater Management Unit is contained within this section. It includes a two staged approach for increasing minimum flows alongside capping allocation at current consented levels. The purpose of the two staged approach is to increase minimum flows so as to enhance instream health of the waterbodies within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, while providing time for abstractors to adjust to the new flow and allocation regime. To offset some of the adverse impacts on irrigators due to a reduction in reliability of supply, an additional allocation block has been established that allows water to be abstracted and stored during periods of high flows in the river.</p> <p>A mātaimai reserve, which designates an area of particular importance for mahinga kai, extends up the Opihi River from the Opihi Lagoon and is managed by tangata kaitaki nominated by tangata whenua.</p>
14.000.Orari Freshwater Management Unit	PC7-384.2	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	<p>Amend paragraphs within the Orari Freshwater Management Unit introduction as:</p> <p>The Orari Freshwater Management Unit encompasses the area of the Orari River catchment south of the Rangitata River to the Temuka River and north to the headwaters of the Upper Orari catchment. It includes the Orari mainstem, Hewson River, Ohapi Creek, Rhodes Creek, <u>Upper Coopers Creek Sub-Catchment</u> and Coopers Creek. The Orari River has a strong hydraulic relationship with the shallow groundwater system and for that reason the Orari Conjunctive Use Zone and the Coopers Creek Conjunctive Use Zone have been established to manage the effects of shallow groundwater abstractions.</p> <p><u>In the Upper Coopers Creek Sub Catchment flows are intermittent between the confluence with Scotsburn Stream and the Arundel Bridge at SH72 as a result of naturally occurring drying. Flows are managed by an environmental flow and allocation regime that distributes a maximum allocation volume between water users and controls the rate of flow using a total allocation limit. Allocation is managed to ensure that groundwater abstractions have no more than minor effect on surface flows within Upper Coopers Creek.</u></p> <p>The environmental flow and allocation regime for the Orari Freshwater Management Unit was developed by the Orari Environmental Flow and Allocation Regime Steering Committee and includes a three stepped approach to managing flow and allocation in the catchment. The first step caps allocation at the current rate of abstraction, while the second and third steps introduce a higher minimum flow and <u>or</u> a reduction in allocation <u>or</u> maximum allocation volume. <u>Minimum flows may not be necessary where maximum allocation limits can maintain or improve ecological values.</u> The purpose of the environmental flow and allocation regime is to improve cultural, in-stream ecological, social and economic values.</p>
14.000.Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora Zone Committee	PC7-114.28	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain the description of Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora Zone Committee recommendations as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.000.Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora Zone Committee	PC7-551.2	Orari River Protection Group	Oppose in part	Retain the following paragraph as per the existing plan rather than deleting it as proposed in Plan Change 7. The Orari-Opihi-Pareora Zone COmmittee has identified a suite of recommendations covering ecological, cultural and economic outcomes for the Zone. The Orari Integrated Catchment Management Plan (ICM) Group has prepared a Catchment Management Strategy and the review of environmental flows is identified as an action point.
14.000.Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora Zone Committee	PC7-235.28	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Section 14 Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora Zone Committee paragraphs to add the additional key point: <u>Enable alternative solutions for improving nitrate-N concentration, such as managed aquifer recharge or other catchment-driven solutions.</u>
14.000.Temuka Freshwater Management Unit	PC7-291.2	Stone, G B	Support	Retain the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit as notified.
14.000.Temuka Freshwater Management Unit	PC7-318.2	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend narrative for the Temuka FMU to include: <u>The Temuka FMU joins the Opihi River in the lower catchment approximately 3 km upstream of the Opihi River mouth.</u>
14.000.Temuka Freshwater Management Unit	PC7-319.1	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend the introductory narrative for the Temuka FMU as follows: <u>The Temuka FMU joins the Opihi Rivers in the lower catchment approximately 3km upstream of the Opihi River Mouth...</u>
14.001	PC7-114.30	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain the amendments to Section 14.1 as notified.
14.001	PC7-352.26	Pye Group	Oppose	Delete Figure 14.1 in its entirety and retain the existing figure in the Land and Water Regional Plan until a more detailed explanation is provided.
<u>Section 14.1A - Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora Definitions</u>				
14.01A	PC7-471.4	Mill Farm	Oppose	Oppose water permit definitions referring to water users holding shares rather than entitlement or agreement from the dam company with no specific decision requested.
14.01A	PC7-114.29	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora definitions in Section 14.1A to ensure more alignment with terminology used throughout the LWRP.
14.01A.A Permit	PC7-292.50	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of 'A Permit' as follows: <u>A Permit</u> <u>Means, in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit as shown on the Planning Maps, a water permit to take and use surface water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, that was granted prior to 1 January 1999, (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124 – 124C of the RMA).</u>
14.01A.A Permit	PC7-381.21	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for the term "A Permit" relating to water permits in the Temuka FMU as follows: <u>A Permit means, in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit as shown on the Planning Maps, a water permit to take and use surface water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, that was granted prior to 1 January 1999, (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124 – 124C of the RMA).</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.01A.A Permit	PC7-318.5	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new definition 'A Permit' as follows:</p> <p><u>A Permit</u> means in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit as shown on the planning maps, a water permit to take and use water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, that was granted prior to 1 January 1999 (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124- 124C of the RMA).</p>
14.01A.A Permit	PC7-319.3	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new definition for the term "A Permit" relating to water permits in the Temuka FMU as follows:</p> <p><u>A Permit means, in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit as shown on the Planning Maps, a water permit to take and use surface water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, that was granted prior to 1 January 1999, (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124 – 124C of the RMA).</u></p>
14.01A.AA Permit	PC7-292.45	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	<p>Amend the definition of 'AA Permit' as follows:</p> <p><u>AA Permit</u> Means, in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit as shown on the Planning Maps, a water permit to take and use surface water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, that was granted prior to 30 July 1994, (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124 – 124C of the RMA), where the consent holder holds shares in <u>has an entitlement to be supplied water by Opuha Water Limited by way of shares, agreements or other entitlements.</u></p>
14.01A.AA Permit	PC7-381.12	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Amend the definition of AA Permit as follows:</p> <p>...where the consent holder holds shares in <u>has an entitlement to be supplied water by Opuha Water Limited by way of shares, agreements or other entitlements.</u></p>
14.01A.Alternative Management Regime	PC7-385.1	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Support	Retain the definition for Alternative Management Regime in 14.1A as notified.
14.01A.Alternative Management Regime	PC7-381.117	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain the definition of "Alternative Management Regime" as notified.
14.01A.Alternative Management Regime	PC7-412.8	Tepuni Partnership	Support	Retain the definition for Alternative Management Regime in 14.1A as notified.
14.01A.AN Permit	PC7-292.46	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	<p>Amend definition of 'AN Permit' as follows:</p> <p><u>AN Permit</u> Means, in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit as shown on the Planning Maps, a water permit to take and use surface water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, that was granted prior to 30 July 1994, (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124 – 124C of the RMA), where the consent holder does not hold shares in <u>have an entitlement to be supplied water by Opuha Water Limited by way of shares, agreements or other entitlements.</u></p>
14.01A.AN Permit	PC7-381.13	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Amend definition of AN Permits as follows:</p> <p>...where the consent holder does not holds shares in <u>have an entitlement to be supplied water by Opuha Water Limited by way of shares, agreements or other entitlements.</u></p>
14.01A.Augmentation	PC7-411.2	Kyle, J	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new definition for 'Augmentation' as follows:</p> <p><u>means the discharge of water the Seadown Drain for the primary purpose of improving lows and/or water quality.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.01A.Augmentation	PC7-210.2	Tronnoco Farming Co Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of 'augmentation as follows: <u>means the discharge of water to the Seadown Drain for the primary purpose of improving flows and/or water quality.</u>
14.01A.Augmentation	PC7-354.2	O'Kane, K & K	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of augmentation as follows: <u>means the discharge of water the Seadown Drain for the primary purpose of improving flows and/or water quality.</u>
14.01A.Augmentation	PC7-134.2	Oldfield, M E	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of augmentation as follows: <u>means the discharge of water the Seadown Drain for the primary purpose of improving flows and/or water quality.</u>
14.01A.Augmentation	PC7-375.2	Waipopo Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of augmentation as follows: <u>means the discharge of water the Seadown Drain for the primary purpose of improving flows and/or water quality.</u>
14.01A.Augmentation	PC7-284.2	Cotter, D & D	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of augmentation as follows: <u>means the discharge of water the Seadown Drain for the primary purpose of improving flows and/or water quality.</u>
14.01A.Augmentation	PC7-120.2	Belper Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of augmentation as follows: <u>means the discharge of water the Seadown Drain for the primary purpose of improving flows and/or water quality.</u>
14.01A.Augmentation	PC7-364.2	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of augmentation as follows: <u>means the discharge of water the Seadown Drain for the primary purpose of improving flows and/or water quality.</u>
14.01A.Augmentation	PC7-253.24	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert new definition as follows: <u>Augmentation/ a community formed water users group to be set up:</u> <u>Means the the discharge of water the Seadown Drain for the primary purpose of improving flows and/or water quality.</u>
14.01A.B Permit	PC7-292.51	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of 'B Permit' as follows: <u>B Permit</u> <u>Means, in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit as shown on the Planning Maps, a water permit to take and use surface water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, that was granted after 1 January 1999, (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124 – 124C of the RMA).</u>
14.01A.B Permit	PC7-381.22	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for the term "B Permit" relating to water permits in the Temuka FMU as follows: <u>B Permit means, in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit as shown on the Planning Maps, a water permit to take and use surface water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, that was granted after 1 January 1999, (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124 – 124C of the RMA).</u>
14.01A.B Permit	PC7-318.6	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of 'B Permit' as follows: <u>B Permit</u> <u>means in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit as shown on the planning maps, a water permit to take and use water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, that was granted after 1 January 1999 but before 20 July 2019 (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124-124C of the RMA).</u>
14.01A.B Permit	PC7-319.4	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for the term "B Permit" relating to water permits in the Temuka FMU as follows: <u>B Permit means, in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit as shown on the Planning Maps, a water permit to take and use surface water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, that was granted after 1 January 1999, (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124 – 124C of the RMA).</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.01A.BA Permit	PC7-292.47	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend definition of 'BA Permit' as follows: BA Permit Means, in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit as shown on the Planning Maps, a water permit to take and use surface water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, that was granted after 30 July 1994, (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124 – 124C of the RMA), where the consent holder holds shares in <u>has an entitlement to be supplied water by Opuha Water Limited by way of shares, agreements or other entitlements.</u>
14.01A.BA Permit	PC7-381.14	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'BA Permit' as follows: ...where the consent holder holds shares in <u>has an entitlement to be supplied water by Opuha Water Limited by way of shares, agreements or other entitlements.</u>
14.01A.BN Permit	PC7-292.48	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend definition of 'BN Permit' as follows: BN Permit Means, in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit as shown on the Planning Maps, a water permit to take and use surface water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect that was granted after 30 July 1994, (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124 – 124C of the RMA), where the consent holder does not hold shares in <u>have an entitlement to be supplied water by Opuha Water Limited by way of shares, agreements or other entitlements.</u>
14.01A.BN Permit	PC7-381.15	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend definition of BN Permit as follows: ...where the consent holder does not holds shares in <u>have an entitlement to be supplied water by Opuha Water Limited by way of shares, agreements or other entitlements.</u>
14.01A.C Permit	PC7-292.52	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of 'C Permit' as follows: C Permit <u>Means, in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit as shown on the Planning Maps, a water permit to take and use surface water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, that was granted after 20 July 2019 to access the new "T" allocation in Table 14(k) (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124 – 124C of the RMA).</u>
14.01A.C Permit	PC7-381.23	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for the term "C Permit" relating to water permits in the Temuka FMU as follows: <u>C Permit means, in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit as shown on the Planning Maps, a water permit to take and use water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, from the "C" allocation in Table 14(k) that was granted after 20 July 2019 (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124 – 124C of the RMA).</u>
14.01A.C Permit	PC7-318.7	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of 'C Permit' as follows: C Permit <u>means in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit as shown on the planning maps, a water permit to take and use water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, from the "C" allocation in Table 14(k) that was granted after 20 July 2019 (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124-124C of the RMA).</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.01A.C Permit	PC7-319.5	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new definition for the term "C Permit" relating to water permits in the Temuka FMU as follows:</p> <p><u>C Permit means, in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit as shown on the Planning Maps, a water permit to take and use water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, from the "C" allocation in Table 14(k) that was granted after 20 July 2019 (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124 – 124C of the RMA).</u></p>
14.01A.Community Water Supply	PC7-391.2	Barker Fruit Processing Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new definition for the term "community water supply", as follows:</p> <p><u>Means the definition as set out in Section 2.9, except that in the Temuka FMU, the term community water supply also applies to the water take authorised by CRC166228 (including any variation to that consent under section 127 of the RMA or any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Section 124C of the RMA), and any subsequent increase in that water take/supply subject to Policy 14.4.30.</u></p> <p>And / or, as alternative relief</p> <p>Amend Tables 14(i) to 14(l) to provide clarity as to the status of the Submitters' current and future takes for community water supply (i.e. a reserved allocation of up to 20 L/s) and therefore exemption from the environmental flow and allocation limits in Tables 14(i) to 14(l) to properly implement the TCWP's preferred environmental flow and allocation regimes for the Temuka FMU.</p>
14.01A.Community Water Supply	PC7-318.62	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Insert new definition for 'Community Water Supply' that applies the region-wide definition to the OTOP sub-region and includes the Barkers' take authorised by CRC166228.
14.01A.Community Water Supply	PC7-319.28	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of "Community Water Supply" that applies the region-wide definition to the OTOP sub-region and includes the Barkers Take (CRC166228)
14.01A.Culturally Important Sites	PC7-318.16	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of "culturally important sites".
14.01A.Culturally Important Sites	PC7-319.14	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of culturally important sites identified within Policy 14.4.4, if the current explanations in Section 1.3.1 of the LWRP are not applicable or sufficient.
14.01A.Culturally Important Sites	PC7-319.15	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of "Culturally Important Sites" to assist in implementing Policy 14.4.4, if the current explanations contained in Section 1.3.1 of the LWRP are not considered applicable or sufficient.
14.01A.Culturally Significant Sites	PC7-318.73	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	As alternative relief to submission point PC7-318.11 insert a new definition to Section 14.1A for "Culturally Significant Sites" which clarifies the meaning of the that phrase within Policy 14.4.2.
14.01A.Culturally Significant Sites	PC7-381.156	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	If submissions PC7-381.25 (deletion of Policy 14.4.2 in its entirety) or PC7-381.155 (amendment to Policy 14.4.2) are rejected, then insert a new definition of "culturally significant sites" that includes a list of the types of sites that are intended to be within the scope of Policy 14.4.2, supported with a new overlay in the planning maps that identifies the location of these sites (including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga and nohoanga sites).
14.01A.Culturally Significant Sites	PC7-381.157	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-381.156 (insert definition of "culturally significant sites") is accepted, then insert a new map overlay identifying the location of culturally significant sites.
14.01A.Culturally Significant Sites	PC7-319.11	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	If submissions PC7-319.9 (deletion of Policy 14.4.2 in its entirety) or PC7-319.10 (amendment to Policy 14.4.2) are rejected, then insert a new definition of "culturally significant sites" supported with a new overlay in the planning maps that identifies the location of these sites.
14.01A.Culturally Significant Sites	PC7-165.5	Orakipaoa Water Users	Oppose in part	If Policy 14.4.12 is not deleted, or Policy 14.4.2 is not amended to clarify the meaning of "Culturally Significant Sites", then insert a new overlay to the planning maps to clearly identify the location of "Culturally Significant Sites" that require protection in accordance with Policy 14.4.2.
14.01A.Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-68.14	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.01A.Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-97.8	Hawkins, M	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-115.12	Henderson, T	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-487.12	Mackenzie College	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-364.24	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-298.11	Barwoods Limited	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-445.14	Pearse, H	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-168.14	Davies, D	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-361.14	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-236.18	Glenfield Farm	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-169.14	Jackson, H	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-370.34	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-283.14	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area' so that specific areas are mapped not the entire district.
14.01A.Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-294.15	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area' so that specific areas are mapped not the entire district.
14.01A.Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-175.14	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area' so that specific areas are mapped not the entire district.
14.01A.Intensively Farmed Stock	PC7-103.1	Great Southern Deer Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of 'intensively farmed stock' in relation to Hill country/Tussock blocks that recognises lightly stocked farms (2.5-3 stock units per hectare) are not intensive.
14.01A.KIL Permit	PC7-381.16	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Delete the term "KIL Permit" and replace with the term "Kakahu Permit".

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.01A.KIL Permit	PC7-292.49	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend definition of 'KIL Permit' as follows: KIL Permit Means, in the Opihi and Temuka Freshwater Management Units, a water permit to take and use surface water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect (and includes any variation to that consent under Section 127 of the RMA, any transfer (in whole or part) under Section 136 of the RMA, and any replacement consent affected by the provisions of Sections 124 – 124C of the RMA), where the consent holder holds shares-in, <u>agreement or other entitlements by Opuha Water Limited</u> and is supplied water from the Kakahu Irrigation Scheme.
14.01A.KIL Permit	PC7-381.17	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend all references of "KIL Permit" to "Kakahu Permit".
14.01A.Large artificial fresh	PC7-385.6	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of 'Large artificial fresh' as follows: <u>Large artificial fresh</u> means the voluntary release of 600,000 m ³ measured over a 24 hour period at the Opuha Dam Downstream Weir as volume released above the pre-flush 24-hour average flow at the Weir.
14.01A.Large artificial fresh	PC7-351.32	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for 'large artificial fresh' as follows: <u>Large artificial fresh</u> means the voluntary release of 600,000 m ³ measured over a 24-hour period at the Opuha Dam Downstream Weir as volume released above the pre-fresh 24-hour average flow at the Weir.
14.01A.Large artificial fresh	PC7-381.122	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for "Large artificial fresh" as follows: <u>Large artificial fresh</u> means the voluntary release of 600,000 m ³ measured over a 24 hour period at the Opuha Dam Downstream Weir as volume released above the pre-fresh 24-hour average flow at the Weir.
14.01A.Large artificial fresh	PC7-412.13	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of 'Large artificial fresh' as follows: <u>Large artificial fresh</u> means the voluntary release of 600,000 m ³ measured over a 24 hour period at the Opuha Dam Downstream Weir as volume released above the pre-flush 24-hour average flow at the Weir.
14.01A.Large artificial fresh	PC7-352.42	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of 'Large artificial fresh' as follows: <u>Large artificial fresh</u> means the voluntary release of 600,000 m ³ measured over a 24 hour period at the Opuha Dam Downstream Weir as volume released above the pre-flush 24-hour average flow at the Weir.
14.01A.Level 1 Restriction	PC7-385.2	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend definition of 'Level 1 Restriction' as follows: Level 1 Restriction Regime means the environmental flow restrictions regimes in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) that may apply when two or more of the Level 1 'Snow Pack', 'Inflows' or 'Lake Level' thresholds in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.01A.Level 1 Restriction	PC7-381.118	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of "Level 1 Restriction" as follows: Level 1 Restriction <u>Regime</u> means the environmental flow restrictions <u>regimes</u> in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) that may apply when two or more of the Level 1 'Snow Pack', 'Inflows' or 'Lake Level' thresholds in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.
14.01A.Level 1 Restriction	PC7-412.9	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Level 1 Restriction' as follows: Level 1 Restriction <u>Regime</u> means the environmental flow restrictions <u>regimes</u> in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) that may apply when two or more of the Level 1 'Snow Pack', 'Inflows' or 'Lake Level' thresholds in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.
14.01A.Level 2 Restriction	PC7-385.3	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Level 2 Restriction' as follows: Level 2 Restriction <u>Regime</u> means the environmental flow restrictions <u>regimes</u> in Table 14(v) and 14(w) that may apply when two or more of any of the Level 2 'Snow Pack', 'Inflows' or 'Lake Level' thresholds in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.
14.01A.Level 2 Restriction	PC7-381.119	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of "Level 2 Restriction" as follows: Level 2 Restriction <u>Regime</u> means the environmental flow restrictions <u>regimes</u> in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) that may apply when two or more of any of the Level 2 'Snow Pack', 'Inflows' or 'Lake Level' thresholds in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.
14.01A.Level 2 Restriction	PC7-412.10	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Level 2 Restriction' as follows: Level 2 Restriction <u>Regime</u> means the environmental flow restrictions <u>regimes</u> in Table 14(v) and 14(w) that may apply when two or more of any of the Level 2 'Snow Pack', 'Inflows' or 'Lake Level' thresholds in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.
14.01A.Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-68.15	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-97.9	Hawkins, M	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-115.13	Henderson, T	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-487.13	Mackenzie College	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-364.25	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-298.12	Barwoods Limited	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.01A.Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-445.15	Pearse, H	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-361.15	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area' win its entirety.
14.01A.Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-236.19	Glenfield Farm	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-370.35	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete the definition of 'Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area' in its entirety.
14.01A.Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-283.15	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area' so that specific areas are mapped not the entire district.
14.01A.Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-294.16	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area' so that specific areas are mapped not the entire district.
14.01A.Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-175.15	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area' so that specific areas are mapped not the entire district.
14.01A.Mahinga Kai Enhancement	PC7-318.8	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Insert new definition of 'Mahinga Kai Enhancement' as follows: <u>Mahinga Kai Enhancement means in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit, relates to a water permit to take and use of water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, for the enhancement of the customary gathering of food and/or natural materials and the places where those resources are gathered.</u>
14.01A.Mahinga Kai Enhancement	PC7-319.6	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for the term "Mahinga Kai Enhancement" relating to the Temuka FMU as follows: <u>Mahinga Kai Enhancement means, in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit, a water permit to take and use water, or groundwater with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, for the enhancement of the customary gathering of food and/or natural materials and the places where those resources are gathered.</u>
14.01A.Mātaimai Protection Zone	PC7-356.71	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain definition of Mātaimai Protection Zone as notified.
14.01A.Mātaimai Protection Zone	PC7-192.4	Trounce, L & A	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Mātaimai Protection Zone to include a clear explanation of the values of the protection zone and if relevant, the inclusion of the explanation relating to Mātaimai Protection Zones that is contained within the Ngai Tahu section of the key partnerships section of the Land and Water Regional Plan.
14.01A.Mātaimai Protection Zone	PC7-411.1	Kyle, J	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Mātaimai Protection Zone' to explain the values within this area (and determine whether the wording under the heading 'Mātaimai & Taiapure' on Page 22 of the operative LWRP need to be included).
14.01A.Mātaimai Protection Zone	PC7-318.4	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Mātaimai Protection Zone' to include further clarification of the intended purpose of the Mātaimai Protection Zone including the values it is intended to recognise and protect.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.01A.Mātaitai Protection Zone	PC7-165.1	Orakipaoa Water Users	Oppose in part	Amend definition of Mātaitai Protection Zone to provide further clarification of the intended purpose of the Mātaitai Protection Zone. Or Amend policy relating to Mātaitai Protection Zone to provide further clarification of the intended purpose of the Mātaitai Protection Zone.
14.01A.Mātaitai Protection Zone	PC7-210.1	Tronnoco Farming Co Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Mātaitai Protection Zone' to include a clear explanation of the values of the Zone. Refer to the explanatory text of 'Mataitai Zone' on Page 22 of the operative LWRP, and consider including this text within the definition of Mataitai Protection Zone. [Mātaitai & Taiapure Since settlement, Ngāi Tahu have also established a number of customary fisheries protection areas (i.e. mātaimai and taiapure) under the Fisheries Act 1996 and the Fisheries (South Island Customary Fishing) Regulations 1999. The intent of these legislative mechanisms is to give effect to the obligations stated in the Treaty of Waitangi Fisheries Claims Settlement Act 1992 and enable Tangata Tiaki (i.e. local Ngāi Tahu fisheries managers) to exercise greater rangatiratanga (sovereignty) over customary fishing grounds.]
14.01A.Mātaitai Protection Zone	PC7-354.1	O'Kane, K & K	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Mātaitai Protection Zone' to clarify the values of the area (and determine whether the wording under the heading 'Mātaitai & Taiapure' on Page 22 of the operative LWRP is an appropriate explanation for this zone and need to be included within the definition).
14.01A.Mātaitai Protection Zone	PC7-134.1	Oldfield, M E	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Mātaitai Protection Zone' to clarify the values of the area (and determine whether the wording under the heading 'Mātaitai & Taiapure' on Page 22 of the operative LWRP is an appropriate explanation for this zone and need to be included within the definition).
14.01A.Mātaitai Protection Zone	PC7-375.1	Waipopo Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Mātaitai Protection Zone' to clarify the values of the area (and determine whether the wording under the heading 'Mātaitai & Taiapure' on Page 22 of the operative LWRP is an appropriate explanation for this zone and need to be included within the definition).
14.01A.Mātaitai Protection Zone	PC7-284.1	Cotter, D & D	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Mātaitai Protection Zone' to clarify the values of the area (and determine whether the wording under the heading 'Mātaitai & Taiapure' on Page 22 of the operative LWRP is an appropriate explanation for this zone and need to be included within the definition).
14.01A.Mātaitai Protection Zone	PC7-120.1	Belpher Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Mātaitai Protection Zone' to clarify the values of the area (and determine whether the wording under the heading 'Mātaitai & Taiapure' on Page 22 of the operative LWRP is an appropriate explanation for this zone and need to be included within the definition).
14.01A.Mātaitai Protection Zone	PC7-364.1	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Mātaitai Protection Zone' to clarify the values of the area (and determine whether the wording under the heading 'Mātaitai & Taiapure' on Page 22 of the operative LWRP is an appropriate explanation for this zone and need to be included within the definition).
14.01A.Mātaitai Protection Zone	PC7-253.23	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Mātaitai Protection Zone' to clarify the values of the area (and determine whether the wording under the heading 'Mātaitai & Taiapure' on Page 22 of the operative LWRP is an appropriate explanation for this zone and need to be included within the definition).
14.01A.Nitrogen Baseline	PC7-235.21	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Insert definition Nitrogen Baseline as follows: means: a. the discharge of nitrogen below the root zone, as modelled with OVERSEER, (where the data is inputted into the model in accordance with OVERSEER Best Practice Data Input Standards), or an equivalent model approved by the Chief Executive of Environment Canterbury, averaged over a 48 month consecutive period within the period 1 January 2009 to 31 December 2013, and expressed in kg per hectare per annum, except in relation to Rules 5.46, 5.56, 5.58A and 5.62, where it is expressed as a total kg per annum from the identified area of land; and b. in the case where a building consent and effluent discharge consent have been granted for a new or upgraded dairy milking shed in the period 01 January 2009 to 31 December 2013, the calculation under (a) will be on the basis that the dairy farming activity is operational; and c. <u>in the case where shares were purchased with Rangitata South Irrigation Scheme prior to 31 December 2013, the calculation of (a) will be on the basis of the farming activity enabled by the shares was operational.</u> d. if OVERSEER is updated, the most recent version is to be used to recalculate the nitrogen baseline using the same input data for the same period as used in (a) above.
14.01A.Nohoanga	PC7-165.10	Orakipaoa Water Users	Oppose in part	Insert new definition for all culturally important sites identified within Policy 14.4.4.
14.01A.Opihi Freshwater Management Unit	PC7-381.18	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain definition of "Opihi Freshwater Management Unit" as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.01A.Opihi Freshwater Management Unit	PC7-568.32	McMillan, I & H	Support	Retain definition of "Opihi Freshwater Management Unit" as notified.
14.01A.Opihi River Un-modified Flow	PC7-292.53	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Opihi River Un-modified Flow' as follows: Opihi River Un-modified Flow means the flow that would have occurred in the Opihi Mainstem at State Highway 1 in the absence of the Opuha Dam, <u>augmentation of river flows and any abstractions</u> , and which is calculated based on flows in the North Opuha, South Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers, as estimated by the Canterbury Regional Council <u>at noon on any previous day</u> .
14.01A.Opihi River Un-modified Flow	PC7-385.4	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Opihi River Un-modified Flow' as follows: Opihi River Un-modified Flow means the flow that would have occurred in the Opihi Mainstem at State Highway 1 in the absence of the Opuha Dam, <u>augmentation of river flows and any abstractions</u> , and which is calculated based on flows in the North Opuha, South Opuha, <u>and</u> Upper Opihi <u>and</u> Te Ana Wai rivers, as estimated by the Canterbury Regional Council <u>at 12 noon</u> .
14.01A.Opihi River Un-modified Flow	PC7-381.120	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of "Opihi River Un-modified flow" as follows: means the flow that would have occurred in the Opihi Mainstem at State Highway 1 in the absence of the Opuha Dam, <u>augmentation of river flows and any abstractions</u> , and which is calculated based on flows in the North Opuha, South Opuha, <u>and</u> Upper Opihi <u>and</u> Te Ana Wai rivers, as estimated by the Canterbury Regional Council <u>at 12 noon</u> .
14.01A.Opihi River Un-modified Flow	PC7-412.11	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Opihi River Un-modified Flow' as follows: Opihi River Un-modified Flow means the flow that would have occurred in the Opihi Mainstem at State Highway 1 in the absence of the Opuha Dam, <u>augmentation of river flows and any abstractions</u> , and which is calculated based on flows in the North Opuha, South Opuha, <u>and</u> Upper Opihi <u>and</u> Te Ana Wai rivers, as estimated by the Canterbury Regional Council <u>at 12 noon</u> .
14.01A.Orari Conjunctive Use Zone	PC7-145.1	Orari Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Orari Conjunctive Use Zone' as follows: Means the area identified as the Orari Conjunctive Use Zone identified on Planning Maps. Groundwater abstractions which are screened 30 metres deep or less with within this zone are considered to have a direct degree of hydraulic connection with surface water, unless otherwise demonstrated through field testing in accordance with Schedule 9.
14.01A.Orari Conjunctive Use Zone	PC7-295.26	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Orari conjunctive use zone' as follows: Means the area identified as the Orari Conjunctive Use Zone on the Planning Maps. Groundwater abstractions which are screened 30 metres deep or less within this zone are considered to have a direct degree of hydraulic connection with surface water, unless otherwise demonstrated through field testing in accordance with Schedule 9.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-90.4	Bell, M	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction' to include that of AA and BA permits that are operating as part of a water user group and are subject to pro rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the Upper Opihi River equals the minimum flow plus the sum off AA and BA permits being operated as part of the water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-67.3	Morelea Farm	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction' to require restrictions to start at the minimum flows plus the AA and BA allocation block.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-158.3	Callaghan, P & S	Oppose in part	Amend the definition for 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction' to require restrictions on AA and BA permits to start at the minimum flow plus the AA and BA allocation block.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-68.1	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend definition of "Pro Rata Partial Restriction" so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA Permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-97.1	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend definition of "Pro Rata Partial Restriction" so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA Permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-252.1	Ulrich, P H	Oppose in part	Amend definition for 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction' so AA and BA Permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro rata restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Awa Wai rivers correspond with the minimum flows for the tributary, plus the sum of the allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA permits that re being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-381.19	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of "Pro Rata Partial Restriction" as follows: <u>(a) Subject to (b), in relation to Tables 14(m) to 14(s), means, with regard to abstraction restrictions, the proportional reduction of an abstraction that is required whenever the flow at the minimum flow site as estimated by the Canterbury Regional Council is less than the sum of the applicable minimum flow and the applicable allocation limit.</u> <u>(b) In relation to Tables 14(m) (North Opuha), (p) (Upper Opihi) and (s) (Te Ana Wai), means, with regard to abstraction restrictions for AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group, the proportional reduction of an abstraction that is required whenever the flow at the minimum flow site as estimated by the Canterbury Regional Council is less than the sum of the applicable minimum flow and the allocation limit of the applicable AA and/or BA permits.</u>
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-440.1	McAtamney, S & J	Oppose in part	Amend definition of 'Pro-rata partial restriction' so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA Permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-115.1	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction' so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of water usergroup are subject to pro-rata restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai River correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum of the allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-487.1	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend definition of "Pro-rata partial restriction" so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA Permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-364.11	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction' so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of water user group are subject to pro-rata restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai River correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum of the allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-298.1	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Pro-rata partial restriction so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai River correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum of the allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-240.2	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction' so AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai River correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum of the allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-283.1	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction' so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai River correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum of the allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-294.2	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction' so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai River correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum of the allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-223.2	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction' so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai River correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum of the allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-445.1	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction' so AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai River correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum of the allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-168.1	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Pro-rata partial restriction so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai River correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum of the allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-370.19	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Pro-rata partial restriction so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai River correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum of the allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-361.1	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of "Pro Rata Partial Restriction" so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA Permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-236.1	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Pro-rata partial restriction so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai River correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum of the allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-175.1	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction' so AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai River correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum of the allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-169.1	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Pro-rata partial restriction so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai River correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum of the allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-439.1	O'Sullivan, R	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of pro rata partial restriction to include AA and BA allocations but exclude AN.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-382.1	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend definition of "Pro Rata Partial Restriction" as follows: <u>(a) Subject to (b), in relation to Tables 14(m) to 14(s), means, with regard to abstraction restrictions, the proportional reduction of an abstraction that is required whenever the flow at the minimum flow site as estimated by the Canterbury Regional Council is less than the sum of the applicable minimum flow and the applicable allocation limit.</u> <u>(b) In relation to Tables 14(m) (North Opuha), (p) (Upper Opihi) and (s) (Te Ana Wai), means, with regard to abstraction restrictions for AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group, the proportional reduction of an abstraction that is required whenever the flow at the minimum flow site as estimated by the Canterbury Regional Council is less than the sum of the applicable minimum flow and the allocation limit of the applicable AA and/or BA permits.</u>
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-237.2	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend definition of "Pro-rata partial restriction" so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA Permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-456.2	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of "Pro Rata Partial Restriction" so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA Permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-238.2	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of "Pro Rata Partial Restriction" so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA Permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Pro Rata Partial Restriction	PC7-568.1	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of "Pro Rata Partial Restriction" so that AA and BA permits that are operated as part of a water user group are subject to pro-rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers correspond with the minimum flow for the tributary, plus the sum allocation authorised for abstraction under AA and BA Permits that are being operated as part of a water user group.
14.01A.Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area	PC7-352.28	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area to remove the existing Green Nutrient Allocation Zone from the Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area and associated mapping layers.
14.01A.Rock Art Management Area	PC7-424.2	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain the definition of 'Rock Art Management Area' as notified.
14.01A.Rock Art Management Area	PC7-356.72	Horticulture New Zealand	Support	Retain definition of Rock Art Management Area as notified.
14.01A.Rock Art Management Area	PC7-568.33	McMillan, I & H	Support	Retain definition of Rock Art Management Area as notified.
14.01A.Seadown Drain Irrigation	PC7-354.3	O'Kane, K & K	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition that requires the inclusion of a Seadown Drain irrigation users group with the purpose of managing flows and nitrogen levels in the Seadown Drain.
14.01A.Small artificial fresh	PC7-385.5	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of 'Small artificial fresh' as follows: <u>Small artificial fresh means the voluntary release of 300,000 m³ measured over a 24 hour period at the Opuha Dam Downstream Weir as volume released above the pre-fresh 24-hour average flow at the Weir.</u>
14.01A.Small artificial fresh	PC7-351.31	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for 'small artificial fresh' as follows: <u>Small artificial fresh means the voluntary release of 300,000 m³ measured over a 24-hour period at the Opuha Dam Downstream Weir as volume released above the pre-fresh 24-hour average flow at the Weir.</u>
14.01A.Small artificial fresh	PC7-381.121	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for "small artificial fresh" as follows: <u>Small artificial fresh means the voluntary release of 300,000 m³ measured over a 24 hour period at the Opuha Dam Downstream Weir as volume released above the pre-fresh 24-hour average flow at the Weir.</u>
14.01A.Small artificial fresh	PC7-412.12	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of 'Small artificial fresh' as follows: <u>Small artificial fresh means the voluntary release of 300,000 m³ measured over a 24 hour period at the Opuha Dam Downstream Weir as volume released above the pre-fresh 24-hour average flow at the Weir.</u>
14.01A.Small artificial fresh	PC7-352.41	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of 'Small artificial fresh' as follows: <u>Small artificial fresh means the voluntary release of 300,000 m³ measured over a 24 hour period at the Opuha Dam Downstream Weir as volume released above the pre-fresh 24-hour average flow at the Weir.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.01A.Stepped Partial Restriction	PC7-381.20	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Delete the definition of "Stepped Partial Restriction" in its entirety.
14.01A.Upper Coopers Creek Sub Catchment	PC7-384.1	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	Insert new definition for Upper Coopers Creek Sub Catchment as: <u>Upper Coopers Creek Sub Catchment means the area of land at the spring-fed section of Upper Coopers Creek, the lower section of Scotsburn Stream and the lower reach of Upper Coopers Creek between the confluence with Scotsburn Stream and the Arundel bridge at SH72, as identified in planning maps.</u>
14.01A.Wāhi Taonga	PC7-165.9	Orakipaoa Water Users	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for all culturally important sites identified within Policy 14.4.4.
14.01A.Wāhi Tapu	PC7-165.8	Orakipaoa Water Users	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition for all culturally significant sites referred to in Policy 14.4.4.
<u>Section 14.2A - Iwi Management Plans that apply</u>				
14.02A	PC7-424.3	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend text to remove references to specific iwi management plans and replace with a reference to the website where this information can be found.
<u>Section 14.3 - Freshwater Outcomes</u>				
14.03	PC7-357.47	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Section 14.3 Freshwater Outcomes as notified.
<u>Section 14.4 - Policies</u>				
14.04.01	PC7-357.48	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.1 as notified.
14.04.01	PC7-114.31	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.1 as follows: Management of freshwater in the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region is achieved through the establishment of six Freshwater Management Units, and <u>the maintenance or improvements in freshwater quality and quantity is attained through the setting of, and managing to, water quality and quantity</u> limits and targets for each area.
14.04.01	PC7-381.24	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.1 as follows: Management of freshwater in the Orari-Temuka-OpihiPareora sub-region is achieved through the establishment of six Freshwater Management Units, and improvements in freshwater attained through the setting of, and managing to, water quality and quantity limits and targets for each area.
14.04.01	PC7-430.177	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.1 to consider Sherwood and Ashwick Flat as separate units within the Fairlie Basin FMU.
14.04.01	PC7-495.2	Hendriks, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.1 as follows: Management of freshwater in the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region is achieved through the establishment of six Freshwater Management Units, and improvements in freshwater attained through the setting of, and managing to, water quality limits and targets for each area <u>while acknowledging and limiting economic impacts. When targets are met, further anticipated reductions should not be implemented.</u>
14.04.02	PC7-430.178	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.2 as notified, provided there is transparency about the definition, location and value of culturally significant sites, along with clear, consistent and cost-effective compliance processes.
14.04.02	PC7-65.30	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.2 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.02	PC7-351.33	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.2 as notified.
14.04.02	PC7-381.25	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.2 in its entirety. Or Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of "culturally significant sites: with reference to the types of sites intended to be within the scope of this term. Or Insert a definition of "culturally significant sites" in Section 14.1.A of PC7 that includes a list of the types of sites that are intended to be within the scope of Policy 14.4.2, supported by a new overlay in the planning maps that identifies the location of these sites (including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga and nohoanga sites).
14.04.02	PC7-424.4	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.2 and replace with: <u>Any use of land for a farming activity, or to take and use water, or to discharge contaminants shall require a resource consent and shall demonstrate that adverse effects on culturally significant sites are avoided.</u>
14.04.02	PC7-318.11	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.2 in its entirety. Alternatively, if Policy 14.4.2 is not deleted then clarify the meaning of "Culturally significant sites" within the Policy.
14.04.02	PC7-165.4	Orakipaoa Water Users	Oppose	If Policy 14.4.2 is not deleted, amend Policy to clarify the meaning of "Culturally Significant Sites". And Insert a new overlay to the planning maps to clearly identify the location of "Culturally Significant Sites" that require protection in accordance with Policy 14.4.2.
14.04.02	PC7-165.2	Orakipaoa Water Users	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to provide further clarification of the intended purpose of the Mātaitai Protection Zone. Or Amend definition of "Mātaitai Protection Zone" to clarify the intended purpose of the Mātaitai Protection Zone.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.02	PC7-165.3	Orakipaoa Water Users	Oppose in part	<p>Delete Policy 14.4.2 in its entirety.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Amend Policy to clarify the meaning of "Culturally Significant Sites".</p> <p>And</p> <p>Insert a new overlay to the planning maps to clearly identify the location of "Culturally Significant Sites" that require protection in accordance with Policy 14.4.2.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Insert a new definition for "Culturally Significant Sites".</p> <p>And</p> <p>Insert a new overlay to the planning maps to clearly identify the location of "Culturally Significant Sites" that require protection in accordance with Policy 14.4.2.</p>
14.04.02	PC7-381.155	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	If submissions PC7-381.25 (deletion of Policy 14.4.2 in its entirety) or PC7-381.156 (insert new definition for "culturally significant sites") are rejected, then amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of "culturally significant sites" with reference to the types of sites intended to be within the scope of this term.
14.04.02	PC7-319.9	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	<p>Delete Policy 14.4.2 in its entirety.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of "culturally significant sites" with reference to the types of sites intended to be within the scope of this term.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Insert a new overlay in the planning maps that identifies the location of "culturally significant sites" (including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga and nohoanga sites).</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Insert a new definition of "culturally significant sites" in Section 14.1.A.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Insert a new overlay in the planning maps that identifies the location of "culturally significant sites" (including wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga and nohoanga sites).</p>
14.04.02	PC7-319.10	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	If submissions PC7-319.9 (deletion of Policy 14.4.2 in its entirety) or PC7-319.11 (insert new definition for "culturally significant sites") are rejected, then amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of "culturally significant sites".
14.04.02	PC7-68.28	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of "culturally significant sites".
14.04.02	PC7-97.22	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of "culturally significant sites".

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.02	PC7-214.106	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to ensure that access to appropriate cultural advisors can be provided to land users who request their assistance in protecting culturally significant sites, at Environment Canterbury's expense.
14.04.02	PC7-146.1	South Hilton Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to recognise cultural rights to farm.
14.04.02	PC7-318.74	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Insert a new map layer to clearly identify the location of the various "culturally significant sites" intended to be within the scope of Policy 14.4.2.
14.04.02	PC7-472.150	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 as follows: Recognise and provide for the cultural importance of the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region to Ngāi Tahu by requiring any resource consent application to use land for a farming activity, or to take and use water, or to discharge contaminants, to demonstrate how potential adverse effects of these activities on culturally significant sites will be avoided or mitigated.
14.04.02	PC7-487.21	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the intended meaning of "culturally significant sites".
14.04.02	PC7-373.20	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of "culturally significant sites".
14.04.02	PC7-298.37	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of 'culturally significant sites.'
14.04.02	PC7-283.39	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of 'culturally significant sites.'
14.04.02	PC7-294.41	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of 'culturally significant sites.'
14.04.02	PC7-223.38	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of 'culturally significant sites.'
14.04.02	PC7-445.45	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of "culturally significant sites".
14.04.02	PC7-168.37	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of 'culturally significant sites.'
14.04.02	PC7-280.22	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of 'culturally significant sites.'
14.04.02	PC7-175.42	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of "culturally significant sites".
14.04.02	PC7-236.40	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of 'culturally significant sites.'
14.04.02	PC7-169.38	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of 'culturally significant sites.'
14.04.02	PC7-370.38	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of 'culturally significant sites.'
14.04.02	PC7-568.43	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.2 to clarify the meaning of 'culturally significant sites.'
14.04.03	PC7-65.31	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.3 as notified.
14.04.03	PC7-214.108	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.3 as notified.
14.04.03	PC7-424.182	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.3 as notified.
14.04.03	PC7-472.151	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.3 as notified, subject to the requested amendments to Schedule 7.
14.04.03	PC7-188.32	Synlait Milk Limited	Support	Retain clause (d) of Policy 14.4.3 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.03	PC7-341.1	Gibson Family Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.3 in its entirety.
14.04.03	PC7-430.179	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete clause (c) of Policy 14.4.3.
14.04.03	PC7-430.330	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.3. OR If clause (d) is not deleted, confine the Mātaitai Protection Zone to the waterways identified and gazetted under the South Island Regulations.
14.04.03	PC7-336.2	Bonifacio Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.3 to clearly reflect what restrictions are proposed in relation to the Mātaitai Protection Zone in Rule 14.5.18.
14.04.03	PC7-146.2	South Hilton Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.3 to recognise the improvements to water quality resulting from the Opuha dam.
14.04.03	PC7-430.180	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.3 to clarify/define the reference to 'associated tangata whenua values' so that users of the plan know what it means and how to give effect to it.
14.04.03	PC7-568.40	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.3(d) to clarify which land parcels are affected by this policy.
14.04.03	PC7-351.34	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.3 as follows: ... c. reserving a <u>a cultural</u> allocation of water from the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit, in accordance with Table 14(l), for the enhancement of mahinga kai and associated tangata whenua values; and ...
14.04.03	PC7-318.13	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.3 as follows: c. reserving a <u>Mahinga Kai Enhancement</u> allocation of water from the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit, in accordance with Table 14(l), for the enhancement of mahinga kai and associated tangata whenua values; and...
14.04.03	PC7-319.13	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.3 as follows: c.reserving a <u>Mahinga Kai Enhancement</u> allocation of water from the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit...
14.04.03	PC7-336.1	Bonifacio Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.3 to clarify what is meant by winter grazing as mentioned in the policy and reason it is included.
14.04.04	PC7-65.32	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.4 as notified.
14.04.04	PC7-430.181	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.4 as notified, provided it can be implemented in a practical and cost-effective manner.
14.04.04	PC7-424.5	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.4 as notified.
14.04.04	PC7-214.109	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.4 to delete the term 'avoid' and replace with a term that appropriately reflects the intent of the plan change.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.05	PC7-65.33	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.5 as notified.
14.04.05	PC7-160.80	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.5 as notified.
14.04.05	PC7-430.182	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.5 as notified, provided it can be implemented in a practical and cost-effective manner.
14.04.05	PC7-424.183	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.5 as notified.
14.04.05	PC7-318.27	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.5 as notified.
14.04.05	PC7-165.12	Orakipaoa Water Users	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.5 as notified.
14.04.05	PC7-319.16	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.5 as notified.
14.04.05	PC7-188.33	Synlait Milk Limited	Support	Retain clause (b) of Policy 14.4.5 as notified.
14.04.05	PC7-214.110	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.5 as sought by the Federated Farmers submission.
14.04.05	PC7-214.111	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.5 to ensure that access to appropriate cultural advisors can be provided to land users who request to use their assistance in protecting culturally significant sites, at Environment Canterbury's expense.
14.04.05	PC7-331.9	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.5 by inserting a new clause as follows: <u>d. in respect of rock art, the implementation of actions or methods to avoid adverse effects.</u>
14.04.05	PC7-331.3	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.5 as follows: <u>c. in respect of springs and freshwater mataitai, the implementation of actions or methods to avoid or minimise adverse effects-; and</u>
14.04.06	PC7-430.183	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6 as notified, subject to the requested amendments to Tables 114(h) to 14(za).
14.04.06	PC7-292.54	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	If PC7-292.60 is accepted, then retain Policy 14.4.6 as notified.
14.04.06	PC7-381.29	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.6 as follows: <u>Surface water flows are improved in the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region by ensuring all consented abstractions from surface water and stream depleting groundwater with a direct or high stream depletion effect, and abstractions permitted by Rule 5.111, comply with the applicable environmental flow and allocation regimes set out in Tables 14(h) to 14(za).</u>
14.04.06	PC7-318.28	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.6 as follows: <u>Surface water flows are improved in the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region by ensuring all consented abstractions from surface water and stream depletng groundwater with a direct or high stream depletion effect, and abstractions permitted by Rule 5.111, comply with the applicable environmental flow and allocation regimes set out in Tables 14(h) to 14(za).</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.06	PC7-188.9	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.6 so that within the OTOP zone, water take and use for industrial purposes is encouraged where the industrial or trade process will result in a neutral or positive water balance.
14.04.06	PC7-188.22	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.6 to ensure that it relates only to the period of time that the Plan will be in place and applies equally to individual consent holders and irrigation companies.
14.04.06	PC7-384.25	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	Retain Policy 14.4.6 as notified if relief sought in decision requested PC7-384.20 and PC7-384.21 is granted. Alternatively, amend Policy 14.4.6 to exempt Upper Coopers Creek and apply new policies sought in decisions requested PC7-384.6 to PC7-384.10.
14.04.06	PC7-319.17	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.6 as follows: Surface water flows are improved in the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region by ensuring all consented abstractions <u>from surface water and stream depleting groundwater with a direct or high stream depletion effect, and abstractions permitted by Rule 5.111</u> , comply with the applicable environmental flow and allocation regimes set out in Tables 14(h) to 14(za).
14.04.06A	PC7-341.2	Gibson Family Trust	Oppose	Delete clause (c) of Policy 14.4.6.
14.04.06A	PC7-318.76	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose	Delete clause (c) of Policy 14.4.6A.
14.04.06A	PC7-319.19	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose	Delete clause (c) of Policy 14.4.6A.
14.04.06A	PC7-292.55	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	If PC7-292.60 is accepted, then retain Policy 14.4.6A as notified.
14.04.06A	PC7-341.13	Gibson Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend condition (a) of Policy 14.4.6A as follows: a. the consent applicant holds a lawfully established surface water and/or stream depleting groundwater permit that will be surrendered if the application for resource consent is granted; and the take, in combination with all other takes, will not cause the C Allocation limit in Table 14(k) to be exceeded; and...
14.04.06A	PC7-188.23	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.6A to ensure that it relates only to the period of time that the Plan will be in place and applies equally to individual consent holders and irrigation companies.
14.04.06A	PC7-468.29	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.6A to clarify how it is intended to work together with Policies 14.4.6B-14.4.9 and Rules 14.5.7-14.5.11, particularly in relation to stream depleting takes, but retain intent relating to existing takes and the application of section 124-124C.
14.04.06A	PC7-430.184	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.6A as follows: a. the consent applicant holds a lawfully established surface water and/or stream depleting groundwater permit that will be surrendered if the application for resource consent is granted <u>and after it is demonstrated that the volume and rate of take are sufficient to match the consented volume and rate of take;</u> and
14.04.06A	PC7-318.29	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.6A as follows: a. the consent applicant holds, <u>or represents the interests of the holder of,</u> a lawfully established surface water and/or stream depleting groundwater permit that will be surrendered if the application for resource consent is granted; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.06A	PC7-341.14	Gibson Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.6A as follows: a. the consent applicant holds a lawfully established surface water and/or stream depleting groundwater permit that will be surrendered if the application for resource consent is granted ; and
14.04.06A	PC7-319.18	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.6A as follows: a. the consent applicant holds, <u>or represents the interests of the holder of</u> a lawfully established surface water and/or stream depleting groundwater permit will be surrendered if the application for resource consent is granted ; and ...
14.04.06A	PC7-430.322	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.6A as follows: c. the proposed volume has been calculated taking into account records of past use <u>using the methodologies in Schedule 10 of the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan</u> for the permit(s) that will be surrendered
14.04.06B	PC7-166.2	Fox Peak Station Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-159.1	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-68.2	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-97.2	Hawkins, M	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-252.2	Ulrich, P H	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-214.112	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-430.185	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified, noting concern that the taking of water to storage (which will involve considerable cost) will not substantially compensate for the loss of reliability.
14.04.06B	PC7-341.3	Gibson Family Trust	Support	Support storage (C permits) along with A & B and KIL permits
14.04.06B	PC7-115.2	Henderson, T	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-487.2	Mackenzie College	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-364.12	Phillips Farming Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-298.2	Barwoods Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-240.3	O'Sullivan, W D	Support	Retain Policy 14.04.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-283.2	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-294.3	Cascade Creek Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-223.3	Coles Farms	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-445.2	Pearse, H	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.06B	PC7-168.2	Davies, D	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-361.2	Tregellen Farm limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-370.20	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-236.2	Glenfield Farm	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-175.2	Caird, B	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-169.2	Jackson, H	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-382.2	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-237.3	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-456.3	Vetlife Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-238.3	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-568.2	McMillan, I & H	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-472.152	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.6B in its entirety.
14.04.06B	PC7-336.3	Bonifacio Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.6B to not increase minimum flows without proper consideration of the economic impacts and un-justified environmental outcomes.
14.04.06B	PC7-292.56	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	If PC7-292.60 is accepted, then retain Policy 14.4.6B as notified.
14.04.06B	PC7-381.30	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.6B as follows: To offset any decrease in reliability of supply as a result of the implementation of the environmental flow and allocation regimes in the Opihi and Temuka Freshwater Management Units, provide for the taking of water for storage in accordance with the minimum flows and partial restrictions for AA, BA, AN, BN, Kakahu KIL Permits , A, B and C takes Permits .
14.04.06B	PC7-318.30	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.6B as follows: To offset any decrease in reliability of supply as a result of the implementation of the environmental flow and allocation regimes in the Opihi and Temuka Freshwater Management Units, provide for the taking of water for storage in accordance with the minimum flows and partial restrictions for AA, BA, AN, BN (<u>Opihi FMU</u>); A, B and C Permits (<u>Temuka FMU</u>); and <u>KIL Permits</u> . and C takes .
14.04.06B	PC7-188.24	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.6B to ensure that it relates only to the period of time that the Plan will be in place and applies equally to individual consent holders and irrigation companies.
14.04.06B	PC7-319.20	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.6B as follows: ...and partial restrictions for AA, BA, AN, BN and C takes (<u>Opihi FMU</u>); A, B and C Permits (<u>Temuka FMU</u>); and <u>KIL Permits</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.06B	PC7-468.30	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.6B to clarify how it is intended to work together with Policy 14.4.6A, Policies 14.4.7-14.4.9 and Rules 14.5.7-14.5.11, particularly in relation to stream depleting takes, but retain intent relating to existing takes and the application of section 124-124C.
14.04.07	PC7-316.1	Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.7 as notified.
14.04.07	PC7-381.32	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.7 and replace with the following wording: <u>To assist with phasing out over-allocation of surface water in the Temuka FMU enable taking of low stream depleting or direct groundwater provided the applicant holds a lawfully established surface water take or direct, high or moderate stream depleting groundwater take for an equal or greater rate and volume than is sought from the low stream depleting or direct groundwater, and the surface water take or direct, high or medium stream depleting groundwater take is surrendered.</u>
14.04.07	PC7-318.32	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.7 and replace with: Groundwater in the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region is managed through establishing A and T Allocation limits, the purpose of which is to: provide for all existing lawfully established groundwater abstractions (the A Allocation limit); and provide for the abstraction of groundwater in circumstances where an existing lawfully established surface water permit or stream-depleting groundwater permit with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect will be surrendered (the T Allocation limit). <u>To assist with phasing out over-allocation of surface water in the Temuka FMU enable taking of low stream depleting or direct groundwater provided the applicant holds a lawfully established surface water take or direct, high or moderate stream depleting groundwater take for an equal or greater rate and volume than is sought from the low stream depleting or direct groundwater, and the surface water take or direct, high or medium stream depleting groundwater take is surrendered.</u>
14.04.07	PC7-472.153	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.7 in its entirety.
14.04.07	PC7-319.22	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.7 and replace with the following wording: <u>To assist with phasing out over-allocation of surface water in the Temuka FMU enable taking of low stream depleting or direct groundwater provided the applicant holds a lawfully established surface water take or direct, high or moderate stream depleting groundwater take for an equal or greater rate and volume than is sought from the low stream depleting or direct groundwater, and the surface water take or direct, high or medium stream depleting groundwater take is surrendered.</u>
14.04.07	PC7-292.57	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	If PC7-292.60 is accepted, retain Policy 14.4.7 as notified.
14.04.07	PC7-416.1	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.7 to more accurately reflect Table 14(zb).
14.04.07	PC7-468.1	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.7 to ensure the submitter's takes for industrial purposes are protected and enabled.
14.04.07	PC7-209.6	Aotearoa Water Action	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.7 so that there is no allowance for the replacement of stream-depleting takes and surface water takes with deeper groundwater takes.
14.04.07	PC7-418.6	VIBERi New Zealand Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.7 so all new bore consent applications are considered against a strict criteria.
14.04.07	PC7-188.10	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.7 so that within the OTOP zone, water take and use for industrial purposes is encouraged where the industrial or trade process will result in a neutral or positive water balance.
14.04.07	PC7-188.25	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.7 to ensure that it relates only to the period of time that the Plan will be in place and applies equally to individual consent holders and irrigation companies.
14.04.07	PC7-468.31	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.7 to clarify how it is intended to work together with Policies 14.4.6A, 14.4.6B, 14.4.8 and 14.4.9, and Rules 14.5.7-14.5.11, particularly in relation to stream depleting takes, but retain intent relating to existing takes and the application of section 124-124C.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.07	PC7-488.1	M A Orchards Limited, Kerrytown Orchards Limited Partnership and Falvey Orchards Limited Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.7 as follows: a. provide for all existing lawfully established groundwater abstractions, <u>and for the Levels Plain GAZ, abstraction of groundwater within sustainable limits</u> (the A allocation limit);
14.04.07	PC7-430.186	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.7 as follows: b. <u>to address the over-allocation of surface water</u> , provide for the abstraction of <u>deep</u> groundwater in circumstances where an existing lawfully established surface water permit or stream-depleting groundwater permit with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect will be surrendered (the T Allocation limit).
14.04.07	PC7-416.18	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.7 to provide greater clarity on how allocation might reduce over time if there is shortfall in allocation.
14.04.08	PC7-416.2	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.8 as notified, subject to the A allocation providing for all lawfully established existing groundwater takes. OR If the A allocation does not provide for all existing lawful takes, amend Policy 14.4.8 so that the submitter can access the T allocation upon replacement of its groundwater take permits (including those relating to groundwater depleting takes).
14.04.08	PC7-472.154	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.8 in its entirety.
14.04.08	PC7-381.39	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.8.
14.04.08	PC7-319.25	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.8.
14.04.08	PC7-318.78	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.8.
14.04.08	PC7-292.58	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	If PC7-292.60 is accepted, then retain Policy 14.4.8 as notified.
14.04.08	PC7-381.33	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.8 as follows: In the Orari-Opihi and Levels Plain GAZ, Only consider granting applications for resource consent for the abstraction of <u>avoid allocating</u> groundwater from the T Allocation Limit Blocks set out in Table 14(zb) in circumstances where: unless:
14.04.08	PC7-418.7	VIBERi New Zealand Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.8 so all new bore consent applications are considered against a strict criteria.
14.04.08	PC7-318.33	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.8 as follows: In the Orari-Opihi and Levels Plain GAZ, Only consider granting applications for resource consent for the abstraction of <u>avoid allocating</u> groundwater from the T Allocation Limit Blocks set out in Table 14(zb) in circumstances where: <u>unless:</u> ...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.08	PC7-188.11	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.8 so that within the OTOF zone, water take and use for industrial purposes is encouraged where the industrial or trade process will result in a neutral or positive water balance.
14.04.08	PC7-188.26	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.8 to ensure that it relates only to the period of time that the Plan will be in place and applies equally to individual consent holders and irrigation companies.
14.04.08	PC7-72.1	Midgley, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.8 to consider at least the last 12 years of past water use.
14.04.08	PC7-72.2	Midgley, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.8 to define 'past use'.
14.04.08	PC7-319.23	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.8 as follows: In the Orari-Opihi and Levels Plain GAZ, Only consider granting applications for resource consent for the abstraction of <u>avoid allocating</u> groundwater from the T Allocation Limit Blocks set out in Table 14(zb) in circumstances where: unless:
14.04.08	PC7-468.32	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.8 to clarify how how it is intended to work together with Policies 14.4.6A-14.4.7 and 14.4.9, and Rules 14.5.7-14.5.11, particularly in relation to stream depleting takes, but retain intent relating to existing takes and the application of section 124-124C.
14.04.08	PC7-381.38	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.8 as follows: a. the proposed groundwater take will substitute for an existing consent applicant holds a <u>proposed groundwater take will substitute for an existing consent applicant holds a</u> lawfully established surface water and/or <u>direct, high or moderate</u> stream depleting groundwater permit take that will be surrendered if the application for resource consent is granted; and
14.04.08	PC7-319.24	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.8 as follows: a. the proposed groundwater take will substitute for an existing consent applicant holds a <u>proposed groundwater take will substitute for an existing consent applicant holds a</u> lawfully established surface water and/or <u>direct, high or moderate</u> stream depleting groundwater permit take that will be surrendered if the application for resource consent is granted; and
14.04.08	PC7-318.77	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.8 as follows: a. the proposed groundwater take will substitute for an existing consent applicant holds a <u>proposed groundwater take will substitute for an existing consent applicant holds a</u> lawfully established surface water and/or <u>direct, high or moderate</u> stream depleting groundwater permit take that will be surrendered if the application for resource consent is granted; and
14.04.08	PC7-430.187	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.8 as follows: c. the proposed volume has been calculated taking into consideration records of past use <u>reflecting reasonable use for the permit(s) that will be surrendered, using the methodologies in Schedule 10 of the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan for the permit that will be surrendered;</u> and
14.04.08	PC7-381.40	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.8 as follows: be. the proposed volume and abstraction rate of water to be taken and used is reasonable for the proposed use assessed in accordance with Schedule 10 has been calculated taking into consideration records of past use for the permit that will be surrendered; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.08	PC7-319.26	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.8 as follows: be. the proposed volume and abstraction rate of water to be taken and used is reasonable for the proposed use and assessed in accordance with Schedule 10 has been calculated taking into consideration records of past use for the permit that will be surrendered; and
14.04.08	PC7-318.79	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.8 as follows: be. the proposed volume and abstraction rate of water to be taken and used is reasonable for the proposed use assessed in accordance with Schedule 10 has been calculated taking into consideration records of past use for the permit that will be surrendered; and
14.04.08	PC7-381.41	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.8 as follows: cd. an assessment provided as part of the application for resource consent demonstrates that the proposed abstraction take has a low or no stream depletion effect.
14.04.08	PC7-319.27	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.8 as follows: cd. an assessment provided as part of the application for resource consent demonstrates that the proposed abstraction take has a low or no stream depletion effect.
14.04.08	PC7-318.80	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.8 as follows: cd. an assessment provided as part of the application for resource consent demonstrates that the proposed abstraction take has a low or no stream depletion effect.
14.04.09	PC7-416.4	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.9 as notified.
14.04.09	PC7-381.31	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.9 as notified.
14.04.09	PC7-430.188	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.9 as notified, provided every effort is made to protect the reliability of water supply to existing users.
14.04.09	PC7-318.31	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.09 as notified.
14.04.09	PC7-319.21	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.9 as notified.
14.04.09	PC7-472.155	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.9 in its entirety.
14.04.09	PC7-292.59	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	If PC7-292.59 is accepted, then retain Policy 14.4.9 as notified.
14.04.09	PC7-418.8	VIBERi New Zealand Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.9 so all new bore consent applications are considered against a strict criteria.
14.04.09	PC7-188.12	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.9 so that within the OTOP zone, water take and use for industrial purposes is encouraged where the industrial or trade process will result in a neutral or positive water balance.
14.04.09	PC7-188.27	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.9 to ensure that it relates only to the period of time that the Plan will be in place and applies equally to individual consent holders and irrigation companies.
14.04.09	PC7-468.33	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.9 to clarify how it is intended to work together with Policies 14.4.6B-14.4.8 and Rules 14.5.7-14.5.11, particularly in relation to stream depleting takes, but retain intent relating to existing takes and the application of section 124-124C.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.10	PC7-391.1	Barker Fruit Processing Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.10, subject to a new definition for the term Community Water Supply as set out in Submission point PC7-391.2.
14.04.10	PC7-318.63	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.10 as notified subject to the inclusion of a new definition of "community water supply" as per submission point PC7-318-64.
14.04.10	PC7-319.29	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Support	If PC7-319.28 (insert definition of "Community Supply Take") is accepted, then retain Policy 14.4.10 as notified.
14.04.10	PC7-347.15	Canterbury District Health Board	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.10 as notified.
14.04.10	PC7-381.34	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.10 as notified.
14.04.10	PC7-430.189	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.10 as notified.
14.04.10	PC7-457.4	Mackenzie District Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.10 as notified.
14.04.10	PC7-568.34	McMillan, I & H	Support	Retain Policy 14.04.10 as notified.
14.04.10	PC7-214.113	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.10 as follows: Enable the taking of water for community water supply <u>and stock drinking water</u> by not requiring compliance with any minimum flow, residual flow, or partial restriction conditions, or the environmental flow and allocation regime...
14.04.10	PC7-292.60	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.10 as follows: Enable the taking of water for community water supply by not requiring compliance with any minimum flow, residual flow or partial restriction conditions, or the environmental flow and allocation regime or groundwater allocation limit set out in Tables 14(h) to 14(zb), provided a Water Supply Strategy developed in accordance with Schedule 25 is in place and the water supply is managed so as to restrict the use of water during periods of low flow or low water levels <u>implemented</u> .
14.04.10	PC7-188.28	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.10 to ensure that it relates only to the period of time that the Plan will be in place and applies equally to individual consent holders and irrigation companies.
14.04.11	PC7-430.190	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.11 as notified.
14.04.11	PC7-341.4	Gibson Family Trust	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.11 as notified.
14.04.11	PC7-318.34	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.11 as notified.
14.04.11	PC7-295.1	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.11 as notified
14.04.11	PC7-319.31	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.11 as notified.
14.04.11	PC7-568.35	McMillan, I & H	Support	Retain Policy 14.04.11 as notified.
14.04.11	PC7-292.61	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	If PC7-292.60 is accepted, then retain Policy 14.4.11 as notified.
14.04.11	PC7-316.3	Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.11 to require consideration of the availability and reliability of scheme water.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.11	PC7-316.4	Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.11 to require a total seasonal allocation of water from scheme and on-farm sources.
14.04.11	PC7-188.29	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.11 to ensure that it relates only to the period of time that the Plan will be in place and applies equally to individual consent holders and irrigation companies.
14.04.11	PC7-318.67	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	As alternative relief to submission point PC7-318.41, amend Policy 14.4.11 to achieve the following policy outcome: Contribute to the overall management of surface flows within the Orari, Temuka and Pareora Freshwater Management Unit, by providing for the transfer of surface water permits to an Irrigation Scheme where this will result in a water permit authorising the abstraction of all transferred water.
14.04.12	PC7-166.3	Fox Peak Station Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-68.29	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-97.23	Hawkins, M	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-381.35	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-341.5	Gibson Family Trust	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-351.35	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-318.35	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-384.3	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-487.22	Mackenzie College	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-373.21	Annfield Dairies	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-298.38	Barwoods Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-283.40	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-240.37	O'Sullivan, W D	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-294.42	Cascade Creek Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-223.39	Coles Farms	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-445.46	Pearse, H	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-319.32	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-168.38	Davies, D	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-175.43	Caird, B	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-236.41	Glenfield Farm	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-169.39	Jackson, H	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.12	PC7-361.48	Tregellen Farm limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-410.19	Lambie, T	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-370.39	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-568.44	McMillan, I & H	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-472.156	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.12 in its entirety.
14.04.12	PC7-292.62	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	If PC7-292.60 is accepted, then retain Policy 14.4.12 as notified.
14.04.12	PC7-430.191	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.12 as follows: Except for AA, BA or KIL permits, restrict the volume and/or rate of water allocated to any water permit for irrigation that will replace an existing water permit affected by the provisions of Sections 124 – 124C of the RMA to a volume and/or rate that reflects past use , determined in accordance with <u>the methodologies in Method 1 of Schedule 10.</u>
14.04.12	PC7-316.5	Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.12 so that the allocation of water based on actual usage is a method to reduce water allocation within an allocation zone as required at a future time.
14.04.12	PC7-188.30	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.12 to ensure that it relates only to the period of time that the Plan will be in place and applies equally to individual consent holders and irrigation companies.
14.04.12	PC7-72.3	Midgley, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.12 to define "past use".
14.04.12	PC7-72.13	Midgley, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.12 to consider at least 12 years water use data.
14.04.13	PC7-160.81	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.13 as notified.
14.04.13	PC7-214.114	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.13 as notified.
14.04.13	PC7-351.36	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.13 as notified.
14.04.13	PC7-430.192	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.13 in its entirety.
14.04.13	PC7-472.157	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.13 in its entirety, or amend to require that 100% be surrendered and returned.
14.04.13	PC7-488.2	M A Orchards Limited, Kerrytown Orchards Limited Partnership and Falvey Orchards Limited Partnership	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.13.
14.04.13	PC7-68.30	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within the sub-zones that were over-allocated at the notification of the plan change.
14.04.13	PC7-97.24	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within the sub-zones that were over-allocated at the notification of the plan change.
14.04.13	PC7-381.37	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within the sub-zone that were over-allocated as at the notification of PC7, following further review and assessment of allocation status of all catchments/allocation zones that takes into account consented allocations as at the date of notification of PC7.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.13	PC7-341.6	Gibson Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to allow transfer where beneficial for the economy (employment).
14.04.13	PC7-188.31	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to ensure that it relates only to the period of time that the Plan will be in place and applies equally to individual consent holders and irrigation companies.
14.04.13	PC7-72.4	Midgley, A	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 so that over-allocation is based on a "last on, first off" system.
14.04.13	PC7-487.23	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within the sub-zone that were over allocated at the time Plan Change 7 was notified.
14.04.13	PC7-487.24	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to clarify the exemption from the requirement to surrender allocation on transfer of water permits affiliated to OWL.
14.04.13	PC7-97.36	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to clarify the exemption from the requirement to surrender allocation on transfer for water permits affiliated to OWL.
14.04.13	PC7-68.41	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to clarify the exemption from the requirement to surrender allocation on transfer for water permits affiliated to OWL.
14.04.13	PC7-373.22	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within the sub-zone that were over-allocated as at the notification of PC7.
14.04.13	PC7-298.39	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within the sub-zones that were over-allocated at the notification of PC7 and to clarify the exemption from the requirement to surrender allocation on transfer for water permits to OWL.
14.04.13	PC7-283.41	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within the sub-zones that were over-allocated at the notification of PC7 and to clarify the exemption from the requirement to surrender allocation on transfer for water permits to OWL.
14.04.13	PC7-240.38	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within sub-zone that were over-allocated as at the notification of PC7.
14.04.13	PC7-240.39	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to clarify the exemption from the requirement to surrender allocation on transfer for water permits affiliated to OWL.
14.04.13	PC7-294.43	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within the sub-zones that were over-allocated at the notification of PC7 and to clarify the exemption from the requirement to surrender allocation on transfer for water permits to OWL.
14.04.13	PC7-223.40	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within the sub-zones that were over-allocated at the notification of PC7 and to clarify the exemption from the requirement to surrender allocation on transfer for water permits to OWL
14.04.13	PC7-445.47	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within the sub-zone that were over-allocated as at the notification of PC7, following further review and assessment of allocation status of all catchments/allocation zones that takes into account consented allocations as at the date of notification of PC7.
14.04.13	PC7-445.48	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to clarify the exemption from the requirement to surrender allocation on transfer of water permits affiliated to OWL.
14.04.13	PC7-168.39	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within the sub-zones that were over-allocated at the notification of PC7 and to clarify the exemption from the requirement to surrender allocation on transfer for water permits to OWL
14.04.13	PC7-280.2	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to surface water catchments that are over allocated.
14.04.13	PC7-175.44	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within sub-zone that were over-allocated as at the notification of PC7.
14.04.13	PC7-175.45	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to clarify the exemption from the requirement to surrender allocation on transfer for water permits affiliated to OWL.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.13	PC7-236.42	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within the sub-zones that were over-allocated at the notification of PC7 and to clarify the exemption from the requirement to surrender allocation on transfer for water permits to OWL
14.04.13	PC7-169.40	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within the sub-zones that were over-allocated at the notification of PC7 and to clarify the exemption from the requirement to surrender allocation on transfer for water permits to OWL
14.04.13	PC7-410.20	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within the sub-zone that were over-allocated at the time Plan Change 7 was notified.
14.04.13	PC7-410.21	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to clarify that the transfer of water permits affiliated to OWL are exempt from the requirement to surrender allocation.
14.04.13	PC7-373.27	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to clarify the exemption from the requirement to surrender allocation on transfer for water permits affiliated to OWL.
14.04.13	PC7-370.40	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to include specific reference to the surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones within the sub-zone that were over allocated at the time Plan Change 7 was notified.
14.04.13	PC7-370.41	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.13 to clarify the exemption from the requirement to surrender allocation on transfer for water permits affiliated to OWL.
14.04.13	PC7-292.63	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.13 as follows: b. requiring in over-allocated surface water catchments and Groundwater Allocation Zones and except where the water is to be used for community <u>water</u> supply or stock drinking water, that a portion of water to be transferred is surrendered that is proportionate to the status of over-allocation in the catchment, up to a maximum of 75%; and
14.04.13	PC7-381.42	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.13 as follows: ... b. requiring in over-allocated surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones and except <u>in relation to AA and BA permits</u> , where the water is to be used for community supply or stock drinking water, that a portion of water to be transferred is surrendered that is proportionate to the status of over-allocation in the catchment, up to a maximum of 75%
14.04.13	PC7-188.14	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.13 as follows: b. requiring in over-allocated surface water catchments and Groundwater Allocation Zones and except where the water is to be used for community supply or stock drinking water, that a portion of water to be transferred is surrendered that is proportionate to the status of over-allocation in the catchment, up to a maximum of 75%, <u>except where the transfer is to take and use water for industrial or trade processes, and the use will result in a neutral or positive water balance</u> ; and...
14.04.13	PC7-356.60	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.13 as follows: 'b. requiring in over-allocated surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones and except where the water is to be used for community supply or stock drinking water, <u>rootstock and crop survival water</u> that a portion of water to be transferred is surrendered that is proportionate to the status of over-allocation in the catchment, up to a maximum of 75%; and'
14.04.13	PC7-404.20	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.13 as follows: 'b. requiring in over-allocated surface water catchments and groundwater allocation zones and except where the water is to be used for community supply <u>or is to be used for commercial vegetable growing in a baseline area</u> or stock drinking water, that a portion of water to be transferred is surrendered that is proportionate to the status of over-allocation in the catchment, up to a maximum of 75%; and'
14.04.13	PC7-347.16	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.13 to include an exception to ensure water is available for community water supplies.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.13	PC7-318.37	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.13 as follows (relief sought is in conjunction with that sought in PC7-318.42): c. not granting any application to transfer a water permit from the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit <u>until such time as the allocation limits within Table 14(i) to 14(l) are achieved or the transfer is to an Irrigation Scheme as part of a global consenting process for existing lawfully established takes in the Temuka FMU.</u>
14.04.13	PC7-188.15	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.13 as follows: c. not granting any application to transfer a water permit from the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit, <u>except where the transfer is to take and use water for industrial or trade processes, and the use will result in a neutral or positive water balance.</u>
14.04.13	PC7-292.131	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.13 as follows: c. not granting any application to transfer a water permit from the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit, <u>except where the water permit will be repurposed to a community water supply purpose or an existing community water supply take is relocated to another location.</u>
14.04.13	PC7-319.34	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.13 as follows: c. not granting any application to transfer a water permit from the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit <u>until such time as the allocation limits within Table 14(i) to (l) are achieved or the transfer is to an Irrigation Scheme as part of a global consenting process for existing lawfully established takes in the Temuka FMU.</u>
14.04.14	PC7-351.37	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.14 as notified, subject to the additional policy requested (Policy 14.4.14A).
14.04.14	PC7-424.6	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.14 and replace with: <u>For any proposal to introduce new water from outside a catchment it must:</u> <u>(a) Avoid diminishing the mauri of the receiving water body and the source water body</u> <u>(b) Show evidence of any consultation undertaken with Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu and Papatipu Rūnanga</u> <u>(c) Have particular regard to the values and customs of Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu or Papatipu Rūnanga.</u>
14.04.14	PC7-422.32	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.14 in its entirety.
14.04.14	PC7-72.5	Midgley, A	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.14 in its entirety.
14.04.14	PC7-68.31	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 to replace the term "catchment" with "Orari-Temuka-Opihi Pareora sub-region"
14.04.14	PC7-97.25	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region catchment</u> protect the values, customs and culture of papatipu rūnanga by:...
14.04.14	PC7-430.193	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the catchment <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora Zone</u> , protect the values, customs and culture of papatipu rūnanga by: ...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.14	PC7-341.7	Gibson Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 to allow new water and no restrictions.
14.04.14	PC7-381.44	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the catchment <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region</u> , protect the values, customs and culture of papatipu rūnanga by: ...
14.04.14	PC7-318.38	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the catchment <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region</u> , protect the values, customs and culture of papatipu rūnanga by:...
14.04.14	PC7-295.2	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the catchment <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region</u> , protect the values, customs and culture of papatipu runanga by:...
14.04.14	PC7-472.159	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 to provide for Te Mana o Te Wai.
14.04.14	PC7-487.25	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region</u> catchment , protect the values, customs and culture of papatipu rūnanga by: ...
14.04.14	PC7-373.23	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the catchment <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region</u> , protect....
14.04.14	PC7-298.40	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the catchment , <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region</u> ,....
14.04.14	PC7-283.42	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the catchment , <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region</u> ,....
14.04.14	PC7-294.44	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the catchment , <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region</u> ,....
14.04.14	PC7-223.41	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the catchment , <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region</u> ,....

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.14	PC7-240.40	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 so that the term catchment is replaced by Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub region.
14.04.14	PC7-445.49	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 so that the term catchment is replaced by Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub region.
14.04.14	PC7-319.35	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the catchment <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi Pareora sub-region</u> , protect the values, customs and culture of paptipu rūnanga by:...
14.04.14	PC7-168.40	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the catchment <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region</u> ,....
14.04.14	PC7-175.46	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 so that the term catchment is replaced by Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub region.
14.04.14	PC7-236.43	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the catchment <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region</u> ,....
14.04.14	PC7-169.41	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the catchment <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region</u> ,....
14.04.14	PC7-237.35	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 to replace the term "catchment" with "Orari-Temuka-Opihi Pareora sub-region".
14.04.14	PC7-238.35	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 to replace the term "catchment" with "Orari-Temuka-Opihi Pareora sub-region".
14.04.14	PC7-410.22	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 to replace the term "catchment" with "Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region".
14.04.14	PC7-370.42	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the catchment <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region</u> , protect the values, customs and culture of papatipu rūnanga by: ...
14.04.14	PC7-568.45	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.14 as follows: When introducing water from outside the catchment <u>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region</u> ,....
14.04.14	PC7-336.4	Bonifacio Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.14 to provide more specific parameters around how the decisions of the Rūnanga are made in relation to applications for resource consents.
14.04.14	PC7-472.158	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.14 as follows: b. decision makers having particular regard <u>give effect</u> to any views expressed by Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu and papatipu rūnanga, and in particular any views expressed regarding the extent to which the proposal diminishes the mauri of freshwater resources or compromises values or customs.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.15	PC7-114.32	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.15 as notified, while recognising that there may be implementation challenges for farmers.
14.04.15	PC7-160.82	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.15 as notified.
14.04.15	PC7-336.5	Bonifacio Family Trust	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.15 as notified.
14.04.15	PC7-292.64	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Policy 14.4.15 as notified.
14.04.15	PC7-430.194	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.15 as notified.
14.04.15	PC7-351.39	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.15 as notified.
14.04.15	PC7-306.7	Springfield Partnership Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.15 as notified.
14.04.15	PC7-511.1	Buxton, A	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.15 as notified.
14.04.15	PC7-283.43	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.15 as notified.
14.04.15	PC7-294.45	Cascade Creek Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.15 as notified.
14.04.15	PC7-168.44	Davies, D	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.15 as notified.
14.04.15	PC7-175.47	Caird, B	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.15 as notified.
14.04.15	PC7-169.42	Jackson, H	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.15 as notified.
14.04.15	PC7-237.36	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.15 as notified.
14.04.15	PC7-238.36	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.15 as notified.
14.04.15	PC7-568.36	McMillan, I & H	Support	Retain Policy 14.04.15 as notified.
14.04.15	PC7-105.1	KW & CJ Orange Partnership	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.15 and replace with policy requiring stock exclusion based on intensity of stocking rates.
14.04.15	PC7-150.2	Brook & Rupert Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to clarify that an intermittently flowing waterway are "ephemeral waterways or a specific body of water in a location flowing for a minimum length of time" (e.g. a stream in a gully that flows for 24 hours).
14.04.15	PC7-103.2	Great Southern Deer Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to exempt lightly stocked (5-6 stock units per hectare) hill country blocks from having to fence off waterways from livestock, and if any doubt is declared, include a requirement to test water quality in the Farm Environment Plan.
14.04.15	PC7-173.1	Knocklyn Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 so that ephemeral and transient/lower magnitude springs and ephemeral drains are not required to comply with the livestock exclusion provisions.
14.04.15	PC7-173.4	Knocklyn Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to recognise that that livestock exclusion from ephemeral springs and artificial waterways/drains on non-intensive farms may not always be needed to achieve good water quality.
14.04.15	PC7-68.32	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to require ECan to pay for all fencing and reinstatement after flood events.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.15	PC7-296.5	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association Canterbury/West Coast and South Canterbury/North Otago branches	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to recognise that livestock exclusion from springs and artificial watercourses on non-intensive farms may not always be needed to achieve good water quality, and alternative management practices exist and are used by deer farmers.
14.04.15	PC7-214.115	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to clarify that the drains that require stock exclusion are those that permanently contain surface water.
14.04.15	PC7-214.116	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to recognise that livestock exclusion from springs on non-intensive farms may not be needed to achieve good water quality, and that risk assessment and management practices through Management Plans may be more appropriate.
14.04.15	PC7-259.1	Orari Gorge Station	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to clarify what is meant by clauses (a) and (b) of Policy 14.4.15.
14.04.15	PC7-259.2	Orari Gorge Station	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to exclude ephemeral drains (those that have grasses/vegetated beds), transient lower magnitude springs and drains from Policy 14.4.15.
14.04.15	PC7-259.3	Orari Gorge Station	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to recognise that livestock exclusion from ephemeral springs and artificial waterways/drains on non-intensive farms may not always be needed to achieve good water quality.
14.04.15	PC7-146.3	South Hilton Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to clarify what intermittent flowing spring or watercourse means.
14.04.15	PC7-426.1	Great Southern Deer Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Oppose blanket use of 'stock exclusion' to 'intermittently flowing springs (waipuna) and artificial watercourses with surface water in them'.
14.04.15	PC7-426.2	Great Southern Deer Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to exclude springs in expansive, low intensity farming areas (stocking rates less than 5Su/Ha).
14.04.15	PC7-426.3	Great Southern Deer Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to recognise that stock exclusion from ephemeral springs and artificial waterways/drains on non-intensive farms may not always be needed to achieve good water quality.
14.04.15	PC7-426.4	Great Southern Deer Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to exclude 'stock exclusion' for low stocking rate, steep inclined tussock/hill country land.
14.04.15	PC7-471.1	Mill Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to clarify artificial watercourses.
14.04.15	PC7-271.1	Woodbury Deer Industry Environment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to clarify 'intermittently flowing springs (Waipuna) and artificial drains with surface water in them'.
14.04.15	PC7-271.2	Woodbury Deer Industry Environment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to exclude ephemeral drains (those that have grassed/vegetated beds).
14.04.15	PC7-271.3	Woodbury Deer Industry Environment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to recognise that stock exclusion from ephemeral springs and artificial waterways/drains on non-intensive farms may not always be needed to achieve good water quality.
14.04.15	PC7-188.16	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to ensure that permanent stock exclusion (e.g. permanent fencing) is not required for ephemeral water bodies.
14.04.15	PC7-472.160	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 so that livestock means all farmed animals.
14.04.15	PC7-445.50	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to require stock exclusion where water only flows into the Maitaitai Protection Zone.
14.04.15	PC7-236.44	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to clarify the wording.
14.04.15	PC7-370.43	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.15 to reduce the stock exclusion distances.
14.04.16	PC7-114.33	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.16 as notified while recognising that there may be implementation challenges for farmers.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.16	PC7-160.83	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.16 as notified.
14.04.16	PC7-292.65	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Policy 14.4.16 as notified.
14.04.16	PC7-430.195	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.16 as notified.
14.04.16	PC7-351.40	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.16 as notified.
14.04.16	PC7-306.8	Springfield Partnership Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.16 as notified.
14.04.16	PC7-188.34	Synlait Milk Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.16 as notified.
14.04.16	PC7-175.48	Caird, B	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.16 as notified.
14.04.16	PC7-68.33	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.16 in its entirety.
14.04.16	PC7-97.27	Hawkins, M	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.16 in its entirety.
14.04.16	PC7-336.6	Bonifacio Family Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.16 in its entirety.
14.04.16	PC7-370.44	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.16 in its entirety.
14.04.16	PC7-361.49	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.16.
14.04.16	PC7-214.117	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.16 to recognise that livestock exclusion from springs on non-intensive farms may not be needed to achieve good water quality, and that risk assessment and management practices through Management Plans may be more appropriate.
14.04.16	PC7-214.118	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.16 as sought in the Federated Farmers submission.
14.04.16	PC7-472.161	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.16 so that livestock means all farmed animals.
14.04.16	PC7-445.51	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.16 to require stock exclusion where water only flows into the Maitaitai Protection Zone.
14.04.16	PC7-236.45	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.16 to provide less priority to these matters.
14.04.17	PC7-114.34	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.17 as notified.
14.04.17	PC7-292.66	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Policy 14.4.17 as notified.
14.04.17	PC7-441.29	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.17 as notified.
14.04.17	PC7-351.41	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.17 as notified.
14.04.17	PC7-381.45	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.17 as notified, subject to submitter's relief sought in relation to Tables 14(a) to 14(g).
14.04.17	PC7-188.35	Synlait Milk Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.17 as notified.
14.04.17	PC7-468.16	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.17 as notified.
14.04.17	PC7-221.11	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.17.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.17	PC7-430.196	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.17.
14.04.17	PC7-440.5	McAtamney, S & J	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.17.
14.04.17	PC7-554.8	Adams, M	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.17.
14.04.17	PC7-237.37	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.17.
14.04.17	PC7-238.37	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.17.
14.04.17	PC7-173.7	Knocklyn Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to recognise that some winter feeding practices are of lower risk than very intensive grazing of cattle.
14.04.17	PC7-219.9	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.04.17	PC7-214.119	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to recognise that livestock exclusion from springs on non-intensive farms may not be needed to achieve good water quality, and that risk assessment and management practices through Management Plans may be more appropriate.
14.04.17	PC7-214.120	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to ensure that access to appropriate cultural advisors can be provided to land users who request to use their assistance in protecting culturally significant sites, at Environment Canterbury's expense.
14.04.17	PC7-214.121	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 as sought in the Federated Farmers submission.
14.04.17	PC7-161.1	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to require firm GMPs to be set and create achievable proxies to provide a certain starting point from which reductions must be made.
14.04.17	PC7-161.2	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to provide longer times to achieve outcomes.
14.04.17	PC7-161.3	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to require focus on achieving water quality outcomes by monitoring and reviewing surface and groundwater within the zone, and make decisions based on this instead of inaccurate modelling.
14.04.17	PC7-259.4	Orari Gorge Station	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to include cattle and deer within the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone, but retain the current 10% area allowances.
14.04.17	PC7-259.5	Orari Gorge Station	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to recognise that some winter feeding practices are of lower risk than very intensive grazing of cattle.
14.04.17	PC7-464.2	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.17	PC7-341.8	Gibson Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to increase minimum winter grazing area from 10 ha to 20 ha.
14.04.17	PC7-435.1	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.17	PC7-435.16	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.17	PC7-469.1	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.17	PC7-469.16	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.17	PC7-464.21	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.17	PC7-271.4	Woodbury Deer Industry Environment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to include cattle and deer within the High Runoff Phosphorus Risk Zone (HRRPZ)

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.17	PC7-271.5	Woodbury Deer Industry Environment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to replace the 20 ha feed area with a 10% feed area.
14.04.17	PC7-271.6	Woodbury Deer Industry Environment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to recognise that some winter feeding practices are of lower risk for deer farming than intensive grazing of cattle.
14.04.17	PC7-271.9	Woodbury Deer Industry Environment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to delete 'any part of property'.
14.04.17	PC7-271.10	Woodbury Deer Industry Environment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 so that if part of the property is located within the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone (HRRPZ), the rule does not restrict the area of winter grazing for the entire property to 20 ha.
14.04.17	PC7-361.23	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to take into account individuals affected by both nitrogen reductions and changes to irrigation supply.
14.04.17	PC7-568.41	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.17 to clarify which land parcels are affected by this policy.
14.04.17	PC7-472.162	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.17 as follows: a. all permitted farming activities on properties greater than 40 <u>0.5</u> hectares to prepare and implement a Management Plan in accordance with Schedule 7A; and...
14.04.17	PC7-453.7	Rooney Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.17 as follows: c. farming activities within the High Runoff Risk Phosphorous Zone and which use more than 20 hectares of land for winter grazing of cattle, or deer, to demonstrated through their Farm Environment Plans how active management of the loss of phosphorous, sediment and microbial contaminants to water will be achieved; and
14.04.17	PC7-235.22	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.17 as follows: c. farming activities with the potential for higher nitrogen losses to not exceed the Baseline GMP Loss Rate (<u>except where Policy 14.4.20A applies</u>); and
14.04.17	PC7-105.2	KW & CJ Orange Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.17 to delete the 20 ha winter grazing threshold and replace with a 15% (or other appropriate percentage) threshold.
14.04.17	PC7-75.4	Patterson, G B	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.17 as follows: d. Farming activities <u>that include winter grazing of cattle or deer</u> within the High Runoff Risk Phosphorous Zone and which use more than 20 hectares of land for winter grazing of cattle or deer, to demonstrate through their Farm <u>Management Environment</u> Plan how active management of the loss of phosphorous, sediment and microbial contaminants to water will be achieved <u>where the area of winter grazing is < = 10% of the property.</u>
14.04.17	PC7-173.5	Knocklyn Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.17 regarding the land area for winter grazing in the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone from '20 hectares' to 10% of the farm area.
14.04.17	PC7-73.3	Patterson, V J	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.17 as follows: d. Farming activities <u>that include winter grazing of cattle or deer</u> within the High Runoff Risk Phosphorous Zone and which use more than 20 hectares of land for winter grazing of cattle or deer, to demonstrate through their Farm <u>Management Environment</u> Plan how active management of the loss of phosphorous, sediment and microbial contaminants to water will be achieved <u>where the area of winter grazing is < = 10% of the property.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.17	PC7-296.7	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association Canterbury/West Coast and South Canterbury/North Otago branches	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.17 as follows: d. farming activities that include winter grazing of cattle or deer within the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone and which use more than 20 hectares of land for winter grazing of cattle or deer , to demonstrate through their Farm Environment Management Plan how active management of the loss of phosphorus, sediment and microbial contaminants to water will be achieved; and where the area of winter grazing is less than or equal to: <u>i. 10 hectare for any property less than 100 hectares in area; or</u> <u>ii. 10% of the area of the property, for any property between 100 and 1000 hectares in area; or</u> <u>iii. 100 hectares, for any property greater than 1000 hectares in area; and...</u>
14.04.17	PC7-412.6	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.17 to delete the requirement for farmers to require resource consents if they have over 20 ha winter grazing in a HRRPZ.
14.04.17	PC7-331.4	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in part	Amend clause (f) of Policy 14.4.17 as follows: f. farming activities with irrigation within the Rock Art Management Area to demonstrate through their Farm Environment Plan how adverse effects on tuhituhi neherā (rock art) sites will be avoided <u>minimised</u> .
14.04.17	PC7-472.163	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend clause (f) of Policy 14.4.17 as follows: f. farming activities with irrigation within the Rock Art Management Area to demonstrate through their Farm Environment Plan how adverse effects on tuhituhi neherā (rock art) sites will be avoided <u>minimised</u> .
14.04.18	PC7-292.67	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Policy 14.4.18 as notified.
14.04.18	PC7-441.30	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.18 as notified.
14.04.18	PC7-416.7	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.18 as notified.
14.04.18	PC7-351.42	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.18 as notified.
14.04.18	PC7-381.46	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.18 as notified, subject to submitter's relief sought in relation to Table 14(zc).
14.04.18	PC7-316.6	Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.18 as notified.
14.04.18	PC7-188.17	Synlait Milk Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.18 as notified, provided that the requested amendments to Table 14(zc) are adopted.
14.04.18	PC7-468.17	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.18 as notified.
14.04.18	PC7-214.123	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.18 in its entirety and replace with the framework sought in submission point PC7-214.122.
14.04.18	PC7-90.2	Bell, M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.8 to redefine the Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area to where nitrogen is leaching from.
14.04.18	PC7-219.10	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.18 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.04.18	PC7-161.4	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.18 to require firm GMPs to be set and create achievable proxies to provide a certain starting point from which reductions must be made.
14.04.18	PC7-161.5	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.18 to provide longer timeframes to achieve outcomes.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.18	PC7-161.6	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.18 to require focus on achieving water quality outcomes by monitoring and reviewing surface and groundwater within the zone, and make decisions based on this instead of inaccurate modelling.
14.04.18	PC7-430.197	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.18 to distinguish Sherwood from Ashwick Flat within the Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area and test/monitor these areas individually, to ensure appropriate recommendations for the two areas.
14.04.18	PC7-435.2	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.18 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.18	PC7-435.17	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.18 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.18	PC7-469.2	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.18 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.18	PC7-469.17	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.18 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.18	PC7-464.7	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.18 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.18	PC7-464.22	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.18 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.18	PC7-412.1	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.18 to delete the percentage reductions in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas.
14.04.18	PC7-472.165	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.18 to ensure tuhituhi neherā are recognised for all RMA section 6 matters.
14.04.18	PC7-472.172	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.18 to ensure that reductions in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas are increased over the life of the Plan.
14.04.18	PC7-235.33	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.18 to allow for cessation of the reduction targets where water quality outcomes are met.
14.04.18	PC7-361.24	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.18 to require the provision to take into account individuals affected by both nitrogen reductions and changes to irrigation supply.
14.04.18	PC7-114.35	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.18 as follows: a. defining the Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area, Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area and Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area within which targeted reductions of nitrogen loss reductions are required to achieve the nitrate-nitrogen, total nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen targets specified in Tables 14(d), 14(f) and 14(g); in accordance with Table 14(zc) are required; and
14.04.18	PC7-352.30	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.18 by removing the Green Nutrient Allocation Zone from the Rangitata Orton High Nitrate Concentration Area and adjusting the reductions to account for the current nutrient allocation zones water quality statuses.
14.04.19	PC7-292.68	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Policy 14.4.19 as notified.
14.04.19	PC7-351.43	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.19 as notified.
14.04.19	PC7-188.18	Synlait Milk Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.19 as notified, provided that the requested amendments to Table 14(zc) are adopted.
14.04.19	PC7-214.124	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.19 in its entirety and replace with the framework sought in submission point PC7-214.122.
14.04.19	PC7-114.102	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (c) of Policy 14.4.19.
14.04.19	PC7-68.16	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to allow consent durations greater than 10 years once the water quality targets are achieved.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.19	PC7-97.10	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to allow consent durations greater than 10 years once the water quality targets are achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-219.11	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.04.19 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.04.19	PC7-161.7	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to require firm GMPs to be set and create achievable proxies to provide a certain starting point from which reductions must be made.
14.04.19	PC7-161.8	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to provide longer times to achieve outcomes.
14.04.19	PC7-161.9	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to require focus on achieving water quality outcomes by monitoring and reviewing surface and groundwater within the zone, and make decisions based on this instead of inaccurate modelling.
14.04.19	PC7-416.9	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to acknowledge the concepts of the Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rates and Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rates.
14.04.19	PC7-110.1	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 so that consents greater than 10 years duration can be granted once water quality targets are achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-435.3	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.19	PC7-435.18	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.19	PC7-469.3	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.19	PC7-469.18	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.19	PC7-464.8	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.19	PC7-464.23	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.19	PC7-412.2	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to delete the percentage reductions in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas.
14.04.19	PC7-115.14	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 so that consents greater than 10 years duration can be granted once the water quality targets are achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-278.67	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to be subject to trigger levels.
14.04.19	PC7-278.68	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 so that the Fonetra discharge consent can be assessed against its own merits, rather than averaged out over the remainder of the catchment.
14.04.19	PC7-278.70	McFarlane Agriculture Ltd & McFlynn Potatoes Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 so that if targets are being met before step changes then the reductions beyond GMP are void.
14.04.19	PC7-416.19	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to provide for consent terms greater than 10 years when the farming activity incorporates industrial discharges.
14.04.19	PC7-430.323	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to insert a new clause as follows: <u>d. rigorous and comprehensive monitoring of groundwater nitrogen concentrations in the High Nitrogen Concentration Areas, using an appropriately placed network of monitor wells. This will include the establishment of new monitor wells as needed.</u>
14.04.19	PC7-472.166	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to ensure tuhituhi neherā are recognised for all RMA section 6 matters.
14.04.19	PC7-472.173	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to ensure that reductions in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas are increased over the life of the Plan.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.19	PC7-487.14	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to provide for consents greater than 10 years duration can be granted once water quality targets are achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-235.34	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to allow for cessation of the reduction targets where water quality outcomes are met.
14.04.19	PC7-357.74	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to insert a new clause as follows: <u>d. if the water quality targets in Table 14(g) are achieved, the further reductions in nitrogen loss beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates, or consent nitrogen loss rates, in accordance with Table 14(zc), do not apply to a resource consent for a farming activity.</u>
14.04.19	PC7-235.38	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to accurately reflect the percentage of reduction required to meet required outcomes in line with decision requested in PC7-235.37.
14.04.19	PC7-364.26	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to allow consent durations greater than 10 years once the water quality targets are achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-298.13	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to provide for consent durations greater than 10 years once water quality targets are achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-283.16	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to provide for consent durations greater than 10 years once water quality targets are achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-294.17	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to provide for consent durations greater than 10 years once water quality targets are achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-223.15	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to provide for consent durations greater than 10 years once water quality targets are achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-445.16	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 so that consents greater than 10 years duration can be granted once water quality targets have been achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-168.15	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to provide for consent durations greater than 10 years once water quality targets are achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-361.16	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to allow consent durations greater than 10 years once the water quality targets are achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-236.14	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to provide for consent durations greater than 10 years once water quality targets are achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-175.16	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to allow consent durations greater than 10 years once the water quality targets are achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-169.15	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to provide for consent durations greater than 10 years once water quality targets are achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-361.25	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Require Policy 14.4.19 to take into account individuals affected by both nitrogen reductions and changes to irrigation supply.
14.04.19	PC7-456.15	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to provide for resource consents with durations greater than 10-years to be granted, once water quality targets are achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-370.32	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.19 to provide for resource consents with durations greater than 10-years to be granted, once water quality targets are achieved.
14.04.19	PC7-468.18	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Retain Policy 14.4.19 as notified.
14.04.19	PC7-114.36	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.19 as follows: a. all resource consents granted for farming activities that require the preparation of a nutrient budget being subject to consent conditions requiring further reductions in nitrogen loss <u>to contribute to the achievement of the nitrate-nitrogen, total nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen targets specified in Tables 14(d), 14(f) and 14(g) and, by 2030, for nitrogen losses from dairy farming activities to be reduced by 15% and from all other farming activities by 5% beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates, or consented nitrogen loss rates, in accordance with Table 14(zc); and</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.19	PC7-357.49	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.19 as follows: a. all resource consents granted for farming activities that require the preparation of a nutrient budget, being subject to consent conditions requiring further reductions in nitrogen loss beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates, or consented nitrogen loss rates, in accordance with Table 14(zc), <u>until the water quality targets in Table 14(g) are achieved</u> ; and
14.04.19	PC7-430.198	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.19 as follows: b. limiting the duration of any resource consent for a farming activity that is required to make further reductions in nitrogen loss (beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates or consented nitrogen loss rates) in accordance with Table 14(zc), to no more than ten years and only imposing one reduction beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates or consented nitrogen loss rates per consent term, <u>until the nitrate-nitrogen targets in Table 14(g) are achieved</u> ; and
14.04.19	PC7-381.47	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.19 as follows: ... b. limiting the duration of any resource consent for a farming activity that is required to make further reductions in nitrogen loss (beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates or consented nitrogen loss rates) in accordance with Table 14(zc), to no more than ten years and only imposing one reduction beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates or consented nitrogen loss rates per consent term <u>until the water quality targets in Table 14(g) are achieved</u> ; and ...
14.04.19	PC7-114.101	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.19 as follows: b. limiting the duration of any resource consent for a farming activity that is required to make further reductions in nitrogen loss (beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates or consented nitrogen loss rates) in accordance with Table 14(zc), to <u>generally</u> no more than ten years and only imposing one reduction beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates or consented nitrogen loss rates per consent term ; and
14.04.19	PC7-235.29	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.19 as follows: ... limiting the duration of any resource consent for a farming activity that is required to make further reductions in nitrogen loss (beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates or consented nitrogen loss rates) in accordance with Table 14(zc), to no more than ten years and only imposing one reduction beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates or consented nitrogen loss rates per consent term <u>more than 2035 or until the water quality targets in Table 14(g) are achieved</u> ; and ...
14.04.19	PC7-357.73	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.19 as follows: b. limiting the duration of <u>for</u> any resource consent for a farming activity that is required to make further reductions in nitrogen loss (beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates or consented nitrogen loss rates) in accordance with Table 14(zc), to no more than ten years and only imposing one reduction beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates or consented nitrogen loss rates per consent term, <u>until the water quality targets in Table 14(g) are achieved</u> ; and
14.04.19	PC7-441.32	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.19 as follows: ... c. avoiding the grant of <u>generally not granting</u> any resource consent that will result in a farming activity not reducing nitrogen losses beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates or consented nitrogen loss rates.
14.04.19	PC7-235.23	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.19 as follows: c. avoiding the grant of any resource consent that will result in a farming activity not reducing nitrogen losses beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates or consented nitrogen loss rates <u>(except where Policy 14.4.20A applies)</u> .

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.20	PC7-160.86	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20 as notified.
14.04.20	PC7-292.69	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Policy 14.4.20 as notified.
14.04.20	PC7-441.33	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20 as notified.
14.04.20	PC7-430.199	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20 as notified.
14.04.20	PC7-468.19	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20 as notified.
14.04.20	PC7-214.125	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.20 in its entirety and replace with the framework sought in submission point PC7-214.122.
14.04.20	PC7-219.12	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.04.20	PC7-161.10	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20 to require firm GMPs to be set and create achievable proxies to provide a certain starting point from which reductions must be made.
14.04.20	PC7-161.11	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20 to provide longer times to achieve outcomes.
14.04.20	PC7-161.12	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20 to require focus on achieving water quality outcomes by monitoring and reviewing surface and groundwater within the zone, and make decisions based on this instead of inaccurate modelling.
14.04.20	PC7-435.4	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.20	PC7-435.19	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.20	PC7-415.13	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20 to allow activities to exceed the Baseline GMP Loss Rate where the applicant can show that the proposed increase would not have an adverse environmental effect.
14.04.20	PC7-469.4	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.20	PC7-469.19	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.20	PC7-464.9	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.20	PC7-464.24	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.20	PC7-412.3	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20 to delete the percentage reductions in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas.
14.04.20	PC7-472.167	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20 to ensure tuhituhi neherā are recognised for all RMA section 6 matters.
14.04.20	PC7-235.35	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20 to allow for cessation of the reduction targets where water quality outcomes are met.
14.04.20	PC7-361.26	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20 to require the provision to take into account individuals affected by both nitrogen reductions and changes to irrigation supply.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.20	PC7-235.24	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.20 as follows: ... a. the Baseline GMP Loss Rate has been lawfully exceeded prior to 20 July 2019 and the application for resource consent contains evidence that directly and specifically establishes that the exceedance was lawful; and, or the property held shares in the Rangitata South Irrigation Scheme during the baseline period, continues to hold shares and the application for resource consent establishes that the present day use does not exceed the <u>Good Management Practice Loss Rate taken between 2016 and 2020.</u> ...
14.04.20	PC7-110.2	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.20 as follows: b. the nitrogen loss calculation remains below the lesser of either <u>will be</u> the Good Management Practice Loss Rate or the nitrogen loss calculation that occurred in the four years prior to 20 July 2019; and
14.04.20	PC7-114.37	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.20 as follows: c. for properties within the Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area, Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area and Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area, the applicant commits to <u>reducing nitrogen losses to contribute to the achievement of the nitrate-nitrogen, total nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen targets specified in Tables 14(d), 14(f) and 14(g) and, by 2030, for nitrogen losses from dairy farming activities to be reduced by 15% and from all other farming activities by 5% achieving the percentage-based nitrogen loss reductions in Table 14(zc).</u>
14.04.20	PC7-357.51	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.20 as follows: c. for properties within the Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area, Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration Area and Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area, <u>until the water quality targets in Table 14(g) are achieved,</u> the applicant commits to achieving the percentage-based nitrogen loss reductions in Table 14(zc).
14.04.20	PC7-110.3	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.20 as follows: c. for properties within the Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration area, Fairlie Basin High Nitrogen Concentration area and Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration area, the applicant commits to achieving the percentage based nitrogen loss reductions in Table 14(zc). <u>Where your current nitrogen loss calculation is lower than, GMP less the percentage based nitrogen loss reductions in Table 14(zc) this will be the loss rate and no further reduction will be required.</u>
14.04.20A	PC7-292.70	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Policy 14.4.20A as notified.
14.04.20A	PC7-441.34	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20A as notified.
14.04.20A	PC7-340.20	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20A as notified.
14.04.20A	PC7-468.20	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20A as notified.
14.04.20A	PC7-235.31	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Support	Retain clause (c) of Policy 14.4.20A as notified.
14.04.20A	PC7-214.126	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.20A in its entirety and replace with the framework sought in submission point PC7-214.122.
14.04.20A	PC7-472.171	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete clause (c) of Policy 14.4.20A.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.20A	PC7-114.38	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A as follows: Where an application for a land use consent for a farming activity demonstrates the nitrogen loss rate reductions required by Policy 14.4.20(c) are unable <u>may not be able</u> to be achieved by the dates specified in Table 14(zc), any application for an extension of time to achieve those reductions will be considered having regard to: ...
14.04.20A	PC7-68.17	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-97.11	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-219.13	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.04.20A	PC7-357.52	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A as follows: Where an application for a land use consent for a farming activity <u>or a holder of an existing land use consent for a farming activity</u> demonstrates the nitrogen loss rate reductions required by Policy 14.4.20(c) are unable to be achieved by the dates specified in Table 14(zc), any application for an extension of time to achieve those reductions will be considered having regard to: ...
14.04.20A	PC7-110.4	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-430.200	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A as follows: Where an [applicant] application for, <u>or holder of,</u> a land use consent for a farming activity demonstrates the nitrogen loss rate reductions required by Policy 14.4.20(c) are unable to be achieved by the dates specified in Table 14(zc), any application for an extension of time to achieve those reductions will be considered having regard to:
14.04.20A	PC7-381.48	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A as follows: 14.4.20A Where an applicant <u>or a holder of an existing land use consent for a farming activity</u> demonstrates the nitrogen loss rate reductions required by Policy 14.4.20(c) are unable to be achieved by the dates specified in Table 14(zc), any application for an extension of time to achieve those reductions will be considered having regard to: ...
14.04.20A	PC7-435.5	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.20A	PC7-435.20	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.20A	PC7-469.5	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.20A	PC7-469.20	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.20A	PC7-464.10	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.20A	PC7-464.25	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.20A	PC7-306.10	Springfield Partnership Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to ensure no further reduction is required after you reach 15 kg N/ha loss.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.20A	PC7-412.4	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to delete the percentage reductions in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas.
14.04.20A	PC7-115.15	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-472.168	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to ensure tuhituhi neherā are recognised for all RMA section 6 matters.
14.04.20A	PC7-487.15	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-235.36	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to allow for cessation of the reduction targets where water quality outcomes are met.
14.04.20A	PC7-357.75	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to insert a new clause as follows: <u>f. the effects of achieving the environmental flow and allocation regimes set out in tables 14(h) to 14(za).</u>
14.04.20A	PC7-364.27	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-298.14	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-283.17	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-294.18	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-223.16	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-445.17	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-168.16	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-361.17	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-236.15	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-175.17	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-169.16	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-361.27	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to require the provision to take into account individuals affected by both nitrogen reductions and changes to irrigation supply.
14.04.20A	PC7-456.16	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-370.33	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20A to enable holders of existing land use consents to apply for an extension of time.
14.04.20A	PC7-114.103	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.20A as follows: d. the nature, sequencing, measurability, effectiveness and enforceability of any steps proposed to achieve the the nitrogen loss rate reductions; and
14.04.20B	PC7-114.39	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.20B	PC7-68.18	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-97.12	Hawkins, M	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-292.71	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-441.35	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-110.5	Raumea Farms Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-430.201	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-381.49	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-415.8	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-115.16	Henderson, T	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-235.32	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-487.16	Mackenzie College	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-364.28	Phillips Farming Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-373.1	Annfield Dairies	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-298.15	Barwoods Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-240.15	O'Sullivan, W D	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-283.18	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-294.19	Cascade Creek Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-223.17	Coles Farms	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-445.18	Pearse, H	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-168.17	Davies, D	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-370.1	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-361.18	Tregellen Farm limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-236.16	Glenfield Farm	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-175.18	Caird, B	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-169.17	Jackson, H	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.
14.04.20B	PC7-456.17	Vetlife Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20B as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.20B	PC7-214.135	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.20B in its entirety.
14.04.20B	PC7-219.14	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20B to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.04.20B	PC7-357.53	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20B as follows: Provide for the use of an Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate in those limited circumstances where it is demonstrated that the Farm Portal is unable to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate or the number generated is demonstrated to be erroneous.
14.04.20B	PC7-416.10	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20B as follows: Provide for the use of <u>consideration of</u> an Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate in those limited circumstances where it is demonstrated that the Farm Portal is unable to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate or the number generated is demonstrated to be erroneous.
14.04.20B	PC7-468.11	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20B as follows: Provide for the use <u>consideration</u> of an Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate in those limited circumstances where it is demonstrated that the Farm Portal is unable to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate or the number generated is demonstrated to be erroneous.
14.04.20B	PC7-435.6	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20B to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.20B	PC7-435.21	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20B to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.20B	PC7-469.6	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20B to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.20B	PC7-469.21	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20B to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.20B	PC7-464.11	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20B to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.20B	PC7-464.26	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20B to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.20B	PC7-472.169	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20B to ensure tuhituhi neherā are recognised for all RMA section 6 matters.
14.04.20C	PC7-114.40	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20C as notified.
14.04.20C	PC7-292.72	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Policy 14.4.20C as notified.
14.04.20C	PC7-441.36	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20C as notified.
14.04.20C	PC7-468.12	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20C as notified.
14.04.20C	PC7-415.9	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.20C as notified.
14.04.20C	PC7-336.7	Bonifacio Family Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.20C in its entirety.
14.04.20C	PC7-214.136	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.20C in its entirety and replace with the framework sought in submission point PC7-214.122.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.20C	PC7-357.54	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.20C in its entirety.
14.04.20C	PC7-68.19	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only conditions relating to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-97.13	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only conditions relating to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-219.15	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.04.20C	PC7-110.6	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only the conditions relating to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-430.202	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C as follows: Where resource consent is granted for the use of land for a farming activity and that resource consent restricts the nitrogen loss rate from the farming activity to an Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate, impose conditions that enable a review of that resource consent when the Farm Portal is able to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate, <u>which is not erroneous and represents the farm system</u> , for that farming activity.
14.04.20C	PC7-381.50	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C as follows: 14.4.20C Where resource consent is granted for the use of land for a farming activity and that resource consent restricts the nitrogen loss rate from the farming activity to an Equivalent Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate, impose conditions that enable a review of <u>the conditions of that resource consent relating to nutrient discharge allowance conditions</u> when the Farm Portal is able to generate a Baseline GMP Loss Rate or Good Management Practice Loss Rate for that farming activity.
14.04.20C	PC7-435.7	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.20C	PC7-435.22	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.20C	PC7-469.7	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.20C	PC7-469.22	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.20C	PC7-464.12	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.20C	PC7-464.27	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.20C	PC7-115.17	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only the conditions relating to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-472.170	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C to ensure tuhituhi neherā are recognised for all RMA section 6 matters.
14.04.20C	PC7-487.17	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C to allow only the conditions relating to the nutrient discharge allowance to be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-364.29	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only conditions relating to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-373.2	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only the conditions relating to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-298.19	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only the conditions related to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.20C	PC7-240.16	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only conditions relating to nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-283.19	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only the conditions related to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-294.20	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only the conditions related to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-223.18	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only the conditions related to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-445.19	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only the conditions relating to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-168.18	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only the conditions related to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-370.2	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only the conditions relating to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-361.19	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only conditions relating to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-236.17	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only the conditions related to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-175.19	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only conditions relating to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-169.18	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C so that only the conditions related to the nutrient discharge allowance can be reviewed.
14.04.20C	PC7-456.18	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.20C to only allow the review of conditions relating to the nutrient discharge allowance.
14.04.21	PC7-160.88	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.21 as notified.
14.04.21	PC7-351.44	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.21 as notified.
14.04.21	PC7-416.5	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.21 in its entirety. OR Amend the wider provisions of Section 14 such that there is certainty as to what takes are affected.
14.04.21	PC7-415.14	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.21 in its entirety, or alternatively, amend Policy 14.4.21 to specify that it only applies in the Orari-Opihi groundwater allocation zone.
14.04.21	PC7-219.16	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.04.21	PC7-336.8	Bonifacio Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 to delete the term "immediately" and replace with a staged approach to reviewing resource consents over a longer time frame.
14.04.21	PC7-336.9	Bonifacio Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 to require more scientifically robust evidence to account for the change in stream depletion methodology that accounts for the significant difference in hydrology of the Opihi Catchment.
14.04.21	PC7-292.73	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	If PC7-292.60 is granted, then retain Policy 14.4.21 as notified.
14.04.21	PC7-161.13	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 to require firm GMPs to be set and create achievable proxies to provide a certain starting point from which reductions must be made.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.21	PC7-161.14	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 to provide longer timeframes to achieve outcomes.
14.04.21	PC7-161.15	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 to require focus on achieving water quality outcomes by monitoring and reviewing surface and groundwater within the zone, and make decisions based on this instead of inaccurate modelling
14.04.21	PC7-430.203	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 as follows: Assist with achieving the freshwater outcomes for the Orari, Temuka and Opihi Freshwater Management Units, including by way of the review by reviewing, immediately after Plan Change 7 is made operative, of all surface water and stream depleting groundwater permits with a direct or high stream-depletion effect, with reference to and by implementing the environmental flow and allocation regimes in Tables 14 (h) to 14(y) on all reviewed permits.
14.04.21	PC7-381.51	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 as follows: Assist with achieving the freshwater outcomes for the Orari, Temuka and Opihi Freshwater Management Units by including, by way of consent review, for reviewing, immediately after plan change 7 is made operative, all surface water and stream depleting groundwater permits with a direct or high stream-depletion effect, and by implementing the environmental flow and allocation regimes in Tables 14 (h) to 14 (y) on all reviewed permits.
14.04.21	PC7-435.8	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.21	PC7-435.23	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.21	PC7-469.8	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.21	PC7-469.23	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.21	PC7-464.13	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.21	PC7-464.28	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.21	PC7-352.31	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 to state the process that will be undertaken to review consents, in particular clarifying what defines a groundwater take as having a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.04.21	PC7-352.32	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 to consider environmental, economic and social impacts on landowners and communities when reviewing consents.
14.04.21	PC7-318.39	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 as follows: Assist with achieving the freshwater outcomes for the Orari, Temuka and Opihi Freshwater Management Units by reviewing, immediately after Plan Change 7 is made operative <u>prior to 1 January 2025,</u> all surface water and stream depleting groundwater permits with a direct or high stream-depletion effect, and by implementing the environmental flow and allocation regimes in Tables 14 (h) to 14(y) on all reviewed permits.
14.04.21	PC7-295.3	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 as follows: Assist with achieving the freshwater outcomes for the Orari, Temuka and Opihi Freshwater Management Units by reviewing, immediately after Plan Change 7 is made operative, all surface water and stream depleting groundwater permits with a direct or high stream-depletion effect, and by implementing the environmental flow and allocation regimes in Tables 14 (h) to 14(y) on all reviewed permits.
14.04.21	PC7-384.26	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	If relief sought in decisions requested PC7-384.1, PC7-384.5 to PC7-384.25 is not granted then amend Policy 14.4.21 to provide a time extension for high or direct stream-depleting groundwater abstractions within Upper Coopers Creek Sub-Catchment to be reviewed.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.21	PC7-235.26	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 to allow additional time to Rangitata South Irrigation Limited shareholders for staging of consent review and implementation of allocation regimes on those water permits while Rangitata South Irrigation Limited increases reliability of supply to its shareholders.
14.04.21	PC7-319.36	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.21 as follows: Assist with achieving the freshwater outcomes for the Orari, Temuka and Ophi Freshwater Management Units by reviewing, immediately after Plan Change 7 is made operative prior to 1 January 2025, all surface water and stream depleting groundwater permits with a direct or high stream-depletion effect, and by implementing the environmental flow and allocation regimes in Tables 14(h) to 14(y) on all reviewed permits.
14.04.22	PC7-292.74	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.22 as notified.
14.04.22	PC7-219.17	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.22 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.04.22	PC7-214.137	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.22 to be consistent the framework sought in submission point PC7-214.122, but retain the proposed wording to protect security of supply for stock drinking water.
14.04.22	PC7-161.16	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.22 to require firm GMPs to be set and create achievable proxies to provide a certain starting point from which reductions must be made.
14.04.22	PC7-161.17	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.22 to provide longer timeframes to achieve outcomes.
14.04.22	PC7-161.18	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.22 to require focus on achieving water quality outcomes by monitoring and reviewing surface and groundwater within the zone, and make decisions based on this instead of inaccurate modelling.
14.04.22	PC7-424.7	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.22 as follows: Over-allocation of fresh water in the Orari Freshwater Management Unit is is <u>must be addressed by Timaru District Council all water users by demonstrating increased efficiency, on or before 2044, increased efficiency for any replacement of CRC173644, or any variation thereof; and to ensure the security of supply for community drinking water and stockwater is protected by continuing to reserve a total flow rate of 235 L/s for Timaru District Council, in addition to the volumes in Table 14(h), which form as part of the environmental flow and allocation regime for Orari Freshwater Management Unit.</u>
14.04.22	PC7-435.9	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.22 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.22	PC7-435.24	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.22 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.22	PC7-469.9	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.22 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.22	PC7-469.24	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.22 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.22	PC7-464.14	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.22 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.22	PC7-464.29	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.22 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.22	PC7-356.61	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.22 as follows: 'Over-allocation of fresh water in the Orari Freshwater Management Unit is addressed by Timaru District Council demonstrating, on or before 2044, increased efficiency for any replacement of CRC173644, or any variation thereof; and security of supply for community drinking water, and <u>stockwater and rootstock and crop survival water</u> is protected by continuing to reserve a total flow rate of 235 L/s ...'

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.23	PC7-430.205	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain clauses (a) and (c) of Policy 14.4.23 with regard to water user groups as notified.
14.04.23	PC7-430.204	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.23 as notified only if blanket partial restrictions (clause (b)) are consistent with recommendations of the Catchment Flow and Allocation Working Party.
14.04.23	PC7-384.4	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.23 in its entirety. Alternatively, amend to allow greater flexibility in the levels of restrictions in (b), for example stepped increments at 10% intervals.
14.04.23	PC7-219.18	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.23 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.04.23	PC7-161.19	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.23 to require firm GMPs to be set and create achievable proxies to provide a certain starting point from which reductions must be made.
14.04.23	PC7-161.20	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.23 to provide longer times to achieve outcomes.
14.04.23	PC7-161.21	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.23 to require focus on achieving water quality outcomes by monitoring and reviewing surface and groundwater within the zone, and make decisions based on this instead of inaccurate modelling.
14.04.23	PC7-424.8	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.23 so the intent is clearer.
14.04.23	PC7-435.10	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.23 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.23	PC7-435.25	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.23 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.23	PC7-469.10	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.23 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.23	PC7-469.25	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.23 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.23	PC7-464.15	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.23 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.23	PC7-464.30	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.23 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.24	PC7-430.206	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.24 as notified.
14.04.24	PC7-219.19	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.24 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.04.24	PC7-161.22	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.24 to require firm GMPs to be set and create achievable proxies to provide a certain starting point from which reductions must be made.
14.04.24	PC7-161.23	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.24 to provide longer timeframes to achieve outcomes.
14.04.24	PC7-161.24	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.24 to require focus on achieving water quality outcomes by monitoring and reviewing surface and groundwater within the zone, and make decisions based on this instead of inaccurate modelling.
14.04.24	PC7-435.11	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.24 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.24	PC7-435.26	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.24 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.24	PC7-469.11	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.24 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.24	PC7-469.26	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.24 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.24	PC7-464.16	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.24 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.24	PC7-464.31	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.24 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.25	PC7-430.207	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.25 as notified.
14.04.25	PC7-351.45	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.25 as notified.
14.04.25	PC7-219.20	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.25 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.04.25	PC7-292.75	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	If PC7-292.60 is accepted, then retain Policy 14.4.25 as notified.
14.04.25	PC7-161.25	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.25 to require firm GMPs to be set and create achievable proxies to provide a certain starting point from which reductions must be made.
14.04.25	PC7-161.26	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.25 to provide longer times to achieve outcomes.
14.04.25	PC7-161.27	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.25 to require focus on achieving water quality outcomes by monitoring and reviewing surface and groundwater within the zone, and make decisions based on this instead of inaccurate modelling.
14.04.25	PC7-416.6	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.25 as follows: In the Orari Freshwater Management Unit, all permits for groundwater takes from within the conjunctive use zone shall have minimum flow conditions in accordance with the environmental flow and allocation regime set out in Table 14(h), unless the application for resource consent demonstrates that the take will not have a direct, or high or moderate degree of stream depletion effect as determined through field testing in accordance with Schedule 9.
14.04.25	PC7-145.2	Orari Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.25 as follows: In the Orari Freshwater Management Unit, all permits for groundwater takes from within the <u>Orari</u> Conjunctive Use Zone shall have minimum flow conditions in accordance with the environmental flow and allocation regime set out in Table 14(h), unless the application for resource consent demonstrates that the take will not have a direct, high or moderate degree of stream depletion effect as determined through field testing in accordance with Schedule 9.
14.04.25	PC7-435.12	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.25 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.25	PC7-435.27	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.25 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.25	PC7-469.12	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.25 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.25	PC7-469.27	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.25 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.25	PC7-464.17	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.25 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.25	PC7-464.32	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.25 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.26	PC7-430.208	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.26 as notified.
14.04.26	PC7-219.21	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.26 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.04.26	PC7-161.28	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.26 to require firm GMPs to be set and create achievable proxies to provide a certain starting point from which reductions must be made.
14.04.26	PC7-161.29	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.26 to provide longer timeframes to achieve outcomes.
14.04.26	PC7-161.30	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.26 to require focus on achieving water quality outcomes by monitoring and reviewing surface and groundwater within the zone, and make decisions based on this instead of inaccurate modelling.
14.04.26	PC7-435.13	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.26 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.26	PC7-435.28	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.26 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.26	PC7-469.13	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.26 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.26	PC7-469.28	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.26 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.26	PC7-464.33	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.26 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.27	PC7-430.209	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.27 as notified.
14.04.27	PC7-219.22	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.27 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.04.27	PC7-161.31	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.27 to require firm GMPs to be set and create achievable proxies to provide a certain starting point from which reductions must be made.
14.04.27	PC7-161.32	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.27 to provide longer timeframes to achieve outcomes.
14.04.27	PC7-161.33	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.27 to require focus on achieving water quality outcomes by monitoring and reviewing surface and groundwater within the zone, and make decisions based on this instead of inaccurate modelling.
14.04.27	PC7-435.14	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.27 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.27	PC7-435.29	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.27 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.27	PC7-469.14	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.27 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.27	PC7-469.29	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.27 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.27	PC7-464.19	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.27 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.27	PC7-464.34	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.27 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.28	PC7-292.76	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Policy 14.4.28 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.28	PC7-430.210	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.28 as notified, provided the requirement (e.g. 30% reduction) is achievable and realistic.
14.04.28	PC7-351.46	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.28 as notified.
14.04.28	PC7-214.127	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.28 in its entirety and replace with the framework sought in submission point PC7-214.122.
14.04.28	PC7-219.23	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.28 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.04.28	PC7-161.34	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.28 to require firm GMPs to be set and create achievable proxies to provide a certain starting point from which reductions must be made.
14.04.28	PC7-161.35	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.28 to provide longer timeframes to achieve outcomes.
14.04.28	PC7-161.36	Orari Estate Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.28 to require focus on achieving water quality outcomes by monitoring and reviewing surface and groundwater within the zone, and make decisions based on this instead of inaccurate modelling.
14.04.28	PC7-416.11	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.28 as follows: Assist in achieving water quality targets in the Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area by requiring, in addition to Policy 14.4.19, point source discharges of nitrogen from industrial or trade waste disposal activities to reduce nitrogen losses <u>load</u> by 30% below current consented rates by 1 January 2035.
14.04.28	PC7-435.15	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.28 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.28	PC7-435.30	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.28 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.28	PC7-469.15	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.28 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.28	PC7-469.30	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.28 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.28	PC7-464.20	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.28 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.04.28	PC7-464.35	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.28 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.04.28	PC7-188.20	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.28 to remove the requirement for industry to reduce by 30% in situations where the industrial discharge as part of a farming activity.
14.04.28	PC7-472.174	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.28 to reduce the timeframe and increase the required reduction.
14.04.28	PC7-361.28	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.28 to require the provision to take into account individuals affected by both nitrogen reductions and changes to irrigation supply.
14.04.30	PC7-351.47	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.30 as notified.
14.04.30	PC7-553.1	Woolstencroft, H	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.30 in its entirety.
14.04.30	PC7-424.208	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.30.
14.04.30	PC7-160.89	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.30 to condense the timeframes for full implementation of the 2035 flow and allocation restrictions.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.30	PC7-292.77	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.30 as follows: Over allocation of the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit is phased out before 1 January 2035 by: ... <u>An exception to this requirement is a community water supply take held by Timaru District Council.</u>
14.04.30	PC7-430.211	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.30 as follows: Over allocation of the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit is phased out before 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> by: ... d. achieving allocation limits of 1.6 m ³ /s for the A Allocation Block and 0.4 m ³ /s for the B Allocation Block by 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> .
14.04.30	PC7-341.9	Gibson Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.30 as follows: Over allocation of the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit is phased out before 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> by:...
14.04.30	PC7-424.9	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.30 as follows: Over allocation of the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit is phased out before 1 January 2035 <u>1 January 2025*</u> by: * Summariser's note: the submitter requests phasing out of over-allocation to commence from the date PC7 becomes operative and reductions be achieved by 2025.
14.04.30	PC7-318.40	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.30 as follows: Over allocation of the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit is phased out before 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> by: ... d. achieving allocation limits of 1.6 m ³ /s for the A Allocation Block and 0.4 m ³ /s for the B Allocation Block by 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> .
14.04.30	PC7-295.4	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.30 as follows: Over allocation of the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit is phased out before 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> by: (a)... (b)... (c) further increasing the minimum flow restrictions for the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit at Manse Bridge and imposing, from 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> , pro-rata partial restrictions on abstractions in accordance with Table 14(l) so as to avoid the breach of any applicable minimum flow; and (d) achieving allocation limits of 1.6 m ³ /s for the A Allocation Block and 0.4 m ³ /s for the B Allocation Block by 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> .
14.04.30	PC7-430.324	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.30 to delete all aspects of the provision which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.04.30	PC7-318.65	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.30 by inserting a new clause as follows: <u>e. enabling the voluntary reduction in allocation to all existing water permits; and</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.30	PC7-318.66	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.30 by inserting a new clause as follows: <u>f. Barkers Food Processing Limited demonstrating on or before 2026, increased efficiency for any replacement of CRC166228, or any variation thereof, and security of supply for community fire-fighting and drinking water, stock water and industrial processing water is protected by reserving a total flow rate of 20 L/s in addition to the allocation in Tables 14(i) to (k).</u>
14.04.30	PC7-472.175	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.30 to reduce the timeframes.
14.04.30	PC7-319.37	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.30 as follows: Over allocation of the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit is phased out before 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> .
14.04.30	PC7-424.207	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.30 as follows: <u>a. Increasing the imposing increased minimum flow restrictions for the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit at Manse Bridge 3 years from the date this plan change becomes operative in accordance with Table 14(i); and</u>
14.04.30	PC7-424.209	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.30 as follows: <u>be. further increasing the minimum flow restrictions for the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit at Manse Bridge and imposing, from 1 January 2035 1 January 2025, pro-rata partial restrictions on abstractions in accordance with Table 14(l) so as to avoid the breach of any applicable minimum flow; and</u>
14.04.30	PC7-319.38	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.30 as follows: d. achieving allocation limits of 1.6m ³ /s for A Allocation Block and 0.4m ³ /s for the B Allocation Block by 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> .
14.04.30	PC7-424.210	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.30 as follows: <u>cd. achieving allocation limits of 1.6 m³/s for the A Allocation Block and 0.4 m³/s for the B Allocation Block by 1 January 2035.</u>
14.04.30	PC7-391.3	Barker Fruit Processing Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.30 by inserting new clause (e) as follows: <u>e. Barker Food Processing Limited demonstrating, on or before 2026, increased efficiency for any replacement of CRC166228, or any variation thereof, and security of supply for community drinking water, stockwater, fire-fighting purposes and industrial processing water is protected by reserving a total flow rate of 20 L/s in addition to the volumes in Table 14(i) to (k).</u> And / or, as alternative relief Amend Tables 14(i) to 14(l) to provide clarity as to the status of the Submitters' current and future takes for community water supply (i.e. a reserved allocation of up 20 L/s) and therefore exemption from the environmental flow and allocation limits in Tables 14(i) to 14(l) to properly implement the TCWP's preferred environmental flow and allocation regimes for the Temuka FMU.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.30	PC7-319.39	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.30 to insert clause (e) as follows: <u>e. enabling the voluntary reduction in allocation to all existing water permits; and</u>
14.04.30	PC7-319.40	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.30 to insert clause (f) as follows: <u>f. Barkers Food Processing Limited demonstrating on or before 2026, increased efficiency for any replacement of CRC166228, or any variation thereof, and security of supply for community fire-fighting and drinking water, stock water and industrial processing water is protected by reserving a total flow rate of 20 L/s in addition to the allocations in Tables 14(i) to (k).</u>
14.04.31	PC7-351.48	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.31 as notified.
14.04.31	PC7-347.17	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.31 to include an exclusion for surface and groundwater permits for community water supplies.
14.04.31	PC7-292.78	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.31 as follows: If by 1 January 2035 the allocation limits in Table 14(l) have not been achieved, review all surface water and groundwater permits in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit that have a direct or high stream depletion effect and impose conditions that require a proportional reduction in abstractions, commencing at a flow rate that is the sum of the minimum flow and total allocation at 1 January 2035. <u>An exception to this requirement is a community water supply take held by Timaru District Council.</u>
14.04.31	PC7-430.212	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.31 as follows: If by 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> the allocation limits in Table 14(l) have not been achieved, review all surface water and groundwater permits in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit that have a direct or high stream depletion effect and impose conditions that require a proportional reduction in abstractions, commencing at a flow rate that is the sum of the minimum flow and total allocation at 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> .
14.04.31	PC7-424.10	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.31 as follows: <u>Assist in the achievement of the 1.6 m³/s and 0.4 m³/s allocation targets for the A and B Blocks, and avoid the breach of any applicable minimum flow set out in Table 14(l), if by 1 January 2035 the allocation limits in Table 14(l) 1 January 2025 these targets have not been achieved, by reviewing all surface water and groundwater permits with a direct or high depletion effect in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit that have a direct or high stream depletion effect and to impose pro-rata partial restriction conditions that require a proportional reduction in abstractions, commencing at a flow rate that is the sum of the minimum flow and based on the total consented allocation of the allocation blocks at 1 January 2035 1 January 2025*.</u> * Summariser's note: the submitter requests phasing out of over-allocation to commence from the date PC7 becomes operative and reductions be achieved by 2025.
14.04.31	PC7-318.42	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.31 as follows: If by 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> the allocation limits in Table 14(l) have not been achieved, review all surface water and groundwater permits in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit that have a direct or high stream depletion effect and impose conditions that require a proportional reduction in abstractions, commencing at a flow rate that is the sum of the minimum flow and total allocation at 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> .

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.31	PC7-295.5	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.31 as follows: If by 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> the allocation limits in Table 14(l) have not been achieved, review all surface water and groundwater permits in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit that have a direct or high stream depletion effect and impose conditions that require a proportional reduction in abstractions, commencing at a flow rate that is the sum of the minimum flow and total allocation at 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> .
14.04.31	PC7-472.176	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.31 to reduce the timeframes.
14.04.31	PC7-319.42	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.31 as follows: If by 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> the allocation limits in Table 14(l) have not been achieved, review all surface water and groundwater permits in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit that have a direct or high stream depletion effect and impose conditions that require a proportional reduction in abstractions, commencing at a flow rate that is the sum of the minimum flow and total allocation at 1 January 2035 <u>2040</u> .
14.04.32	PC7-351.49	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.32 as notified.
14.04.32	PC7-472.177	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.32 as notified.
14.04.32	PC7-430.213	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.32 in its entirety.
14.04.32	PC7-318.43	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.32 and replace with: Assist in the achievement of the 1.6 m³/s and 0.4 m³/s allocation targets by avoiding the site to site transfer of any surface water permit, or groundwater permit that has a direct, high, or moderate stream depletion effect. <u>To assist in the phasing out of over-allocation of surface water or groundwater that has a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, by not granting any application to transfer water from until such time as the allocation limits within Table 14 (i) to (l) are achieved</u>
14.04.32	PC7-319.43	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.32 and replace with: <u>To assist in the phasing out of over-allocation of surface water or groundwater that has a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, by not granting any application to transfer water from until such time as the allocation limits within Table 14 (i) to (l) are achieved.</u>
14.04.32	PC7-292.79	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.32 as follows: Assist in the achievement of the 1.6 m ³ /s and 0.4 m ³ /s allocation targets by avoiding the site to site transfer of any surface water permit, or groundwater permit that has a direct, high, or moderate stream depletion effect. <u>An exception to this requirement is a community water supply take held by Timaru District Council.</u>
14.04.32	PC7-341.10	Gibson Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.32 to allow transfer where beneficial for national economy (employment).
14.04.33	PC7-430.214	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.33 in its entirety, pending further discussion, consideration and clarification on the issue.
14.04.33	PC7-341.11	Gibson Family Trust	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.33 in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.33	PC7-424.11	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Polict 14.4.33 to state that any reductions in existing allocation are to be returned to the river in the first instance to support restoration of flow and mahinga kai habitat, after which allowance shall be made to use allocation for ahi kā, mahinga kai and kaitiakitanga purposes.
14.04.33	PC7-351.50	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.33 as follows: Recognise and provide for the cultural importance of the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit to Ngāi Tahu by reserving an <u>a cultural</u> allocation of surface water from the Temuka River for the enhancement of mahinga kai and associated tangata whenua values.
14.04.33	PC7-318.44	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.33 as follows: Recognise and provide for the cultural importance of the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit to Ngāi Tahu by reserving <u>the Mahinga Kai Enhancement Allocation</u> an allocation of surface water from the Temuka River for the enhancement of mahinga kai and associated tangata whenua values.
14.04.33	PC7-319.44	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.33 as follows: Recognise and provide for the cultural importance of the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit to Ngāi Tahu by reserving <u>the Mahinga Kai Enhancement Allocation</u> an allocation of surface water from the Temuka River for the enhancement of mahinga kai and associated tangata whenua values.
14.04.34	PC7-166.5	Fox Peak Station Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.34 as notified.
14.04.34	PC7-430.215	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.34 as notified, subject to submissions on Tables 14(m)-14(y).
14.04.34	PC7-382.3	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.34 as notified, subject to relief sought in PC7-382.2, PC7-382.8, PC7-382.10, PC7-382.11 and PC7-382.13.
14.04.34	PC7-292.80	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.34 as follows: Surface water flows in un-augmented rivers within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit are improved by ensuring all AA, BA, KIL, AN and BN abstractions comply with the applicable environmental flow and allocation regimes set out in Tables 14(m) to 14(y) by the specified dates. <u>All references to AA and BA in this policy do not include any AA and/or BA permits held by the Timaru District Council for the purpose of community water supply.</u>
14.04.34	PC7-385.7	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.34 as follows: Surface water flows in un-augmented rivers within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit are improved by ensuring all AA, BA, KIL, AN and BN abstractions comply with the applicable environmental flow and allocation regimes set out in Tables 14(m) to <u>14(t) and Table 14(y)</u> by the specified dates.
14.04.34	PC7-351.51	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.34 as follows: Surface water flows in un-augmented rivers within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit are improved by ensuring all AA, BA, KIL, AN and BN abstractions comply with the applicable environmental flow and allocation regimes set out in Tables 14(m) to <u>14(t) and Table 14(y)</u> by the specified dates.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.34	PC7-381.124	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.34 as follows: Surface water flows in un-augmented rivers within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit are improved by ensuring all AA, BA, Kakahu , AN and BN abstractions comply with the applicable environmental flow and allocation regimes set out in Tables 14(m) to 14(t) and Table 14(y) by the specified dates.
14.04.34	PC7-412.14	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.34 as follows: Surface water flows in un-augmented rivers within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit are improved by ensuring all AA, BA, KIL, AN and BN abstractions comply with the applicable environmental flow and allocation regimes set out in Tables 14(m) to 14(t) and Table 14(y) by the specified dates.
14.04.35	PC7-364.32	Phillips Farming Ltd	Support	General support of Policy 14.4.35 to maintain connectivity and flow variability in the augmented Opuha and Opihi Rivers.
14.04.35	PC7-364.33	Phillips Farming Ltd	Support	Retain clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as notified.
14.04.35	PC7-472.178	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.35 in its entirety.
14.04.35	PC7-336.10	Bonifacio Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.35 to require a coordinated approach between those operating the proposed artificial freshes and the Opihi River mouth management team to ensure the Opihi River mouth is opened straight into the sea when artificial freshes occur.
14.04.35	PC7-430.216	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Supports the submission on Policy 14.4.35 from Opuha Water Limited.
14.04.35	PC7-440.8	McAtamney, S & J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.35 to adopt the decisions sought in the Adaptive Management Working Group's submission on Plan Change 7 relating to artificial freshes.
14.04.35	PC7-381.129	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	If submissions PC7-381.149 (delete and replace Table 14(v)), PC7-381.150 (insert Table 14(v(i))) and PC7-381.151 (delete Table 14(w)) or PC7-381.161 (delete Table 14(w) and insert a new policy) are accepted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: ... e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week apart two releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that: <u>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows:</u> [See Appendix 2 of the SODR] <u>and</u> <u>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or</u> • <u>the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime;</u> <u>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-381.160	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If submissions PC7-381.149 (delete and replace Table 14(v)), PC7-381.150 (insert Table 14(v(i))) and PC7-381.151 (delete Table 14(w)) or PC7-381.161 (delete Table 14(w) and insert a new policy) are not accepted, then amend clause e of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week apart <u>two releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that:</u></p> <p><u>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows:</u></p> <p>[See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p><u>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</u></p>
14.04.35	PC7-253.8	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>If the AMWG's submissions (including relief) in respect of the flow regimes in Table 14(v) and 14(w) be accepted, the following further amendment to the AMWG's clause (e)(ii) of Policy 14.4.35 is sought:</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <p><u>the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the full availability regime; or the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</u></p>
14.04.35	PC7-166.6	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w); and...</p>
14.04.35	PC7-159.8	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w); and...</p>
14.04.35	PC7-385.9	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w); and...</p>
14.04.35	PC7-110.8	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w); and...</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-351.52	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and
14.04.35	PC7-381.125	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: Connectivity and flow variability in the augmented Opuha and Opihi mainstems is maintained by ensuring that: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and ...
14.04.35	PC7-97.35	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-412.16	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-373.6	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-221.15	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-248.3	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-68.49	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-298.23	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-240.17	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-283.26	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-294.27	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-223.24	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-182.5	Chapman, J L	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-445.22	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-378.5	Dawson, J & E	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-168.24	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-370.5	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-280.8	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-175.22	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-236.26	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-169.24	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-253.3	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-115.26	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) ; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-361.30	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w); and...
14.04.35	PC7-237.17	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w); and...
14.04.35	PC7-456.20	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w); and...
14.04.35	PC7-238.17	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w); and...
14.04.35	PC7-410.1	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w); and...
14.04.35	PC7-568.13	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: a. water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstem complies with the environmental flow regime(s) for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w); and...
14.04.35	PC7-166.7	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-381.126	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: ... b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and ...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-385.24	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-412.30	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-487.33	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-373.7	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-221.16	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-97.44	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-248.4	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-68.50	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-298.24	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-159.16	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-240.18	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any instantaneous variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-283.27	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-110.15	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-294.28	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-223.25	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-182.6	Chapman, J L	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-445.23	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any instantaneous variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-378.6	Dawson, J & E	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-168.25	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-370.6	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-280.9	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-175.23	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any instantaneous variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-236.27	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-169.25	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-253.4	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any instantaneous variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-115.27	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-361.31	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-237.18	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-456.21	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-238.18	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-410.2	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...
14.04.35	PC7-568.14	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: b. when considering Policy 14.4.35a and provided any <u>instantaneous</u> variance in flow at Saleyards Bridge is not greater than 500L/s below the minimum flow, determine compliance with the environmental flow and regime based on average flows over a 24 hour period; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-166.8	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-351.53	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and
14.04.35	PC7-381.127	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: ... c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL <u>Kakahu</u> permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and ...
14.04.35	PC7-385.25	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-412.31	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-487.34	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-373.8	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-221.17	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-97.45	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-248.5	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-68.51	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-298.25	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-159.17	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-240.19	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-283.28	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-110.16	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-294.29	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-223.26	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-182.7	Chapman, J L	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-445.24	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-378.7	Dawson, J & E	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-168.26	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-370.7	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-280.10	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-175.24	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-236.28	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-169.26	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-253.5	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-115.28	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-361.32	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-237.19	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-456.22	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-238.19	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-410.3	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...
14.04.35	PC7-568.15	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: c. any water released from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of improving water availability for holders of AA, BA and/or KIL permits, complies with the environmental flow regime(s) requirements for Saleyards Bridge as set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-166.10	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-347.18	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 to include provision of water for community water supplies.
14.04.35	PC7-292.81	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>inflows in to the Lake and any additional water required to supply the downstream lawful community water supply takes. The augmented release will continue until the minimum flows can be maintained taking into account the additional water required to supply the downstream lawful community water supply takes; and</u>
14.04.35	PC7-351.54	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and</u>
14.04.35	PC7-381.128	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: ... d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and</u> ...
14.04.35	PC7-385.26	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-412.32	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-487.35	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-373.9	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls is below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-221.18	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls is below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-97.46	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls is below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-248.6	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls is below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...
14.04.35	PC7-68.52	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls is below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-298.26	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls is below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-159.18	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls is below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-240.20	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls is below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-283.29	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems <u>equals the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-110.17	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-294.30	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems <u>equals the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-223.27	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems <u>equals the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-182.8	Chapman, J L	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems <u>equals the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-445.25	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...
14.04.35	PC7-378.8	Dawson, J & E	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems <u>equals the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-168.45	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems <u>equals the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-370.8	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls is below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-280.11	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls is below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems <u>equals the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-175.25	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls is below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...
14.04.35	PC7-236.29	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls is below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems <u>equals the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-169.27	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls is below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems <u>equals the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-253.6	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls is below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-115.29	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls is below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems <u>equals the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>
14.04.35	PC7-361.33	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls is below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy; and...</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-237.20	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy</u> ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-456.23	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy</u> ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-238.20	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy</u> ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-410.4	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy</u> ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-568.16	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows: d. when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems equals <u>the lesser of the Level 2 environmental flows set out in Table 14(v) or the sum of the inflows in to the Lake plus community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy</u> ; and...
14.04.35	PC7-160.104	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 to define a maximum period for when flows can be kept at Level 2 flow regime to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh and avoid flat lining for extended periods, regardless of the monthly varying minimum flows. Or, as an alternative option for flow releases: Ensure a given frequency of freshes with a minimum interval are observed at the flow recorder site at Saleyards Bridge during this period (e.g. FRE ₃ events, 10x the preceding baseflow).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-351.55	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>Connectivity and flow variability in the augmented Opuha and Opihi mainstems is maintained by ensuring that:</p> <p>...</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week apart two releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that:</p> <p>i. during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows:</p> <p>[See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>ii. immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w) for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh.</p> <p>If the amendments to Tables 14(v) and 14(w) are accepted, then a further amendment to clause (e)(ii) of Policy 14.4.35 is sought as follows:</p> <p>ii. immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to: <u>the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime; or</u> <u>the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime</u> for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-385.27	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-385.16 and PC7-385.17 and PC7-385.8 or PC7-385.29 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week apart two releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows:</p> <p>[See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or</u> • <u>the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime</u> <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh <u>or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-385.28	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-385.16 and PC7-385.17 and PC7-385.8 or PC7-385.29 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week apart <u>two releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</u></p> <p><u>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows:</u> [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p><u>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</u></p>
14.04.35	PC7-364.34	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-364.35	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-385.16 and PC7-385.17 and PC7-385.8 or PC7-385.29 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-412.33	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-412.23 and PC7-412.24 and PC7-412.15 or PC7-412.35 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-412.34	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-412.23 and PC7-412.24 and PC7-412.15 or PC7-418.35 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-352.43	Pye Group	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-352.44	Pye Group	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-385.16 and PC7-385.17 and PC7-385.8 or PC7-385.29 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week apart two releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-487.36	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-487.37 and PC7-487.38 and PC7-487.20 or PC7-487.39 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. <u>artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week apart two releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</u></p> <p><u>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows:</u> [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p><u>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or</u> • <u>the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime</u> <p><u>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</u></p>
14.04.35	PC7-487.40	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-487.37 and PC7-487.38 and PC7-487.20 or PC7-487.39 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week apart two releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-373.10	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week apart two releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-373.11	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-373.2, PC7-373.3, PC7-373.3 and PC7-373.4 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week apart two releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-221.19	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-221.21 and PC7-221.22 and PC7-221.9 or PC7-221.23 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-221.20	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-221.21 and PC7-221.22 and PC7-221.9 or PC7-221.23 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-248.7	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-248.8	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-248.3 and PC7-248.4 and PC7-248.5 or PC7- 248.6 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-97.47	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-97.49 and PC7-97.50 and PC7-97.20 or PC7-97.51 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-97.48	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-97.49 and PC7-97.50 and PC7-97.20 or PC7-97.51 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-68.53	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-68.55 and PC7-68.25 and PC7-68.26 or PC7-68.56 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-68.54	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-68.55 and PC7-68.25 and PC7-68.26 or PC7-68.56 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-298.27	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-298.20 and PC7-298.21 and PC7-298.17 or PC7-298.22 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-298.28	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-298.17 and PC7-298.20 and PC7-298.21 or PC7-298.22 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-159.19	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-159.21 and PC7-159.12 and PC7-159.13 or PC7-159.22 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-159.20	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-159.21 and PC7-159.12 and PC7-159.13 or PC7-159.22 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-166.26	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-166.26 and PC7-166.23 and PC7-166.24 or PC7-166.29 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. <u>artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</u></p> <p><u>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows:</u> [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p><u>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or</u> • <u>the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime</u> <p><u>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-166.27	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-166.28 and PC7-166.23 and PC7- 166.24 or PC7-166.29 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-283.30	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-283.23 and PC7-283.24 and PC7-283.22 or PC7-283.25 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-283.31	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-283.22 and PC7-283.22 and PC7-283.24 or PC7-283.25 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-240.21	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-240.22	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-240.17 and PC7-240.18 and PC7-240.19 or PC7-240.20 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-110.18	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-110.20 and PC7-110.12 and PC7-110.13 or PC7-110.21 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-110.19	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-110.20 and PC7-110.12 and PC7-110.13 or PC7-110.21 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-294.31	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-294.4 and PC7-294.25 and PC7-294.23 or PC7-294.26 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-294.32	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-294.24 and PC7-294.25 and PC7-294.26 or PC7-294.27 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-223.28	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-223.28 and PC7-223.22 and PC7-223.20 or PC7-223.23 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-223.29	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-223.20 and PC7-223.21 and PC7-223.22 or PC7-223.23 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-182.9	Chapman, J L	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-182.2 and PC7-182.3 and PC7-182.1 or PC7-182.4 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-182.10	Chapman, J L	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-182.1 and PC7-182.2 and PC7-182.3 or PC7-182.4 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-445.26	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-445.27	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-445.22 and PC7-445.23 and PC7-445.24 or PC7-445.25 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-378.9	Dawson, J & E	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-378.2 and PC7-378.3 and PC7-378.1 or PC7-378.4 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-378.10	Dawson, J & E	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-378.1 and PC7-378.2 and PC7-378.3 or PC7-378.4 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-168.27	Davies, D	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-168.21 and PC7-168.22 and PC7-168.20 or PC7-168.23 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-168.28	Davies, D	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-168.20 and PC7-168.21 and PC7-168.22 or PC7-168.23 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week apart two releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-370.9	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week apart two releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-280.12	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-280.5 and PC7-280.6 and PC7-280.4 or PC7-280.7 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week apart two releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-280.13	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-180.4 and PC7-180.5 and PC7-180.6 or PC7-180.7 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week apart two releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-370.10	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-370.3 and PC7-370.4 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week apart two releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-175.26	Caird, B	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week apart two releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-175.27	Caird, B	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-175.22 and PC7-175.23 and PC7-175.24 or PC7-175.25 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-236.30	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-236.23 and PC7-236.24 and PC7-236.22 or PC7-236.25 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-236.31	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-236.22 and PC7-236.23 and PC7-236.24 or PC7-236.25 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-169.28	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-169.21 and PC7-169.22 and PC7-169.20 or PC7-169.23 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-169.29	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-169.20 and PC7-169.21 and PC7-169.22 or PC7-169.23 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-253.7	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v)and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14 (v), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-115.30	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-115.23 and PC7-115.24 and PC7-115.19 or PC7-115.25 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-115.31	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-115.19 and PC7-115.23 and PC7-115.24 or PC7-115.25 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-361.34	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-361.36 and PC7-361.37 and PC7-361.38 or PC7-361.39 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-361.35	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-361.36 and PC7-361.37 and PC7-361.38 or PC7-361.39 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-237.21	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-237.23 and PC7-237.24 and PC7-237.25 or PC7-237.26 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-237.22	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-237.23 and PC7-237.24 and PC7-237.25 or PC7-237.26 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-456.24	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-456.26 and PC7-456.27 and PC7-456.28 or PC7-456.29 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-456.25	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-456.26 and PC7-456.27 and PC7-456.28 or PC7-456.29 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-238.21	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-238.23 and PC7-238.24 and PC7-238.25 or PC7-238.26 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-238.22	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-238.23 and PC7-238.24 and PC7-238.25 or PC7-238.26 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-410.5	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-410.7 and PC7-410.8 and PC7-410.9 or PC7-410.10 is granted, amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-410.6	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-410.7 and PC7-410.8 and PC7-410.9 or PC7-410.10 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.35	PC7-568.17	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	<p>Amend clause (e) of Policy 14.4.35 as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the Level 1 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v), when the fresh occurs during the Full Availability Regime; or • the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), when the fresh occurs during the Level 1 Regime <p>for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.35	PC7-568.18	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-568.19 and PC7-568.20 and PC7-568.21 or PC7-568.22 is not granted, amend Policy 14.4.35, clause (e) as follows:</p> <p>e. artificial freshes are provided for through the release of flow from the Opuha Dam, and in the period 1 November to 31 March of every year, three releases of water for small artificial freshes of at least 30 cumecs, or alternatively one large and one small artificial fresh, with each artificial fresh being at least one week aparttwo releases of water where one release is at least 60 cumecs and the other release is at least 30 cumecs, are provided for a duration of not less than two hours, except that</p> <p>(i) during any period when the Level 2 flow regime (as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w)) applies, the number of artificial freshes shall be reduced as follows: [See Appendix 2 of the SODR]</p> <p>and</p> <p>(ii) immediately following an artificial fresh, the minimum flow may be reduced to the Level 2 minimum flow set out in Table 14(v) and 14(w), for a period of time sufficient to compensate for the volume of water released for the fresh or if a Level 2 regime is in place for part of the compensation period, then the period will be extended for sufficient time after the regime has commenced to allow for full compensation of the fresh volume.</p>
14.04.36	PC7-160.94	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.36 as notified.
14.04.36	PC7-472.179	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.36 in its entirety.
14.04.36	PC7-292.82	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.36 as follows:</p> <p>In addition to any river specific environmental flow and allocation regime set out in Tables 14(m) to 14(y), differentiate AA, BA, KIL, AN and BN permits by:</p> <p>...</p> <p><u>All references to AA and BA in this policy do not include any AA and/or BA permits held by the Timaru District Council for the purpose of community water supply.</u></p>
14.04.36	PC7-430.217	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Supports the submission on Policy 14.4.36 from Opuha Water Limited.
14.04.36	PC7-381.130	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.36 as follows:</p> <p>In addition to any river specific environmental flow, <u>Lake level</u> and allocation regime set out in Tables 14(m) to 14(y), differentiate AA, BA, KIL<u>Kakahu</u>, AN and BN permits by:</p> <p>...</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.36	PC7-382.4	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.36 as follows: In addition to any specific environmental flow, <u>Lake level</u> and allocation regime...
14.04.36	PC7-381.131	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (a) of Policy 14.4.36 as follows: ... a. AA, BA and KH <u>Kakahu</u> permits being subject to an environmental flow and allocation regime on the Opihi mainstem at Saleyards Bridge which reflects water released from the Opuha Dam for the purposes of maintaining environmental flows and provision for the amount of water being abstracted under AA, BA and KH <u>Kakahu</u> permits; and ...
14.04.36	PC7-385.10	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.36 as follows: b. requiring, when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, AA and BA permits <u>to be treated as AN and BN permits respectively and to be</u> subject to an environmental flow and allocation regime on the Opihi mainstem at State Highway 1 as set out in Table 14(u) and Table 14(y), determined taking into account the unmodified flow of the Opihi mainstem; and
14.04.36	PC7-351.56	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.36 as follows: b. requiring, when the level of Lake Opuha <u>is</u> falls below RL370 , AA and BA permits <u>to be treated as AN and BN permits respectively and to be</u> subject to to an environmental flow and allocation regime on the Opihi mainstem at State Highway 1 as set out in Table 14(u) and Table 14(y), determined taking into account the unmodified flow of the Opihi mainstem; and
14.04.36	PC7-381.132	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.36 as follows: ... b. requiring, when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, AA and BA permits <u>to be treated as AN and BN permits respectively and to be</u> subject to an environmental flow and allocation regime on the Opihi mainstem at State Highway 1 as set out in Table 14(u) and Table 14(y), determined taking into account the unmodified flow of the Opihi mainstem; and ...
14.04.36	PC7-412.17	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.36 as follows: b. requiring, when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, AA and BA permits <u>to be treated as AN and BN permits respectively and to be</u> subject to an environmental flow and allocation regime on the Opihi mainstem at State Highway 1 as set out in Table 14(u) and Table 14(y), determined taking into account the unmodified flow of the Opihi mainstem; and
14.04.36	PC7-166.30	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (b) of Policy 14.4.36 as follows: b. requiring, when the level of Lake Opuha falls <u>is</u> below RL370, AA and BA permits <u>to be treated as AN and BN permits respectively and to be</u> subject to an environmental flow and allocation regime on the Opihi mainstem at State Highway 1 as set out in Table 14(u) and Table 14(y), determined taking into account the unmodified flow of the Opihi mainstem; and
14.04.36	PC7-381.133	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.36 as follows: ... d. BN permits being subject to an environmental flow and allocation regime on the Opihi mainstem at State Highway 1 as set out in Table 14(y) determined taking into account the recorded (<u>actual</u>) flow.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.36	PC7-385.30	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.36 as follows: d. BN permits being subject to an environmental flow and allocation regime on the Opihi mainstem at State Highway 1 as set out in Table 14(y) determined taking into account the recorded <u>(actual)</u> flow.
14.04.36	PC7-412.36	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.36 as follows: d. BN permits being subject to an environmental flow and allocation regime on the Opihi mainstem at State Highway 1 as set out in Table 14(y) determined taking into account the recorded <u>(actual)</u> flow.
14.04.36	PC7-166.31	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (d) of Policy 14.4.36 as follows: d. BN permits being subject to an environmental flow and allocation regime on the Opihi mainstem at State Highway 1 as set out in Table 14(y) determined taking into account the recorded <u>(actual)</u> flow.
14.04.37	PC7-364.36	Phillips Farming Ltd	Support	General support for the approach adopted by Plan Change 7 enabling the implementation of an alternative management regime for the Opihi River mainstem.
14.04.37	PC7-472.180	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.37 in its entirety.
14.04.37	PC7-351.58	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-381.135	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-385.31	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-412.37	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-487.41	Mackenzie College	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-373.13	Annfield Dairies	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-221.25	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-97.52	Hawkins, M	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-298.30	Barwoods Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-159.23	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-292.132	Timaru District Council	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: b. applies from the start of a calendar month to the start of the next calendar month; and
14.04.37	PC7-166.35	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-283.33	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.37	PC7-110.23	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37 in its entirety.
14.04.37	PC7-294.34	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-223.31	Coles Farms	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-182.12	Chapman, J L	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-445.29	Pearse, H	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-378.12	Dawson, J & E	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-168.30	Davies, D	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-280.15	Fraser, J H	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-370.12	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-175.29	Caird, B	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-236.33	Glenfield Farm	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-169.31	Jackson, H	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-253.10	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-115.33	Henderson, T	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-361.41	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-237.28	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-456.31	Vetlife Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-238.28	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-410.12	Lambie, T	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-568.24	McMillan, I & H	Oppose	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-351.60	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-381.137	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-385.33	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-412.39	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-487.43	Mackenzie College	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.37	PC7-373.15	Annfield Dairies	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-221.27	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-97.54	Hawkins, M	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-68.59	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-298.32	Barwoods Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-159.25	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-166.37	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-283.35	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-110.25	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-294.36	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-223.33	Coles Farms	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-182.14	Chapman, J L	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-445.31	Pearse, H	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-378.14	Dawson, J & E	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-168.32	Davies, D	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-280.17	Fraser, J H	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-370.14	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-175.31	Caird, B	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-236.35	Glenfield Farm	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-169.33	Jackson, H	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-253.12	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-115.35	Henderson, T	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-361.43	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-237.30	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-456.33	Vetlife Limited	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.37	PC7-238.30	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-410.14	Lambie, T	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-568.26	McMillan, I & H	Oppose	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-159.9	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-68.22	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-97.16	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-292.83	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-385.11	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-110.9	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-430.218	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Supports the submission on Policy 14.4.37 from Opuha Water Limited.
14.04.37	PC7-430.219	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 to make provision for the continued involvement of the Opuha Environmental Flow Release Advisory Group (OEFrag) during water-short periods.
14.04.37	PC7-351.57	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that; ...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.37	PC7-440.9	McAtamney, S & J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 to adopt the decisions sought in the Adaptive Management Working Group's submission to provide for the following: The ability to enter into an adaptive management regime on any day if the requisite thresholds are met;If an adaptive management regime is entered, the adaptive management regime must apply for a minimum of 14 days; andThe ability to enter into a Level 2 regime only if a Level 1 regime has been in place for at least 14 days;The adaptive management regime exit thresholds are equivalent of the Level 1 and Level 2 Lake level entry thresholds.
14.04.37	PC7-381.134	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;
14.04.37	PC7-364.37	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 to adopt the decisions sought in the Adaptive Management Working Group's submission to provide for the following: The ability to enter into an adaptive management regime on any day if the requisite thresholds are met;If an adaptive management regime is entered, the adaptive management regime must apply for a minimum of 14 days; and the ability to enter into a Level 2 regime only if a Level 1 regime has been in place for at least 14 days; the adaptive management regime exit thresholds are equivalent of the Level 1 and Level 2 Lake level entry thresholds.
14.04.37	PC7-412.18	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-487.44	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-373.12	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-221.24	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-298.29	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-166.32	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.37	PC7-283.32	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-240.23	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-294.33	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-223.30	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-182.11	Chapman, J L	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-445.28	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-378.11	Dawson, J & E	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-168.29	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-280.14	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-370.11	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.37	PC7-175.28	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow management regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-236.32	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-169.30	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-253.9	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow management regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-115.32	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-361.40	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-237.27	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-456.30	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-238.27	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-410.11	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.37	PC7-568.23	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.37 as follows: Establish an alternative minimum flow <u>management</u> regime for the Opihi River at Saleyards Bridge, as set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) , that;...
14.04.37	PC7-68.57	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-240.24	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Delete clause (b) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.37	PC7-351.59	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-381.136	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: ... eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-385.32	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-412.38	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-487.42	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-373.14	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-221.26	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-97.53	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.37	PC7-68.58	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-298.31	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-159.24	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-166.36	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-283.34	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-240.25	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-110.24	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-294.35	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-223.32	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-182.13	Chapman, J L	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.37	PC7-445.30	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-378.13	Dawson, J & E	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-168.31	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-280.16	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-370.13	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-175.30	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-236.34	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-169.32	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-253.11	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and
14.04.37	PC7-115.34	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met.; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.37	PC7-361.42	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met; and
14.04.37	PC7-237.29	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met; and
14.04.37	PC7-456.32	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met; and
14.04.37	PC7-238.29	Upper Ophi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met; and
14.04.37	PC7-410.13	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met; and
14.04.37	PC7-568.25	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend clause (c) of Policy 14.4.37 as follows: eb. may be entered into when two of the specified Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds from the preceding month in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)) are met; and
14.04.37	PC7-240.26	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Delete clause (d) of Policy 14.4.37.
14.04.38	PC7-472.181	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.38 in its entirety.
14.04.38	PC7-159.10	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days; c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.38	PC7-68.23	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u>
14.04.38	PC7-97.17	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u>
14.04.38	PC7-160.95	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 so that the application of Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow is assessed on a weekly or at least two-weekly cycle.
14.04.38	PC7-292.84	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow regime is entered into, the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month, and the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow regime reassessed at the commencement of the next calendar month.
14.04.38	PC7-385.12	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u>
14.04.38	PC7-110.10	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 to adopt the decisions sought in the Adaptive Management Working Group's submission to provide for the following: The ability to enter into an adaptive management regime on any day if the requisite thresholds are met;If an adaptive management regime is entered, the adaptive management regime must apply for a minimum of 14 days; andThe ability to enter into a Level 2 regime only if a Level 1 regime has been in place for at least 14 days;The adaptive management regime exit thresholds are equivalent of the Level 1 and Level 2 Lake level entry thresholds.
14.04.38	PC7-430.220	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Supports the submission on Policy 14.4.38 from Opuha Water Limited.
14.04.38	PC7-430.221	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 to make provision for the continued involvement of the Opuha Environmental Flow Release Advisory Group (OEFrag) during water-short periods.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.38	PC7-351.61	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month, a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days; and</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14-day period; and commencement of the next calendar month.</u> <u>d. exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u>
14.04.38	PC7-440.10	McAtamney, S & J	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 to adopt the decisions sought in the Adaptive Management Working Group's submission to provide for the following: The ability to enter into an adaptive management regime on any day if the requisite thresholds are met;If an adaptive management regime is entered, the adaptive management regime must apply for a minimum of 14 days; andThe ability to enter into a Level 2 regime only if a Level 1 regime has been in place for at least 14 days;The adaptive management regime exit thresholds are equivalent of the Level 1 and Level 2 Lake level entry thresholds.
14.04.38	PC7-381.138	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that montha minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>c. exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds in Tables 14(x(i), (ii) and (iii)).</u>
14.04.38	PC7-364.38	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 to adopt the decisions sought in the AMWG's submission as follows: The ability to enter into an adaptive management regime on any day if the requisite thresholds are met; If an adaptive management regime is entered, the adaptive management regime must apply for a minimum of 14 days; and The ability to enter into a Level 2 Regime only if a Level 1 Regime has been place for at least 14 days; The adaptive management regime "exit" thresholds are the equivalent of the Level 1 and Level 2 Lake level entry thresholds.
14.04.38	PC7-412.19	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that montha minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u>
14.04.38	PC7-487.45	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that montha minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.38	PC7-373.16	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>
14.04.38	PC7-221.28	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>
14.04.38	PC7-298.33	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>
14.04.38	PC7-166.33	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.38	PC7-283.36	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>
14.04.38	PC7-240.27	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>
14.04.38	PC7-110.22	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>
14.04.38	PC7-294.37	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.38	PC7-223.34	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>
14.04.38	PC7-182.15	Chapman, J L	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>
14.04.38	PC7-445.32	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into; <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>
14.04.38	PC7-378.15	Dawson, J & E	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>
14.04.38	PC7-168.33	Davies, D	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.38	PC7-280.18	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>
14.04.38	PC7-370.15	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>
14.04.38	PC7-175.32	Caird, B	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>
14.04.38	PC7-236.36	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>
14.04.38	PC7-169.34	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows:</p> <p>Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.38	PC7-253.13	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: here a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into; <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u>
14.04.38	PC7-115.36	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u>
14.04.38	PC7-361.44	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u>
14.04.38	PC7-237.31	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u>
14.04.38	PC7-456.34	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.38	PC7-238.31	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u>
14.04.38	PC7-410.15	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u>
14.04.38	PC7-568.27	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.38 as follows: Where a Level 1 or Level 2 alternative minimum flow management regime is entered into, <u>a. the applicable flows set out in Tables 14(v) and 14(w) shall be met for that month a minimum of 14 days; and</u> <u>b. a Level 2 Regime shall only be entered into after a Level 1 Regime has been in place for at least 14 days;</u> <u>c. the need to continue in the alternative minimum flow management regime is reassessed at the conclusion of the 14 day period; and commencement of the next calendar month</u> <u>d. Exiting of the alternative management regime shall occur when the level of Lake Opuha exceeds the applicable Level 1 or Level 2 thresholds.</u>
14.04.39	PC7-472.182	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.39 in its entirety.
14.04.39	PC7-166.11	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.39 to adopt all recommendations by the Adaptive Management Working Group.
14.04.39	PC7-292.85	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.39 as follows: In complying with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) and when transitioning between monthly minimum flow requirements at Saleyards Bridge, releases of water from the Opuha Dam may be progressively increased or decreased over a 48-hour period immediately after the commencement of the calendar month <u>or alternative minimum flow regime.</u>
14.04.39	PC7-385.13	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.39 as follows: In complying with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) and when transitioning between monthly minimum flow requirements at Saleyards Bridge, releases of water from the Opuha Dam may be progressively increased or decreased over a 48-hour period immediately after the commencement of the calendar month <u>and the alternative management regime.</u>
14.04.39	PC7-430.222	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Supports the submission on Policy 14.4.39 from Opuha Water Limited.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.39	PC7-430.223	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.39 to make provision for the continued involvement of the Opuha Environmental Flow Release Advisory Group (OEFrag) during water-short periods.
14.04.39	PC7-351.62	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.39 as follows: In complying with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) and when transitioning between monthly minimum flow requirements at Saleyards Bridge, releases of water from the Opuha Dam may be progressively increased or decreased over a 48-hour period immediately after the commencement of the calendar month <u>and the commencement of the alternative management regime.</u>
14.04.39	PC7-381.139	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.39 as follows: In complying with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) and when transitioning between monthly minimum flow requirements at Saleyards Bridge, releases of water from the Opuha Dam may be progressively increased or decreased over a 48-hour period immediately after the commencement of the calendar month <u>and the alternative management regime.</u>
14.04.39	PC7-412.20	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.39 as follows: In complying with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) and when transitioning between monthly minimum flow requirements at Saleyards Bridge, releases of water from the Opuha Dam may be progressively increased or decreased over a 48-hour period immediately after the commencement of the calendar month <u>and the alternative management regime.</u>
14.04.39	PC7-166.34	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.39 as follows: In complying with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) and when transitioning between monthly minimum flow requirements at Saleyards Bridge, releases of water from the Opuha Dam may be progressively increased or decreased over a 48-hour period immediately after the commencement of the calendar month <u>and the alternative management regime.</u>
14.04.40	PC7-351.63	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.40 as notified.
14.04.40	PC7-472.183	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.40 in its entirety.
14.04.40	PC7-68.34	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 as follows: Contribute to the overall management of surface water flows within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, by providing for the transfer of AA and BA surface water permits to a principal water supplier where this will result in a single permit authorising the abstraction of all transferred AA and BA abstractions of surface water.
14.04.40	PC7-97.28	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 as follows: Contribute to the overall management of surface water flows within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, by providing for the transfer of AA and BA surface water permits to a principal water supplier where this will result in a single permit authorising the abstraction of all transferred AA and BA abstractions of surface water.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.40	PC7-430.224	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 as follows: Contribute to the overall management of surface water flows within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, by providing for the transfer of AA, and BA <u>and Kakahu</u> surface water permits to a principal water supplier where this will result in a single permit authorising the abstraction of all transferred AA and BA abstractions of surface water.
14.04.40	PC7-487.26	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 as follows: Contribute to the overall management of surface water flows within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, by providing for the transfer of AA and BA surface water permits to a principal water supplier where this will result in a single permit authorising the abstraction of all transferred AA and BA abstractions of surface water.
14.04.40	PC7-373.24	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 as follows: Contribute to the overall management of surface water flows within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit by providing for the transfer of AA and BA surface water permits to a principal water supplier where this will result in a single permit authorising the abstraction of all transferred AA and BA abstractions of surface water.
14.04.40	PC7-298.41	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 as follows: Contribute to the overall management of surface water flows within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit by, providing for the transfer of AA and BA surface water permits to a principal water supplier where this will result in a single permit authorising the abstraction all transferred AA and BA abstractions of surface water.
14.04.40	PC7-283.44	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 as follows: Contribute to the overall management of surface water flows within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit by, providing for the transfer of AA and BA surface water permits to a principal water supplier where this will result in a single permit authorising the abstraction all transferred AA and BA abstractions of surface water.
14.04.40	PC7-240.41	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 to remove reference to the term 'single'.
14.04.40	PC7-294.46	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 as follows: Contribute to the overall management of surface water flows within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit by, providing for the transfer of AA and BA surface water permits to a principal water supplier where this will result in a single permit authorising the abstraction all transferred AA and BA abstractions of surface water.
14.04.40	PC7-223.42	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 as follows: Contribute to the overall management of surface water flows within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit by, providing for the transfer of AA and BA surface water permits to a principal water supplier where this will result in a single permit authorising the abstraction all transferred AA and BA abstractions of surface water.
14.04.40	PC7-445.54	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 to remove reference to the term 'single'.
14.04.40	PC7-168.41	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 as follows: Contribute to the overall management of surface water flows within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit by, providing for the transfer of AA and BA surface water permits to a principal water supplier where this will result in a single permit authorising the abstraction all transferred AA and BA abstractions of surface water.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.40	PC7-236.48	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 as follows: Contribute to the overall management of surface water flows within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit by, providing for the transfer of AA and BA surface water permits to a principal water supplier where this will result in a single permit authorising the abstraction all transferred AA and BA abstractions of surface water.
14.04.40	PC7-175.49	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 to remove reference to the term 'single'.
14.04.40	PC7-169.43	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 as follows: Contribute to the overall management of surface water flows within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit by, providing for the transfer of AA and BA surface water permits to a principal water supplier where this will result in a single permit authorising the abstraction all transferred AA and BA abstractions of surface water.
14.04.40	PC7-361.50	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 as follows: ... by providing for the transfer of AA and BA surface water permits to a principal water supplier where this will result in a single permit...
14.04.40	PC7-370.45	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.40 as follows: Contribute to the overall management of surface water flows within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, by providing for the transfer of AA and BA surface water permits to a principal water supplier where this will result in a single permit authorising the abstraction of all transferred AA and BA abstractions of surface water.
14.04.41	PC7-68.20	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-97.14	Hawkins, M	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-292.86	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-110.7	Raumea Farms Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-430.225	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified, provided the requirement (e.g. 30% reduction) is achievable and reasonable.
14.04.41	PC7-351.64	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-115.18	Henderson, T	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-487.18	Mackenzie College	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-364.30	Phillips Farming Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-298.16	Barwoods Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-283.20	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-294.21	Cascade Creek Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-223.19	Coles Farms	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-445.20	Pearse, H	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.41	PC7-168.19	Davies, D	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-361.20	Tregellen Farm limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-236.20	Glenfield Farm	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-169.19	Jackson, H	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-456.19	Vetlife Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-370.36	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-214.128	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.41 in its entirety and replace with the framework sought in submission point PC7-214.122.
14.04.41	PC7-114.41	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.41 as follows: Assist in achieving water quality targets for the Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Area by requiring, in addition to Policy 14.4.19, point source discharges of nitrogen from industrial or trade waste disposal activities <u>are to aim</u> to reduce nitrogen losses by <u>up to</u> 30% below current consented rates by 1 January 2035.
14.04.41	PC7-188.21	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.41 to remove the requirement for industry to reduce by 30% in situations where the industrial discharge as part of a farming activity.
14.04.41	PC7-175.20	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Retain Policy 14.4.41 as notified.
14.04.41	PC7-361.29	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Require Policy 14.4.41 to take into account individuals affected by both nitrogen reductions and changes to irrigation supply.
14.04.42	PC7-292.87	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.42 as notified.
14.04.42	PC7-351.65	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.42 as notified.
14.04.42	PC7-468.3	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.42 to clarify that it only applies to 'new' takes.
14.04.42	PC7-430.226	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.[42] as follows: Assist with addressing over-allocation of the quantity of freshwater in the Pareora Freshwater Management Unit, by avoiding all further abstractions of surface water and groundwater, except as provided for by s14(3)(b) of the RMA <u>and as required for essential activities such as dairy shed wash-down, where there are product hygiene and/or animal welfare requirements.</u>
14.04.43	PC7-424.12	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.43 as notified.
14.04.43	PC7-351.66	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.43 as notified.
14.04.43	PC7-292.88	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 14.4.43 as follows: Damming of surface water in the mainstem of any waterbody in the Pareora Freshwater Management Unit, is avoided, except where the damming was lawfully established prior to 21 July 2012, the water that is dammed is used for community <u>water supply</u> , and the water permit is affected by the provisions of Sections 124 – 124C of the RMA.
14.04.44	PC7-292.89	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.44 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.44	PC7-464.3	Darby Farm Partnership	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.44 as notified.
14.04.44	PC7-351.67	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.44 as notified.
14.04.44	PC7-435.59	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.44 as notified.
14.04.44	PC7-469.59	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Support	Retain Policy 14.4.44 as notified.
14.04.44	PC7-472.184	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Policy 14.4.44 in its entirety.
14.04.New	PC7-381.162	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	<p>If submission PC7-381.151 (delete Table 14(w)) is rejected, then delete Table 14(w) (see submission PC7-381.161) and insert a new policy as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.XX</u> Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</p> <p>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</p> <p>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.New	PC7-192.3	Trounce, L & A	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy as follows:</p> <p><u>Improve water quantity and/or quality by facilitating the augmentation of the Seadown Drain.</u></p> <p>To give effect to this, include a new Rule that states: <u>The discharge of water into the Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes, is a restricted discretionary activity, provided the following conditions are met:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The activity does not take place on land that is listed as an archaeological site; and</u> <u>2. The activity is not within a Community Drinking Water Protection Zone as defined in Schedule 1; and</u> <u>3. The discharge is not within 100m of any abstraction point used for drinking water; and</u> <u>4. A management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent; and</u> <u>5. The discharge does not result in the erosion of the bed or banks of any receiving waterbody.</u> <p><u>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The appropriateness of the location of the discharge points.</u> <u>2. The content and quality of the management plan, and the methods proposed to:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. Monitor and report on the discharges to the drain; and</u> <u>b. Manage the timing of the discharge to the drain; and</u> <u>3. The appropriateness of integration with existing or planned infrastructure and water conveyance systems; and</u> <u>4. Effects on people and property arising from raised groundwater levels and reduced drainage capacity in the drainage system; and</u> <u>5. Effects on water quality in Washdyke Lagoon and significant habitats of indigenous flora and fauna; and</u> <u>6. Effects on sites or areas of wahi tapu, wahi taonga or mahinga kai; and</u> <u>7. The potential benefits of the activity to the community and the environment; and</u> <u>8. Effects on Ngai Tahu cultural values; and</u> <u>9. The rate and volume of the discharge.</u> <p><u>The discharge of water into Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes that does not meet one or more of the conditions of Rule XXX is a discretionary activity.</u></p> <p>To give effect to the proposed Policy and Rule, include a definition of augmentation as follows: <u>Means the discharge of water the Seadown Drain for the primary purpose of improving flows and/or water quality.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-166.4	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy as follows:</p> <p><u>In considering whether to grant or refuse applications for replacement of existing consents, the consent authority will:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. consider whether all reasonable attempts to meet the efficiency expectations of this section have been undertaken;</u> <u>b. recognise the value of the investment of the existing consent holder; and</u> <u>c. maintain the inclusion of the consent, if granted, in any allocation limits and priority bands on the water body concerned.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.New	PC7-214.122	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new provisions that achieve the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure resource use is efficient including through establishment of nitrogen allocation frameworks if nitrogen is required to be allocated; and 2. Ensure that resource use takes into account the natural capital of soils including the natural productive potential of soils (for example Land Use Capability(LUC)), climate, geology, and assimilative capacity of water; and 3. Manage or allocate nitrogen based on: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. 'flat rate per hectare' permitted threshold (where the sub catchment load is divided by the total number of hectares in the sub catchment and this amount is allocated as a nitrogen discharge threshold to each hectare of land) for example 20kgN/ha/yr; or b. Natural capital or land use capability based allocation per hectare' where a sub catchment nitrogen load is attributed to land based on its underlying characteristics and factors (including productive capability using the Land Use Capability classification system). This approach is used to determine the permitted baseline, and where required to stage reductions in nitrogen discharges over time for example as set out in the table below; and c. Natural capital or land use capability based threshold for the discharge of Nitrogen per hectare' that is used to determine where and when Council require additional regulatory standards or stricter activity status to reduce nitrogen loss over time – based on calculating a sub catchment Nitrogen load and focusing on priority areas where nitrogen is over allocated and therefore reductions from land uses are required. For example as set out in the table below. d. INSERT TABLE [See Appendix 3 of the SODR] 4. Ensure that low discharging land uses such as small scale (<20kg N/ha) or low impact activities (those discharging at or below the sustainable level) are enabled to continue and are provided with flexibility to change farm systems and stocking rates up to the sustainable levels for the subcatchment (FMU); and 5. Require farming activities which exceed the 'sustainable level' for the sub-catchment (FMU) to progressively reduce contaminant discharges over time, where the reductions are proportionate to the level of overallcoation within the sub-catchment and proportionate to the discharge level of the activity; and 6. Enable establishment of nutrient user groups within the same catchment as part of catchment collective groups, and enable transfer of nutrients (at a level not exceeding the desired instream nutrient load), where the following principles are met: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. the initial allocation system meets all of the allocation principles in Appendix 1 (annexed to these submissions), for clarity this precludes nutrient transfer when allocation is based on current or historic discharges (NRP or Grandparenting, the allocation framework that PC 7 currently proposes). b. transfer within nutrient user groups should only occur: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. within a sub-catchment or watershed; and ii. within an established sub catchment programme that's based on fair allocation of a load c. only pertains to the load which achieves the desired environmental outcome. d. results in improved economic outcomes and land use optimisation 7. Require the application of best practicable option to avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects of a discharge (either directly or indirectly to freshwater) where the discharge may cause or contribute to a freshwater attribute being exceeded, through resource consents.
14.04.New	PC7-357.50	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.19A</u></p> <p><u>Inform successive plan review cycles and consenting requirements by reporting every 5 years on:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. the current state of freshwater quality and ecosystem health, and any trends observed; and</u> <u>b. the results of any relevant investigations carried out in relation to the groundwater system; and</u> <u>c. progress made towards freshwater outcomes and limits, including an assessment of the effectiveness of the framework, (including any non-statutory actions) in achieving those outcomes and limits.</u>
14.04.New	PC7-441.31	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new provision to allow for a comprehensive monitoring program and regular review and revision of planned reductions to ensure any changes in scientific understanding of the conditions and capabilities is reflected.</p>
14.04.New	PC7-453.1	Rooney Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy as follows:</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
				<u>enable the renewal of existing irrigation water takes from high naturalness waterbody's where this was established lawfully and the renewal is for the same activity on the same terms.</u>
14.04.New	PC7-381.36	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-381.35 (retain Policy 14.4.12) is accepted, insert a new policy as follows: <u>In considering whether to grant or refuse applications for replacement of existing consents, the consent authority will:</u> <u>a. consider whether all reasonable attempts to meet the efficiency expectations of this Section have been undertaken;</u> <u>b. recognise the value of the investment of the existing consent holder; and</u> <u>c. maintain the inclusion of the consent, if granted, in any allocation limits and priority bands on the water body concerned.</u>
14.04.New	PC7-351.38	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy as follows (or similar): <u>Policy 14.4.14A:</u> <u>Takes from surface water to introduce water from outside the catchment should only be considered where an environmental flow regime and allocation limits for the flow band from which water is sought is established to ensure that no adverse effects arise from further abstraction.</u>
14.04.New	PC7-351.89	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy in Section 14 to reflect the OTO ZIPA recommendation 5.3.1(e).
14.04.New	PC7-411.3	Kyle, J	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy as follows: <u>Improve water quantity and/or quality by facilitating the augmentation of the Seadown Drain.</u>
14.04.New	PC7-422.33	Genesis Energy Limited	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy that prohibits the use and discharge of out of catchment water sourced from water bodies in the upper Waitaki Catchment in the OTO FMUs.
14.04.New	PC7-318.15	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	As alternative relief to submission point PC7-318.4 insert a new policy to provide further clarification of the intended purpose of the Mātaitai Protection Zone.
14.04.New	PC7-318.36	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy as follows: <u>In considering whether to grant or refuse applications for replacement of existing consents, the consent authority will:</u> <u>a. consider whether all reasonable attempts to meet the efficiency expectations of this Section have been undertaken;</u> <u>b. recognise the value of the investment of the existing consent holder; and</u> <u>c. maintain the inclusion of the consent, if granted, in any allocation limits and priority bands on the water body concerned.</u>
14.04.New	PC7-318.41	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy as follows: <u>Contribute to the overall management of surface flows within the Orari, Temuka and Pareora Freshwater Management Unit, by providing for the transfer of surface water permits to an Irrigation Scheme where this will result in a water permit authorising the abstraction of all transferred water.</u>
14.04.New	PC7-165.13	Orakipaoa Water Users	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy to provide for the replacement of water permits that take from High Naturalness Water Bodies as follows: <u>In considering whether to grant or refuse applications for replacement of existing consents, the consent authority will:</u> <u>a. consider whether all reasonable attempts to meet the efficiency expectations of this Section have been undertaken;</u> <u>b. recognise the value of the investment of the existing consent holder; and</u> <u>c. maintain the inclusion of the consent, if granted, in any allocation limits and priority bands on the water body concerned.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.New	PC7-384.5	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a suite of policies after Policy 14.4.23 specific to “Upper Coopers Creek” which recognise:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the hydraulic connection between groundwater flows at Upper Coopers Creek and surface water flows; - Enable stream-depleting groundwater abstractions provided that effects on surface water flows are no more than minor; - Encourage integrated management between water users in Upper Coopers Creek; - Manage groundwater abstractions (which are deemed to be surface water abstractions by virtue of Schedule 9) by a maximum groundwater allocation in Table 14(zd).
14.04.New	PC7-384.6	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new Policy 14.4.23A as:</p> <p><u>Manage adverse effects from stream-depleting groundwater abstractions in the Upper Coopers Creek Sub-Catchment having regard to effects on surface water levels, ecological values and by avoiding abstractions above the maximum allocation volume in Table 14(h).</u></p> <p>Alternatively,</p> <p><u>Enable stream-depleting groundwater abstractions from Upper Coopers Creek Sub-Catchment where it is demonstrated that effects on surface water flows in the lower reach are minor, where applicants propose conditions to maintain or improve ecological values and by avoiding abstractions above the maximum allocation volume in Table 14(h).</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-384.7	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new Policy 14.4.23B as follows:</p> <p><u>Avoid stream-depleting groundwater abstractions within Upper Coopers Creek Sub-Catchment where it is demonstrated that effects on surface water flows are more than minor.</u></p> <p>Alternatively,</p> <p><u>Improve ecological values at the lower reach of the Upper Coopers Creek Sub-Catchment by avoiding adverse effects from stream-depleting groundwater takes on surface flows that are more than minor.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-384.8	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new Policy 14.4.23C as follows:</p> <p><u>Support integrated management of water allocation between water users within the Upper Coopers Creek Catchment, by:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a) Encouraging applications to replace existing resource consents being made together so that the cumulative effects of abstractions and options to manage effects on surface water flows can be considered;</u> <u>b) Establishing a water user group to manage water user during periods of high demand;</u> <u>c) Improving ecological values through consent conditions</u>
14.04.New	PC7-384.9	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new Policy 14.4.23D as follows:</p> <p><u>Encourage measures to improve ecological values through consent conditions; such as</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>(a) Removing macrophytes and requiring riparian planting to increase shading in macrophyte dense areas;</u> <u>(b) Stock-proof fencing of the waterways where appropriate;</u> <u>(c) Silt input management.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.New	PC7-384.10	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new Policy 14.4.23E as follows:</p> <p><u>Provide for moderate, high or direct stream-depleting groundwater abstractions in the Upper Coopers Creek Sub-Catchment where applications will replace existing stream-depleting groundwater takes and where applicants:</u></p> <p>a) <u>Propose methods, secured by consent conditions to improve ecological values;</u> b) <u>Demonstrate that adverse effects on surface water flows are no more than minor; and</u> c) <u>Will be part of a water users group to manage the cumulative effects of water takes.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-384.11	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new Policy 14.4.23F as follows:</p> <p><u>Recognise that naturally occurring surface water loss to groundwater in the lower reach of Upper Coopers Creek Sub-Catchment that has adverse effects on ecological values that is not connected to stream-depleting groundwater abstractions from the spring-fed section of Upper Coopers Creek Sub-Catchment.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-384.12	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new Policy 14.4.23G as follows:</p> <p><u>Stream-depleting groundwater abstractions within the Upper Coopers Creek Sub-Catchment are managed by a maximum allocation volume in Table 14(h) which controls abstractions within the spring-fed section of Upper Coopers Creek Sub-Catchment so effects on surface water flows in the lower reach are no more than a minor.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-385.29	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-385.8 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p>a. <u>when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u> b. <u>when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-210.3	Tronnoco Farming Co Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy as follows:</p> <p><u>Improve water quantity and/or quality by facilitating the augmentation of the Seadown Drain.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-210.4	Tronnoco Farming Co Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy that requires more measuring sites for the lower Level Plain to show (Seadown Drain area) that the lower Level Plain does not have the same high nitrogen concentration as the Level plain, and therefore not be included in nitrogen loss requirements of the Level Plains high concentration area, with a focus on water quality in the Seadown Drain.</p>
14.04.New	PC7-354.4	O'Kane, K & K	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy as follows: <u>Improve water quantity and/or quality by facilitating the augmentation of Seadown Drain.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-354.5	O'Kane, K & K	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy that requires more measuring sites for the lower Level Plain to show (Seadown Drain area) that the lower Level Plain does not have the same high nitrogen concentration as the Level plain, and therefore not be included in nitrogen loss requirements of the Level Plains high concentration area, with a focus on water quality in the Seadown Drain.</p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.New	PC7-134.3	Oldfield, M E	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy as follows: <u>Improve water quantity and/or quality by facilitating the augmentation of Seadown Drain.</u>
14.04.New	PC7-375.3	Waipopo Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy as follows: <u>Improve water quantity and/or quality by facilitating the augmentation of Seadown Drain.</u>
14.04.New	PC7-284.3	Cotter, D & D	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy as follows: <u>Improve water quantity and/or quality by facilitating the augmentation of Seadown Drain.</u>
14.04.New	PC7-120.3	Belpher Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy as follows: <u>Improve water quantity and/or quality by facilitating the augmentation of Seadown Drain.</u>
14.04.New	PC7-364.3	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert a new policy as follows: <u>Improve water quantity and/or quality by facilitating the augmentation of Seadown Drain.</u>
14.04.New	PC7-412.35	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	If the relief sought in PC7-412.15 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows: <u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u> <u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u> <u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u>
14.04.New	PC7-487.39	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	If the relief sought in PC7-487.20 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows: <u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u> <u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u> <u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.New	PC7-221.23	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-221.9 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-97.51	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-97.20 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-68.56	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-68.26 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.New	PC7-298.22	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-298.17 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-159.22	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-159.13 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-166.29	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-166.24 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.New	PC7-283.25	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-283.22 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-110.21	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-110.13 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-294.26	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-294.24 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.New	PC7-223.23	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-223.20 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-182.4	Chapman, J L	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-182.1 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-378.4	Dawson, J & E	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-378.1 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.New	PC7-319.33	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy as follows:</p> <p><u>In considering whether to grant or refuse applications for replacement of existing consents, the consent authority will:</u></p> <p><u>a. consider whether all reasonable attempts to meet the efficiency expectations of this section have been undertaken;</u></p> <p><u>b. recognise the values of the investment of the existing consent holder; and</u></p> <p><u>c. maintain the inclusion of the consent, if granted, any allocation limits and priority bands on the water body concerned.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-168.23	Davies, D	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-168.20 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u></p> <p><u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-319.41	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy to assist with global consenting of water permits as follows:</p> <p><u>Contribute to the overall management of surface flows within the Orari, Temuka and Pareora Freshwater Management Unit, by providing for the transfer of surface water permits to an Irrigation Scheme where this will result in a water permit authorising the abstraction of all transferred water.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-280.7	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-180.4 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u></p> <p><u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.New	PC7-236.25	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-236.22 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-169.23	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-169.20 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-253.25	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new policy as follows:</p> <p><u>Improve water quantity and/or quality by facilitating the augmentation of the Seadown Drain.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-115.25	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-298.17 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.New	PC7-361.39	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-361.38 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-237.26	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-237.25 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-456.29	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-456.28 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.04.New	PC7-238.26	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-238.25 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-410.10	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-410.9 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.04.New	PC7-568.22	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	<p>If the relief sought in PC7-568.21 is not granted, delete Table 14(w) in its entirety and insert a new Policy 14.4.X as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.X</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te AnaWai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
<u>Section 14.5 - Rules</u>				
14.05.00.Water Transfer Rules	PC7-188.13	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the abstraction rules in Section 14 to allow for the transfer of water permits to industrial use, where that industrial use will result in a neutral or positive water balance.
14.05.01	PC7-318.45	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.1 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.01	PC7-319.45	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.1 as notified.
14.05.01	PC7-430.227	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.1 in its entirety. OR If not deleted, add an additional matter for discretion as follows: <u>12. The provisions of any relevant Water Conservation Order.</u>
14.05.01	PC7-292.90	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend 'Note 2' above Rule 14.5.1 as follows: 2. Regional Rules 5.111, 5.112, 5.113, 5.114, 5.114A and 5.115 apply in the Orari-Temuka-Opihi- Pareora sub-region and prevail over Rules 14.5.7, 14.5.8, 14.5.9, 14.5.10 and 14.5.11, 14.5.4, 14.5.5 and 14.5.6.
14.05.01	PC7-391.4	Barker Fruit Processing Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend 'Note 2' above Rule 14.5.1 as follows: Regional Rules 5.11, 5.112, 5.113, 5.114, 5.114A and 5.115 apply in the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora subregion and prevail over Rules 14.5.7, 14.5.8, 14.5.9, 14.5.10 and 14.5.11 14.5.1 to 14.5.6.
14.05.01	PC7-424.13	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.1 to a controlled activity status.
14.05.01	PC7-424.14	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.1 to delete references to 'Cultural Impact Assessment' and require provision of a report that identifies how mahinga kai will be enhanced and water quality and quantity maintained and that engagement with Arowhenua has occurred.
14.05.01	PC7-381.52	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend 'Note 2' above Rule 14.5.1 as follows: 2. Regional Rules 5.11, 5.112, 5.113, 5.114, 5.114A and 5.115 apply in the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region and prevail over Rules 14.5.7, 14.5.8, 14.5.9, 14.5.10 and 14.5.11 14.5.1 to 14.5.6.
14.05.01	PC7-384.13	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	Insert a new note above Rule 14.5.1 as follows: <u>Rule 14.5.6A prevails over rules 14.5.4 to 14.5.6 and Regional Rules 5.123 to 5.125.</u>
14.05.02	PC7-318.46	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.2 as notified.
14.05.02	PC7-319.46	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.2 as notified.
14.05.02	PC7-430.228	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.2 in its entirety.
14.05.02	PC7-424.15	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.2 to include that if it is not accompanied by a report that shows the involvement of Ngā Rūnanga in the development of the proposal the activity is non-complying.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.02	PC7-424.16	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.2 to include that where mahinga kai enhancement may result in exceedance of any environmental flow or allocation limit in Table 14(i) and Table 14(l) the activity is non-complying.
14.05.03	PC7-318.47	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.3 as notified.
14.05.03	PC7-319.47	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.3 as notified.
14.05.03	PC7-430.229	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.3 in its entirety.
14.05.03	PC7-424.17	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.3 as follows: The taking and use of surface water for the purposes of mahinga kai enhancement <u>where the proposed take is from the Te Umu Kaha / Temuka River</u> that does not meet condition 2 or 3 of Rule 14.5.1 is a prohibited activity.
14.05.04	PC7-424.18	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Policy 14.5.4 as notified.
14.05.04	PC7-453.2	Rooney Farms Ltd	Oppose	Delete condition 3 of Rule 14.5.4.
14.05.04	PC7-430.230	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 2 of Rule 14.5.4.
14.05.04	PC7-381.56	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Amend matter of discretion 2 of Rule 14.5.4 as follows: 2. The actual or potential adverse environmental effects on water quality, including whether the activity, in combination with all other activities, will alter the allocation status for water quality in the relevant catchment; and <u>The appropriateness of existing conditions, including conditions on minimum flow, seasonal or annual volume and other restrictions to mitigate effects and the need to update these to reflect the flow and allocation regime applying at the time that the application is made; and</u>
14.05.04	PC7-318.50	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 2 of Rule 14.5.4.
14.05.04	PC7-295.16	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 2 of Rule 14.5.4.
14.05.04	PC7-430.325	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 15 of Rule 14.5.4.
14.05.04	PC7-319.50	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 2 of Rule 14.5.4 in its entirety.
14.05.04	PC7-166.12	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.4 as follows: <u>1. The take, in addition to all existing consented takes, does not result in an exceedance of any minimum flow limit set in Tables 14(h) to 14(za) and Lake level limit set in Table 14(y) unless an agreement with Ophua Water Ltd, is in place that allows water to be taken to lower lake levels ; and</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.04	PC7-166.13	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.4 to insert a new matter of discretion as follows: <u>The appropriateness of existing consent conditions, including conditions of minimum flow, seasonal or annual volume and other restrictions to mitigate the effects on the affected water body.</u>
14.05.04	PC7-166.14	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 7 of Rule 14.5.4 as follows: <u>The effects the take has on any other authorised take or diversion, particularly reliability of supply and investment in infrastructure; and</u>
14.05.04	PC7-166.15	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.4 to provide for taking water directly from Lake Opuha.
14.05.04	PC7-214.138	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 14 of Rule 14.5.4 as follows: ... <u>14. Where the water is to be used for irrigation, the preparation and implementation of a Farm Environment Plan in accordance with Schedule 7 or a Management Plan in accordance with Schedule 7A that demonstrates that the water is being used efficiently; and</u> <u>Alternatively, delete Rule 14.5.4 in its entirety.</u>
14.05.04	PC7-381.54	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.4 as follows: 1. The take, in addition to all existing consented takes, does not result in an exceedance of any: <u>a. minimum flow limit set in Tables 14(h) to 14(za); and</u> <u>b. Lake level limit set out in Table 14(y); and</u>
14.05.04	PC7-381.57	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 13 of Rule 14.5.4 as follows: <u>13. Where the proposed take is the replacement of a lawfully established take affected by the provisions of Section 124-124C of the RMA and is from an over-allocated surface water catchment (excluding AA, BA and Kakahu permits), the reduction in the rate of take and volume limits to enable a reduction in over-allocation;</u>
14.05.04	PC7-381.58	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.4 to insert a new matter of discretion relating to minimum flow/allocation limits.
14.05.04	PC7-197.4	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.4 to provide exemptions for reasonable water use for established poultry farms requiring replacement consents for shed wash down and irrigation.
14.05.04	PC7-306.1	Springfield Partnership Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.4 to ensure that all existing water permits can be continued with current conditions and will be renewed in the future.
14.05.04	PC7-306.2	Springfield Partnership Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.4 to recognise the investment and economic importance when renewing the consent with no specific decision requested.
14.05.04	PC7-306.3	Springfield Partnership Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 14.5.4 as follows: <u>Unless it is associated with the artificial opening of a hāpua, lagoon or coastal lake to the sea, the take is not from a wetland, hāpua or a high naturalness waterbody listed in Section 14.8 unless replacing a lawfully established take in accordance with 2a.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.04	PC7-165.14	Orakipaoa Water Users	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 14.5.4 as follows: 3.Unless it is associated with the artificial opening of hāpua, lagoon or coastal lake to the sea, the take is not from a wetland, hāpua or High Naturalness waterbody listed in Section 14.8 <u>unless replacing a lawfully established take, in accordance with 2a.</u>
14.05.05	PC7-430.233	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.5 as notified.
14.05.05	PC7-318.51	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.5 as notified.
14.05.05	PC7-295.17	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.5 as notified.
14.05.05	PC7-319.51	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.5 as notified.
14.05.05	PC7-381.59	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.5 in its entirety.
14.05.05	PC7-197.5	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.5 to provide exemptions for reasonable water use for established poultry farms requiring replacement consents for shed wash down and irrigation.
14.05.06	PC7-430.234	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.[6] as notified.
14.05.06	PC7-351.68	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.6 as notified.
14.05.06	PC7-318.52	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.6 as notified.
14.05.06	PC7-295.18	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.6 as notified.
14.05.06	PC7-319.52	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.6 as notified.
14.05.06	PC7-381.62	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.6 as follows: The taking and use of surface water that does not meet one or more of conditions 1 or 2b of Rule 14.5.4, <u>condition 1 of Rule 14.5.4A or condition 1 of Rule 14.5.5A</u> is a prohibited activity.
14.05.06	PC7-197.6	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.6 to provide exemptions for reasonable water use for established poultry farms requiring replacement consents for shed wash down and irrigation.
14.05.07	PC7-424.19	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.7 as notified.
14.05.07	PC7-72.6	Midgley, A	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.7 as notified.
14.05.07	PC7-430.231	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 8 of Rule 14.5.7.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.07	PC7-430.235	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete condition 5 of Rule 14.5.7.
14.05.07	PC7-381.65	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Delete condition 5 of Rule 14.5.7.
14.05.07	PC7-197.7	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.7 in its entirety.
14.05.07	PC7-318.70	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose	Delete condition 5 of Rule 14.5.7.
14.05.07	PC7-319.55	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose	Delete condition 5 of Rule 14.5.7.
14.05.07	PC7-295.25	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose	Delete condition 5 of Rule 14.5.7.
14.05.07	PC7-214.139	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 7 of Rule 14.5.7 as follows: ... 7. Where the water is to be used for irrigation, the preparation and implementation of a Farm Environment Plan in accordance with Schedule 7 <u>or a Management Plan in accordance with Schedule 7A</u> that demonstrates that the water is being used efficiently; Alternatively, delete Rule 14.5.7 in its entirety.
14.05.07	PC7-292.91	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend 'Note 2' above Rule 14.5.7 as follows: 2. Rules 14.5.9 to 14.5.11 prevail over Regional Rules 5.128 to 5.130 except in the Pareora Freshwater Management Unit.
14.05.07	PC7-391.5	Barker Fruit Processing Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert a new note above Rule 14.5.7 as follows: <u>3. Regional Rules 5.112, 5.113, 5.114, 5.114A and 5.115 apply in the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region and prevail over Rules 14.5.7, 14.5.8, 14.5.9, 14.5.10 and 14.5.11.</u>
14.05.07	PC7-416.13	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.7 to clarify the status of replacement stream depleting takes in the Orari-Opihi GAZ.
14.05.07	PC7-381.53	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Insert a new note above Rule 14.5.7 as follows: <u>3. Regional Rules 5.112, 5.113, 5.114, 5.114A and 5.115 apply in the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region and prevail over Rules 14.5.7, 14.5.8, 14.5.9, 14.5.10 and 14.5.11.</u>
14.05.07	PC7-381.63	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.7 as follows: The taking and use of groundwater that will replace <u>substitute</u> an existing surface water or groundwater permit that has a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect is a restricted discretionary activity, providing the following conditions are met:
14.05.07	PC7-381.64	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.7 as follows: ... 2. The <u>proposed</u> take will not have a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect; and ...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.07	PC7-415.16	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.7 to make it clear how different takes are to be treated.
14.05.07	PC7-424.95	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.7 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
14.05.07	PC7-424.169	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.7 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on a mātaītai reserve</u>
14.05.07	PC7-174.6	Te Moana Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 7 of Rule 14.5.7 as follows: 7. Where the water is to be used for irrigation, the preparation and implementation of a Farm Environment Plan in accordance with Schedule 7 <u>or a Management Plan in accordance with Schedule 7A</u> that demonstrates that the water is being used efficiently; or alternatively if the rule is not amended delete the rule in its entirety.
14.05.07	PC7-318.53	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.7 as follows: The taking and use of groundwater that will replace <u>substitute</u> an existing surface water or groundwater permit that has a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect is a restricted discretionary activity, providing the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.07	PC7-295.19	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.7 as follows: The taking and use of groundwater that will replace <u>substitute</u> an existing surface water or groundwater permit that has a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect is a restricted discretionary activity, providing the following conditions are met:...
14.05.07	PC7-416.20	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.7 to clarify what takes may access the T allocation (including under what circumstances stream depleting takes can access the T allocation).
14.05.07	PC7-318.69	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.7 as follows: 2. The <u>proposed</u> take will not have a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect; and...
14.05.07	PC7-384.16	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	Insert a new note to Take and Use Groundwater notes, above Rule 14.5.7 as follows: <u>3. Rule 14.5.11A prevails over Rules 14.5.9 to 14.5.11 and Regional Rules 5.128 to 5.130.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.07	PC7-384.17	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	Amend 'Note 1' above Rule 14.5.7 as follows: 1. Rules 14.5.7 to 14.5.8 apply to groundwater takes that will replace an existing surface water take or groundwater take with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, <u>except in relation to Upper Coopers Creek Sub-Catchment where Rule 14.5.11A applies.</u>
14.05.07	PC7-472.185	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.7 to include potential adverse effects on ground water indigenous biodiversity.
14.05.07	PC7-295.24	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.7 as follows: 2. The <u>proposed</u> take will not have a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect; and...
14.05.07	PC7-292.133	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Insert a new note above Rule 14.5.7 as follows: <u>3. Regional Rules 5.111, 5.112, 5.113, 5.114, 5.114A and 5.115 apply in the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region and prevail over Rules 14.5.7, 14.5.8, 14.5.9, 14.5.10 and 14.5.11, except in the Pareora Freshwater Management Unit.</u>
14.05.07	PC7-319.53	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.7 as follows: The taking and use of groundwater that will replace <u>substitute</u> an existing surface water or groundwater permit that has a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect is a restricted discretionary activity, providing the following conditions are met:
14.05.07	PC7-319.54	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.7 as follows: 2. The <u>proposed</u> take will not have a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect; and
14.05.07	PC7-319.56	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend condition 6 of Rule 14.5.7 as follows: <u>56. The existing surface water or groundwater permit is surrendered.</u>
14.05.07	PC7-468.34	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.7 to clarify how it is intended to work together with Policies 14.4.6A-14.4.9 and Rules 14.5.8-14.5.11, particularly in relation to stream depleting takes, but retain intent relating to existing takes and the application of section 124-124C.
14.05.08	PC7-416.12	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.8 in its entirety.
14.05.08	PC7-197.8	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.8 in its entirety.
14.05.08	PC7-430.236	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 14.5.8 from a prohibited activity to a non-complying activity.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.08	PC7-381.66	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.8 as follows: 14.5.8 The taking and use of groundwater that will replace <u>substitute</u> an existing surface water or groundwater permit that has a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect that does not comply with one or more of the conditions of Rule 14.5.7 is a prohibited activity.
14.05.08	PC7-415.17	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.8 to make it clear how different takes are to be treated.
14.05.08	PC7-318.54	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.8 as follows: The taking and use of groundwater that will replace <u>substitute</u> an existing surface water or groundwater permit that has a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect that does not comply with one or more of the conditions of Rule 14.5.7 is a prohibited activity.
14.05.08	PC7-295.20	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.8 as follows: The taking and use of groundwater that will replace <u>substitute</u> an existing surface water or groundwater permit that has a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect that does not comply with one or more of the conditions of Rule 14.5.7 is a prohibited activity.
14.05.08	PC7-472.186	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.8 to include potential adverse effects on ground water indigenous biodiversity.
14.05.08	PC7-319.57	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.8 as follows: The taking and use of groundwater that will replace <u>substitute</u> an existing surface water or groundwater permit that has a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect that does not comply with one or more of the conditions of Rule 14.5.7 is a prohibited activity.
14.05.08	PC7-468.35	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.8 to clarify how it is intended to work together with Policies 14.4.6A-14.4.9, and Rules 14.5.7 and 14.5.9-14.5.11, particularly in relation to stream depleting takes, but retain intent relating to existing takes and the application of section 124-124C.
14.05.09	PC7-488.3	M A Orchards Limited, Kerrytown Orchards Limited Partnership and Falvey Orchards Limited Partnership	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.9 as notified.
14.05.09	PC7-424.20	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Policy 14.5.9 as notified.
14.05.09	PC7-424.96	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain matter of discretion 13 of Rule 14.5.9 as notified.
14.05.09	PC7-316.7	Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.9 as notified.
14.05.09	PC7-72.7	Midgley, A	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.9 as notified.
14.05.09	PC7-430.237	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 7 of Rule 14.5.9.
14.05.09	PC7-381.67	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 7 of Rule 14.5.9 in its entirety.
14.05.09	PC7-197.9	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.9 in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.09	PC7-318.55	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 7 of Rule 14.5.9.
14.05.09	PC7-295.21	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 7 of Rule 14.5.9.
14.05.09	PC7-319.58	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 7 of Rule 14.5.9 in its entirety.
14.05.09	PC7-214.140	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 12 of Rule 14.5.9 as follows: ... 12. Where the water is to be used for irrigation, the preparation and implementation of a Farm Environment Plan in accordance with Schedule 7 <u>or a Management Plan in accordance with Schedule 7A</u> that demonstrates that the water is being used efficiently; Alternatively, delete Rule 14.5.9 in its entirety.
14.05.09	PC7-416.14	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.9 to clarify the status of replacement stream depleting takes in the Orari-Opihi GAZ.
14.05.09	PC7-415.18	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.9 to make it clear how different takes are to be treated.
14.05.09	PC7-424.170	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.9 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on a mātaihai reserve</u>
14.05.09	PC7-174.4	Te Moana Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 12 of Rule 14.5.9 as follows: Where the water is being used for irrigation, the preparation and implementation of a Farm Environment Plan in accordance with Schedule 7 <u>or a Management Plan in Accordance with Schedule 7A</u> that demonstrates that the water is being used efficiently; and Alternatively, delete the rule in its entirety.
14.05.09	PC7-416.21	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.9 to clarify what takes may access the T allocation (including under what circumstances stream depleting takes can access the T allocation).
14.05.09	PC7-472.187	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.9 to include potential adverse effects on ground water indigenous biodiversity.
14.05.09	PC7-468.36	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.9 to clarify how it is intended to work together with Policies 14.4.6A-14.4.9 and Rules 14.5.7, 14.5.8, 14.5.10-14.5.11, particularly in relation to stream depleting takes, but retain intent relating to existing takes and the application of section 124-124C.
14.05.10	PC7-488.4	M A Orchards Limited, Kerrytown Orchards Limited Partnership and Falvey Orchards Limited Partnership	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.10 as notified.
14.05.10	PC7-430.238	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.10 as notified.
14.05.10	PC7-381.68	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.10 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.10	PC7-197.10	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.10 in its entirety.
14.05.10	PC7-416.15	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.10 to clarify the status of replacement stream depleting takes in the Orari-Opihi GAZ.
14.05.10	PC7-415.19	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.10 to make it clear how different takes are to be treated.
14.05.10	PC7-416.22	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.10 to clarify what takes may access the T allocation (including under what circumstances stream depleting takes can access the T allocation).
14.05.10	PC7-472.188	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.10 to include potential adverse effects on ground water indigenous biodiversity.
14.05.10	PC7-468.37	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.10 to clarify how it is intended to work together with Policies 14.4.6A-14.4.9 and Rules 14.5.7-14.5.9 and 14.5.11, particularly in relation to stream depleting takes, but retain intent relating to existing takes and the application of section 124-124C.
14.05.11	PC7-430.239	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.11 as notified.
14.05.11	PC7-381.163	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.11 as notified.
14.05.11	PC7-197.11	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.11 in its entirety.
14.05.11	PC7-416.16	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.11 to clarify the status of replacement stream depleting takes in the Orari-Opihi GAZ.
14.05.11	PC7-415.20	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.11 to make it clear how different takes are to be treated.
14.05.11	PC7-416.23	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.11 to clarify what takes may access the T allocation (including under what circumstances stream depleting takes can access the T allocation).
14.05.11	PC7-472.189	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.11 to include potential adverse effects on ground water indigenous biodiversity.
14.05.11	PC7-468.38	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.11 to clarify how it is intended to work together with Policies 14.4.6A-14.4.9 and Rules 14.5.7-14.5.10, particularly in relation to stream depleting takes, but retain intent relating to existing takes and the application of section 124-124C.
14.05.12	PC7-424.21	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Policy 14.5.12 as notified.
14.05.12	PC7-351.69	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.12 as notified.
14.05.12	PC7-424.98	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain matter of discretion 6 of Rule 14.5.12 as notified.
14.05.12	PC7-430.232	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 6 of Rule 14.5.12.
14.05.12	PC7-381.75	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Delete matter of discretion 4 of Rule 14.5.12.
14.05.12	PC7-430.326	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete condition 5(b) of Rule 14.5.12.
14.05.12	PC7-68.35	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the OTOP sub-regions freshwater resources are over allocated.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.12	PC7-97.29	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the OTOP sub-regions freshwater resources are over allocated.
14.05.12	PC7-214.141	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 14.5.12 as follows: ... 5. Unless the transfer is for a community water supply <u>or stock drinking water</u> ...
14.05.12	PC7-292.92	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 14.5.12 as follows: 4. The proposed transfer is not from the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit, <u>except where the water permit will be repurposed to a community water supply purpose or an existing community water supply take is relocated to another location</u> ; and
14.05.12	PC7-292.93	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Retain the note above Rule 14.5.12 as notified only if relief sought in submission point PC7-292.92 is granted.
14.05.12	PC7-488.5	M A Orchards Limited, Kerrytown Orchards Limited Partnership and Falvey Orchards Limited Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5(b) of Rule 14.5.12 as follows: 5... a.... b. if the proposed transfer is located within an over-allocated surface water catchment or groundwater allocation zone, the resource consent application includes a percentage of water to be surrendered, <u>up to a maximum of 75%, that matches the extent to which the surface water catchment or groundwater allocation zone is over-allocated</u> ; ...
14.05.12	PC7-430.240	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Supports the submission on Rule 14.5.12 from Opuha Water Limited.
14.05.12	PC7-430.241	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 14.5.12 as follows: 3. Any proposed volume to be transferred for irrigation has been calculated in accordance with <u>the methodologies in Method 1 of Schedule 10</u> ; and
14.05.12	PC7-381.69	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 as follows, subject to the submitter's requested relief in relation to Policy 14.4.13: The temporary or permanent transfer, in whole or in part, (other than to the new owner of the site to which the take and use of water relates and where the location of the take and use of water does not change) of a water permit to take or use surface water or groundwater, is <u>to be considered as if it is a restricted discretionary activity</u> provided the following conditions are met:
14.05.12	PC7-381.70	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 14.5.12 as follows, subject to the submitter's requested relief in relation to Policy 14.4.13: ... 3. <u>Except in relation to AA, BA and Kakahu permits, Any</u> proposed volume to be transferred for irrigation has been calculated in accordance with Method 1 of Schedule 10; and
14.05.12	PC7-381.71	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5(b) of Rule 14.5.12 as follows, subject to the submitter's requested relief in relation to Policy 14.4.13: b. if the proposed transfer is located within an over-allocated surface water catchment or groundwater allocation zone, the resource consent application includes a percentage of water to be surrendered, up to a maximum of 75%, that matches the extent to which the surface water catchment or groundwater allocation zone is over-allocated <u>(this condition does not apply to the transfer of AA, BA and Kakahu Permits)</u> ; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.12	PC7-381.72	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 6 of Rule 14.5.12 as follows, subject to the submitter's requested relief in relation to Policy 14.4.13: 6. <u>Either:</u> <u>(a)The point of take remains within either the same surface water catchment or groundwater allocation zone; or</u> <u>(b) The transfer is of an AA or BA permit in the North Opuha, South Opuha, Upper Opihi or Te Ana Wai Rivers to the mainstem of the Opihi River or Lake Opuha; and...</u> Or, alternatively, insert a new rule such as that set out in the submitter's relief requested in relation to Rules 14.5.4 to 14.5.5.
14.05.12	PC7-381.74	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 2 of Rule 14.5.12 as follows, subject to the submitter's requested relief in relation to Policy 14.4.13: 2. The appropriateness of existing conditions, including conditions on minimum flow, seasonal or annual volume and other restrictions to mitigate effects and the need to update these to reflect the current flow and allocation regime <u>applying at the time that the application is made</u> ; and
14.05.12	PC7-381.76	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 6 of Rule 14.5.12 as follows, subject to the submitter's requested relief in relation to Policy 14.4.13: 6. Where there is a change to the use of the water, or a change in the location the water is used, any adverse effects on Ngāi Tahu values including mahinga kai and the mauri of waterbodies, and the appropriateness of any mitigation measures including a lesser amount of water sought.
14.05.12	PC7-424.172	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on a mātaihai reserve</u>
14.05.12	PC7-318.56	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to prohibit transfers in the Temuka FMU until such time as the allocation limits within Table 14 (i) to (l) are achieved <u>and unless the transfer is to an Irrigation Scheme as part of a global consenting process for existing lawfully established takes in the Temuka FMU.</u>
14.05.12	PC7-295.22	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to prohibit transfers in the Temuka FMU until such time as the allocation limits within Table 14 (i) to (l) are achieved and unless the transfer is to an Irrigation Scheme as part of a global consenting process for existing lawfully established takes in the Temuka FMU.
14.05.12	PC7-472.190	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to surrender 100% if catchment is over allocated.
14.05.12	PC7-487.27	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the OTOP sub-regions are over allocated.
14.05.12	PC7-487.28	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify the exclusion of OWL shareholders specified in ZIPA Recommendation 4.9.3(IV).
14.05.12	PC7-487.29	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfers of permits affiliated to OWL from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi Mainstem and Lake Opuha.
14.05.12	PC7-97.37	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify the exclusion of OWL shareholders specified in the ZIPA Recommendation 4.9.3(IV).
14.05.12	PC7-97.38	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfers of permits affiliated to OWL from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi mainstem and Lake Opuha.
14.05.12	PC7-68.42	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify the exclusion of OWL shareholders specified in the ZIPA Recommendation 4.9.3(IV).
14.05.12	PC7-68.43	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfers of permits affiliated to OWL from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi mainstem and Lake Opuha.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.12	PC7-373.25	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the OTOP sub-regions freshwater resources are over-allocated.
14.05.12	PC7-298.42	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the OTOP sub-regions freshwater resources are over-allocated.
14.05.12	PC7-283.45	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the OTOP sub-regions freshwater resources are over-allocated.
14.05.12	PC7-240.42	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the OTOP sub regions freshwater resources are over-allocated.
14.05.12	PC7-240.43	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify the exclusion of OWL shareholders specified in ZIPA recommendations (4.9.3(IV)).
14.05.12	PC7-240.44	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfers of water permits affiliated to OWL from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi mainstem and Lake Opuha.
14.05.12	PC7-294.50	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the OTOP sub-regions freshwater resources are over-allocated.
14.05.12	PC7-223.43	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the OTOP sub-regions freshwater resources are over-allocated.
14.05.12	PC7-445.55	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the OTOP sub regions freshwater resources are over-allocated.
14.05.12	PC7-445.56	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify the exclusion of OWL shareholders specified in ZIPA recommendations (4.9.3(IV)).
14.05.12	PC7-445.57	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfers of water permits affiliated to OWL from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi mainstem and Lake Opuha.
14.05.12	PC7-168.42	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the OTOP sub-regions freshwater resources are over-allocated.
14.05.12	PC7-280.3	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide an exclusion from the requirement to surrender allocation n transfer of consent affiliated to OWL.
14.05.12	PC7-319.59	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to prohibit transfers in the Temuka FMU until such a time as the allocation limits within Table 14(i) to 14(l) are achieved and <u>unless the transfer is to an Irrigation Scheme as part of a global consenting process for existing lawfully established takes in the Temuka FMU.</u>
14.05.12	PC7-236.46	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the OTOP sub-regions freshwater resources are over-allocated.
14.05.12	PC7-175.50	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the OTOP sub regions freshwater resources are over-allocated.
14.05.12	PC7-175.51	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify the exclusion of OWL shareholders specified in ZIPA recommendations (4.9.3(IV)).
14.05.12	PC7-175.52	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfers of water permits affiliated to OWL from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi mainstem and Lake Opuha.
14.05.12	PC7-169.44	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the OTOP sub-regions freshwater resources are over-allocated.
14.05.12	PC7-410.23	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the freshwater resources are over allocated in the OTOP sub-region.
14.05.12	PC7-410.24	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5(b) of Rule 14.5.12 to clarify that during a transfer, OWL affiliated permits are not required to surrender allocation as intended by ZIPA Recommendation 4.9.3(IV).
14.05.12	PC7-410.25	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfers of permits affiliated to OWL from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi mainstem and Lake Opuha.
14.05.12	PC7-373.28	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify the exclusion of OWL shareholders specified in the ZIPA recommendations 4.9.3(IV).
14.05.12	PC7-373.29	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfer of permits, affiliated to OWL from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi mainstem and Lake Opuha.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.12	PC7-298.46	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify the exclusion of OWL shareholders specified in ZIPA recommendations 4.9.3.(IV).
14.05.12	PC7-298.47	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfers of permits affiliated to OWL, from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi mainstem and Lake Opuha.
14.05.12	PC7-283.49	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify the exclusion of OWL shareholders specified in ZIPA recommendations 4.9.3.(IV).
14.05.12	PC7-283.50	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfers of permits affiliated to OWL, from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi mainstem and Lake Opuha.
14.05.12	PC7-294.51	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify the exclusion of OWL shareholders specified in ZIPA recommendations 4.9.3.(IV).
14.05.12	PC7-294.52	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfers of permits affiliated to OWL, from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi mainstem and Lake Opuha.
14.05.12	PC7-223.45	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify the exclusion of OWL shareholders specified in ZIPA recommendations 4.9.3.(IV).
14.05.12	PC7-223.46	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfers of permits affiliated to OWL, from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi mainstem and Lake Opuha.
14.05.12	PC7-168.46	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify the exclusion of OWL shareholders specified in ZIPA recommendations 4.9.3.(IV).
14.05.12	PC7-168.47	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfers of permits affiliated to OWL, from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi mainstem and Lake Opuha.
14.05.12	PC7-236.49	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify the exclusion of OWL shareholders specified in ZIPA recommendations 4.9.3.(IV).
14.05.12	PC7-236.50	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfers of permits affiliated to OWL, from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi mainstem and Lake Opuha.
14.05.12	PC7-169.48	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify the exclusion of OWL shareholders specified in ZIPA recommendations 4.9.3.(IV).
14.05.12	PC7-169.49	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfers of permits affiliated to OWL, from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi mainstem and Lake Opuha.
14.05.12	PC7-370.46	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the OTOF sub-regions freshwater resources are over-allocated.
14.05.12	PC7-370.47	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify the exclusion of OWL shareholders specified in ZIPA recommendations 4.9.3.(IV).
14.05.12	PC7-370.48	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfer of permits, affiliated to OWL from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi mainstem and Lake Opuha.
14.05.12	PC7-568.46	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify which of the OTOF sub-regions freshwater resources are over-allocated.
14.05.12	PC7-568.47	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to clarify the exclusion of OWL shareholders specified in ZIPA recommendations (4.9.3(IV)).
14.05.12	PC7-568.48	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.12 to provide for transfers of permits affiliated to OWL from the tributaries to the Opuha/Opihi mainstem and Lake Opuha.
14.05.13	PC7-292.94	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.13 as notified only if relief sought in submission point PC7-292.92 is granted.
14.05.13	PC7-318.57	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.13 as notified, provided relief sought in submission point PC7-318.56 is granted.
14.05.13	PC7-295.23	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.13 as notified, provided relief sought in submission point PC7-295.22 is granted.
14.05.13	PC7-430.242	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend the activity status of Rule 14.5.13 from a prohibited activity to a non-complying activity.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.13	PC7-381.77	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.13 as follows: 14.5.13 The temporary or permanent transfer, in whole or in part, (other than to the new owner of the site to which the take and use of water relates and where the location of the take and use of water does not change) of a water permit to take and use surface water or groundwater that does not meet one or more of the conditions of Rule 14.5.12 is <u>must not be approved under section 136 of the RMA, in the same way as if it were</u> a prohibited activity
14.05.13	PC7-319.60	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	If PC7-319.59 (amend Rule 14.5.12) is accepted, then retain Rule 14.5.13 as notified.
14.05.14	PC7-114.42	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.14 as notified.
14.05.14	PC7-292.95	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of the note above Rule 14.5.14 as notified.
14.05.14	PC7-292.96	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Rule 14.5.14 as notified.
14.05.14	PC7-430.243	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.14 as notified.
14.05.14	PC7-197.12	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.14 as notified.
14.05.14	PC7-468.21	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.14 as notified.
14.05.14	PC7-219.24	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.14 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.05.14	PC7-424.22	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.14 to align with or exceed the rules proposed by the NES for Freshwater 2019 as they relate to farming and nutrient management.
14.05.14	PC7-424.71	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.14 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
14.05.14	PC7-435.31	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.14 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.14	PC7-435.44	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.14 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.14	PC7-469.31	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.14 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.14	PC7-469.44	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.14 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.14	PC7-464.36	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.14 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.14	PC7-464.49	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.14 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.14	PC7-356.62	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Retain the note that precedes Rule 14.5.14. Include consequential amendments subsequent to the relief sought in submission points 32 and 36 to 39 is granted, amend the rule numbering and terms in the note.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.15	PC7-221.10	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.15 as notified.
14.05.15	PC7-214.142	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.15 as notified.
14.05.15	PC7-292.97	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Rule 14.5.15 as notified.
14.05.15	PC7-357.55	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.15 as notified.
14.05.15	PC7-430.244	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.15 as notified, subject to the requested amendments to Table 14(zc).
14.05.15	PC7-468.22	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.15 as notified.
14.05.15	PC7-114.43	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.15 as follows: Where any property or farming enterprise includes land within the High Nitrogen Concentration Area, the nitrogen loss reductions in Table 14(zc) <u>requirements</u> only apply to that part of the property within the High Nitrogen Concentration Area.
14.05.15	PC7-97.26	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.15 to require ECan to pay for all fencing and reinstatement after flood events.
14.05.15	PC7-219.25	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.15 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.05.15	PC7-424.23	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.15 to align with or exceed the rules proposed by the NES for Freshwater 2019 as they relate to farming and nutrient management.
14.05.15	PC7-435.32	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.15 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.15	PC7-435.46	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.15 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.15	PC7-469.32	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.15 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.15	PC7-469.45	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.15 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.15	PC7-464.37	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.15 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.15	PC7-464.50	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.15 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.15	PC7-235.39	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.15 to accurately reflect the percentage of reduction required to meet required outcomes in line with decision requested in PC7-235.37.
14.05.16	PC7-114.44	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.16 as notified.
14.05.16	PC7-357.56	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.16 as notified.
14.05.16	PC7-468.13	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.16 as notified.
14.05.16	PC7-415.10	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.16 as notified.
14.05.16	PC7-292.141	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Rule 14.5.16 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.16	PC7-197.13	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.16 in its entirety.
14.05.16	PC7-131.8	Agri Magic Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16 to make limitations of the Farm Portal explicit and direct farmers to the equivalent pathway
14.05.16	PC7-131.9	Agri Magic Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16 to clearly define the Equivalent Pathway.
14.05.16	PC7-219.26	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.05.16	PC7-430.245	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 1 of Rule 14.5.16 as follows: 1. The nitrogen loss calculation for any part of the property within the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region does not exceed the nitrogen baseline <u>and from 1 July 2020 the Baseline GMP Loss Rate</u> ; and
14.05.16	PC7-424.24	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16 to align with or exceed the rules proposed by the NES for Freshwater 2019 as they relate to farming and nutrient management.
14.05.16	PC7-435.33	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.16	PC7-435.45	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.16	PC7-469.33	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.16	PC7-469.46	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.16	PC7-464.38	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.16	PC7-464.51	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.16A	PC7-114.45	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.16A as notified.
14.05.16A	PC7-292.98	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Rule 14.5.16A as notified.
14.05.16A	PC7-357.57	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.16A as notified.
14.05.16A	PC7-468.14	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.16A as notified.
14.05.16A	PC7-430.246	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.16A as notified.
14.05.16A	PC7-415.11	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.16A as notified.
14.05.16A	PC7-219.27	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16A to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.05.16A	PC7-424.25	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16A to align with or exceed the rules proposed by the NES for Freshwater 2019 as they relate to farming and nutrient management.
14.05.16A	PC7-435.34	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16A to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.16A	PC7-435.47	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16A to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.16A	PC7-469.34	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16A to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.16A	PC7-469.47	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16A to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.16A	PC7-464.39	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16A to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.16A	PC7-464.52	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16A to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.16B	PC7-114.46	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.16B as notified.
14.05.16B	PC7-292.99	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Rule 14.5.16B as notified.
14.05.16B	PC7-357.58	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.16B as notified.
14.05.16B	PC7-468.15	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.16B as notified.
14.05.16B	PC7-430.247	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.16B as notified.
14.05.16B	PC7-415.12	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.16B as notified.
14.05.16B	PC7-131.10	Agri Magic Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16B to make limitations of the Farm Portal explicit and direct farmers to the Equivalent Pathway.
14.05.16B	PC7-131.11	Agri Magic Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16B to clearly define the Equivalent Pathway.
14.05.16B	PC7-219.28	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16B to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.05.16B	PC7-424.26	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16B to align with or exceed the rules proposed by the NES for Freshwater 2019 as they relate to farming and nutrient management.
14.05.16B	PC7-435.35	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16B to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.16B	PC7-435.48	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16B to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.16B	PC7-469.35	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16B to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.16B	PC7-469.48	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16B to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.16B	PC7-464.40	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16B to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.16B	PC7-464.53	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.16B to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.17	PC7-331.5	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.17 as notified.
14.05.17	PC7-292.100	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent Rule 14.5.17 as notified.
14.05.17	PC7-357.59	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.17 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.17	PC7-415.23	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.17 as notified.
14.05.17	PC7-554.7	Adams, M	Support	Retain condition 4(d) of Rule 14.5.17 relating to the winter grazing requirement (10% of the area of the property) for addressing winter P loss as well as farming to GMP.
14.05.17	PC7-468.23	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.17 as notified.
14.05.17	PC7-221.12	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose	Delete condition 7 of Rule 14.5.17.
14.05.17	PC7-214.145	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete condition 4 of Rule 14.5.17.
14.05.17	PC7-430.248	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete condition 6 of Rule 14.5.17. Alternatively, redraft the rule alongside a more sensible reflection of where these mātaimai reserves are and how far land use management extends.
14.05.17	PC7-440.6	McAtamney, S & J	Oppose	Delete condition 7 of Rule 14.5.17.
14.05.17	PC7-492.1	Morrison, I	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.17 in its entirety.
14.05.17	PC7-430.327	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete condition 7 of Rule 14.5.17.
14.05.17	PC7-237.38	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose	Delete condition 7 of Rule 14.5.17.
14.05.17	PC7-238.38	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose	Delete condition 7 of Rule 14.5.17.
14.05.17	PC7-296.11	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association Canterbury/West Coast and South Canterbury/North Otago branches	Oppose	Delete condition 7 of Rule 14.5.17.
14.05.17	PC7-105.3	KW & CJ Orange Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend condition 7 of Rule 14.5.17 to delete the 20 ha winter grazing threshold in and replace with 15% (or other appropriate) threshold.
14.05.17	PC7-155.1	Blair Farming Company	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to introduce a specific level (5% to 10% suggested) of a farm to be in the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone for the restrictions of this zone to apply to the whole farm.
14.05.17	PC7-114.47	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 14.5.17 as follows: 3. Any increase in the area of the property that is irrigated is limited to 10 hectares above that which was irrigated at 20 July 2019, provided that no more than 50 hectares is <u>authorised to be</u> irrigated in total; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.17	PC7-75.5	Patterson, G B	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 14.5.17 as follows: 4. The area of the property used for winter grazing of cattle (within the whole zone) or deer (within a High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone) is $\leq 10\%$ of the area of the property. less than or equal to: a. 10 hectares for any property less than 100 hectares in area; or b. 10% of the area of the property, for any property between 100 and 1000 hectares in area; or c. 100 hectares, for any property greater than 1000 hectares in area; and
14.05.17	PC7-73.4	Patterson, V J	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 14.5.17 as follows: 4. The area of the property used for winter grazing of cattle (within the whole zone) or deer (within a High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone) is $\leq 10\%$ of the area of the property. less than or equal to: a. 10 hectares for any property less than 100 hectares in area; or b. 10% of the area of the property, for any property between 100 and 1000 hectares in area; or c. 100 hectares, for any property greater than 1000 hectares in area; and
14.05.17	PC7-173.6	Knocklyn Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 7 of Rule 14.5.17 regarding the land area for winter grazing in the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone from '20 hectares' to 10% of the farm area.
14.05.17	PC7-173.8	Knocklyn Holdings Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 7 of Rule 14.5.17 so that the restrictions that apply in the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone only apply to that part of the property located within the actual zone and not the entire farm.
14.05.17	PC7-219.29	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.05.17	PC7-296.8	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association Canterbury/West Coast and South Canterbury/North Otago branches	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 14.5.17 as follows: The use of land for a farming activity on a property greater than 10 hectares in area is a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met: ... 4. The area of the property used for winter grazing of cattle <u>or deer (within a High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone)</u> is less than or equal to: ...
14.05.17	PC7-252.9	Ulrich, P H	Oppose in part	Amend condition 7 of Rule 14.5.17 as follows: 7. For any property greater than 20 hectares in area that has part of the property located within the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone, the area used for winter grazing of cattle or deer does not exceed: 20 hectares. <u>a. 10 ha for any property less than 100 ha in area; and</u> <u>b. 10% of the area of the area of the property, for any property between 100 and 1000 ha, and</u> <u>c. 100 ha, for any property greater than 1000 ha in area</u> <u>d. An additional 5% of the land area for any property, where the winter feed crops have been established by "No Till" technology.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.17	PC7-214.143	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend condition 7 of Rule 14.5.17 as follows: 7. For any property greater than 20 hectares in area that has part of the property located within the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone, the area <u>within the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone</u> used for winter grazing of cattle or deer does not exceed 20ha.
14.05.17	PC7-214.144	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 as sought by the Federated Farmers submission relating to mātaimai planning maps.
14.05.17	PC7-259.6	Orari Gorge Station	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to include cattle and deer within the High Phosphorus Runoff Risk Zone, but retain current 10% area allowances.
14.05.17	PC7-259.7	Orari Gorge Station	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to recognise that some winter feeding practices are of lower risk than very intensive grazing of cattle.
14.05.17	PC7-259.8	Orari Gorge Station	Oppose in part	Amend condition 7 of Rule 14.5.17 as follows: 7. For any property greater than 20 hectares in area that has part of the property located within the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone, the area used for winter grazing of cattle or deer does not exceed 20 hectares.
14.05.17	PC7-259.9	Orari Gorge Station	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to allow for the determination of actual risk.
14.05.17	PC7-453.8	Rooney Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend condition 7 of Rule 14.5.17 as follows: 7. For any property greater than 20 hectares in area that has part of the property located within the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone, the area used for winter grazing of cattle or deer does not exceed 20 hectares.
14.05.17	PC7-424.27	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to align with or exceed the rules proposed by the NES for Freshwater 2019 as they relate to farming and nutrient management.
14.05.17	PC7-424.72	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend condition 5 of Rule 14.5.17 as follows: 5. For any property that has part of the property located within the a Rock Art Management Area, there is no irrigation <u>or discharge of contaminants or water</u> on the part of the property within the <u>Rock Art Management Area</u> ; and...
14.05.17	PC7-435.36	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.17	PC7-435.49	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.17	PC7-469.36	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.17	PC7-469.49	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.17	PC7-464.41	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.17	PC7-464.54	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.17	PC7-174.2	Te Moana Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend condition 7 of Rule 14.5.17: 7. For any property greater than 20 hectares in area that has part of the property located within the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone, the area used for winter grazing of cattle or deer does not exceed 20 hectares <u>of the identified high Runoff Phosphorous Zone land</u> .

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.17	PC7-174.3	Te Moana Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to recognise all cattle and deer farms in the High Nitrogen Concentration areas are required to show they operate at Good Management Practice. Farms that cant show this will be required to obtain resource consent to allow them to continue to intensively farm cattle or deer.
14.05.17	PC7-271.7	Woodbury Deer Industry Environment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 so that if part of the property is located within the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone (HRRPZ), the rule does not restrict the area of winter grazing for the entire property to 20 ha.
14.05.17	PC7-271.8	Woodbury Deer Industry Environment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to delete the phrase 'any part of property'.
14.05.17	PC7-271.11	Woodbury Deer Industry Environment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to replace the 20ha feed area with a 10% feed area.
14.05.17	PC7-271.12	Woodbury Deer Industry Environment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to recognise that some winter feeding practices are of lower risk for deer farming than intensive grazing of cattle.
14.05.17	PC7-412.7	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend condition 7 of Rule 14.5.17 to delete the requirement for farmers to require resource consents if they have over 20 ha winter grazing in a HRRPZ.
14.05.17	PC7-492.2	Morrison, I	Oppose in part	Amend condition 7 of 14.5.17 as follows: 7. For any property greater than 20 hectares in area that has part of the property located within the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone, the area used for winter grazing of cattle or deer does not exceed 20 hectares. <u>a. 10 hectares for any property less than 100 hectares;</u> <u>b. 10% of the area for any property between 100 and 1000 hectares;</u> <u>c. 100 hectares for any property greater than 1000 hectares in area.</u>
14.05.17	PC7-381.153	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 6 of Rule 14.5.17 as follows: 6. For any property that has part of the property located within the Mātaitai Protection Zone and that includes or directly adjoins any river or coastal lake, t There is no irrigation or winter grazing on any part of the property <u>located within the protection zone Mātaitai Protection Zone that adjoins any river or coastal lake.</u>
14.05.17	PC7-448.3	Pearse, H and J	Oppose in part	Amend Rue 14.5.17 to delete the provisions relating to the Mātaitai Protection Zone.
14.05.17	PC7-568.42	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.17 to clarify which land parcels are affected by this rule.
14.05.18	PC7-292.101	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent Rule 14.5.18 as notified.
14.05.18	PC7-357.60	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.18 as notified.
14.05.18	PC7-424.33	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain matter of control 5 in Rule 14.5.18 as notified.
14.05.18	PC7-415.24	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.18 as notified.
14.05.18	PC7-424.180	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.18 as notified.
14.05.18	PC7-468.24	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.18 as notified.
14.05.18	PC7-221.13	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.18 in its entirety.
14.05.18	PC7-214.147	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete condition 3 of Rule 14.5.18.
14.05.18	PC7-237.39	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.18 in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.18	PC7-114.48	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.18 as follows: 2. Any increase in the irrigated area of the property is limited to 10 hectares above that which was irrigated at 20 July 2019, provided that no more than 50 hectares is <u>authorised to be irrigated</u> in total; and
14.05.18	PC7-219.30	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.18 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.05.18	PC7-331.6	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in part	Amend matter of control 5 of Rule 14.5.18 as follows: 5. Methods to avoid or mitigate adverse effects on mahinga kai, wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, nohoanga, waipuna, <u>and</u> freshwater mātaimai or tuhituhi neherā (rock art) .
14.05.18	PC7-336.11	Bonifacio Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.18 to delete the requirement for a resource consent and replace with reliance on an audited Farm Environment Plan to achieve outcomes for the proposed Mātaitai Protection Zone.
14.05.18	PC7-214.146	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.18 as sought in the Federated Farmers submission point related to mātaimai mapping.
14.05.18	PC7-430.249	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.18 as follows: The use of land for a farming activity on a property greater than 10 hectares in area that does not comply with one or more of conditions 5, 6 or 7 of Rule 14.5.17 is a controlled activity, provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.18	PC7-424.28	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.18 to align with or exceed the rules proposed by the NES for Freshwater 2019 as they relate to farming and nutrient management.
14.05.18	PC7-424.73	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.18 as follows: The use of land for a farming activity on a property greater than 10 hectares in area that does not comply with one or more of conditions 5, 6 or 7 of Rule 14.5.17 is a controlled activity, provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.18	PC7-435.37	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.18 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.18	PC7-435.50	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.18 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.18	PC7-469.37	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.18 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.18	PC7-469.50	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.18 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.18	PC7-464.42	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.18 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.18	PC7-464.55	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.18 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.18	PC7-331.10	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.18 to insert a new matter of control as follows: <u>6. Methods to avoid adverse effects on tuhituhi neherā (rock art).</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.18	PC7-424.211	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend matter of control 5 of Rule 14.5.18 as follows: 5. Methods to avoid or mitigate adverse effects on <u>sites of any adverse effects on mahinga kai, wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, nohoanga, waipuna, freshwater mātaihai or tuhituhi neherā</u> (rock art).
14.05.19	PC7-160.87	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.19 as notified.
14.05.19	PC7-292.102	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent Rule 14.5.19 as notified.
14.05.19	PC7-430.250	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.19 as notified.
14.05.19	PC7-424.34	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain matter of discretion 6 of Rule 14.5.19 as notified.
14.05.19	PC7-415.25	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.19 as notified.
14.05.19	PC7-424.181	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.19 as notified.
14.05.19	PC7-468.25	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.19 as notified.
14.05.19	PC7-214.129	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.19 in its entirety and replace with the framework sought in submission point PC7-214.122.
14.05.19	PC7-131.12	Agri Magic Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.19 to make limitations of the Farm Portal explicit and direct farmers to the equivalent pathway
14.05.19	PC7-131.13	Agri Magic Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.19 to clearly define the Equivalent Pathway.
14.05.19	PC7-114.49	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 8 of Rule 14.5.19 as follows: 8. For properties within a High Nitrogen Concentration Area, the methods and timeline within the Farm Environment Plan for <u>reducing nitrogen losses to contribute to the achievement of the nitrate-nitrogen, total nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen targets specified in Tables 14(d), 14(f) and 14(g) and for nitrogen loss reductions, by 2030, of 15% from dairy farming activities and 5% from other farming activities achieving the nitrogen loss reductions set out in Table 14(zc); and</u>
14.05.19	PC7-219.31	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.19 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.05.19	PC7-214.148	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.19 as sought in the Federated Farmers submission related to mātaihai mapping.
14.05.19	PC7-214.149	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.19 in accordance with submission point PC7-214.122.
14.05.19	PC7-357.61	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 8 of Rule 14.5.19 as follows: 8. For properties within a High Nitrogen Concentration Area, the methods and timeline within the Farm Environment Plan for achieving the nitrogen loss reductions set out ion Table 14(zc) <u>until the water quality targets in Table 14(g) are achieved; and</u>
14.05.19	PC7-424.29	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.19 to align with or exceed the rules proposed by the NES for Freshwater 2019 as they relate to farming and nutrient management.
14.05.19	PC7-435.38	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.19 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.19	PC7-435.51	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.19 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.19	PC7-424.74	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.19 as follows: The use of land for a farming activity on a property greater than 10 hectares in area that does not comply with one or more of conditions 1, 2, 3, or 4 or 5 of Rule 14.5.17 or one or more of conditions 2 or 3 of Rule 14.5.18 is a restricted discretionary, provided the following conditions are met:...
14.05.19	PC7-469.38	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.19 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.19	PC7-469.51	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.19 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.19	PC7-464.43	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.19 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.19	PC7-464.56	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.19 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.19	PC7-472.218	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.19 to replace the word "efficacy" with "The quality of, compliance with, and auditing of the Farm Environment Plan by an independent qualified person".
14.05.19	PC7-235.25	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.19 as follows: 2. Until 30 June 2020, the nitrogen loss calculation for the property does not exceed the nitrogen baseline, and from 1 July 2020 the Baseline GMP Loss Rate; <u>i. unless the nitrogen baseline was lawfully exceeded prior to 20 July 2019, and the application for resource consent demonstrates that the exceedance was lawful; or</u> <u>ii. the property held shares in the Rangitata South Irrigation Scheme during the baseline period, continues to hold shares and the application for resource consent establishes that the present day use does not exceed the Good Management Practice Loss Rate taken between 2016 and 2020.</u>
14.05.19	PC7-235.40	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.19 to accurately reflect the percentage of reduction required to meet required outcomes in line with decision requested in PC7-235.37.
14.05.19	PC7-424.206	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 6 of Rule 14.5.19 as follows: 6. Methods to avoid or mitigate adverse effects on sites of any adverse effects on mahinga kai, wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga, waipuna or tuhituhi neherā <u>rock art</u> ;...
14.05.20	PC7-114.50	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.20 as notified.
14.05.20	PC7-292.103	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent Rule 14.5.20 as notified.
14.05.20	PC7-357.62	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.20 as notified.
14.05.20	PC7-430.251	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.20 as notified.
14.05.20	PC7-415.26	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.20 as notified.
14.05.20	PC7-468.26	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.20 as notified.
14.05.20	PC7-214.130	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.20 in its entirety and replace with the framework sought in submission point PC7-214.122.
14.05.20	PC7-219.32	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.20 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.20	PC7-424.30	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.20 to align with or exceed the rules proposed by the NES for Freshwater 2019 as they relate to farming and nutrient management.
14.05.20	PC7-435.39	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.20 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.20	PC7-435.52	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.20 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.20	PC7-469.39	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.20 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.20	PC7-469.52	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.20 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.20	PC7-464.44	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.20 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.20	PC7-464.57	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.20 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.21	PC7-114.51	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.21 as notified.
14.05.21	PC7-292.104	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent Rule 14.5.21 as notified.
14.05.21	PC7-357.63	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.21 as notified.
14.05.21	PC7-430.252	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.21 as notified.
14.05.21	PC7-415.27	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.21 as notified.
14.05.21	PC7-468.27	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.21 as notified.
14.05.21	PC7-214.131	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.21 in its entirety and replace with the framework sought in submission point PC7-214.122.
14.05.21	PC7-219.33	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.21 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.05.21	PC7-424.31	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.21 to align with or exceed the rules proposed by the NES for Freshwater 2019 as they relate to farming and nutrient management.
14.05.21	PC7-435.40	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.21 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.21	PC7-435.53	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.21 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.21	PC7-469.40	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.21 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.21	PC7-469.53	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.21 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.21	PC7-464.45	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.21 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.21	PC7-464.58	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.21 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.22	PC7-114.52	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.22 as notified.
14.05.22	PC7-292.105	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent Rule 14.5.22 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.22	PC7-357.64	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.22 as notified.
14.05.22	PC7-430.253	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.22 as notified.
14.05.22	PC7-415.28	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.22 as notified.
14.05.22	PC7-468.28	Silver Fern Farms Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.22 as notified.
14.05.22	PC7-214.132	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.22 in its entirety and replace with the framework sought in submission point PC7-214.122.
14.05.22	PC7-219.34	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.22 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.05.22	PC7-424.32	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.22 to align with or exceed the rules proposed by the NES for Freshwater 2019 as they relate to farming and nutrient management.
14.05.22	PC7-435.41	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.22 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.22	PC7-435.54	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.22 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.22	PC7-469.41	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.22 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.22	PC7-469.54	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.22 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.22	PC7-464.46	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.22 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.22	PC7-464.59	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.22 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.23	PC7-292.106	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of the notes above Rule 14.5.23 as notified.
14.05.23	PC7-292.107	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Rule 14.5.23 as notified.
14.05.23	PC7-430.254	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.23 as notified, subject to the amendments on Table 14(zc).
14.05.23	PC7-424.151	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.23 as notified.
14.05.23	PC7-114.53	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose	Amend Rule 14.5.23 as follows: The discharge of nutrients onto or into land in circumstances...is a discretionary activity provided the following condition is met: 1. The staged reductions in nitrogen loss required by Table 14(zc) will be met for any land within a High Nitrogen Concentration Area. ...
14.05.23	PC7-214.133	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.23 in its entirety and replace with the framework sought in submission point PC7-214.122.
14.05.23	PC7-424.35	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose	Delete notification note for Rule 14.5.23.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.23	PC7-219.35	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.23 to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.05.23	PC7-435.42	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.23 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.23	PC7-435.55	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.23 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.23	PC7-469.42	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.23 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.23	PC7-469.55	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.23 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.23	PC7-464.47	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.23 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.23	PC7-464.60	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.23 to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.23	PC7-472.191	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.23 to ensure staged reductions occur faster.
14.05.23A	PC7-292.108	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Rule 14.5.23A as notified.
14.05.23A	PC7-430.255	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.23A as notified, subject to the amendments on Table 14(zc).
14.05.23A	PC7-114.54	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.23A in its entirety.
14.05.23A	PC7-219.36	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.23A to provide an alternative specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for the calculation of GMP Baseline using OVERSEER which will provide consistency across all farms until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to provide credible and reliable results.
14.05.23A	PC7-435.43	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.23A to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.23A	PC7-435.56	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.23A to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.23A	PC7-469.43	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.23A to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.23A	PC7-469.56	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.23A to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.23A	PC7-464.48	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.23A to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.23A	PC7-464.61	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.23A to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.05.24	PC7-114.55	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.24 as notified.
14.05.24	PC7-292.109	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Rule 14.5.24 as notified.
14.05.24	PC7-441.37	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.24 as notified.
14.05.24	PC7-430.256	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.24 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.24	PC7-197.15	Egg Producer Federation NZ and Poultry Industry Association NZ	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.24 as notified.
14.05.24	PC7-424.110	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.24 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u>
14.05.24A	PC7-114.56	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.24A as notified.
14.05.24A	PC7-292.110	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Rule 14.5.24A as notified.
14.05.24A	PC7-441.38	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.24A as notified.
14.05.24A	PC7-430.257	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.24A as notified.
14.05.24A	PC7-472.192	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.24A to strengthen the activity status to a non-complying activity.
14.05.25	PC7-114.57	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25 as notified while recognising that there may be implementation challenges for farmers.
14.05.25	PC7-160.84	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25 as notified.
14.05.25	PC7-292.111	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of the note above Rule 14.5.25 as notified.
14.05.25	PC7-292.112	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Rule 14.5.25 as notified.
14.05.25	PC7-430.258	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25 as notified.
14.05.25	PC7-351.70	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25 as notified.
14.05.25	PC7-283.46	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25 as notified.
14.05.25	PC7-294.47	Cascade Creek Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25 as notified.
14.05.25	PC7-175.53	Caird, B	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25 as notified.
14.05.25	PC7-169.45	Jackson, H	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25 as notified.
14.05.25	PC7-237.40	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25 as notified.
14.05.25	PC7-238.39	Upper Ophi-Opuha Catchment Group	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25 as notified.
14.05.25	PC7-68.36	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.25 to require ECan to pay for all fencing and reinstatement after flood events.
14.05.25	PC7-97.30	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.25 to require ECan to pay for all fencing and reinstatement after flood events.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.25	PC7-296.6	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association Canterbury/West Coast and South Canterbury/North Otago branches	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.25 to provide for the activity as a permitted activity or controlled activity (instead of a discretionary activity in Rule 5.69) and provide for the use of a Management Plan or Farm Environment Plan to appropriately manage these practices.
14.05.25	PC7-214.150	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.25 to recognise that stock exclusion from springs on non-intensive farms may not be needed to achieve good water quality, and that risk assessments and management practices for springs can be expressed through a Management Plan or Farm Environment Plan.
14.05.25	PC7-445.52	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.25 to require stock exclusion where water only flows into the Maitaitai Protection Zone.
14.05.25	PC7-445.58	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Delete Rule 14.5.25 in its entirety.
14.05.25	PC7-370.49	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.25 to reduce the stock exclusion distances.
14.05.25A	PC7-114.58	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25A as notified while recognising that there may be implementation challenges for farmers.
14.05.25A	PC7-160.85	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25A as notified.
14.05.25A	PC7-292.113	Timaru District Council	Support	Retain the intent of Rule 14.5.25A as notified.
14.05.25A	PC7-351.71	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25A as notified.
14.05.25A	PC7-283.47	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25A as notified.
14.05.25A	PC7-294.48	Cascade Creek Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25A as notified.
14.05.25A	PC7-175.54	Caird, B	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25A as notified.
14.05.25A	PC7-169.46	Jackson, H	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.25A as notified.
14.05.25A	PC7-430.259	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.[25A] in its entirety until there is further discussion and education about the Māitaitai Protection Zone.
14.05.25A	PC7-68.37	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.25A to require ECan to pay for all fencing and reinstatement after flood events.
14.05.25A	PC7-97.31	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.25A to require ECan to pay for all fencing and reinstatement after flood events.
14.05.25A	PC7-214.151	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.25A as sought by Federated Farmers in their submission point relating to māitaitai mapping.
14.05.25A	PC7-445.53	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.25A to require stock exclusion where water only flows into the Maitaitai Protection Zone.
14.05.25A	PC7-445.59	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Delete Rule 14.5.25A in its entirety.
14.05.25A	PC7-370.50	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.25A to reduce the stock exclusion distances.
14.05.26	PC7-331.7	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.26 as notified.
14.05.26	PC7-430.260	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.26 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.26	PC7-464.18	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.26 to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.05.26	PC7-472.193	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.26 to insert a condition to avoid significant indigenous biodiversity or significant habitats of indigenous fauna and other RMA section 6 matters of national importance.
14.05.27	PC7-430.261	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.27 as notified.
14.05.28	PC7-430.262	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.28 as notified.
14.05.28	PC7-351.72	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.28 as notified.
14.05.29	PC7-464.4	Darby Farm Partnership	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.29 as notified.
14.05.29	PC7-435.60	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.29 as notified.
14.05.29	PC7-469.60	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.29 as notified.
14.05.29	PC7-361.51	Tregellen Farm limited	Support	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-166.16	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-159.11	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-68.24	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-97.18	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-292.114	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ... <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The volume and rate of water taken or diverted, and the timing of the take or diversion; and</u> <u>2. Intake velocities and measures to avoid or mitigate fish entrainment; and</u> <u>3. The range, or rate of change of levels or flows of water; and</u> <u>4. Water levels; and</u> <u>5. Compliance with environmental flow and allocation limits in Table 14(v); and</u> <u>6. Any adverse effects on:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. Listed and/or mapped Ngāi Tahu values or sites of significance to Ngāi Tahu</u> <u>b. Lawfully established users of the surface water body</u> <u>c. Downstream sediment transport processes</u> <u>d. Aquatic ecosystems, areas of significant indigenous vegetation, and significant habitats of indigenous fauna</u> <u>e. Outstanding natural features and landscapes and natural character</u> <u>f. Amenity values (including recreation) and existing public access to and along the margins of rivers and lakes; and</u> <u>7. Fish passage; and</u> <u>8. Measures to manage land stability and erosion; and</u> <u>9. Measures to control flooding; and</u> <u>10. Measures to improve technical efficiency in water use; and</u> <u>11. Where contaminants are discharged to water or land where they may enter surface water, contaminant concentrations and loading rates; and</u> <u>12. Measures required to comply with s107(1) of the RMA; and</u> <u>13. Maintenance and contingency requirements; and</u> <u>14. Compliance monitoring.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-385.14	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-110.11	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-351.73	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and
14.05.29	PC7-351.74	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: 4. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent that shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide: <u>a. If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited; and</u> <u>b. The timing and volume of a release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes; and</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for flow transitioning between months.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-440.11	McAtamney, S & J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to adopt the decisions sought by the adaptive management working group's submission to require that an operational management [plan] be required as part of a resource consent application that includes details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process with OEFRAG to assist in the decision of if and when the Level 1 and Level 2 regimes should be entered into or exited.
14.05.29	PC7-181.5	Rathkeale Farming Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to adopt the relief sought in the AMWG's submission to require that an operational management [plan] be required as part of a resource consent application that includes details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process with OEFRAG to assist in the decision of if and when the Level 1 and Level 2 regimes should be entered in to or exited.
14.05.29	PC7-181.6	Rathkeale Farming Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-381.140	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-381.141	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: ... 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables-14(v) to 14(w) ; and ...
14.05.29	PC7-381.142	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 2. Any water discharged for the purpose of improving water availability for AA, BA and KH <u>Kakahu</u> permit holders is released in addition to water released for the purposes of meeting the environmental flow at Saleyards Bridge, and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits and downstream of Saleyards Bridge; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-381.143	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: ... 3. <u>An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a. If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b. The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-381.144	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 3 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 4. Any existing discharge permit that authorises the discharge of water from the Opuha Dam is surrendered as part of an application for resource consent lodged under this rule
14.05.29	PC7-381.145	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1. The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-385.34	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-472.194	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert matters of discretion.
14.05.29	PC7-364.39	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary -controlled activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-412.21	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary - <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-412.40	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29, condition 1 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-252.10	Ulrich, P H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-252.12	Ulrich, P H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to include the requirement for a consultation process with OEFRAG to assist in the decision of if and when the Level 1 and Level 2 regimes should be entered into or exited.
14.05.29	PC7-373.17	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-373.18	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-487.46	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-248.9	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-248.10	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-97.55	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-68.60	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-298.34	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-298.35	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-159.26	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-292.134	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and
14.05.29	PC7-292.135	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 2. Any water discharged for the purpose of improving water availability for AA, BA and KIL permit holders is released in addition to water released for the purposes of meeting the environmental flow at Saleyards Bridge, and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA permits, <u>downstream lawful community water supply takes</u> and downstream of Saleyards Bridge.; and
14.05.29	PC7-166.38	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-283.37	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-283.38	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-240.28	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-240.29	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-110.26	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-294.38	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-294.39	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-223.35	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-223.36	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-182.16	Chapman, J L	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-182.17	Chapman, J L	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-445.33	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-445.34	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-378.16	Dawson, J & E	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-378.17	Dawson, J & E	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-168.34	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-168.35	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-280.19	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-280.20	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-370.16	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-370.17	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-175.33	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary -controlled activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-175.34	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-236.37	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-236.38	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-169.35	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-169.36	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-253.14	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-253.15	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-115.37	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-115.38	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-361.45	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-361.46	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-237.32	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-237.33	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-456.35	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-456.36	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-238.32	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-238.33	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-410.16	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-410.17	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.29	PC7-166.40	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a. If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b. The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-166.41	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1. The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-159.27	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a. If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b. The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-159.28	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-68.61	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-68.62	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-97.56	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-97.57	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-385.36	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-385.37	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-110.27	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-110.28	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-181.8	Rathkeale Farming Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: <u>1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w); and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-181.9	Rathkeale Farming Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-181.10	Rathkeale Farming Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-364.40	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-364.41	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-412.42	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-412.43	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-252.13	Ulrich, P H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-252.14	Ulrich, P H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-373.30	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-373.31	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters:</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-487.48	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-487.49	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-248.24	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-248.25	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-298.48	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-298.49	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-283.51	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-283.52	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-240.46	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-240.47	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-294.53	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-294.54	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-223.47	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-223.48	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-182.19	Chapman, J L	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-182.20	Chapman, J L	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-445.61	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-445.62	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-378.19	Dawson, J & E	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-378.20	Dawson, J & E	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-168.48	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-168.49	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-280.23	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	Amed Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-280.24	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-370.52	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-370.53	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters:</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-175.56	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-175.57	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-236.51	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-236.52	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-169.50	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-169.51	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-253.31	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-253.32	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-115.40	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-115.41	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-361.52	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters:</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-237.41	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-237.42	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-456.43	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-456.44	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.29	PC7-238.40	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert the following condition: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-238.41	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-410.26	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>3. An operational management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent, which shall include details of the matters for consideration and a consultation process to assist the consent holder decide:</u> <u>a.If and when the Level 1 and Level 2 Regimes in Table 14(v) shall be entered and exited;</u> <u>b.The timing and volume of the release from the Opuha Dam for artificial freshes;</u> <u>c. The timing of releases from the Opuha Dam for flood buffering purposes; and</u> <u>d. The methodology for transitioning flows between months; and</u>
14.05.29	PC7-410.27	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 to insert a matter of control as follows: <u>The CRC reserves control over the following matters</u> <u>1.The matters that CRC reserves control over under Rule 5.125C.</u>
14.05.29	PC7-568.28	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.29 as follows: The discharge of water to water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems is a discretionary <u>controlled</u> activity provided the following conditions are met: ...
14.05.29	PC7-568.29	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.29 as follows: 1. The discharge complies with the environmental flow and allocation regime(s) set out in Tables 14(v) to 14(w) ; and...
14.05.30	PC7-464.5	Darby Farm Partnership	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.30 as notified.
14.05.30	PC7-435.61	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.30 as notified.
14.05.30	PC7-469.61	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.30 as notified.
14.05.30	PC7-166.17	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.30 to adopt all recommendations by the Adaptive Management Working Group.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.30	PC7-292.115	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.30 as follows: The discharge of water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems that does not comply with one or more of the conditions of Rule 14.5.29 is a prohibited <u>non-complying</u> activity.
14.05.30	PC7-385.15	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.30 as follows: The discharge of water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems that does not comply with one or more of the conditions of Rule 14.5.29 is a prohibited <u>non-complying</u> activity.
14.05.30	PC7-381.146	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.30 as follows: The discharge of water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems that does not comply with one or more of the conditions of Rule 14.5.29 is a prohibited <u>non-complying</u> activity.
14.05.30	PC7-412.22	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.30 as follows: The discharge of water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems that does not comply with one or more of the conditions of Rule 14.5.29 is a prohibited <u>non-complying</u> activity.
14.05.30	PC7-166.39	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.30 as follows: The discharge of water from the Opuha Dam for the purpose of augmenting the Opuha and Opihi mainstems that does not comply with one or more of the conditions of Rule 14.5.29 is a prohibited <u>non-complying</u> activity.
14.05.31	PC7-464.6	Darby Farm Partnership	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.31 as notified.
14.05.31	PC7-351.75	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.31 as notified.
14.05.31	PC7-435.62	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.31 as notified.
14.05.31	PC7-469.62	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.31 as notified.
14.05.31	PC7-68.38	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.31 as follows: 2. There is no net increase by sub catchment in the total instantaneous rate of take beyond what is authorised to be abstracted under transferring AA and BA permits, determined as the lesser of current consented instantaneous rates of take or shareholding entitlements with Opuha Water Limited ; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.31	PC7-97.32	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.31 as follows: 2. There is no net increase by sub catchment in the total instantaneous rate of take beyond what is authorised to be abstracted under transferring AA and BA permits, determined as the lesser of current consented instantaneous rates of take or shareholding entitlements with Opuha Water Limited ; and
14.05.31	PC7-430.263	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Supports the submission on Rule 14.5.31 from Opuha Water Limited.
14.05.31	PC7-381.78	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 1 of Rule 14.5.31 as follows: ... 1. The application for resource consent is for the transfer of existing authorised AA, and BA <u>and Kakahu</u> permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit; ..
14.05.31	PC7-381.79	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.31 as follows: ... 2. There is no net increase by sub catchment in the total instantaneous rate of take beyond what is authorised to be abstracted under transferring AA, and BA <u>and Kakahu</u> permits, determined as the lesser of current consented instantaneous rates of take or shareholding entitlements with Opuha Water Limited ; and ...
14.05.31	PC7-381.80	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 4 of Rule 14.5.31 as follows: 4. All existing authorised AA and BA water permits held by the transferees are surrendered as part of any application for resource consent lodged under this rule.
14.05.31	PC7-318.68	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	As alternative relief to submission point PC7-318.41 amend Rule 14.5.31 to achieve the following policy outcome: Contribute to the overall management of surface flows within the Orari, Temuka and Pareora Freshwater Management Unit, by providing for the transfer of surface water permits to an Irrigation Scheme where this will result in a water permit authorising the abstraction of all transferred water.
14.05.31	PC7-487.30	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.31 as follows: 2. There is no net increase by sub catchment in the total instantaneous rate of take beyond what is authorised to be abstracted under transferring AA and BA permits, determined as the lesser of current consented instantaneous rates of take or shareholding entitlements with Opuha Water Limited .
14.05.31	PC7-373.26	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.31 as follows: 2. There is no net increase by sub catchment in the total instantaneous rate of take beyond what is authorised to be abstracted under transferring AA and BA permits, determined as the lesser of the current consented instantaneous rates of take or shareholding entitlements with Opuha water Limited .
14.05.31	PC7-298.43	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.31 as follows: 2. There is no net increase by sub catchment in the total instantaneous rate of take beyond what is authorised to be abstracted under transferring AA and BA permits, determined as the lesser of current consented instantaneous rates of take or shareholding entitlements with Opuha Water Limited ; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.31	PC7-283.48	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.31 as follows: 2. There is no net increase by sub catchment in the total instantaneous rate of take beyond what is authorised to be abstracted under transferring AA and BA permits, determined as the lesser of current consented instantaneous rates of take or shareholding entitlements with Opuha Water Limited ; and
14.05.31	PC7-240.45	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.31 to delete reference to 'determine as the lesser of current consented instantaneous rates of take or shareholding entitlements with Opuha Water Limited'.
14.05.31	PC7-294.49	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.31 as follows: There is no net increase by sub catchment in the total instantaneous rate of take beyond what is authorised to be abstracted under transferring AA and BA permits, determined as the lesser of current consented instantaneous rates of take or shareholding entitlements with Opuha Water Limited ; and
14.05.31	PC7-223.44	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.31 as follows: 2. There is no net increase by sub catchment in the total instantaneous rate of take beyond what is authorised to be abstracted under transferring AA and BA permits, determined as the lesser of current consented instantaneous rates of take or shareholding entitlements with Opuha Water Limited ; and
14.05.31	PC7-445.60	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.31 to delete reference to 'determine as the lesser of current consented instantaneous rates of take or shareholding entitlements with Opuha Water Limited'.
14.05.31	PC7-168.43	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.31 as follows: 2. There is no net increase by sub catchment in the total instantaneous rate of take beyond what is authorised to be abstracted under transferring AA and BA permits, determined as the lesser of current consented instantaneous rates of take or shareholding entitlements with Opuha Water Limited ; and
14.05.31	PC7-236.47	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.31 as follows: 2. There is no net increase by sub catchment in the total instantaneous rate of take beyond what is authorised to be abstracted under transferring AA and BA permits, determined as the lesser of current consented instantaneous rates of take or shareholding entitlements with Opuha Water Limited ; and
14.05.31	PC7-175.55	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.31 to delete reference to 'determine as the lesser of current consented instantaneous rates of take or shareholding entitlements with Opuha Water Limited'.
14.05.31	PC7-169.47	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.31 as follows: 2. There is no net increase by sub catchment in the total instantaneous rate of take beyond what is authorised to be abstracted under transferring AA and BA permits, determined as the lesser of current consented instantaneous rates of take or shareholding entitlements with Opuha Water Limited ; and
14.05.31	PC7-370.51	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend condition 2 of Rule 14.5.31 as follows: 2. There is no net increase by sub catchment in the total instantaneous rate of take beyond what is authorised to be abstracted under transferring AA and BA permits, determined as the lesser of current consented instantaneous rates of take or shareholding entitlements with Opuha Water Limited ; and
14.05.32	PC7-487.31	Mackenzie College	Oppose	Delete Rule 14.5.32 in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.32	PC7-430.264	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Supports the submission on Rule 14.5.32 from Opuha Water Limited.
14.05.32	PC7-381.81	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.32 as follows: Within the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit the transfer to a Principal Water Supplier of AA 2 and BA and Kakahu permits to take and use surface water that does not comply with one or more of the conditions of Rule 14.5.31 is a non-complying activity.
14.05.33	PC7-292.119	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Retain the note above Rule 14.5.33 as notified only if relief sought in submission points PC7-292.116, PC7-292.117, PC7-292.118 and PC7-292.136 is granted.
14.05.33	PC7-292.120	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Retain Rule 14.5.33 as notified only if relief sought in submission points PC7-292.116, PC7-292.117, PC7-292.118 and PC7-292.136 is granted.
14.05.34	PC7-424.36	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.34 as notified.
14.05.34	PC7-351.76	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.34 as notified.
14.05.34	PC7-72.8	Midgley, A	Support	Retain Rule 14.5.34 as notified.
14.05.34	PC7-292.116	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.34 to insert a new condition as follows: <u>1a. The residual flow of at least 30 litres per second is maintained below the dam; and</u>
14.05.34	PC7-292.117	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Delete condition 4 of Rule 14.5.34 as follows: 4. Any passage of fish is not impeded; and
14.05.34	PC7-292.118	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend matter of discretion 8 of Rule 14.5.34 as follows: 8. Any adverse effects of the use of water on <u>listed and/or mapped</u> Ngāi Tahu values or on sites of significance to Ngāi Tahu, including wāhi tapu and wāhi taonga...
14.05.34	PC7-292.121	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Retain the note above Rule 14.5.34 as notified only if relief sought in submission points PC7-292.116, PC7-292.117, PC7-292.118 and PC7-292.136 is granted.
14.05.34	PC7-472.195	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.34 to insert matters of discretion to avoid adverse effects on significant indigenous biodiversity or significant habitats of indigenous fauna and other RMA section 6 matters of national importance.
14.05.34	PC7-292.136	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.5.34 to insert a new matter of discretion as follows: <u>9. The effects of the structure on any indigenous fish above and below the dam.</u>
14.05.34	PC7-292.137	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	If PC7-292.118 is accepted, then clarify that listed and/ or mapped Ngāi Tahu values or sites of significance that require consideration in Rule 14.5.34 and how this effects Timaru District Council interests.
14.05.35	PC7-292.122	Timaru District Council	Support	Require any amendments to Rule 14.5.35, to not be any more restrictive than as notified.
14.05.New	PC7-82.1	Lundie, R S	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule for those with a groundwater abstraction permit adversely affected by the change from the 30 day to 150 day stream depletion rule: (1) establish the maximum extraction rate at which they would be deemed to be not connected using the "150 day rule"; (2) if past records show average extraction rate over 150 days of the irrigation season [or a longer period if they irrigated over a longer period] was less than the "150 day rule" rate then they continue to be deemed not hydraulically connected; and (3) they can continue taking water at up to the "30 day rule" rate with the proviso that their future average flow rate figure must not exceed the "150 day rule" rate.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.New	PC7-453.3	Rooney Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	If the relief sought in submission 453.2 is not granted, introduce a new restricted discretionary rule to cover irrigation consent renewals where these are from a high naturalness waterbody where the activity was lawfully established.
14.05.New	PC7-424.37	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows: <u>The taking and use of surface water from a catchment for use within another catchment is a non-complying activity.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-381.60	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Insert new Rule 14.5.4A as follows: <u>14.5.4A The taking and use of surface water from Lake Opuha is a restricted discretionary activity, provided the following conditions are met:</u> <u>1. The applicant holds shares, an agreement with or other entitlements from Opuha Water Limited for the proposed take.</u> <u>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</u> <u>1. The rate, volume and timing of the take; and</u> <u>2. Whether the amount of water to be taken and used is reasonable for the proposed use. In assessing reasonable use for irrigation purposes, the CRC will consider the matters set out in Schedule 10; and</u> <u>3. For water used for irrigation, the management of water allocation and resulting nutrient discharges on individual farms; and</u> <u>4. The availability and practicality of using alternative supplies of water; and</u> <u>5. The reduction in the rate of take in times of low flow and restrictions; and</u> <u>6. Methods to prevent fish from entering the water intake; and</u> <u>7. The provisions of any relevant Water Conservation Order; and</u> <u>8. The proximity and actual or potential adverse environmental effects of water use on any significant indigenous biodiversity and adjacent dry land habitats; and</u> <u>9. Where the water is to be used for irrigation, the preparation and implementation of a Farm Environment Plan in accordance with Schedule 7 that demonstrates that the water is being used efficiently; and</u> <u>10. Any adverse effects of the use of water on Ngāi Tahu values or on sites of significance to Ngāi Tahu, including wāhi tapu and wāhi taonga.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-381.61	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Insert new Rule 14.5.5A as follows: <u>14.5.5A The taking and use of surface water that does not meet condition 1b of Rule 14.5.4 is a non-complying activity, provided the following condition is met:</u> <u>1. The applicant holds shares, an agreement with or other entitlements from Opuha Water Limited for the proposed take.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.New	PC7-411.4	Kyle, J	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new rule as follows:</p> <p><u>The discharge of water into the Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes is a restricted discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The activity does not take place on land that is listed as an archaeological site; and</u> <u>2. The activity is not within a Community Drinking Water Protection Zone as defined in Schedule 1; and</u> <u>3. The discharge is not within 100m of any abstraction point used for drinking water; and</u> <u>4. A management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent; and</u> <u>5. The discharge does not result in the erosion of the bed or banks of the receiving waterbody.</u> <p><u>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The appropriateness of the location of the discharge points.</u> <u>2. The content and quality of the management plan, and the methods proposed to:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. monitor and report on the discharges to the drain; and</u> <u>b. manage the timing of the discharge to the drain; and</u> <u>3. The appropriateness of integration with existing or planned infrastructure and water conveyance systems; and</u> <u>4. Effects on people and property arising from raised groundwater levels and reduced drainage capacity in the drainage system; and</u> <u>5. Effects on water quality in Washdyke Lagoon and significant habitats of indigenous flora and fauna; and</u> <u>6. Effects on sites of wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga or mahinga kai; and</u> <u>7. The potential benefits of the activity to the community and the environment; and</u> <u>8. Effects on Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and</u> <u>9. The rate and volume of the discharge.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-411.5	Kyle, J	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new rule as follows [linked to PC7-411.4]:</p> <p><u>The discharge of water into Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes that does not meet one or more of the conditions of Rule XXX is a discretionary activity.</u></p>
14.05.New	PC7-318.48	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new rule as follows:</p> <p><u>The take and use of surface water for the purpose of reducing the rate and/or volume of surface water or stream depleting groundwater authorised by an existing water permit's is a controlled activity The CRC reserves control over the following matters:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. The rate and volume of the take; and</u> <u>b. Whether the annual volume to be taken and used is reasonable for the proposed use calculated in accordance with Schedule 10.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-318.49	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new rule as follows:</p> <p><u>Within the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit the transfer to an Irrigation Scheme water permits to take and use surface water is a discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met:</u></p> <p><u>the application for resource consent is for the transfer of existing authorised water permits in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit; and there is no net increase by sub-catchment in the total instantaneous rate of take beyond what is authorised to be abstracted under transferred water permits; and</u></p> <p><u>The water permit being issued will not result in an exceedance of the applicable environmental flow and allocation regimes in Tables 14(i) to (l) of this plan; and</u></p> <p><u>All existing authorised water permits held by the transferees are surrendered as part of an application for resource consent lodged under this rule.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.New	PC7-384.14	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new Rule 14.5.6A as follows:</p> <p><u>The taking and use of surface water from the Upper Coopers Creek sub-catchment is a restricted discretionary activity, provided the following conditions are met:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The take, in addition to all existing consented takes, does not result in an exceedance of the maximum allocation rate or maximum allocation volume in Table 14(h)</u> <u>2. The take:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. will replace a lawfully established take affected by the provisions of Section 124-124C of the RMA, and the take, in addition to all existing consented takes, will not result in an exceedance of the maximum allocation limit set in Table 14(h); or</u> <u>b. will not replace a lawfully established take affected by the provisions of Section 124-124C of the RMA, but the take, in addition to all existing consented takes, will not result in an exceedance of any allocation limit, or rate of take, or seasonal or annual volume limit, set in Tables 14(h);</u> <p><u>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The rate, volume and timing of the take; and</u> <u>2. The actual or potential adverse environmental effects on water quality, including whether the activity, in combination with all other activities, will alter the allocation status for water quality in the relevant catchment; and</u> <u>3. Whether the amount of water to be taken and used is reasonable for the proposed use. In assessing reasonable use for irrigation purposes, the CRC will consider the matters set out in Schedule 10; and</u> <u>4. For water used for irrigation, the management of water allocation and resulting nutrient discharges on individual farms; and</u> <u>5. The availability and practicality of using alternative supplies of water; and</u> <u>6. The effects the take has on any other authorised take or diversion; and</u> <u>7. The reduction in the rate of take in times of low flow and restrictions to prevent the flow from falling below the minimum flow as set out in policies 14.4.23A-G to this Plan; and</u> <u>8. Methods to prevent fish from entering the water intake; and</u> <u>9. The proximity and actual or potential adverse environmental effects of water use on any significant indigenous biodiversity and adjacent dry land habitats; and</u> <u>10. Where the water is to be used for irrigation, the preparation and implementation of a Farm Environment Plan in accordance with Schedule 7 that demonstrates that the water is being used efficiently; and</u> <u>11. Any adverse effects of the use of water on Ngāi Tahu values or on sites of significance to Ngāi Tahu, including wāhi tapu and wāhi taonga.</u> <u>12. Methods to increase ecological values such as reducing macrophytes, riparian planting, reducing access by stock to waterways and silt input management.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-384.15	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new Rule 14.5.6B as follows:</p> <p><u>The taking and use of surface water that does not meet conditions one or more conditions in Rule 14.5.6A a non-complying activity.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.New	PC7-384.18	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new Rule 14.5.11A as follows:</p> <p><u>The taking and use of groundwater in Upper Coopers Creek Sub-Catchment that will replace an existing surface water or groundwater permit that has a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect is a restricted discretionary activity, providing the following conditions are met:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The proposed take, in addition to all existing consented takes will not result in an exceedance of the Upper Coopers Creek Sub-Catchment groundwater allocation limit in Table 14(h) and</u> <u>2. The take will have no more than minor effects on surface water flows within Upper Coopers Creek; and</u> <u>3. The point of abstraction will be within the same property as the existing water permit and there is no increase in the proposed rate of take or annual volume; and</u> <u>4. The bore interference effects are demonstrated to be acceptable determined in accordance with Schedule 12; and</u> <u>5. The existing surface water or groundwater permit is surrendered.</u> <p><u>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The maximum allocation volume of the take; and</u> <u>2. The rate and timing of the take; and</u> <u>3. Whether the amount of water to be taken and used is reasonable for the proposed use. In assessing reasonable use for irrigation purposes, the CRC will consider the matters set out in Schedule 10; and</u> <u>4. The maximum rate of take, including the capacity of the bore or bore field to achieve that rate, and the rate required to service any irrigation system; and</u> <u>5. The proximity and actual or potential adverse environmental effects of water use to any significant indigenous biodiversity and adjacent dryland habitats; and</u> <u>6. The protection of groundwater sources, including the prevention of backflow of water or contaminants; and</u> <u>7. Where the water is being used for irrigation, the preparation and implementation of a Farm Environment Plan in accordance with Schedule 7 that demonstrates that the water is being used efficiently; and</u> <u>8. Any adverse effects of the use of water on Ngāi Tahu values, or on sites of Ngāi Tahu significance, including wāhi tapu and wāhi taonga.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-384.19	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	<p>Insert new Rule 14.5.11B as follows:</p> <p><u>The taking and using of groundwater that does not comply with one or more conditions of Rule 14.5.11A is a non-complying activity.</u></p>
14.05.New	PC7-210.6	Tronnoco Farming Co Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new rule as follows:</p> <p><u>The discharge of water into the Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes is a restricted discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The activity does not take place on land that is listed as an archaeological site; and</u> <u>2. The activity is not within a Community Drinking Water Protection Zone as defined in Schedule 1; and</u> <u>3. The discharge is not within 100m of any abstraction point used for drinking water; and</u> <u>4. A management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent; and</u> <u>5. The discharge does not result in the erosion of the bed or banks of the receiving waterbody.</u> <p><u>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The appropriateness of the location of the discharge points.</u> <u>2. The content and quality of the management plan, and the methods proposed to:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. monitor and report on the discharges to the drain; and</u> <u>b. manage the timing of the discharge to the drain; and</u> <u>3. The appropriateness of integration with existing or planned infrastructure and water conveyance systems; and</u> <u>4. Effects on people and property arising from raised groundwater levels and reduced drainage capacity in the drainage system; and</u> <u>5. Effects on water quality in Washdyke Lagoon and significant habitats of indigenous flora and fauna; and</u> <u>6. Effects on sites of wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga or mahinga kai; and</u> <u>7. The potential benefits of the activity to the community and the environment; and</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
				<u>8. Effects on Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and</u> <u>9. The rate and volume of the discharge.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-210.7	Tronnoco Farming Co Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows [linked to PC7-210.6]: <u>The discharge of water into Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes that does not meet one or more of the conditions of Rule XXX is a discretionary activity.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-354.7	O'Kane, K & K	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows: <u>The discharge of water into the Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes is a restricted discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met:</u> <u>1. The activity does not take place on land that is listed as an archaeological site; and</u> <u>2. The activity is not within a Community Drinking Water Protection Zone as defined in Schedule 1; and</u> <u>3. The discharge is not within 100m of any abstraction point used for drinking water; and</u> <u>4. A management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent; and</u> <u>5. The discharge does not result in the erosion of the bed or banks of the receiving waterbody.</u> <u>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</u> <u>1. The appropriateness of the location of the discharge points.</u> <u>2. The content and quality of the management plan, and the methods proposed to:</u> <u>a. monitor and report on the discharges to the drain; and</u> <u>b. manage the timing of the discharge to the drain; and</u> <u>3. The appropriateness of integration with existing or planned infrastructure and water conveyance systems; and</u> <u>4. Effects on people and property arising from raised groundwater levels and reduced drainage capacity in the drainage system; and</u> <u>5. Effects on water quality in Washdyke Lagoon and significant habitats of indigenous flora and fauna; and</u> <u>6. Effects on sites of wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga or mahinga kai; and</u> <u>7. The potential benefits of the activity to the community and the environment; and</u> <u>8. Effects on Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and</u> <u>9. The rate and volume of the discharge.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-354.8	O'Kane, K & K	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows [linked to PC7-354.7]: <u>The discharge of water into Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes that does not meet one or more of the conditions of Rule XXX is a discretionary activity.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.New	PC7-134.4	Oldfield, M E	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new rule as follows:</p> <p><u>The discharge of water into the Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes is a restricted discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The activity does not take place on land that is listed as an archaeological site; and</u> <u>2. The activity is not within a Community Drinking Water Protection Zone as defined in Schedule 1; and</u> <u>3. The discharge is not within 100m of any abstraction point used for drinking water; and</u> <u>4. A management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent; and</u> <u>5. The discharge does not result in the erosion of the bed or banks of the receiving waterbody.</u> <p><u>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The appropriateness of the location of the discharge points.</u> <u>2. The content and quality of the management plan, and the methods proposed to:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. monitor and report on the discharges to the drain; and</u> <u>b. manage the timing of the discharge to the drain; and</u> <u>3. The appropriateness of integration with existing or planned infrastructure and water conveyance systems; and</u> <u>4. Effects on people and property arising from raised groundwater levels and reduced drainage capacity in the drainage system; and</u> <u>5. Effects on water quality in Washdyke Lagoon and significant habitats of indigenous flora and fauna; and</u> <u>6. Effects on sites of wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga or mahinga kai; and</u> <u>7. The potential benefits of the activity to the community and the environment; and</u> <u>8. Effects on Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and</u> <u>9. The rate and volume of the discharge.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-134.5	Oldfield, M E	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new rule as follows [linked to PC7-134.4]:</p> <p><u>The discharge of water into Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes that does not meet one or more of the conditions of Rule XXX is a discretionary activity.</u></p>
14.05.New	PC7-375.4	Waipopo Farm Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new rule as follows:</p> <p><u>The discharge of water into the Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes is a restricted discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The activity does not take place on land that is listed as an archaeological site; and</u> <u>2. The activity is not within a Community Drinking Water Protection Zone as defined in Schedule 1; and</u> <u>3. The discharge is not within 100m of any abstraction point used for drinking water; and</u> <u>4. A management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent; and</u> <u>5. The discharge does not result in the erosion of the bed or banks of the receiving waterbody.</u> <p><u>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The appropriateness of the location of the discharge points.</u> <u>2. The content and quality of the management plan, and the methods proposed to:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. monitor and report on the discharges to the drain; and</u> <u>b. manage the timing of the discharge to the drain; and</u> <u>3. The appropriateness of integration with existing or planned infrastructure and water conveyance systems; and</u> <u>4. Effects on people and property arising from raised groundwater levels and reduced drainage capacity in the drainage system; and</u> <u>5. Effects on water quality in Washdyke Lagoon and significant habitats of indigenous flora and fauna; and</u> <u>6. Effects on sites of wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga or mahinga kai; and</u> <u>7. The potential benefits of the activity to the community and the environment; and</u> <u>8. Effects on Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and</u> <u>9. The rate and volume of the discharge.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.New	PC7-375.5	Waipopo Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows [linked to PC7-375.4]: <u>The discharge of water into Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes that does not meet one or more of the conditions of Rule XXX is a discretionary activity.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-284.4	Cotter, D & D	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new rule as follows:</p> <p><u>The discharge of water into the Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes is a restricted discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The activity does not take place on land that is listed as an archaeological site; and</u> <u>2. The activity is not within a Community Drinking Water Protection Zone as defined in Schedule 1; and</u> <u>3. The discharge is not within 100m of any abstraction point used for drinking water; and</u> <u>4. A management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent; and</u> <u>5. The discharge does not result in the erosion of the bed or banks of the receiving waterbody.</u> <p><u>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The appropriateness of the location of the discharge points.</u> <u>2. The content and quality of the management plan, and the methods proposed to:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. monitor and report on the discharges to the drain; and</u> <u>b. manage the timing of the discharge to the drain; and</u> <u>3. The appropriateness of integration with existing or planned infrastructure and water conveyance systems; and</u> <u>4. Effects on people and property arising from raised groundwater levels and reduced drainage capacity in the drainage system; and</u> <u>5. Effects on water quality in Washdyke Lagoon and significant habitats of indigenous flora and fauna; and</u> <u>6. Effects on sites of wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga or mahinga kai; and</u> <u>7. The potential benefits of the activity to the community and the environment; and</u> <u>8. Effects on Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and</u> <u>9. The rate and volume of the discharge.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-284.5	Cotter, D & D	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows [linked to PC7-284.4]: <u>The discharge of water into Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes that does not meet one or more of the conditions of Rule XXX is a discretionary activity.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.New	PC7-120.4	Belpher Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new rule as follows:</p> <p><u>The discharge of water into the Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes is a restricted discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The activity does not take place on land that is listed as an archaeological site; and</u> <u>2. The activity is not within a Community Drinking Water Protection Zone as defined in Schedule 1; and</u> <u>3. The discharge is not within 100m of any abstraction point used for drinking water; and</u> <u>4. A management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent; and</u> <u>5. The discharge does not result in the erosion of the bed or banks of the receiving waterbody.</u> <p><u>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The appropriateness of the location of the discharge points.</u> <u>2. The content and quality of the management plan, and the methods proposed to:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. monitor and report on the discharges to the drain; and</u> <u>b. manage the timing of the discharge to the drain; and</u> <u>3. The appropriateness of integration with existing or planned infrastructure and water conveyance systems; and</u> <u>4. Effects on people and property arising from raised groundwater levels and reduced drainage capacity in the drainage system; and</u> <u>5. Effects on water quality in Washdyke Lagoon and significant habitats of indigenous flora and fauna; and</u> <u>6. Effects on sites of wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga or mahinga kai; and</u> <u>7. The potential benefits of the activity to the community and the environment; and</u> <u>8. Effects on Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and</u> <u>9. The rate and volume of the discharge.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-120.5	Belpher Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new rule as follows [linked to PC7-120.4]:</p> <p><u>The discharge of water into Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes that does not meet one or more of the conditions of Rule XXX is a discretionary activity.</u></p>
14.05.New	PC7-364.4	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new rule as follows:</p> <p><u>The discharge of water into the Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes is a restricted discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The activity does not take place on land that is listed as an archaeological site; and</u> <u>2. The activity is not within a Community Drinking Water Protection Zone as defined in Schedule 1; and</u> <u>3. The discharge is not within 100m of any abstraction point used for drinking water; and</u> <u>4. A management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent; and</u> <u>5. The discharge does not result in the erosion of the bed or banks of the receiving waterbody.</u> <p><u>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The appropriateness of the location of the discharge points.</u> <u>2. The content and quality of the management plan, and the methods proposed to:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. monitor and report on the discharges to the drain; and</u> <u>b. manage the timing of the discharge to the drain; and</u> <u>3. The appropriateness of integration with existing or planned infrastructure and water conveyance systems; and</u> <u>4. Effects on people and property arising from raised groundwater levels and reduced drainage capacity in the drainage system; and</u> <u>5. Effects on water quality in Washdyke Lagoon and significant habitats of indigenous flora and fauna; and</u> <u>6. Effects on sites of wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga or mahinga kai; and</u> <u>7. The potential benefits of the activity to the community and the environment; and</u> <u>8. Effects on Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and</u> <u>9. The rate and volume of the discharge.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.05.New	PC7-364.5	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows [linked to PC7-364.4]: <u>The discharge of water into Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes that does not meet one or more of the conditions of Rule XXX is a discretionary activity.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-319.48	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Insert a new controlled activity rule to incentivise reductions in existing allocation as follows: <u>The take and use of surface water for the purpose of reducing the rate and/or volume of surface water or stream depleting groundwater authorised by an existing water permit's is a controlled activity The CRC reserves control over the following matters:</u> <u>a. The rate and volume of the take; and</u> <u>b. Whether the annual volume to be taken and used is reasonable for the proposed use calculated in accordance with Schedule 10.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-319.49	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Insert a new discretionary activity rule to facilitate the global consenting of water permits as follows: <u>Within the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit the transfer to an Irrigation Scheme water permits to take and use surface water is a discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met:</u> <u>1) the application for resource consent is for the transfer of existing authorised water permits in the Temuka Freshwater Management Unit; and</u> <u>2) there is no net increase by sub-catchment in the total instantaneous rate of take beyond what is authorised to be abstracted under transferred water permits; and</u> <u>3) The water permit being issued will not result in an exceedance of the applicable environmental flow and allocation regimes in Tables 14(i) to (l) of this plan; and</u> <u>4) All existing authorised water permits held by the transferees are surrendered as part of an application for resource consent lodged under this rule.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-253.26	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows: <u>The discharge of water into the Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes is a restricted discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met:</u> <u>1. The activity does not take place on land that is listed as an archaeological site; and</u> <u>2. The activity is not within a Community Drinking Water Protection Zone as defined in Schedule 1; and</u> <u>3. The discharge is not within 100m of any abstraction point used for drinking water; and</u> <u>4. A management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent; and</u> <u>5. The discharge does not result in the erosion of the bed or banks of the receiving waterbody.</u> <u>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</u> <u>1. The appropriateness of the location of the discharge points.</u> <u>2. The content and quality of the management plan, and the methods proposed to:</u> <u>a. monitor and report on the discharges to the drain; and</u> <u>b. manage the timing of the discharge to the drain; and</u> <u>3. The appropriateness of integration with existing or planned infrastructure and water conveyance systems; and</u> <u>4. Effects on people and property arising from raised groundwater levels and reduced drainage capacity in the drainage system; and</u> <u>5. Effects on water quality in Washdyke Lagoon and significant habitats of indigenous flora and fauna; and</u> <u>6. Effects on sites of wāhi tapu, wāhi taonga or mahinga kai; and</u> <u>7. The potential benefits of the activity to the community and the environment; and</u> <u>8. Effects on Ngāi Tahu cultural values; and</u> <u>9. The rate and volume of the discharge.</u>
14.05.New	PC7-253.27	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert a new rule as follows [linked to PC7-253.26]: <u>The discharge of water into Seadown Drain for augmentation purposes that does not meet one or more of the conditions of Rule XXX is a discretionary activity.</u>
<u>Section 14.6 - Allocation and Water Quality Limits</u>				

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06	PC7-381.148	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend title of Section 14.6 as follows: <u>Environmental Flow, Allocation and Water Quality Limits and Targets</u>
14.06.01	PC7-444.4	Hall, M C	Oppose in part	Amend Freshwater outcomes to ensure nutrient load limits recognise potential changes central government may impose
14.06.01.Table 14(a)	PC7-160.97	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Table 14(a) as notified.
14.06.01.Table 14(a)	PC7-441.39	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(a) to replace the values with those that are robust and provide a measurable environmental outcome in accordance with that sought for OTOP Sub-region rivers.
14.06.01.Table 14(a)	PC7-381.82	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend footnote 2 at the base of Table 14(a) to footnote 3.
14.06.01.Table 14(a)	PC7-381.83	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(a) to insert a new footnote as follows: <u>2.Chlorophyll-a outcomes shall only be exceeded in 1 out of 12 samples for rivers classified as default class in the River Environment Classification system, and only in 2 out of 12 samples for rivers classified as productive class and that a minimum of 3 years of monthly data is required to determine compliance with the outcome.</u>
14.06.01.Table 14(a)	PC7-381.84	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend footnote 3 at the base of Table 14(a) as follows: <u>4-3-The E. coli outcome shall be determined from a minimum of 60 samples collected over 5 years on a monthly basis.</u>
14.06.01.Table 14(a)	PC7-381.85	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(a) to replace the Ecological, Macrophyte, Periphyton, Siltation Attribute and Cynobacteria and SFRG outcomes for Human Health for Recreation Attributes listed in Table 14(a) for rivers in the Opihi FMU with outcomes that reflect the current states, or NPSFM current attribute states, for rivers within the specified river-type.
14.06.01.Table 14(a)	PC7-381.86	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Require clarification on whether Table 14(a) includes (or is intended to include) specific Periphyton Attribute outcomes for Spring-fed Lower Basin and Spring-fed Plains in the Opihi FMU.
14.06.01.Table 14(b)	PC7-160.98	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Table 14(b) as notified.
14.06.01.Table 14(b)	PC7-381.91	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(b) to insert definitions for parameters such as "Temperature Max", "Minimum hypolimnion dissolved oxygen" and "maximum annual average" by way of footnotes in Table 14(b).
14.06.01.Table 14(b)	PC7-347.20	Canterbury District Health Board	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(b) to insert a footnote to the cyanobacteria maximum value as follows: Cyanobacteria [mm ³ /L] [max value] <u>3</u>
14.06.01.Table 14(b)	PC7-441.40	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(b) to replace the values with those that are robust and provide a measurable environmental outcome in accordance with that sought for OTOP Sub-region lakes.
14.06.01.Table 14(b)	PC7-381.87	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(b) to delete the "Visual Quality Attribute" for Lake Opuha.
14.06.01.Table 14(b)	PC7-381.88	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(b) to delete Dissolved Oxygen parameters for Lake Opuha in Table 14(b) and replace with 5mg/L hypolimnion and 7mg/l epilimnion.
14.06.01.Table 14(b)	PC7-381.89	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Temperature outcomes in Table 14(b) to be based on 7-day rolling mean temperatures at 5 metres depth.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.01.Table 14(b)	PC7-381.90	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(b) to base DO outcomes on 24-hour averaged measurements at 5 metre depth and 365.5 metres elevation.
14.06.01.Table 14(b)	PC7-381.92	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table14(b) to amend the units of measurement for the Chlorophyll-a outcome to micrograms/L and define a time period for that outcome.
14.06.01.Table 14(b)	PC7-381.93	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(b) to clarify the intended period of measurement for the Chlorophyll-a and TLI outcomes.
14.06.01.Table 14(c)	PC7-472.196	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose	Delete Table 14(c) in its entirety.
14.06.01.Table 14(c)	PC7-160.99	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(c) to ensure limits are set that allow for freshwater outcomes to be achieved.
14.06.01.Table 14(c)	PC7-441.41	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(c) to replace the values with those that are robust and provide a measurable environmental outcome in accordance with that sought for OTOP Sub-region rivers.
14.06.01.Table 14(c)	PC7-381.94	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(c) DIN and DRP limits for Rivers in the Opihi FMU to reflect current attribute states under the Draft NPS.
14.06.01.Table 14(c)	PC7-352.33	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(c) target level to an achievable target.
14.06.01.Table 14(c)	PC7-559.8	Webster-Brown, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(c) to delete and replace nitrate-nitrogen limits with values more consistent with ecosystem protection whilst seeking to improve water quality.
14.06.01.Table 14(d)	PC7-441.42	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Support	Retain Table 14(d) as notified.
14.06.01.Table 14(e)	PC7-160.100	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(e) to set appropriate targets for ammoniacal nitrogen in Waitarakao/Washdyke Lagoon to align with achieving the outcomes for lakes in Table 14(b).
14.06.01.Table 14(e)	PC7-357.65	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(e) as set out in submission. [see Appendix 4 of the SODR]
14.06.01.Table 14(e)	PC7-441.43	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(e) to replace the values with those that are robust and provide a measurable environmental outcome in accordance with that sought for OTOP Sub-region lakes.
14.06.01.Table 14(e)	PC7-381.95	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(e) Total Phosphorus and Ammonical Nitrogen limits for Lake Opuha to reflect current state data and/or current attribute states.
14.06.01.Table 14(f)	PC7-160.101	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend the nutrient targets in Table 14(f) for Lake Waitarakao/Washdyke Lagoon to align with the outcomes stated in Table 14(b).
14.06.01.Table 14(f)	PC7-441.44	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(f) to replace the values with those that are robust and provide a measurable environmental outcome in accordance with that sought for OTOP Sub-region lakes.
14.06.01.Table 14(f)	PC7-381.96	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(f) Total Nitrogen target for Lake Opuha to reflect the current state data and/or current attribute states.
14.06.01.Table 14(g)	PC7-357.66	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain Table 14(g) as notified.
14.06.01.Table 14(g)	PC7-381.97	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(g) targets to reflect the representative current state data and/or current attribute states.
14.06.01.Table 14(g)	PC7-316.8	Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(g) to require the effects of farming activities within the high nitrate concentration area, and associated mitigation, to be monitored and assessed against groundwater nitrate targets that exclude the effects of the Fonterra wastewater discharge.
14.06.01.Table 14(g)	PC7-316.10	Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	Oppose in part	Require Section 14 of the plan change to specify how compliance with the groundwater nitrate - nitrogen targets in Table 14 (g) will be assessed and determined.
14.06.01.Table 14(g)	PC7-316.11	Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(g) to specify how compliance with the groundwater nitrate targets in the table will be assessed and determined.
14.06.01.Table 14(g)	PC7-316.13	Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(g) to recognise and consider the lag time between an activity and any change in the activity when assessing groundwater levels and nitrate concentrations.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.01.Table 14(g)	PC7-559.9	Webster-Brown, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(g) to delete nitrate nitrogen limits and replace with values more consistent with current knowledge regarding the potential health effects of nitrate in drinking water.
<u>Section 14.6.2 - Environmental Flow and Allocation Regimes</u>				
14.06.02	PC7-444.3	Hall, M C	Oppose in part	Amend Section 14.6.2 to require minimum flows that secure healthy streams.
14.06.02.Table 14 (v)	PC7-240.31	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to provide more water for the river environment during the summer months (by moving water from the shoulder periods to Jan/Feb)
14.06.02.Table 14 (v)	PC7-445.40	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to the "Level 2 Restriction" flows proposed in Table 14(v), to align with PC7's proposed 2022 Opihi mainstem environmental flow requirements for AN permits of 2.6 cumecs at Stage highway 1 (Table 14(u) and historical IFIM habitat modelling).
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-65.34	Richardson, J	Support	Amend Table 14(h) minimum flow figures to more realistic figures that might make a practical difference.
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-145.3	Orari Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Require a review of the Orari River allocation, based on the submitter's relief sought in relation to the definition of 'Orari Conjunctive Use Zone' and compare this to the original consent inventory to understand differences.
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-295.6	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Require a review of the Orari River allocation, based on the submitter's relief sought in relation to the definition of 'Orari Conjunctive Use Zone' and compare this to the original consent inventory to understand differences.
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-297.6	Rhys Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(h) of Table 14.6.2 so a staggered flow regime applies to Ohapi Creek as follows: October - January 570 L/s (restrictions 1000 L/s). <u>When flow is: 1000 L/s - 850 L/s, 75% restriction to apply. 850 L/s - 650 L/s 50% restrictions to apply. 650 L/s - 570 L/s 25% restrictions apply. Below 570 L/s full restrictions apply.</u> February to September minimum 730 L/s (restrictions 1000 L/s). <u>When flow is: 1000 L/s - 900 L/s 75% restrictions to apply. 900 L/s - 730 L/s 50% restrictions to apply. Below 730 L/s - full restrictions apply.</u>
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-145.4	Orari Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Require a review of the Upper Coopers Creek allocation.
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-145.5	Orari Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend allocation limit for the Orari River in Table 14(h) such that it is consistent with current allocation.
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-145.6	Orari Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Upper Coopers Creek allocation to 124L/s in Table 14(h).
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-453.4	Rooney Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(h) to include a separate (small) A-block for irrigation consents above the Orari Gorge based on existing consent allocations (110 litres per second) and minimum flows.
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-145.7	Orari Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Require a thorough Section 32 analysis if there is any reduction in allocation below the submitter's proposed allocation limits for the Orari River and Upper Coopers Creek.
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-430.265	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(h) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-352.34	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Require more information regarding what happens if the current self-managed staggered restriction programmes stay in place for Ohapi Creek.
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-340.2	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(h) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-295.7	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Require a review of the Upper Coopers Creek allocation.
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-295.8	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Amend allocation limit for the Orari River in Table 14(h) such that it is consistent with current allocation.
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-295.9	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Amend Upper Coopers Creek allocation to 124L/s in Table 14(h).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-295.10	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Require a thorough Section 32 analysis if there is any reduction in allocation below the submitter's proposed allocation limits for the Orari River and Upper Coopers Creek.
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-384.20	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(h) so that Upper Coopers Creek is removed from being connected to restrictions at Coopers Creek and the monitoring site at SH72, AND create a new row in table 14(h) to provide a separate flow management regime for Upper Coopers Creek that is tied to a new monitoring point located within the spring-fed section of Upper Coopers Creek or a groundwater level contained with the Submitters bores.
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-384.21	Mulligan, M E & Kerse, I J & Kingston N S	Oppose in part	Along with relief sought in decision requested PC7-384.19, amend Table 14(h) to distinguish between Upper and Lower Coopers Creek as sought in the submission.
14.06.02.Table 14(h)	PC7-295.27	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Require a thorough Section 32 analysis if there is any reduction in allocation below the submitter's proposed allocation limits for the Orari River and Upper Coopers Creek.
14.06.02.Table 14(i)	PC7-351.77	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Table 14(i) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(i)	PC7-65.35	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(i) minimum flow figures to more realistic figures that might make a practical difference.
14.06.02.Table 14(i)	PC7-160.90	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(i) to set appropriate minimum flows for the Temuka River that will maintain habitat for indigenous invertebrates and fish species.
14.06.02.Table 14(i)	PC7-430.266	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(i) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(i)	PC7-318.9	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(i) to delete the heading " Cultural Allocation " and replace with " <u>Mahinga Kai Enhancement Allocation</u> ".
14.06.02.Table 14(i)	PC7-340.3	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(i) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(i)	PC7-295.15	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Amend the reference to Cultural Allocation in Table 14(i) as follows: Cultural Allocation <u>Mahinga Kai Enhancement Allocation</u>
14.06.02.Table 14(i)	PC7-319.7	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend the title of the "Cultural Allocation" column in Table 14(i) as follows: Cultural Allocation <u>Mahinga Kai Enhancement Allocation</u>
14.06.02.Table 14(i)	PC7-391.6	Barker Fruit Processing Ltd	Oppose in part	If submission points PC7-391.1, and PC7-391.3 are not accepted, amend Table 14(i) to provide clarity as to the status of the Submitters' current and future takes for community water supply (i.e a reserved allocation of up to 20 L/s) and therefore exemption from the environmental flow and allocation limits in Table 14(i) to properly implement the TCWPs preferred environmental flow and allocation regimes for the Temuka FMU.
14.06.02.Table 14(j)	PC7-318.58	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Support	Retain Table 14(j) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(j)	PC7-295.13	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Support	Retain Table 14(j) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(j)	PC7-319.61	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Support	Retain Table 14(j) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(j)	PC7-65.36	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(j) minimum flow figures to more realistic figures that might make a practical difference.
14.06.02.Table 14(j)	PC7-160.91	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(j) to set appropriate minimum flows for the Temuka River that will maintain habitat for indigenous invertebrates and fish species.
14.06.02.Table 14(j)	PC7-430.267	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(j) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(j)	PC7-340.4	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(j) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(j)	PC7-391.7	Barker Fruit Processing Ltd	Oppose in part	If submission points PC7-391.1, and PC7-391.3 are not accepted, amend Table 14(j) to provide clarity as to the status of the Submitters' current and future takes for community water supply (i.e a reserved allocation of up to 20 L/s) and therefore exemption from the environmental flow and allocation limits in Table 14(j) to properly implement the TCWPs preferred environmental flow and allocation regimes for the Temuka FMU.
14.06.02.Table 14(k)	PC7-318.59	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Support	Retain Table 14(k) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(k)	PC7-295.14	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Support	Retain Table 14(k) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(k)	PC7-319.62	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Support	Retain Table 14(k) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(k)	PC7-65.37	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(k) minimum flow figures to more realistic figures that might make a practical difference.
14.06.02.Table 14(k)	PC7-160.92	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(k) to set appropriate minimum flows for the Temuka River that will maintain habitat for indigenous invertebrates and fish species.
14.06.02.Table 14(k)	PC7-430.268	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(k) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(k)	PC7-340.5	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(k) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(k)	PC7-391.8	Barker Fruit Processing Ltd	Oppose in part	If submission points PC7-391.1, and PC7-391.3 are not accepted, amend Table 14(k) to provide clarity as to the status of the Submitters' current and future takes for community water supply (i.e a reserved allocation of up to 20 L/s) and therefore exemption from the environmental flow and allocation limits in Table 14(k) to properly implement the TCWPs preferred environmental flow and allocation regimes for the Temuka FMU.
14.06.02.Table 14(l)	PC7-351.78	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Table 14(l) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(l)	PC7-160.93	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(l) to set appropriate minimum flows for the Temuka River that will maintain habitat for indigenous invertebrates and fish species.
14.06.02.Table 14(l)	PC7-430.269	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(l) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(l)	PC7-318.10	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(l) to delete the heading "Cultural Allocation" and replace with " <u>Mahinga Kai Enhancement Allocation</u> ".
14.06.02.Table 14(l)	PC7-318.60	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend date on which Table 14(l) regime is to take effect as follows: 1 January 2030 <u>1 January 2040</u> .
14.06.02.Table 14(l)	PC7-340.6	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(l) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(l)	PC7-295.12	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose in part	Amend date on which Table 14(l) regime is to take effect as follows: 1 January 2030 <u>1 January 2040</u> .
14.06.02.Table 14(l)	PC7-319.8	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend the title of the "Cultural Allocation" column in Table 14(l) as follows: Cultural Allocation <u>Mahinga Kai Enhancement Allocation</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(l)	PC7-391.9	Barker Fruit Processing Ltd	Oppose in part	If submission points PC7-391.1, and PC7-391.3 are not accepted, amend Table 14(l) to provide clarity as to the status of the Submitters' current and future takes for community water supply (i.e a reserved allocation of up to 20 L/s) and therefore exemption from the environmental flow and allocation limits in Table 14(l) to properly implement the TCWPs preferred environmental flow and allocation regimes for the Temuka FMU.
14.06.02.Table 14(l)	PC7-319.64	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend date on which Table 14(l) regime is to take effect as follows: 1 January 2030 <u>1 January 2040</u> .
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-68.3	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Support	If submission PC7-68.1 (amend the definition of "Pro rata partial restriction") is accepted, then retain Table 14(m) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-97.3	Hawkins, M	Support	If submission PC7-97.1 (amend definition of "Pro rata partial restriction) is accepted, then retain Table 14(m) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-381.98	Opuha Water Limited	Support	If submission PC7-381.19 (amend definition of "pro-rata partial restriction") is accepted, then retain Table 14(m) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-115.3	Henderson, T	Support	Retain Table 14(m) as notified, subject to the relief sought in relation to the definition of 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction'.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-487.3	Mackenzie College	Support	Retain Table 14(m) as notified, if submission PC7-487.1 (amend definition of "Pro rata partial restriction") is accepted.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-364.13	Phillips Farming Ltd	Support	Retain Table 14(m) as notified, subject to the relief sought in relation to the definition of 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction' [PC7-364.11].
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-351.79	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Table 14(m) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-440.2	McAtamney, S & J	Support	Retain Table 14(m) as notified, subject to relief sought in submission point PC7-440.1.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-298.3	Barwoods Limited	Support	Retain Table 14(m) as notified, if relief sought in PC7-298.1 is granted.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-240.4	O'Sullivan, W D	Support	Retain Table 14(m) as notified, subject to relief sought in decision requested PC7-240.2.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-283.3	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Support	Retain Table 14(m) as notified, if relief sought in PC7-283.1 is granted.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-294.4	Cascade Creek Limited	Support	Retain Table 14(m) as notified, if relief sought in PC7-294.1 is granted.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-223.4	Coles Farms	Support	Retain Table 14(m) as notified, if relief sought in PC7-223.2 is granted.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-445.3	Pearse, H	Support	Retain Table 14(m) as notified, subject to relief sought in decision requested PC7-445.1.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-168.3	Davies, D	Support	Retain Table 14(m) as notified, if relief sought in PC7-168.1 is granted.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-236.3	Glenfield Farm	Support	If relief sought in PC7-236.1 is granted, retain Table 14(m) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-175.3	Caird, B	Support	Retain Table 14(m) as notified, subject to relief sought in decision requested PC7-175.1.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-169.3	Jackson, H	Support	If relief sought in PC7-169.1 is granted, retain Table 14(m) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-382.5	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party	Support	Subject to relief sought in PC7-382.1, retain Table 14(m) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-361.3	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	If PC7-361.1 (amend definition "Pro Rata Partial Restriction") is granted, then retain Table 14(m) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-370.21	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	If PC7-370.19 (amend definition "Pro Rata Partial Restriction") is granted, then retain Table 14(m) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-237.4	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-237.2 (amend definition of "Pro rata partial restriction") is accepted, then retain Table 14(m) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-456.4	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-456.2 (amend definition of "Pro rata partial restriction") is accepted, then retain Table 14(m) as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-238.4	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-238.2 (amend definition of "Pro rata partial restriction") is accepted, then retain Table 14(m) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-568.3	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-568.1 (amend definition of "Pro rata partial restriction") is accepted, then retain Table 14(m) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-74.1	Anderson, G W	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(m) to remove AN allocation from pro-rata restrictions
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-65.38	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(m) minimum flow figures to those that are more realistic and might make a practical difference.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-166.18	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(m) minimum flow for Deep Creek as follows: Minimum flow: 2600 <u>as per resource consent conditions</u>
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-166.19	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(m) allocation limit for Deep Creek as follows: Allocation Limit: 115 <u>119.5 L/s</u>
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-249.1	Hay, A P	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(m) to remove 'AN Takes' from pro-rata calculations.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-430.270	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(m) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)	PC7-340.7	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(m) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(m)(m.new)	PC7-381.99	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Insert a new Table titled "Minor tributaries of Lake Opuha" that sets out the environmental flow and allocation regime for Deep Creek and Station Stream that are currently set out in Table 14(m). The Table should also include the environmental flow and allocation regime for the unnamed tributary of Lake Opuha that aligns with the conditions of water permit CRC150164, provide for pro-rata partial restrictions and an allocation limit of 8.4 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-159.2	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-68.4	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-87.3	Guiney Family Trust	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-221.2	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-351.80	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-381.100	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-115.4	Henderson, T	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-487.4	Mackenzie College	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-364.14	Phillips Farming Ltd	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-298.4	Barwoods Limited	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-240.5	O'Sullivan, W D	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-283.4	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-294.5	Cascade Creek Limited	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-223.5	Coles Farms	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-445.4	Pearse, H	Support	Retain Table 14 (n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-168.4	Davies, D	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-361.4	Tregellen Farm limited	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-236.4	Glenfield Farm	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-175.4	Caird, B	Support	Retain Table 14 (n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-169.4	Jackson, H	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-439.2	O'Sullivan, R	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-382.6	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-237.5	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-456.5	Vetlife Limited	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-238.5	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-370.22	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-568.4	McMillan, I & H	Support	Retain Table 14(n) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-65.39	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(n) minimum flow figures to those that are more realistic and might make a practical difference.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-166.20	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(n) to include the following: <u>Unnamed waterway:</u> <u>Minimum flow: as per resource consent conditions</u> <u>Partial Restrictions: - Pro Rata</u> <u>Allocation: 8.4 L/s</u>
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-159.7	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	Direct Environment Canterbury to determine whether any changes to the Environmental Flow and Allocation regime set out in Table 14(n) are necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered over the next 10 years as part of plan review.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-430.271	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(n) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-352.36	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Require, as part of the 10-year review of the OTO sub-regional plan provisions, that it be determined whether any increase beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(n) is necessary in light of water quality and quantity information gathered in the intervening period and the directives of higher order planning documents.
14.06.02.Table 14(n)	PC7-340.8	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(n) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-351.81	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Table 14(o) as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-158.1	Callaghan, P & S	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-159.3	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-87.1	Guiney Family Trust	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-68.5	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-249.2	Hay, A P	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-221.3	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-381.101	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety and as part of its expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), determine whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(n) environmental flow regime is necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-352.35	Pye Group	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-115.5	Henderson, T	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-487.5	Mackenzie College	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety and as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), determine whether any increases beyond the environmental flow regime set out in Table 14(n) are necessary in light of water quality and quantity directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-364.15	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-298.5	Barwoods Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-240.6	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose	Delete Table 14 (o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-283.5	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-294.6	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-223.6	Coles Farms	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-168.5	Davies, D	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-361.5	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-236.5	Glenfield Farm	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-175.5	Caird, B	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-169.5	Jackson, H	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-439.3	O'Sullivan, R	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-382.7	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-382.8	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party	Oppose	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increases beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(n) are necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-237.6	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-456.6	Vetlife Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-238.6	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-370.23	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-568.5	McMillan, I & H	Oppose	Delete Table 14(o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-65.40	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(o) minimum flow figures to those that are more realistic and might make a practical difference.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-68.6	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(n) is necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-221.4	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table (o) to require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(n) are necessary in light of the water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-430.272	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(o) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-249.3	Hay, A P	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(o) to amend the flow and allocation regime to enable outcomes of existing regulation and practices to be measured before implementing additional restrictions
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-457.5	Mackenzie District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(o) to require regimes that apply from 2030 to be considered through a separate plan change process, allowing for a thorough assessment of the effects of the first step before setting further reductions.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-340.9	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(o) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-364.16	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(n) is necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-240.7	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Require as part of the 10 year review of the OTOP sub regional plan provisions determine whether any increases beyond environmental flows set out in Table 14 (n) environmental flow regime is necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-283.8	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increased beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(n) are necessary.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-298.44	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increased beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(n) are necessary.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-294.7	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increased beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(n) are necessary.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-223.7	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increased beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(n) are necessary.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-445.5	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Delete Table 14 (o) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-445.6	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Require as part of the 10 year review of the OTOP sub regional plan provisions determine whether any increases beyond environmental flows set out in Table 14 (n) environmental flow regime is necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-168.6	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increased beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(n) are necessary.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-361.7	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (on 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(n) are necessary in light of the water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-236.6	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increased beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(n) are necessary.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-175.6	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Require as part of the 10 year review of the OTOP sub regional plan provisions determine whether any increases beyond environmental flows set out in Table 14 (n) environmental flow regime is necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-169.6	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increased beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(n) are necessary.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-439.4	O'Sullivan, R	Oppose in part	Request that any changes beyond 2025 are addressed in the next plan review which would be informed by water quality and quantity data.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-115.20	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increased beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(n) are necessary.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-237.7	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(n) is necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-456.7	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(n) is necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-238.7	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(n) is necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-370.24	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(n) is necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(o)	PC7-568.6	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(n) is necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-90.1	Bell, M	Support	Retain Table 14(p) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-67.1	Morelea Farm	Support	Retain Table 14(p) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-87.4	Guiney Family Trust	Support	Retain Table 14(p) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-351.82	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Table 14(p) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-283.6	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Support	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-283.1, amend the allocation limit in Table 14(p) to reflect the OWL shareholding to 493 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-168.7	Davies, D	Support	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-168.1 amend the allocation limit in Table 14(p) to reflect the OWL shareholding to 493 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-382.9	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party	Support	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-382.1, retain Table 14(p) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-68.7	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-68.1 (amend the definition of "Pro rata partial restriction") is accepted, then amend the allocation limit for AN and BA Permits in Table 14(q) to reflect the OWL shareholding of 493L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-381.102	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-381.19 (amend definition of "pro-rata restriction") is accepted, then amend the allocation limit in Table 14(p) to 493L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-115.6	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend the allocation limit in Table 14(p) to reflect OWL shareholding, to 493 L/s (subject to the relief sought in relation to the definition of 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction').
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-487.6	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-487.1 (amend definition of "Pro rata partial restriction") is accepted, then amend the allocation limit in Table 14(p) to reflect OWL's shareholding of 493L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-364.17	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the allocation limit in Table 14(p) to reflect OWL shareholding, to 493 L/s (subject to the relief sought in relation to the definition of 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction' [PC7-364.11]).
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-361.6	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	If PC7-361.1 (amend definition of "Pro Rata Partial Restriction") is accepted, then amend the allocation limit in Table 14(p) to reflect OWL shareholding of 493L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-237.8	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-237.2 (amend definition of "Pro rata partial restriction") is accepted, then amend the allocation limit in Table 14(p) to reflect OWL's shareholding to 493L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-456.8	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-456.2 (amend definition of "Pro rata partial restriction") is accepted, then amend the allocation limit in Table 14(p) to reflect OWL shareholding to 493L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-238.8	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-238.2 (amend definition of "Pro rata partial restriction") is accepted, then amend the allocation limit in Table 14(p) to reflect OWL's shareholding to 493L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-370.25	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-370.19 (amend definition of "Pro rata partial restriction") is accepted, then amend the allocation limit in Table 14(p) to reflect OWL's shareholding to 493L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-65.41	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(p) minimum flow figures to those that are more realistic and might make a practical difference.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-430.273	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(p) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-340.10	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(p) to extend time frames.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-298.6	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-298.1, amend the allocation limit in Table 14(p) to reflect the OWL shareholding to 493 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-240.8	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Subject to the relief sought in decision requested PC7-240.2 amend Table 14(p) to reflect OWL shareholding to 493 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-294.8	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-294.1, amend the allocation limit in Table 14(p) to reflect the OWL shareholding to 493 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-223.8	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-223.2 amend the allocation limit in Table 14(p) to reflect the OWL shareholding to 493 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-445.7	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Subject to the relief sought in decision requested PC7-445.1 amend Table 14(p) to reflect OWL shareholding to 493 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-236.7	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-236.1 amend the allocation limit in Table 14(p) to reflect the OWL shareholding to 493 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-175.7	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Subject to the relief sought in decision requested PC7-175.1 amend Table 14(p) to reflect OWL shareholding to 493 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(p)	PC7-169.7	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-169.1 amend the allocation limit in Table 14(p) to reflect the OWL shareholding to 493 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-351.83	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Table 14(q) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-90.3	Bell, M	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety and when the Plan is reviewed (10 years post operative) determine if any increases beyond the flows in table 14(p) are required.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-67.2	Morelea Farm	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-158.2	Callaghan, P & S	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-87.2	Guiney Family Trust	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-68.8	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-381.103	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety and as part of the expected 10-year review of the OTOPI sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), determine whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(p) environmental flow regime are necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-115.7	Henderson, T	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-364.18	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-298.7	Barwoods Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-240.9	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-283.7	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-294.9	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-223.9	Coles Farms	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-168.8	Davies, D	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-361.8	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-236.8	Glenfield Farm	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-175.8	Caird, B	Oppose	Delete Table 14 (q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-169.8	Jackson, H	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-439.5	O'Sullivan, R	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-382.10	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-382.11	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party	Oppose	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increases beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-237.9	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-456.9	Vetlife Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-238.9	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-370.26	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-568.7	McMillan, I & H	Oppose	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-65.42	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(q) minimum flow figures to those that are more realistic and might make a practical difference.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-68.9	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary in light of the water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-430.274	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(q) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-457.6	Mackenzie District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(q) to require regimes that apply from 2030 to be considered through a separate plan change process, allowing for a thorough assessment of the effects of the first step before setting further reductions.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-340.11	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(q) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-487.7	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Delete Table 14(q) in its entirety and as part of the expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), determine whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-364.19	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary in light of the water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-240.10	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Require as part of the 10 year review of the OTOP sub regional plan provisions determine whether any increases beyond environmental flows set out in Table 14 (p) environmental flow regime is necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-283.9	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increased beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-298.45	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increased beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-294.10	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increased beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-223.10	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increased beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-445.8	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Delete Table 14 (q) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-445.9	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Require as part of the 10 year review of the OTOP sub regional plan provisions determine whether any increases beyond environmental flows set out in Table 14 (p) environmental flow regime is necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-168.9	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increased beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-361.9	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(q) to require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary in light of the water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-236.9	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increased beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-175.9	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Require as part of the 10 year review of the OTOP sub regional plan provisions determine whether any increases beyond environmental flows set out in Table 14 (p) environmental flow regime is necessary in light of water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-169.9	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increased beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-439.6	O'Sullivan, R	Oppose in part	Request that any changes beyond 2025 are addressed in the next plan review which would be informed by water quality and quantity information collected in the period post 2025.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-115.21	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Request that as part of the expected 10 year review of the OTOP plan provisions, determine whether any increased beyond the environment flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-237.10	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary in light of the water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-456.10	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary in light of the water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-238.10	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary in light of the water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-370.27	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary in light of the water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(q)	PC7-568.8	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Require as part of an expected 10-year review of the OTOP sub-regional plan provisions (in 2030 or prior), a determination on whether any increases beyond the environmental flows set out in Table 14(p) are necessary in light of the water quality and quantity data gathered during the intervening period and the directives of the higher order planning instruments applying at the time of such review.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-68.10	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Support	If submission PC7-68.1 (amend the definition of "pro rata partial restriction") is accepted, then retain Table 14(r) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-97.4	Hawkins, M	Support	If submission PC7-97.1 (amend definition of "Pro rata partial restriction") is accepted, then retain Table 14(r) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-381.104	Opuha Water Limited	Support	If submission PC7-381.19 (amend definition of "Pro-rata restriction") is accepted, then retain Table 14(r) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-115.8	Henderson, T	Support	Retain Table 14(r) as notified, subject to the relief sought in relation to the definition of 'Pro Rate Partial Restriction'.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-487.8	Mackenzie College	Support	If submission PC7-487.1 (amend definition of "Pro rata partial restriction") is accepted, retain Table 14(r) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-364.20	Phillips Farming Ltd	Support	Retain Table 14(r) as notified, subject to the relief sought in relation to the definition of 'Pro Rata Partial Restriction' [PC7-364.11].
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-361.10	Tregellen Farm limited	Support	If submission PC7-361.1 (amend the definition of "pro rata partial restriction") is accepted, then retain Table 14(r) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-456.11	Vetlife Limited	Support	If submission PC7-456.2 (amend definition of "Pro-rata partial restriction" is accepted, then retain Table 14(r) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-568.9	McMillan, I & H	Support	If submission PC7-568.1 (amend definition of "Pro-rata partial restriction" is accepted, then retain Table 14(r) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-252.3	Ulrich, P H	Support	If relief sought in PC7-252.1 is granted retain Table 14(r) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-298.8	Barwoods Limited	Support	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-298.1 retain Table 14(r) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-240.11	O'Sullivan, W D	Support	Retain Table 14(r) as notified, subject to relief sought in decision requested PC7-240.2.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-283.10	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Support	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-283.1 retain Table 14(r) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-294.11	Cascade Creek Limited	Support	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-294.2 retain Table 14(r) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-223.11	Coles Farms	Support	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-223.2 retain Table 14(r) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-445.10	Pearse, H	Support	Retain Table 14 (r) as notified, subject to relief sought in decision requested PC7-445.1.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-168.10	Davies, D	Support	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-168.1 retain Table 14(r) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-236.10	Glenfield Farm	Support	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-168.1 retain Table 14(r) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-175.10	Caird, B	Support	Retain Table 14 (r) as notified, subject to relief sought in decision requested PC7-175.1.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-169.10	Jackson, H	Support	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-169.1 retain Table 14(r) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-382.12	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party	Support	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-382.1, retain Table 14(r) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-370.28	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Support	Subject to the relief sought in PC7-370.19, retain Table 14(r) as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-65.43	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(r) minimum flow figures to those that are more realistic and might make a practical difference.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-430.275	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(r) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-351.84	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Insert an additional column in Table 14(r) to include the Step 2 changes related to Pro Rata partial restrictions that are provided in Table 14(s).
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-340.12	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(r) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(r)	PC7-381.159	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	If submission PC7-381.105 (amend Table 14(s)) is rejected, and submission PC7-381.158 (delete Table 14(s)) is accepted, then insert an additional column in Table 14(r) recording that pro-rata partial restrictions (for those permit holders operating as part of a water user group) take effect from 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-351.85	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose	Delete Table 14(s) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-65.44	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Rule 14.6.2 Table 14(s) minimum flow figures to those that are more realistic and might make a practical difference.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-68.11	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to provide for pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035 (not 2030 as proposed).
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-97.5	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to provide for pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035 (not 2030 as proposed).
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-252.4	Ulrich, P H	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to as follows: "From 1 January- 2030 <u>2035</u> "
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-430.276	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(s) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-381.105	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the title and content of Table 14(s) to require pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035 (not 2030 as proposed in the notified version of Table 14(s)).
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-457.7	Mackenzie District Council	Oppose in part	Require regimes that apply from 2030 to be considered through a separate plan change process, allowing for a thorough assessment of the effects of the first step before setting further reductions.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-340.13	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-115.9	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to provide for pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035 (not 2030 as notified).
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-381.158	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	If submission 381.105 (amend Table 14(s)) is rejected, then delete Table 14(s) and insert an additional column in Table 14(r) recording that pro-rata partial restrictions (for those permit holders operating as part of a water user group) take effect from 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-487.9	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to require pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-364.21	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to provide for pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035 (not 2030 as proposed).
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-298.9	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to provide for pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-240.1	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) time frames for Stage 2, changing the date from 2030 to 2035 as recommended by the Flow and Allocation Working Party.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-240.12	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14 (s) to provide for pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-283.11	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to provide for pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-294.12	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to provide for pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-223.12	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to provide for pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-445.11	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14 (s) to provide for pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-168.11	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to provide for pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-361.11	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to provide for pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035 (not 2030 as proposed).
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-236.11	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to provide for pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-175.11	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14 (s) to provide for pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-169.11	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to provide for pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-439.7	O'Sullivan, R	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to require the pro rata partial restriction regime to come into force not before 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-382.13	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to change the title and content to require pro-rata partial restrictions to take effect from 2035 OR Delete Table 14(s) and include a new column in Table 14(r) recording that pro-rata partial restrictions take effect from 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-456.12	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Require pro partial restrictions in Table 14(s) to take place from 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-370.29	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(s) to provide for pro-rata restrictions to take effect from 2035, not 2030.
14.06.02.Table 14(s)	PC7-568.10	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Require pro partial restrictions in Table 14(s) to take place from 2035.
14.06.02.Table 14(t)	PC7-306.4	Springfield Partnership Ltd	Support	Retain Table 14(t) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(t)	PC7-165.15	Orakipaoa Water Users	Support	Retain Table 14(t) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(t)	PC7-65.45	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(t) minimum flow figures to those that are more realistic and might make a practical difference.
14.06.02.Table 14(t)	PC7-430.277	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(t) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(t)	PC7-340.14	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(t) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-65.46	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(u) minimum flow figures to those that are more realistic and might make a practical difference.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-68.12	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend AN allocation limit in Table 14(u) to reflect all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-97.6	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend AN allocation limit in Table 14(u) to reflect all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-430.278	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(u) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-381.106	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the AN allocation limit in Table 14(u) to reflect all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water permits and groundwater permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-381.107	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(u) to clarify that the minimum flows measured at SH1 are based on unmodified flow.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-381.108	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the title of Table 14(u) as follows: Table 14(u): Minimum Flow Restrictions in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit for AN Permits <u>Environmental flow and allocation regime</u> .
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-340.15	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(u) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-115.10	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend the AN allocation limit in Table 14(u) so that it reflects all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-487.10	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend AN allocation limit in Table 14(u) to reflect all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-364.22	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the AN allocation limit in Table 14(u) so that it reflects all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-298.10	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(u) to change the AN allocation limit so that it reflects all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-240.13	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(u) to change the AN allocation limit so that it reflects all allocation attributes to AN and AA surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-283.12	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(u) to change the AN allocation limit so that it reflects all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-294.13	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(u) to change the AN allocation limit so that it reflects all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-223.13	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(u) to change the AN allocation limit so that it reflects all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-445.12	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14 (u) to change the AN allocation limit so that it reflects all allocation attributes to AN and AA surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-168.12	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(u) to change the AN allocation limit so that it reflects all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-361.12	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend AN allocation limit in Table 14(u) to reflect all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-361.13	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend BN allocation limit for the Opihi Mainstem in Table 14(y) to reflect all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-236.12	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(u) to change the AN allocation limit so that it reflects all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-175.12	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14 (u) to change the AN allocation limit so that it reflects all allocation attributes to AN and AA surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-169.12	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(u) to change the AN allocation limit so that it reflects all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-237.11	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend AN allocation limit in Table 14(u) to reflect all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-456.13	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend AN allocation limit in Table 14(u) to reflect all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-238.11	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Amend AN allocation limit in Table 14(u) to reflect all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-370.30	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend AN allocation limit in Table 14(u) to reflect all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(u)	PC7-568.11	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend AN allocation limit in Table 14(u) to reflect all allocation attributable to AN and AA surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-166.23	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v)(ii)); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v)(iii)).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-159.12	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v)(ii)); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v)(iii)).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-68.25	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v)(ii)); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v)(iii)).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-97.19	Hawkins, M	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v)(ii)); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v)(iii)).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-385.17	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v)(ii)); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v)(iii)).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-110.12	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-381.149	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with the following two tables [See Appendix 5 of the SODR]: Table 14(v(ii)) which prescribes the environmental flow and allocation regime applying the AA and BA permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit; and Table 14(v(iii)) which sets out the partial restriction regime.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-412.24	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-487.38	Mackenzie College	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-373.4	Annfield Dairies	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i) environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii) partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-221.22	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-97.50	Hawkins, M	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-248.13	Brosnahan, P	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-298.21	Barwoods Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-283.24	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-240.30	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v(iii)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-294.25	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v(iii)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-223.22	Coles Farms	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v(iii)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-182.3	Chapman, J L	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v(iii)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-445.36	Pearse, H	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v(iii)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-378.3	Dawson, J & E	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v(iii)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-168.22	Davies, D	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v(iii)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-370.3	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v(iii)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i) environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii) partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-280.6	Fraser, J H	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v(iii)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-175.35	Caird, B	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v(iii)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-236.24	Glenfield Farm	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-169.22	Jackson, H	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-253.16	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-115.24	Henderson, T	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-361.37	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-237.24	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-456.27	Vetlife Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-238.24	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-410.8	Lambie, T	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v(ii))); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v(iii))).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-568.20	McMillan, I & H	Oppose	Delete Table 14(v) and replace with Tables 14(v)(ii) and 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit, which prescribe: (i)environmental flow and allocation regime(new Table 14(v)(ii)); and (ii)partial restriction regime (new Table 14(v)(iii)).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-74.2	Anderson, G W	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to recognise community input into the management of the Opihi and Opuha rivers
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-65.47	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) minimum flow figures to those that are more realistic and might make a practical difference.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-160.96	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to increase the Jan-Feb minimum flows for the Opihi River at the Saleyards Bridge to provide for enhanced instream habitat values.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-292.123	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to maintain the connectivity and flow variability of the mainstem of the Opuha and Opihi Rivers and their major tributaries while providing security of supply for community drinking-water and community water supplies.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-101.2	PM & AK Robins Farming	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to delete the partial restrictions in Table 14(v) and replace with Table 14(viii) submitted by the adaptive management working group.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-101.3	PM & AK Robins Farming	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to adopt a fortnightly volumetric restriction based on a restriction regime for the Mainstem.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-430.279	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(v) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-351.87	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Delete Table 14(v) in its entirety and replace with two new tables [see Appendix 5 of the SODR]: Table 14(v)(ii), that details an environmental flow and allocation regime for AA and BA permits in the Opihi FMU from 2025; andTable 14(v)(iii), that details a partial restriction regime for AA and BA permits in the Opihi FMU from 2025.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-315.3	Hyslop, Jonty & Nicky	Oppose in part	Oppose Table 14(v) Step 2 minimum flows from 2030 with no specific decision requested.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-440.12	McAtamney, S & J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to delete the partial restriction and adopt the decisions sought in the adaptive management working groups submission relating to the partial restrictions for AA and BA permits at Saleyards Bridge, which provide for variable monthly restrictions, as detailed in Table 14(v)(iii) of the submission.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-340.16	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-252.11	Ulrich, P H	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to delete the Partial Restriction column and replace with Table 14(v)(iii) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] applying to AA and BA Permits at Saleyards Bridge.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-248.12	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Require the inclusion of an adaptive management regime for Opuha and Opihi Rivers based on the concepts developed by AMWG prior to notification of Plan Change 7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-248.14	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to provide more water for the river environment during the summer months (by moving water from the shoulder periods to Jan/Feb)
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-248.15	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to ensure sufficient flows for salmon migration (March/April) and whitebait migration (particularly Oct)(i.e flows will be maintained at SYB during these critical periods at greater than 6 cumecs, which prior research has indicated is the flow required to maintain the mouth of the Opihi river open).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-248.16	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to the "level 1 Restriction" flows proposed in Table (v), which also provide more water more water for the river environment during the summer than PC7 and otherwise respond to changing climatic conditions in the catchment.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-248.17	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to the "Level 2 Restriction" flows proposed in Table 14(v), to align with PC7's proposed 2022 Opihi mainstem environmental flow requirements for AN permits of 2.6 cumecs at Stage highway 1 (Table 14(u) and historical IFIM habitat modelling).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-240.32	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to ensure sufficient flows for salmon migration (March/April) and whitebait migration (particularly Oct)(i.e flows will be maintained at SYB during these critical periods at greater than 6 cumecs, which prior research has indicated is the flow required to maintain the mouth of the Opihi river open).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-240.33	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to the "level 1 Restriction" flows proposed in Table (v), which also provide more water more water for the river environment during the summer than PC7 and otherwise respond to changing climatic conditions in the catchment.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-240.34	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to the "Level 2 Restriction" flows proposed in Table 14(v), to align with PC7's proposed 2022 Opihi mainstem environmental flow requirements for AN permits of 2.6 cumecs at Stage highway 1 (Table 14(u) and historical IFIM habitat modelling).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-445.37	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to provide more water for the river environment during the summer months (by moving water from the shoulder periods to Jan/Feb).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-445.38	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to ensure sufficient flows for salmon migration (March/April) and whitebait migration (particularly Oct)(i.e flows will be maintained at SYB during these critical periods at greater than 6 cumecs, which prior research has indicated is the flow required to maintain the mouth of the Opihi river open).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-445.39	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14 (v) to the "level 1 Restriction" flows proposed in Table (v), which also provide more water more water for the river environment during the summer than PC7 and otherwise respond to changing climatic conditions in the catchment.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-445.41	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Alternatively to relief sought in PC7-445.36, PC7-445.37, PC7-445.38, PC7-445.39, or PC7-445.40 amend the the restriction regime in Table 14(v) to recognise the criticalities between river demand and irrigation for different times of the year.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-445.42	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Alternatively to relief sought in PC7-445.36, PC7-445.37, PC7-445.38, PC7-445.39, or PC7-445.40 amend the restriction regime in Table 14(v) to provide for exemption for AA and BA permit holders in the North Opuha, South Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers which have lower reliability.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-175.36	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to provide more water for the river environment during the summer months (by moving water from the shoulder periods to Jan/Feb)
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-175.37	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to ensure sufficient flows for salmon migration (March/April) and whitebait migration (particularly Oct)(i.e flows will be maintained at SYB during these critical periods at greater than 6 cumecs, which prior research has indicated is the flow required to maintain the mouth of the Opihi river open).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-175.38	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14 (v) to the "level 1 Restriction" flows proposed in Table (v), which also provide more water more water for the river environment during the summer than PC7 and otherwise respond to changing climatic conditions in the catchment.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-175.39	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to the "Level 2 Restriction" flows proposed in Table 14(v), to align with PC7's proposed 2022 Opihi mainstem environmental flow requirements for AN permits of 2.6 cumecs at Stage highway 1 (Table 14(u) and historical IFIM habitat modelling).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-253.17	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14 (v) to provide more water for the river environment during the summer months (by moving water from the shoulder periods to Jan/Feb)
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-253.18	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14 (v) to ensure sufficient flows for salmon migration (March/April) and whitebait migration (particularly Oct)(i.e flows will be maintained at SYB during these critical periods at greater than 6 cumecs, which prior research has indicated is the flow required to maintain the mouth of the Opihi river open).
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-253.19	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14 (v) to the "level 1 Restriction" flows proposed in Table (v), which also provide more water more water for the river environment during the summer than PC7 and otherwise respond to changing climatic conditions in the catchment.
14.06.02.Table 14(v)	PC7-253.20	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(v) to the "Level 2 Restriction" flows proposed in Table 14(v), to align with PC7's proposed 2022 Opihi mainstem environmental flow requirements for AN permits of 2.6 cumecs at Stage highway 1 (Table 14(u) and historical IFIM habitat modelling).
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-351.86	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	Insert a new table in Section 14,Table 14(v(i)), that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime to bridge the gap between current and 2025 [see Appendix 5 of the SODR]
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-385.16	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-412.23	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-487.37	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-373.3	Annfield Dairies	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-221.21	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-97.49	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-68.55	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-298.20	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-159.21	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-166.28	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-283.23	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-110.20	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-294.24	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-223.21	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-182.2	Chapman, J L	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-378.2	Dawson, J & E	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-168.21	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-280.5	Fraser, J H	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-236.23	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-169.21	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-115.23	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-361.36	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-237.23	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-456.26	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-238.23	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-410.7	Lambie, T	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-568.19	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) [see Appendix 5 of the SODR] that records the current Opihi mainstem environmental flow and partial restriction regime into PC7.
14.06.02.Table 14(v.new)	PC7-381.150	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Insert Table 14(v(i)) as proposed [see Appendix 5 of the SODR]

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-166.24	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-159.13	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-68.26	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-97.20	Hawkins, M	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-137.1	Hart, J R	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-221.9	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-252.6	Ulrich, P H	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-292.124	Timaru District Council	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-385.8	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-110.13	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-101.1	PM & AK Robins Farming	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-351.88	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-440.13	McAtamney, S & J	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-115.19	Henderson, T	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-381.151	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-381.161	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	<p>If submission PC7-381.151 (delete Table 14(w)) is rejected, then delete Table 14(w) and insert a new policy (see submission PC7-381.162) as follows:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.4.XX</u> <u>Flow gains achieved by the time staged increases in environmental flows on the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai Rivers shall remain in the mainstem of the Opihi River and not be available for abstraction by ensuring that:</u></p> <p><u>a. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are between the current and future environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge and the flow gains in the Opihi river mainstem from the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers as calculated by Environment Canterbury; and</u></p> <p><u>b. when the flows in the Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai are below the current environmental flows set out in Tables 14(p) and 14(r), water released from the Opuha Dam for augmentation of the Opuha and Opihi mainstems complies with the environmental flow regime requirements in Table 14(v) and includes sufficient water to provide for the sum of abstraction occurring under AA and BA Permits downstream of Saleyards Bridge.</u></p>
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-248.18	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-487.20	Mackenzie College	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-412.15	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-373.5	Annfield Dairies	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-298.17	Barwoods Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-283.22	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-240.35	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-294.23	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-223.20	Coles Farms	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-182.1	Chapman, J L	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-378.1	Dawson, J & E	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-445.43	Pearse, H	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-168.20	Davies, D	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-370.4	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-280.4	Fraser, J H	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-236.22	Glenfield Farm	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-175.40	Caird, B	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-169.20	Jackson, H	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-253.21	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-361.38	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-237.25	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-456.28	Vetlife Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-238.25	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-410.9	Lambie, T	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-568.21	McMillan, I & H	Oppose	Delete Table 14(w) in its entirety.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-248.19	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(w) to provide more water for the river environment during the summer months (by moving water from the shoulder periods to Jan/Feb).
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-248.20	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(w) to ensure sufficient flows for salmon migration (March/April) and whitebait migration (particularly Oct)(i.e flows will be maintained at SYB during these critical periods at greater than 6 cumecs, which prior research has indicated is the flow required to maintain the mouth of the Opihi river open).
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-248.21	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(w) to the "level 1 Restriction" flows proposed in Table (v), which also provide more water more water for the river environment during the summer than PC7 and otherwise respond to changing climatic conditions in the catchment.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-248.22	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(w) to the "Level 2 Restriction" flows proposed in Table 14(v), to align with PC7's proposed 2022 Opihi mainstem environmental flow requirements for AN permits of 2.6 cumecs at Stage highway 1 (Table 14(u) and historical IFIM habitat modelling).
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-181.7	Rathkeale Farming Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(w) to augment Lake Ophua with water from Lake Tekapo (via gravity) whilst generating electricity in turn increasing flushing flows down to the river mouth.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-74.3	Anderson, G W	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(w) to recognise community input into the management of the Opihi and Opuha rivers

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-65.48	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(w) minimum flow figures to those that are more realistic and might make a practical difference.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-430.280	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(w) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-457.8	Mackenzie District Council	Oppose in part	Require regimes that apply from 2030 to be considered through a separate plan change process, allowing for a thorough assessment of the effects of the first step before setting further reductions.
14.06.02.Table 14(w)	PC7-340.17	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(w) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-166.25	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]:</p> <p>Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-159.14	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-68.27	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Limited and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-97.21	Hawkins, M	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-110.14	Raumea Farms Limited	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the AMR achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of OWL and the members of the AMWG, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-385.18	Adaptive Management Working Group (AMWG)	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x(i)) - Lake inflows Table 14(x(ii)) - snow storage Table 14(x(iii)) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-440.14	McAtamney, S & J	Oppose	Delete Table 14(x) and adopt the decisions sought in the adaptive management working groups submission relating to the alternative management regime triggers, which presents a revised set of thresholds for lake level, snow storage and lake inflows.
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-381.152	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace Table 14(x) with the revised set of thresholds for Lake inflows, snow storage and Lake Level as set out in in Tables 14(x(i)), 14(x(ii)) and 14(x(iii)) [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime that achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Limited and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); • Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; • Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and • Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-412.25	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x(i)) - Lake inflows Table 14(x(ii)) - snow storage Table 14(x(iii)) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-373.19	Annfield Dairies	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-487.47	Mackenzie College	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-221.29	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-248.23	Brosnahan, P	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-298.36	Barwoods Limited	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-240.36	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-294.40	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-223.37	Coles Farms	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Adaptive Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-182.18	Chapman, J L	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x(i)) - Lake inflows Table 14(x(ii)) - snow storage Table 14(x(iii)) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-445.44	Pearse, H	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x(i)) - Lake inflows Table 14(x(ii)) - snow storage Table 14(x(iii)) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-378.18	Dawson, J & E	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x(i)) - Lake inflows Table 14(x(ii)) - snow storage Table 14(x(iii)) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-168.36	Davies, D	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x(i)) - Lake inflows Table 14(x(ii)) - snow storage Table 14(x(iii)) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-280.21	Fraser, J H	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x(i)) - Lake inflows Table 14(x(ii)) - snow storage Table 14(x(iii)) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-370.18	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x(i)) - Lake inflows Table 14(x(ii)) - snow storage Table 14(x(iii)) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-175.41	Caird, B	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-236.39	Glenfield Farm	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-169.37	Jackson, H	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-253.22	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-115.39	Henderson, T	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x(i)) - Lake inflows Table 14(x(ii)) - snow storage Table 14(x(iii)) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-361.47	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x(i)) - Lake inflows Table 14(x(ii)) - snow storage Table 14(x(iii)) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-237.34	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Alternative Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-456.37	Vetlife Limited	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-238.34	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-410.18	Lambie, T	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]: Table 14(x)(i) - Lake inflows Table 14(x)(ii) - snow storage Table 14(x)(iii) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-568.30	McMillan, I & H	Oppose	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with revised thresholds as follows [see Appendix 6 of the SODR]:</p> <p>Table 14(x(i)) - Lake inflows Table 14(x(ii)) - snow storage Table 14(x(iii)) - Lake level</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with an alternative set of revised thresholds that ensure the implementation of the Alternative Management Regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time, by managing the risk of Lake Opuha running dry (i.e. precluding augmentation) and therefore the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are below 2 cumecs (being the flow below which, based on the experience of Opuha Water Ltd and the members of the Adaptive Management Working Group, connectivity is lost); •Optimises Lake storage to minimise the amount of time the minimum flows at SYB are at or below 3 cumecs and abstractors (irrigators) are on full restriction; •Equity between the volume given up by abstractors (irrigators) and the river; and •Irrigators achieve 95% reliability (in accordance with Canterbury Water Management Strategy outcomes).
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-292.125	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(x) to maintain the connectivity and flow variability of the mainstem of the Opuha and Opihi Rivers and their major tributaries while providing security of supply for community drinking-water and community water supplies.
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-430.281	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(x) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-351.90	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Oppose in part	<p>Delete Table 14(x) and replace with a new table containing a revised set of thresholds for Lake inflows (Table 14(x(i))), snow storage (Table 14(x(ii))), and Lake level (Table 14(x(iii))) [see Appendix 6 of the SODR], or replace Table 14(x) with an alternative set of revised thresholds to ensure the implementation of the alternative management regime achieves the following outcomes:</p> <p>maintains connectivity of the Opihi River mainstem 100% of the time by managing the risk of Lake Opuha becoming dry; and optimises lake storage to minimise the amount of time spent in an alternative management regime scenario.</p>
14.06.02.Table 14(x)	PC7-340.18	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(x) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-159.4	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Support	Retain Table 14(y) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-252.5	Ulrich, P H	Support	Retain Table 14(y) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-439.8	O'Sullivan, R	Support	Retain the proposed BN flow and allocation regimes for the South Ophua, North Opuha, Upper Opihi and Te Ana Wai rivers in Table 14(y) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-382.14	Opihi Flow and Allocation Working Party	Support	Retain Table 14(y) as notified.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-166.21	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Table 14(y) to include additional row for Station Stream as follows:</p> <p><u>Station Stream; Station Stream at Gorge; minimum flow - as per resource consent conditions; Allocation - as per existing resource consents.</u></p>
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-166.22	Fox Peak Station Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Table 14(y) to include new row for Deep Stream as follows:</p> <p><u>Deep Stream; Minimum flow - as per existing resource consent conditions; Allocation - as per existing resource consents.</u></p>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-68.13	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend BN allocation limit for the Opihi Mainstem in Table 14(y) to reflect all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-97.7	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend BN allocation limit for the Opihi Mainstem in Table 14(y) to reflect all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-221.5	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the BN allocation limit for the Opihi Mainstem in Table 14(y) to reflect all allocation attributable to the BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depletion effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-430.282	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(y) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-381.109	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend BN allocation limit for Opihi Mainstem to reflect all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-381.110	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(y) to clarify that all minimum flows measured at SH1 are based on unmodified flow.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-440.3	McAtamney, S & J	Oppose in part	Amend BN allocation limit for the Opihi Mainstem in Table 14(y) to reflect all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-340.19	South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(y) to extend time frames.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-115.11	Henderson, T	Oppose in part	Amend the BN allocation limit for the Opihi Mainstem in Table 14(y) so that it reflects all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-487.11	Mackenzie College	Oppose in part	Amend the BN allocation limit for the Opihi Mainstem in Table 14(y) to reflect all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-364.23	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the BN allocation limit for the Opihi Mainstem in Table 14(y) so that it reflects all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-298.18	Barwoods Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(y) so that the BN allocation limit reflects all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-240.14	O'Sullivan, W D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(y) to amend the BN allocation limit for the the Opihi Mainstem so that it reflects all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-283.13	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(y) so that the BN allocation limit reflects all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-294.14	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(y) so that the BN allocation limit reflects all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-223.14	Coles Farms	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(y) so that the BN allocation limit reflects all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-445.13	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(y) to amend the BN allocation limit for the Opihi Mainstem so that it reflects all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-168.13	Davies, D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(y) so that the BN allocation limit reflects all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-236.13	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(y) so that the BN allocation limit reflects all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-175.13	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(y) to amend the BN allocation limit for the Opihi Mainstem so that it reflects all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-169.13	Jackson, H	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(y) so that the BN allocation limit reflects all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-237.12	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend BN allocation limit for the Opihi Mainstem in Table 14(y) to reflect all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-456.14	Vetlife Limited	Oppose in part	Amend BN allocation limit for the Opihi Mainstem in Table 14(y) to reflect all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-238.12	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose in part	Amend BN allocation limit for the Opihi Mainstem in Table 14(y) to reflect all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-370.31	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend BN allocation limit for the Opihi Mainstem in Table 14(y) to reflect all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(y)	PC7-568.12	McMillan, I & H	Oppose in part	Amend BN allocation limit for the Opihi Mainstem in Table 14(y) to reflect all allocation attributable to BA and BN surface water permits and groundwater permits with a direct or high stream depleting effect.
14.06.02.Table 14(z)	PC7-192.1	Trounce, L & A	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(z) so that the minimum flow is 100 litres per second and partial restrictions commence at flows of 150 litres per second.
14.06.02.Table 14(z)	PC7-430.283	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(z) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
14.06.02.Table 14(z)	PC7-411.6	Kyle, J	Oppose in part	Amend the minimum flow for AN Permits in Table 14(z) to 100 L/s with partial restrictions commencing at a flow of 150 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(z)	PC7-210.8	Tronnoco Farming Co Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the minimum flow for AN Permits in Table 14(z) to 100 L/s with partial restrictions commencing at a flow of 150 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(z)	PC7-354.9	O'Kane, K & K	Oppose in part	Amend the minimum flow for AN Permits in Table 14(z) to 100 L/s with partial restrictions commencing at a flow of 150 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(z)	PC7-134.6	Oldfield, M E	Oppose in part	Amend the minimum flow for AN Permits in Table 14(z) to 100 L/s with partial restrictions commencing at a flow of 150 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(z)	PC7-375.6	Waipopo Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the minimum flow for AN Permits in Table 14(z) to 100 L/s with partial restrictions commencing at a flow of 150 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(z)	PC7-284.6	Cotter, D & D	Oppose in part	Amend the minimum flow for AN Permits in Table 14(z) to 100 L/s with partial restrictions commencing at a flow of 150 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(z)	PC7-120.6	Belpher Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the minimum flow for AN Permits in Table 14(z) to 100 L/s with partial restrictions commencing at a flow of 150 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(z)	PC7-364.6	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the minimum flow for AN Permits in Table 14(z) to 100 L/s with partial restrictions commencing at a flow of 150 L/s.
14.06.02.Table 14(z)	PC7-253.28	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(z) so that the minimum flow is 100 litres per second and partial restrictions commence at flows of 150 litres per second.
14.06.02.Table 14(za)	PC7-430.284	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Delete or amend aspects of Table 14(za) which are inconsistent with the recommendations of the Flow and Allocation Committee, the submissions of Opuha Water Limited, or the submissions of the Temuka Catchment Working Party.
<i>Section 14.6.3 - Groundwater Allocation Zone Limits</i>				
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-415.15	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Supports the replication of 'A Allocation' limits from the existing framework for the Rangitata-Orton Groundwater Allocation Zone (on the basis that DHL's Tata consent that authorises the take of both deep groundwater and shallow connected groundwater, but that this will continue to be reflected as an 'A allocation') with no specific decision requested.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-318.61	Temuka Catchment Working Party	Oppose	Replace Table 14(zb) and replace with proposed table [see Appendix 7 of the SODR]
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-295.11	Geraldine Water Solutions (GWS)	Oppose	Replace Table 14(zb) and replace with proposed table [see Appendix 7 of the SODR]

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-416.3	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zb) to refine the allocation limits for the Orari-Opihi Zone so there is certainty regarding the appropriateness of those limits, and clarify why no changes were made to the maximum allocation for the Orari-Opihi GAZ to correspond with the apparent changes to its boundaries. Additionally, if Policy 14.4.7 is not amended to more accurately reflect Table 14(zb), amend Table 14(zb) to reflect Policy 14.4.7.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-192.2	Trounce, L & A	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zb) for the Levels Plains groundwater allocation zone to an 'A allocation limit' of 22.9 cubic metres per year and a 'T allocation limit' of 10 million cubic metres per year.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-145.8	Orari Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend A allocation limit for the Orari-Opihi GAZ in Table 14(zb) to 61.1 million m3/yr.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-145.9	Orari Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend the T allocation limit in the Orari-Opihi GAZ in Table 14(zb) to 10 million m3/year.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-488.6	M A Orchards Limited, Kerrytown Orchards Limited Partnership and Falvey Orchards Limited Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zb) to re-assess and/or derive an allocation limit for the Level Plains Groundwater Allocation Zone using a robust scientific methodology that takes into account the following: all groundwater allocations consented at the date of notification of PC7;all consented groundwater allocations outside of the pre-PC7 Levels Plains GAZ boundaries;all permits deemed to authorise direct or high stream depleting groundwater abstractions as a result of PC& (and therefore which should be accounted for as surface water allocation, not groundwater allocation);the estimated annual volume of Land Surface Recharge for the Levels Plains GAZ.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-415.22	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zb) to insert a note that clarifies that where no T Allocation is provided, for all takes to be treated as A Allocation.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-411.7	Kyle, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zb) for the Levels Plain Groundwater Allocation Zone to an A Allocation limit of 22.9 million cubic metres per year and a T allocation limit of 10 million cubic metres per year, while retaining the total allocation for the zone of 32.9 million cubic metres per year.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-381.111	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zb). [see Appendix 8 of the SODR]
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-381.112	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Require ECan to undertake further urgent analysis to determine the follow to inform the setting of appropriate "A" and "T" limits for each GAZ in the sub-region: 1. The level of allocation attributable to permits authorising direct groundwater abstraction and corresponding to permits granted or applied for as at the notification of PC7; and 2. The estimated annual volume of Land Surface Recharge for each extended GAZ.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-316.2	Rangitata Dairies Limited Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zb) so that the allocation limits are the actual allocations in the groundwater zone at the time PC7 was notified.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-210.9	Tronnoco Farming Co Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zb) for the Levels Plain Groundwater Allocation Zone to an A Allocation limit of 22.9 million cubic metres per year and a T allocation limit of 10 million cubic metres per year, while retaining the total allocation for the zone of 32.9 million cubic metres per year.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-354.10	O'Kane, K & K	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zb) for the Levels Plain Groundwater Allocation Zone to an A Allocation limit of 22.9 million cubic metres per year and a T allocation limit of 10 million cubic metres per year, while retaining the total allocation for the zone of 32.9 million cubic metres per year.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-134.7	Oldfield, M E	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zb) for the Levels Plain Groundwater Allocation Zone to an A Allocation limit of 22.9 million cubic metres per year and a T allocation limit of 10 million cubic metres per year, while retaining the total allocation for the zone of 32.9 million cubic metres per year.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-375.7	Waipopo Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zb) for the Levels Plain Groundwater Allocation Zone to an A Allocation limit of 22.9 million cubic metres per year and a T allocation limit of 10 million cubic metres per year, while retaining the total allocation for the zone of 32.9 million cubic metres per year.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-284.7	Cotter, D & D	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zb) for the Levels Plain Groundwater Allocation Zone to an A Allocation limit of 22.9 million cubic metres per year and a T allocation limit of 10 million cubic metres per year, while retaining the total allocation for the zone of 32.9 million cubic metres per year.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-120.7	Belpher Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zb) for the Levels Plain Groundwater Allocation Zone to an A Allocation limit of 22.9 million cubic metres per year and a T allocation limit of 10 million cubic metres per year, while retaining the total allocation for the zone of 32.9 million cubic metres per year.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-364.7	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zb) for the Levels Plain Groundwater Allocation Zone to an A Allocation limit of 22.9 million cubic metres per year and a T allocation limit of 10 million cubic metres per year, while retaining the total allocation for the zone of 32.9 million cubic metres per year.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-319.63	Temuka Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose in part	Replace Table 14(zb) [see Appendix 7 of the SODR]
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-253.29	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zb) for the Levels Plain Groundwater Allocation Zone to an A Allocation limit of 22.9 million cubic metres per year and a T allocation limit of 10 million cubic metres per year, while retaining the total allocation for the zone of 32.9 million cubic metres per year.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-253.30	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Require Levels Valley and Washdyke industrial zone to be included in the zone as they also feed Washdyke Lagoon/Seadown Drain for water/nutrients.
14.06.03.Table 14(zb)	PC7-279.5	Waimate District Council	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zb) to amend the surrender of surface water takes in exchange for deep well takes including appropriate lead in time and more consultation with stakeholders.
<i>Section 14.6.4 - High Nitrogen Concentration Area Staged Reductions</i>				
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-160.102	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain Table 14(zc) as notified.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-416.8	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Support	Retain Table 14(zc) as notified.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-114.59	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(zc) in its entirety and, as consequential amendments to Part B of PC7, delete all references to Table 14(zc) in PC7.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-159.6	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose	Delete Table 14(zc) in its entirety.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-214.134	Beef + Lamb New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Table 14(zc) in its entirety and replace with the framework sought in submission point PC7-214.122.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-487.19	Mackenzie College	Oppose	Delete Table 14(zc) in its entirety.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-364.31	Phillips Farming Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(zc) in its entirety.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-237.15	Wainono Dairy Ltd	Oppose	Delete Table 14(zc), until the full effects of farming at GMP baseline are understood.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-238.15	Upper Opihi-Opuha Catchment Group	Oppose	Delete Table 14(zc), until the full effects of farming at GMP baseline are understood.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-568.37	McMillan, I & H	Oppose	Delete the row 'Levels Plains' from Table 14(zc).
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-421.2	Mehrtens, J F	Oppose in part	Insert a new definition of Dairy Support as follows: <u>"Dairy support means pastoral farming where the animals grazed are dairy cattle not being milked (young animals or mixed-age cows) that are grazed of the milking platform (i.e. the area devoted to feeding dairy cows on a daily basis during the milking season) either temporarily or throughout the year."</u>
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-74.5	Anderson, G W	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to set more attainable nitrate levels which are achievable and backed up by good science.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-65.49	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to require deeper cuts in nitrogen pollution over shorter timeframes.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-131.6	Agri Magic Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to clarify what point in time the land use associated with reductions is made from.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-131.7	Agri Magic Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to require reductions to be "effects based" rather than land use or input constrained in accordance with the intent of the RMA.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-159.15	Cascade Irrigation Race Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to require that there is an extensive groundwater monitoring programme in place by Environment Canterbury to track improvements or otherwise.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-68.21	Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to ensure percentage reductions are based on more accurate scientific analysis.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-97.15	Hawkins, M	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to ensure that percentage reductions are based on more accurate scientific analysis.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-219.37	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to require the ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-219.38	Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to provide longer timeframes for farmers to achieve environmental outcomes.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-221.8	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to delete percentage reductions for nitrogen loss until the full effects of farming at GMP baseline are understood.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-357.67	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to replace with the proposed table [see Appendix 9 of the SODR]
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-357.68	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to insert the following note: <u>The percentage reductions required by Table 14(zc) are only to be applied to farming activities that require resource consent for individual farming land use activities and where the required reduction for each stage is greater than 3 kg nitrogen per hectare for dairy, and 1 kg per hectare all other farming activities.</u>
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-441.45	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to replace the values with those that are robust and provide a measurable environmental outcome in accordance with that sought for HNCAs.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-464.1	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to apply longer timeframes for farmers to achieve environmental outcomes
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-453.9	Rooney Farms Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to change the dates that the cumulative percentage reductions in nitrogen loss have to be achieved by 2035 and 2040 respectively.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-430.285	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) by deleting the final column headed 'By 1 January 2035'.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-435.57	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-435.58	Moffitt Dairy Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-469.57	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-469.58	Orton Downs Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-440.7	McAtamney, S & J	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to delete the requirement for percentage reductions in N loss in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas until the full effects of farming at GMP baseline are understood via a groundwater monitoring programme.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-381.113	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to clarify that percentage reductions beyond GMP required by 1 January 2035 in the Rangitata-Orton and Levels Plains HNCAs are the maximum reductions (not additional to those required by 1 January 2030).
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-424.196	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to require further and faster reductions.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-464.62	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to set firm GMPs and achievable proxies.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-464.63	Darby Farm Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to allow ongoing monitoring and review of the surface water and groundwater within the zone.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-352.37	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to remove the Green Nutrient Allocation Zone from the Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area in its entirety and only hold this area to Good Management Practice levels.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-352.38	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to have different staged reductions for the existing orange and red nutrient allocation zones within the Rangitata Orton High Nitrogen Concentration Area.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-352.39	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) by reducing the required reductions to capture long-term water quality efforts already made that are not reflected in the current water quality state.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-352.40	Pye Group	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to extend the proposed nitrogen reduction time periods by five years.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-181.3	Rathkeale Farming Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to amend the broad brush approach to nitrogen loss targets.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-412.5	Tepuni Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to delete the percentage reductions in High Nitrogen Concentration Areas.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-188.19	Synlait Milk Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to delete the column requiring further nutrient reductions by 2035.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-554.5	Adams, M	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to delete the requirement for a percentage of reductions beyond GMP until wider implications of operating at GMP are fully understood.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-554.6	Adams, M	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to require testing and monitoring so effects of GMP can be identified.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-472.164	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend the required reductions in Table 14(zc) so that they go further and faster to reflect the needs of the water and a genuine intent to address the water crisis.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-235.41	Rangitata South Irrigation Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to accurately reflect the percentage of reduction required to meet required outcomes in line with decision requested in PC7-235.37.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-221.14	Biggs Agriculture Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to require ECan to undertake an extensive groundwater quality monitoring programme to track improvements in groundwater quality.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-248.2	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to delete percentage reductions for nitrogen loss for arable farmers.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-283.21	Ashwick Flat Dairy Farms	Oppose in part	Amend the staged reductions in Table 14(zc) to require a 5% reduction by 2030 and another 5% reduction by 2035.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-294.22	Cascade Creek Limited	Oppose in part	Amend the staged reductions in Table 14(zc) to require a 5% reduction by 2030 and another 5% reduction by 2035.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-445.21	Pearse, H	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to delete percentage reductions for nitrogen loss.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-236.21	Glenfield Farm	Oppose in part	Amend the time period over which reductions are required for Table 14(zc).
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-175.21	Caird, B	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to require an extra 5 years to meet new standards.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-253.1	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to delete the broad brush approach to nitrogen loss targets for arable farmers.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-253.2	Seaforth Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Require Table 14(zc) be swapped with good management practices that are regularly monitored and audited.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-361.21	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Require Table 14(zc) to take into account individuals affected by both nitrogen reductions and changes to irrigation supply.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-361.22	Tregellen Farm limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to require timeframes to be extended by 5 years from the dates stated.
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-370.37	Monument Road Farm Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to reduce the percentage of reduction required and additional time to achieve the percentage.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
14.06.04.Table 14(zc)	PC7-357.76	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Table 14(zc) to insert the following note: If the ground water quality targets in Table 14(g) are achieved by either 1 January 2030 or 1 January 2040, the percentage reductions required by Table 14(zc) do not need to be met by farming activities.
Section 14.7 - Flow Sensitive Catchments				
14.07	PC7-65.50	Richardson, J	Support	Retain Section 14.7 as notified.
14.07	PC7-351.91	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain the table in Section 14.7 as notified.
14.07	PC7-430.286	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Section 14.7 to rationalise the list of flow-sensitive catchments in Figure 7 to include only those areas which meet the criteria to be classified as flow-sensitive catchments i.e. rivers are dependent on rainfall as their main source of flow, there is limited ability to store water and evapotranspiration exceeds summer rainfall. Downlands which do not meet the criteria (as discussed above) should not be included.
14.07	PC7-430.328	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Section 14.7 to designate the Upper Orari catchment (row 1 of Table 14.7) as a flow-sensitive catchment. Delete the other sub-catchments listed (rows 2-8).
Section 14.8 - High Naturalness Waterbodies				
14.08	PC7-160.103	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain the amendments to Section 14.8 of the LWRP as notified.
14.08	PC7-351.92	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain the table in Section 14.8 as notified.
14.08	PC7-551.6	Orari River Protection Group	Support	Retain Table 14.8 with the Orari gorge identified as an area of high naturalness as notified.
14.08	PC7-336.13	Bonifacio Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the High Naturalness Water Body boundary for Milford Lagoon and Orakipoa Creek to extend only as far as Mildford Lagoon Road and not Orakipoa Island Road.
14.08	PC7-336.14	Bonifacio Family Trust	Oppose in part	Amend rules and conditions governing the opening of the Opihi River Mouth to account for coastal egression and subsequent impacts on the Milford Lagoon.
14.08	PC7-430.287	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend Section 14.8 to delete Milford Lagoon and Orakipoa Creek unless the rights of existing water consent holders are safeguarded.
14.08	PC7-430.288	Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose in part	Supports the submission on Section 14.8 from Orapikao Water Users.
14.08	PC7-306.5	Springfield Partnership Ltd	Oppose in part	Amend the High Naturalness Water bodies to change the location from the mouth of the Lagoon to the confluence of the Orakipoa and Burke Creek.
Section 15A - South Coastal Canterbury				
Section 15A.5.1 - Rules				
15A.05.01	PC7-114.21	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain the notes above Rule 15A.5.1 as notified.
15A.05.01	PC7-356.63	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Retain the note that precedes Rule 15A.5.1. Include consequential amendments subsequent to the relief sought in submission points 32 and 36 to 39, amend the rule numbering and terms in note 2.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Section 15B - Waitaki				
<u>Section 15B.5.8 - Rules</u>				
15B.05.08	PC7-114.22	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain the note above Rule 15B.5.8 as notified.
15B.05.08	PC7-356.64	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Retain the note that precedes Rule 15B.5.8. Include consequential amendments subsequent to the relief sought in submission points PC7-356.32 and PC7-356.36 to PC7-356.39, amend the rule numbering and terms in the note.
Section 16 - Schedules				
<u>Schedule 6 - Areas on Rivers or Lakes commonly used for Freshwater Bathing</u>				
16.06	PC7-56.3	Devlin, R	Support	Retain Schedule 6 as notified.
16.06	PC7-347.21	Canterbury District Health Board	Support	Retain Schedule 6 as notified.
16.06	PC7-292.126	Timaru District Council	Support	Require Schedule 6 to not include any site upstream of any community water supply take location.
16.06	PC7-205.9	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Support	Retain Schedule 6 as notified.
16.06	PC7-424.38	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Schedule 6 as notified.
16.06	PC7-381.114	Opuha Water Limited	Support	Retain Schedule 6 as notified.
16.06	PC7-98.3	McGregor, A	Support	Retain Schedule 6 as notified.
16.06	PC7-288.1	McIntyre Williamson Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 6 to delete the Wairepo Arm and Kellands Pond sites from the schedule.
16.06	PC7-346.20	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 6 to delete the following site: "Loch Cameron 1364728mE, 5099491mN"
16.06	PC7-346.21	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 6 to delete the following site: "Pond at Old Iron Bridge Road 367794mE, 5092249mN"
16.06	PC7-427.1	Todd Property Pegasus Town Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 6 to remove Lake Pegasus.
16.06	PC7-423.74	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 6 to add Waiwera (Lake Forsyth).
16.06	PC7-423.84	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 6 to add Te Waihora.
16.06	PC7-551.10	Orari River Protection Group	Oppose in part	Retain the freshwater bathing area in the Orari River as it is in the existing plan. Delete the proposed amendment in Plan Change 7 that cuts out the Orari River freshwater bathing area.
16.06	PC7-552.1	Benmore Irrigation Company	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 6 to delete reference to Wairepo Arm (Upper Waitaki)

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
16.06	PC7-399.2	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 6 to include the following site: <u>“Three Streams” confluence of Cust Main Drain, Kaiapoi-Silverstream & Mill Creek. 43°22'25.3"S 172°38'06.0"E</u>
16.06	PC7-399.90	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 6 to include the following site: <u>Kaiapoi/Silverstream River just above Butchers Road Foot Bridge. 43°22'56.2"S 172°37'51.8"E</u>
16.06	PC7-399.91	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 6 to include the following site: <u>Cust Main Drain at Plaskett Road. 43°20'47.4"S 172°33'46.8"E</u>
16.06	PC7-399.92	Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 6 to include the following site: <u>Cust Main Drain at Threlkelds Road 43°21'41.2"S 172°36'27.9"E.</u>
<u>Schedule 7 - Farm Environment Plan</u>				
16.07	PC7-114.23	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain the amendments to Schedule 7, namely the inclusion of references to "commercial vegetable growing operations", as notified.
16.07	PC7-95.50	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain the amendments to Schedule 7 as notified, subject to the relief sought for Table 8-9.
16.07	PC7-331.8	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Retain Schedule 7 as notified, subject to relief sought by the submitter for the policies and rules to ensure consistency between provisions.
16.07	PC7-357.43	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain the insertion of 'springs' under 'Management Area' in Schedule 7.
16.07	PC7-357.44	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain objectives and targets under Section 10 of Schedule 7 'Waimakariri - Additional Requirements' subject to any consequential amendments regarding Table 8-9.
16.07	PC7-357.45	DairyNZ Limited	Support	Retain objectives and targets under Section 11 'Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora - Additional Requirements' of Schedule 7 subject to any consequential amendments sought for Table 14(zc).
16.07	PC7-390.7	Ashburton Lyndhurst Irrigation Limited (ALIL)	Support	Retain the references to "land" in Schedule 7 as notified.
16.07	PC7-424.39	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Schedule 7, Part B, 2(a) as notified.
16.07	PC7-424.42	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Support	Retain Schedule 7, Part B, 11 (Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora Additional Requirements), Management Area 3 (Mahinga Kai) as notified.
16.07	PC7-551.11	Orari River Protection Group	Support	Retain the inclusion of in-stream biodiversity values in Farm Environment Plans.
16.07	PC7-109.16	Brown, A	Support	General support for the inclusion of in-stream biodiversity values within FEP's.
16.07	PC7-106.19	Cotter, J	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-465.7	Keall, L	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-466.17	Hailstone, S	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
16.07	PC7-215.16	Dalziel, J	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-258.16	Dopleach, D	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-83.16	Frankland, R	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-77.16	Oldham, J	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-250.16	McInnes, S	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-124.16	List, B	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-94.37	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-151.26	Adriaens, G	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-164.26	Campbell, S	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-163.26	Cockburn, A	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-321.25	Christensen, C	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-320.26	Bebbington, C	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-147.26	Michael, S	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-461.26	Barnes, J	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-128.21	Black, L	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-93.26	Gallagher, K	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-395.26	Jacobs, S	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-241.26	Curtis, D	Support	Supports greater recognition of waipuna with no specific decision requested.
16.07	PC7-256.15	De Lu, K	Support	Retain greater recognition of waipuna as notified.
16.07	PC7-268.15	Baird, M	Support	Retain greater recognition of waipuna as notified
16.07	PC7-261.15	Downard, J	Support	Retain greater recognition of waipuna as notified.
16.07	PC7-99.15	Low, C	Support	Retain greater recognition of waipuna as notified
16.07	PC7-398.15	Perriam, E	Support	Retain greater recognition of waipuna as notified
16.07	PC7-388.16	Ridden-Harper, A	Support	Retain greater recognition of waipuna as notified
16.07	PC7-116.15	Robertson, J	Support	Retain greater recognition of waipuna as notified

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
16.07	PC7-78.16	Ross, M J	Support	Retain greater recognition of waipuna as notified.
16.07	PC7-111.7	Seed The Change	Support	Retain greater recognition of waipuna as notified
16.07	PC7-420.11	Sustainable Otautahi Christchurch	Support	Retain greater recognition of waipuna as notified.
16.07	PC7-81.11	Tie, M A	Support	Retain greater recognition of waipuna as notified.
16.07	PC7-80.17	Zhang, F	Support	Retain greater recognition of waipuna as notified.
16.07	PC7-247.15	W..	Support	Retain greater recognition of waipuna as notified
16.07	PC7-568.38	McMillan, I & H	Support	Retain Schedule 7 as notified.
16.07	PC7-88.73	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the definition of Management Area in Schedule 7 as follows: Management Area - means the areas of farm management practice as set out below: a. Nutrients <u>ba. Sediments</u> <u>bb. Land drainage</u> ...
16.07	PC7-105.4	KW & CJ Orange Partnership	Oppose in part	As consequential relief to submission point PC7-105.1 insert requirement within the OTOP Zone for identification of waterbodies on farms which should be subject to stock exclusion in Farm Environment Plans and provide for water sampling and monitoring to establish where stock exclusion is necessary.
16.07	PC7-105.5	KW & CJ Orange Partnership	Oppose in part	As consequential relief to submission points PC7-105-2 and PC7-105-3 add requirement in the OTOP Zone for Farm Environment Plans to identify best areas on farm for winter grazing.
16.07	PC7-114.24	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Part B, Section 10 of Schedule 7, as follows: Within the Waimakariri Sub-region, the following additional requirements to farm environment plans apply: ... 2. Management Area 5A: Nutrients... Objectives: 1. Staged <u>Reductions, staged over time</u> , in nitrogen loss for land within the Nitrate Priority Area... Targets: 1. Where required, by 1 January 2030 <u>or later date in accordance with Policy 8.4.27</u> , further reductions in the nitrogen loss rate for properties within the Nitrate Priority Area <u>to achieve the nitrate-nitrogen and total nitrogen targets specified in Tables 8-5, 8-6 and 8-8 and for nitrogen losses from dairy farming activities to be reduced by 15% and from all other farming activities by 5% as required by Table 8-9.</u> ...

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
16.07	PC7-114.25	Ravensdown Limited	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Part B, Section 11 of Schedule 7, as follows:</p> <p>Within the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora Sub-region, Part B of Schedule 7 also includes the following:</p> <p>...</p> <p>2. Management Area 5A: Nutrients...</p> <p>Objectives:</p> <p>1. Staged reductions, staged over time, beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates, or lawful nitrogen loss rates, within the Rangitata Orton, Fairlie Basin, and Levels Plains High Nitrogen...</p> <p>Targets:</p> <p>1. Where required, by 1 January 2030, <u>or later date in accordance with Policy 8.4.27</u>, further reductions in nitrogen losses beyond Baseline GMP Loss Rates, or lawful nitrogen loss rates for properties within the Rangitata Orton, Fairlie Basin and Levels Plain High Nitrogen Concentration Zones <u>Areas to achieve the nitrate-nitrogen, total nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen targets specified in Tables 14(d), 14(f) and 14(g) and for nitrogen losses from dairy farming activities to be reduced by 15% and from all other farming activities by 5% as required by Table 14(zc).</u> However, Management Area...</p>
16.07	PC7-153.25	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 Default Content to give relief to other submissions sought, in particular bespoke requirements for identifying and managing risks on temporary lease blocks.
16.07	PC7-160.35	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to require a staged reduction of water quality contaminants where the sensitive lakes are not achieving their TLI outcomes.
16.07	PC7-154.21	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose in part	<p>Amend Schedule 7 based on other relief sought and to address the challenges associated with commercial vegetable growing, including:</p> <p>Bespoke requirements for identifying and managing risks on temporary lease blocks or where multiple properties form part of an integrated farming enterprise; and</p> <p>Updated nitrogen baseline requirements to take into consideration short term leases and the size of the operation.</p>
16.07	PC7-441.46	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to require FEPs to be developed by a Certified Nutrient Management Advisor (qualified under the Nutrient Manager Advisor Certification Programme Ltd).
16.07	PC7-88.33	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to require farm environment plans to map land drainage/overland flow paths and connecting wetlands and plan stock exclusion and native revegetation.
16.07	PC7-88.47	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to require more detailed on-farm overland stormwater flow/drainage mapping.
16.07	PC7-310.23	Iles, H	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to clarify communication and monitoring systems for auditing FEPs.
16.07	PC7-207.39	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Delete insertion of 'springs' into Schedule 7.
16.07	PC7-312.59	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 Part B Farm Environment Plan Default Content to have different risk identification and nitrogen budget requirements for commercial vegetable growing operations depending on the area of land and the lease period (if applicable).

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
16.07	PC7-424.40	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7, Part B, 5E as follows: 5E Management Area: Waterbodies (wetlands, riparian areas, drains, rivers, lakes, springs) Objective: Wetlands, riparian areas, <u>springs</u> and the margins of surface water_bodies are managed to avoid damage to the bed and margins of the water body, and to avoid the direct input of nutrients, sediment, and microbial pathogens.
16.07	PC7-424.41	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7, Part B, 5E to include additional target that requires farmers to consider management of ephemeral water bodies that are potential sources of sediment, nutrient and microbial loss into rivers, lakes and wetlands during storms.
16.07	PC7-421.15	Mehrtens, J F	Oppose in part	Delete Waimakariri - Additional Requirements from Schedule 7 as notified.
16.07	PC7-413.9	Hawkins, A	Oppose in part	Delete additional requirements for Waimakariri from Schedule 7.
16.07	PC7-424.124	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7, Part B, 11 Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora - Additional Requirements, 5: Management Area: Tuhituhi neherā (Rock Art sites) as follows: 5. Management Area: Tuhituhi neherā (Rock Art sites) Objective: To protect tuhituhi neherā (rock art) sites and the historic, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with these sites and their surroundings Targets: 1. For any property that has all or part of the property within the Rock Art Management Area, irrigation is managed to avoid any adverse effects on tuhituhi neherā (rock art) sites and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with these sites and their surroundings; and 2. Stock are excluded from any tuhituhi neherā (rock art) site so as to avoid damage to the art work and the values associated with these sites: surrounding area; 3. Manage farming practices to protect tuhituhi neherā (rock art) sites by avoiding adverse effects that may modify, damage or destroy these sites and the values associated with these sites.
16.07	PC7-424.127	Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7, Part B, 11 Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora - Additional Requirements to include a new management objective to protect mātaimai and waipuna within the Mātaimai and Waipuna (Springs) Protection Zone.
16.07	PC7-332.14	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to provide bespoke requirements for identifying and managing risks on temporary lease blocks specific to commercial vegetable growing operations.
16.07	PC7-332.15	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to provide consequential amendments as a result of the submitter's relief sought in relation to commercial vegetable growing operations.
16.07	PC7-356.65	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Include consequential amendments subsequent to the relief sought in submission point PC7-356.1, amend references in Schedule 7 from 'commercial vegetable growing operation' to 'commercial vegetable production'.
16.07	PC7-356.66	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Amend clause 4B of Schedule 7 to remove the requirement for N budget for Commercial Vegetable Production for ongoing FEP audits and reporting.
16.07	PC7-160.131	Department of Conservation	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to ensure cultural outcomes for mahinga kai are achieved, as a consequential change resulting from amendments requested for Table 1b.
16.07	PC7-441.54	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to ensure that timelines for developing the required FEPs consider the availability of existing resources as well as future training and resourcing needs to build and maintain capacity in this area.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
16.07	PC7-404.22	Potatoes New Zealand	Oppose in part	<p>Insert a new section into Schedule 7, referred to in the submission as 'Schedule 7(b) - Farm Environment Plan for Potato Growing' as well as 'Schedule 7B - Rotation (Commercial Vegetable Production) Management Plan', as follows:</p> <p>'Schedule 7B - Rotation (Commercial Vegetable Production) Management Plan</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A Farm Environment Plan shall be prepared in accordance with the requirements of Schedule 7. The Farm Environment Plan shall be certified as meeting the requirements of Schedule 7 by a Certified Farm Environment Planner (commercial vegetable production). 2. The Rotation Plan does not require duplication of material within an existing Farm Environment Plan that is considered sufficient for purpose by a Certified Farm Environment Planner (commercial vegetable production). 3. Rotation Plans are not required to duplicate material provided to Canterbury Regional Council for the purpose of complying with other rules in the plan. 4. Rotation Plans will not be incorporated into consent conditions as a whole; but matters of control or discretion will include relevant actions committed to by the consent holder. The relevant consent holder can alter the farm plan to include new land without altering the consent; if the actions undertaken at the new locations to mitigate environmental effects have the equivalent outcome anticipated within the FEP. 5. The Rotation (Commercial Vegetable Production) Plan shall identify key risk areas for the discharge of sediment, nitrogen, phosphorus and microbial pathogens, and identify actions, and timeframes for those actions to be completed, in order to reduce the diffuse discharges of these contaminants where practicable. <p>Part A – Requirements for Rotation (Commercial Vegetable Production) Management Plan</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Rotation Plan must clearly identify how any specified consent condition will be complied with; and shall contain as a minimum: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The name of the commercial vegetable production (enterprise) as the legal entity registered with the Canterbury Regional Council. b. A description of the enterprise, detailing the general rotational cropping system, properties owned, leased and otherwise farmed on over time within the domain of the rotation. 2. A legal description for each parcel of land included in the rotation domain for the enterprise: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. A notification process to Council for changes to the parcels of land in the rotation. b. The land use capability assessment for each of the parcels in the rotation. <p>Part B – Requirements for a risk assessment for commercial vegetable rotation</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. An assessment of the risk for diffuse discharges of sediment, nitrogen and phosphorus associated with the commercial vegetation production activities on the aggregated area of land used for commercial vegetable production, and the priority of those identified risks, having regard to the freshwater outcomes for Canterbury Rivers and Lakes in Tables 1a and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
				<p>1b and the Region-wide Water Quality limits in Schedule 8.</p> <p>4. As a minimum, the risk assessment shall include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. A risk assessment for the precedent nitrogen losses for each of the land parcels in the rotational domain of the Rotational Management Plan; b. A nutrient management plan with demonstrates how any relevant nutrient loss reduction set out in Sections 6 to 15 will be achieved; c. The risk assessment should be equivalent to the process outlined in Section 4 of the Horticulture New Zealand Code of Practice for Nutrient Management Version 1.0 August 2014; d. A risk assessment for soil conservation, that is approved by a Certified Farm Environment Planner (commercial vegetable crops) and is equivalent to the process outlined in Section 1 of the Horticulture New Zealand Erosion & Sediment Control Guidelines for Vegetable Production Version 1.1 June 2014; e. Undertake a microbiological discharge risk assessment if animal or animal products are used on the rotation land parcels. <p>5. If stock are present on land managed within the enterprise, provisions of Schedule 1 relating to the farming of animals apply. If stock are present a risk assessment for stock related discharges must be undertaken.</p> <p>6. A schedule of mitigation actions and target completion dates derived from the risk assessments undertaken in 4 and 5 above.</p> <p>7. The risk assessment data management, reporting and auditing will be consistent with the NZGAP requirements for vegetable production.</p> <p>Part C Vegetable Growing Minimum Standards</p> <p>8. Rotation Plans required under Commercial Vegetable Growing Operations Rules shall, in addition to the matters set out above, ensure the following matters are addressed.</p> <p>No Contaminant Vegetable growing minimum standards</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Nitrogen, Phosphorus Annual soil testing regime, fertiliser recommendations by block and by crop 2 Nitrogen, Phosphorus Tailored fertiliser plans by block and by crop 3 Nitrogen, Phosphorus Both (1) and (2) prepared by an appropriately qualified person 4 Nitrogen, Phosphorus Annual calibration of fertiliser delivering systems through an approved programme such as Spreadmark/Fertspread 5 Soil / Phosphorus As a minimum by block: an approved erosion and sediment control plan constructed in accordance with the Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for Vegetable Production June 2014 6 Nitrogen, Phosphorus Documentation available for proof of fertiliser placement according to recommended instruction 7 Nitrogen, Phosphorus Adoption and use of improved fertiliser products proved effective and available such as formulated prills, coatings and slow release mechanisms 8 Nitrogen, Phosphorus Evidence available to demonstrate split applications by block/crop following expert approved practice relating to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. form of fertiliser applied b. rate of application c. placement of fertiliser d. timing of application 9 Nitrogen Maintain efficient irrigation to ensure yields and the export of nitrogen in crop are maximised.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
				<p>Part D - Requirements for a Rotation Management Plan applying to Rule 5.42XX - Restricted Discretionary Activity Rule – The management of contaminants from Commercial Vegetable Growing Operations activities across sub-regions and Nutrient Allocation Zones.</p> <p>A Rotation plan (RMP) shall be prepared in accordance with the requirements below.</p> <p>1) The RMP must be approved by the Regional Council Chief Executive before an application under Rule 5.42XX can be granted by the Council.</p> <p>2) The RMP must demonstrate for each sub-region and Nutrient Allocation Zone how the expected reduction in nutrient discharges to freshwater can be achieved through completing and implementing a farm environment plan action in accordance with Schedule 7. The achievement in reduction of discharges must be comparable when considered over all the properties and parcels managed by the RMP.</p> <p>3) The RMP must be the responsibility of a legal entity that is accountable for achieving compliance with the conditions of resource consent issued under Rule 5.42X.</p> <p>4) The RMP must be supported by a decision support tool that is able to be utilised as the accounting framework for the relevant enterprise. The decision support tool must:</p> <p>a) Provide measured and predicted data for adaptive management;</p> <p>b) Prioritise actions and review the performance of the commercial vegetable production rotation to meet targets and limits for nutrient management;</p> <p>c) Be capable of integrating with other sub-region, nutrient allocation zone and catchment scale accounting systems;</p> <p>d) Be able to measure mitigations for microbial, sediment, nitrogen and phosphorus discharges at all scales within the domain of the Rotation Management Plan to a standard approved by a peer review agent approved by the Chief Executive of the Regional Council;</p> <p>e) Provide data to Council for use in assessing compliance with the nutrient loss targets for the relevant nutrient allocation zones in Sections 6 to 15 of the Land and Water Regional Plan.</p> <p>5) The RMP must clearly identify how any specified consent conditions will be complied with.</p>
16.07	PC7-88.55	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Plan Change 7 to require farm environment planning to include more detailed on-farm overland stormwater flow/drainage mapping.
16.07	PC7-88.72	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend 5E of Schedule 7 to include a new target as follows: <u>Detailed farm drainage plan with sufficient contour interval (e.g 10cm) to plot overland flow paths for rainfall runoff and management actions to prevent and or treat contaminated rainfall runoff from discharging to waterways (creeks, drains, wetlands). Fence off and retire wetlands identified in the drainage plan and or E-Can wetland mapping.</u>
16.07	PC7-88.74	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause 2.a.ii in Part A of Schedule 7 as follows: Contains a methodology that will enable development of a plan that will identify actual and potential environment effects and risks specific to the property or land area, addresses those effects and risks and has a high likelihood of appropriately avoiding, remedying <u>offsetting</u> , or mitigating those effects.
16.07	PC7-88.75	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause 2.c. of Part B of Schedule 7 as follows: The location of permanent or intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains, pond, wetlands or springs, <u>including banks and overland stormwater flow paths.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
16.07	PC7-88.76	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause 2.g of Part B in Schedule 7 as follows: The location of any critical source areas and <u>flow/transport pathways</u> for phosphorus or sediment loss for any part of the property or land area including any land within the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone <u>and erosion prone areas.</u>
16.07	PC7-88.77	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause 2.i of Part B of Schedule 7 as follows: Public access routes or access routes used to maintain the rivers, streams <u>wetlands</u> or drains.
16.07	PC7-88.78	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause 4A of Part B in Schedule 7 as follows: An assessment of the adverse environmental effects and risks associated with the farming activities and how the identified effects and risks will be <u>avoided, managed, or offset</u> , including irrigation ,application of nutrients, effluent application, stock exclusion from waterways, <u>soil erosion controls</u> , offal pits and farm rubbish pits, <u>and sediment overland flow paths.</u>
16.07	PC7-88.79	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Objective 1 of 5A Management Area: Nutrients as follows: Use nutrients efficiently and minimise nutrient losses to <u>surface and ground</u> water.
16.07	PC7-88.80	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Section 5D of Schedule 7 as follows: 5D Management Area: Cultivation, Soil Structure <u>and Soil Erosion</u>
16.07	PC7-88.81	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to insert a new Target under 5C Management Area: Cultivation and Soil Structure as follows: <u>Erosion prone areas are stabilised and retired from farming activities as necessary,</u>
16.07	PC7-88.82	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to insert a new Target under 5C Management Area: Cultivation and Soil Structure as follows: <u>Suspended sediments in overland flow of stormwater are contained and settled out before discharge to waterways.</u>
16.07	PC7-88.83	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the Objective under 5E Management Area: Waterbodies (wetlands, riparian areas, drains, rivers, lakes, springs) as follows: Wetlands, riparian areas and the margins of surface waterbodies are managed to avoid damage to the bed, <u>banks</u> and margins of the waterbody, and to avoid the direct input of nutrients, sediment, and microbial pathogens.
16.07	PC7-88.84	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Target 2 under 5E Management Area; Waterbodies as follows: Vegetated riparian margins of sufficient width are maintained to <u>prevent and</u> minimise nutrient, sediment and microbial pathogen losses to waterbodies.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
16.07	PC7-88.85	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Target 3 under 5E Management Area: Waterbodies as follows: Farm tracks, gateways, water troughs, self-feeding areas, stock camp wallows and other farming activities that are potential sources of sediment, nutrient and microbial loss are located <u>and drained</u> so as to minimise the risks to surface water quality <u>and ecological health of waterways</u> .
16.07	PC7-88.86	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to insert a new target under 5E Management Area; Waterbodies as follows: <u>Habitats of indigenous freshwater species are protected.</u>
16.07	PC7-88.87	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to insert a new target under 5E Management Area; Waterbodies as follows: <u>Spawning sites for sports fisheries are protected.</u>
16.07	PC7-88.88	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause 1, Section 7 Selwyn Te Waihora - Additional Requirements of Schedule 7 as follows: Include a map(s) or aerial photograph at a scale that clearly shows the location of any known mahinga kai, wahi tapu or Wahi taonga <u>habitats of indigenous freshwater species and spawning sites for sports fisheries</u> within a property or farming enterprise located in the Cultural Landscapes/Values Management Area.
16.07	PC7-88.89	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to insert a new clause under Section 7 Selwyn Te Waihora - Additional Requirements as follows: <u>Identifying opportunities to undertake additional plantings of indigenous vegetation, and carrying out and managing any additional plantings in accordance with regional council guidelines for riparian planting;</u>
16.07	PC7-88.90	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the nutrient management actions under Section 7 Selwyn Te Waihora - Additional requirements to include the following: <u>Soils management: To maintain or improve the physical and biological condition of soils in order to minimise the movement of sediment, phosphorus and other contaminants to waterways.</u>
16.07	PC7-88.91	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the nutrient management actions under Section 7 Selwyn Te Waihora - Additional requirements to include the following: <u>Minimise and control the overland flow of suspended sediments in stormwater.</u>
16.07	PC7-88.92	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to insert new requirements under Section 7 Selwyn Te Waihora - Additional requirements to include the following: <u>In-stream biodiversity: To protect and enhance in-stream biodiversity values by:</u> <u>1. On the map or aerial photograph of waterbodies required under Part A of this Schedule, specify the location of any spring heads, wetlands and spring-fed streams on the property or within the farming enterprise to recognise their high instream biodiversity values.</u> <u>2. Prioritise achievement of the targets for Management Area: Waterbody Management for any spring heads, wetlands and spring-fed streams so as to protect and enhance the instream biodiversity values.</u>

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
16.07	PC7-88.93	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to insert new requirements under section 7 Selwyn Te Waihora - Additional requirements to include the following: <u>Wetlands and Riparian Margins: To protect and restore wetland and riparian margins by:</u> <u>1. Enable activities that maintain, restore or enhance mahinga kai, safe fish passage, indigenous vegetation, habitats of indigenous fauna and significant habitats of trout and salmon.</u> <u>2. Enable catchment restoration activities that focus on the protection of springs, the protection, establishmnt or enhancement of planted riparian margins, the creation, restoration or enhancement of wetlands, indigenous biodiversity in riparian margins, weed and pest control activities, and the targeted removal of fine sediment from waterbodies.</u> <u>3. The high ecological values associated with rivers and wetlands in the Selwyn/Waihora catchment, including Te Waihora, are recognised and provided for by:</u> <u>a. further reducing, relative to the region-wide permitted activity rules, the area of land used for winter grazing of cattle as a permitted activity; and</u> <u>b. extending the region-wide provisions for stock exclusion to include drains, artificial watercourses and springs (waipuna).</u>
16.07	PC7-472.210	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to insert the requirement for contour based drainage plans.
16.07	PC7-472.211	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to insert the requirement for mapping of mahinga kai sites, wahi tapu and wahi taonga.
16.07	PC7-472.212	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to insert the requirement for the mapping of wetlands.
16.07	PC7-472.213	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to insert the requirement to identify any areas of indigenous biodiversity including flora, fauna and ecological communities that are not listed as significant but are likely to meet the CRPS significance criteria.
16.07	PC7-472.214	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to insert the requirement to identify whether the farm is located within an outstanding landscape or has outstanding natural features including but not limited to ecological, archaeological, or geological features.
16.07	PC7-472.215	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 to insert measurable objectives that can be enforced under consent conditions.
16.07	PC7-387.51	As One Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 Farm Environment Plan to remove Requirements for Waimakariri.
16.07	PC7-343.41	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7 Default Content to give relief to other submissions sought.
<u>Schedule 7A - Management Plan for Farming Activities</u>				
16.07A	PC7-114.26	Ravensdown Limited	Support	Retain the amendments to Schedule 7A as notified.
16.07A	PC7-95.51	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Schedule 7A as notified.
16.07A	PC7-463.18	Currie, M	Support	Retain Schedule 7A as notified.
16.07A	PC7-568.39	McMillan, I & H	Support	Retain Schedule 7A as notified.
16.07A	PC7-153.26	Barrhill-Chertsey Irrigation Limited (BCIL)	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7A to include minor commercial vegetable growing operations, in particular include a section that addresses actions required to minimise potential direct discharges of sediments and other contaminants to water.
16.07A	PC7-154.22	Acton Farmers Irrigation Co-Operative (AFIC)	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7A to provide relief for minor commercial vegetable growing operations as follows: Insert a section which addresses actions required to minimise potential direct discharges of sediments and other contaminants to water.
16.07A	PC7-310.24	Iles, H	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7A to clarify communication and monitoring systems for managing FEPs.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
16.07A	PC7-207.40	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Delete insertion of 'springs' into Schedule 7A.
16.07A	PC7-312.60	Greenstreet Irrigation Society	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7A to require minor commercial vegetable growing operations to minimise potential direct discharges of sediment and other contaminants to water.
16.07A	PC7-332.16	Rhodes Hill Limited and Belmont Farm Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7A to include minor commercial vegetable growing operations, in particular include a section which addresses actions required to minimise potential direct discharges of sediments and other contaminants to water.
16.07A	PC7-356.67	Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose in part	Include consequential amendments subsequent to the relief sought in submission point PC7-356.1 is granted, amend references in Schedule 7A from 'commercial vegetable growing operation' to 'commercial vegetable production'.
16.07A	PC7-343.42	Ashburton River Irrigators Association	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 7A to give relief for minor commercial vegetable growing operations.
<u>Schedule 8 - Region-wide Water Quality Limits</u>				
16.08	PC7-95.52	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Schedule 8 as notified.
16.08	PC7-326.3	Blackhills (2012) Limited	Oppose	Delete the amendments to Schedule 8, instead retain status quo.
16.08	PC7-207.41	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose	Delete amendments to Schedule 8 in their entirety.
16.08	PC7-65.51	Richardson, J	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 8 to lower the limits to internationally accepted values.
16.08	PC7-91.6	Avon-Otakaro Network	Oppose in part	Amend Region-wide Water Quality Limits to align with up to date research on effects of water quality attributes on human health and ecosystems, NPS limits, relevant ANZECC 2000 guideline values and outcomes sought by the community.
16.08	PC7-262.3	Trolove, P D	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 8 to ensure that Region Wide water quality limits are appropriate for aquatic ecosystems.
16.08	PC7-357.46	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 8 'Rivers' to apply the NPS-FM DO attribute to all stream/river reaches.
16.08	PC7-346.22	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 8 to insert a note, either under the heading 'Schedule 8 Region-wide Water Quality Limits' or as a footnote, as follows: <p style="text-align: center;"><u>"The matters in Schedule 8 are not relevant in circumstances where Water Quality Limits for Rivers, Lakes and or Groundwater have been set in Sections 6-15B."</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Or</p> <p>Delete Schedule 8 in its entirety if Schedule 8 applies to the Waitaki Catchment.</p>
16.08	PC7-339.9	Fenwick, G D	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 8 to ensure that the proposed water quality limits maintain the natural life sustaining capacity of aquifer's water.
16.08	PC7-339.12	Fenwick, G D	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 8 to consider input from appropriate experts, particularly qualified experts in invertebrate toxicology.
16.08	PC7-548.1	Bray, A	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 8 to include a wider list of water quality parameters including insecticides.
16.08	PC7-548.2	Bray, A	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 8 so that limits are determined by the long term common good rather than short term ease and business profits.
16.08	PC7-357.72	DairyNZ Limited	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 8 'Rivers' nitrate concentrations to 6.9 mg/L annual median where current concentrations are above this number. Otherwise, maintain current concentration.
16.08	PC7-292.142	Timaru District Council	Oppose in part	Require any amendments to Schedule 8 to not be more restrictive than notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
16.08.Groundwater	PC7-337.123	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend the "Groundwater" table in Schedule 8 to add lower thresholds in line with up to date research on effects of water quality attributes on human health and ecosystems, NPS limits and relevant ANZECC 2000 Guideline values, and outcomes sought by the community.
16.08.Groundwater	PC7-88.100	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the limit for nitrate nitrogen by halving the current limit until an evidenced based process can reset the limits to ensure public safety.
16.08.Groundwater	PC7-337.176	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend the "Groundwater" table in Schedule 8 to insert a new footnote under as follows: <u>Where a particular waterbody currently meets a higher (better) attribute state than indicated in this table, that water body shall not deteriorate below its existing attribute state as established at 2018 by Environment Canterbury.</u>
16.08.Groundwater	PC7-337.177	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend the "Groundwater" table in Schedule 8 to provide a link from Schedule 8 to the provisions in PC7.
16.08.Groundwater	PC7-455.9	Richmond Residents and Business Association (RR&BA)	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 8 groundwater quality limits by lowering them to align with up to date research on the effects of water quality attributes on human health and ecosystems, NPS limits, and relevant ANZECC 2000 Guideline values, and outcomes sought by the community.
16.08.Lakes	PC7-160.37	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain the amendments to attributes and limits in the 'Lakes' table in Schedule 8 of the LWRP as notified.
16.08.Lakes	PC7-337.122	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend the "Lakes" table in Schedule 8 to add lower thresholds in line with up to date research on effects of water quality attributes on human health and ecosystems, NPS limits and relevant ANZECC 2000 Guideline values, and outcomes sought by the community.
16.08.Lakes	PC7-88.97	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the limit for phosphorus by reducing it by 30%.
16.08.Lakes	PC7-88.98	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the limit for nitrogen by reducing it by 30%.
16.08.Lakes	PC7-88.99	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the limit for ammonia toxicity by reducing it by 30%.
16.08.Lakes	PC7-337.174	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend the "Lakes" table in Schedule 8 to insert a new footnote as follows: <u>Where a particular waterbody currently meets a higher (better) attribute state than indicated in this table, that water body shall not deteriorate below its existing attribute state as established at 2018 by Environment Canterbury.</u>
16.08.Lakes	PC7-337.175	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend the "Lakes" table in Schedule 8 to provide a link from Schedule 8 to the provisions in PC7.
16.08.Rivers	PC7-160.36	Department of Conservation	Support	Retain the amendments to attributes and limits within the 'Rivers' table in Schedule 8 of the LWRP as notified.
16.08.Rivers	PC7-262.1	Trolove, P D	Oppose in part	Amend the "Rivers" table in Schedule 8 to apply a nitrate nitrogen limit to spring-fed plains rivers and streams that is protective of trout eggs and fry.
16.08.Rivers	PC7-262.2	Trolove, P D	Oppose in part	Amend the "Rivers" table in Schedule 8 to ensure that the minimum dissolved oxygen figures are at least 5.0mg/L (i.e. when measured in early morning when oxygen levels are lowest).
16.08.Rivers	PC7-337.121	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend the "Rivers" table in Schedule 8 to add lower thresholds in line with up to date research on effects of water quality attributes on human health and ecosystems, NPS limits and relevant ANZECC 2000 Guideline values, and outcomes sought by the community.
16.08.Rivers	PC7-88.95	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the limit for ammonia nitrogen to raise it by 30%.
16.08.Rivers	PC7-88.96	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend the limit for nitrate nitrogen to reduce it by 30%.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
16.08.Rivers	PC7-337.172	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend footnote 2 under the "Rivers" table in Schedule 8 as follows: 2. Where a particular river <u>waterbody</u> currently meets a higher (better) attribute state than indicated in this table, that river <u>water body</u> shall not deteriorate below its existing attribute state as established in 2018 <u>by Environment Canterbury</u> .
16.08.Rivers	PC7-337.173	Christchurch City Council	Oppose in part	Amend the "Rivers" table in Schedule 8 to provide a link from Schedule 8 to the provisions in PC7.
<u>Schedule 9 - Assessment of Stream Depletion Effect</u>				
16.09	PC7-488.7	M A Orchards Limited, Kerrytown Orchards Limited Partnership and Falvey Orchards Limited Partnership	Oppose	Amend Schedule 9 to delete Note 3 from Table S9.1.
16.09	PC7-381.115	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Amend Schedule 9 to delete Note 3 from Table S9.1.
16.09	PC7-181.2	Rathkeale Farming Partnership	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 9 so that determining stream depletion retains the requirement for 30 day pumping rather than over 150 days.
16.09	PC7-248.1	Brosnahan, P	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 9 so that determining stream depletion retains the requirement for 30 day pumping rather than over 150 days.
<u>Schedule 13 - Requirements for Implementation of Water Allocation Regimes</u>				
16.13	PC7-488.8	M A Orchards Limited, Kerrytown Orchards Limited Partnership and Falvey Orchards Limited Partnership	Oppose	Amend Schedule 13 to delete the new note in relation to Groundwater Allocation Regimes in its entirety.
16.13	PC7-381.116	Opuha Water Limited	Oppose	Amend Schedule 13 to delete the "Note" in its entirety.
<u>Schedule 17 - Salmon Spawning Sites</u>				
16.17	PC7-56.2	Devlin, R	Support	Retain all provisions relating to salmon spawning sites
16.17	PC7-95.53	North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-292.128	Timaru District Council	Support	Require Schedule 17 to not include sites at any community water supply take location.
16.17	PC7-205.10	Styx Living Laboratory Trust	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-151.25	Adriaens, G	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-351.93	Central South Island Fish and Game Council	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-94.36	Barnes Family Farms Limited	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-320.25	Bebbington, C	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
16.17	PC7-461.25	Barnes, J	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-147.25	Michael, S	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-321.24	Christensen, C	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-163.25	Cockburn, A	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-164.25	Campbell, S	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-241.25	Curtis, D	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-395.25	Jacobs, S	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-93.25	Gallagher, K	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-215.15	Dalziel, J	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-258.15	Dopleach, D	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-83.15	Frankland, R	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-366.15	McNab, R	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-77.15	Oldham, J	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-250.15	McInnes, S	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-124.15	List, B	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-466.16	Hailstone, S	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-106.18	Cotter, J	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-463.17	Currie, M	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-256.17	De Lu, K	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-268.17	Baird, M	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-261.17	Downard, J	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-99.17	Low, C	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-398.17	Perriam, E	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-388.18	Ridden-Harper, A	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-116.17	Robertson, J	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
16.17	PC7-78.18	Ross, M J	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-111.9	Seed The Change	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-81.13	Tie, M A	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-80.16	Zhang, F	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified
16.17	PC7-247.17	W..	Support	Retain Schedule 17 as notified.
16.17	PC7-346.23	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 17 in the 'Upstream Location Description' column and the 'Upstream Map Reference' column for the 'Lower Ohau River' site in the Waitaki River Catchment as follows: "Below Ruataniwha Dam <u>outside Meridian Core Land</u> " and " <u>approximately 1368095mE, 5092016mN</u> "
16.17	PC7-346.24	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 17 for the 'Upper Ohau River' site in the Waitaki River Catchment as follows: In the 'Upstream Location Description' column: " <u>below the Lake Ohau Weir outside Meridian Core Land</u> "
16.17	PC7-423.83	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 17 to ensure the new sites will not increase the impacts of salmonids on native fish.
16.17	PC7-346.27	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 17 for the 'Upper Ohau River' site in the Waitaki River Catchment as follows: In the 'Upstream Map Reference' column: " approximately 1355800mE, 5092000mN <u>1356198mE, 5091984mN</u> "
16.17	PC7-346.28	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 17 for the 'Upper Ohau River' site in the Waitaki River Catchment as follows: In the 'Downstream Location Description' column: " Lake Ruataniwha <u>Upper Ohau River outside Meridian Core Land</u> "
16.17	PC7-346.29	Meridian Energy	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 17 for the 'Upper Ohau River' site in the Waitaki River Catchment as follows: In the 'Downstream Map Reference' column: " approximately 1363255mE, 5093635mN <u>1362678mE, 5093654mN</u> "
<u>Schedule 32 - Managed Aquifer Recharge Plan</u>				
16.32	PC7-113.27	Glen Eyre Dairy Limited	Support	Retain Schedule 32 as notified.
16.32	PC7-415.6	Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL)	Support	Retain Schedule 32 as notified.
16.32	PC7-179.26	Larundel Dairy Partnership	Support	Retain Schedule 32 as notified.
16.32	PC7-437.12	Scottville Farm	Support	Retain Schedule 32 as notified.
16.32	PC7-207.45	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose	Delete section 6 of Schedule 32 (a description of the content and frequency of reporting) and replace with a suite of reporting requirements that deals with the entire operation, maintenance and down gradient effects of the managed aquifer recharge system and the down gradient positive and adverse effects as well as mitigation and remediation.

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
16.32	PC7-207.42	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend section 2 of Schedule 32 as follows: "The Managed Aquifer Recharge Plan shall contain as a minimum: ... 2. A description of the site and surrounds at the time of consent application including: a. The highest groundwater levels <u>within the receiving down gradient catchment</u> ; and b. A map(s) and/or aerial photograph at a scale that clearly shows the location and separation distance (as measured from the point of discharge) to the following features: ... iv. rivers, streams, lakes, ponds, wetlands, springs and permanent or intermittent drains <u>within the entire receiving down gradient catchment</u> ;"
16.32	PC7-207.43	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend section 4 of Schedule 32 as follows: "... 4. A description of the objectives sought for the proposed managed aquifer recharge system and the anticipated timeframes for achievement of those objectives, including but not limited to: ... b. the groundwater quality and quantity objectives beyond the proposed discharge point, including at distances beyond 1km from the discharge point <u>and for the entire receiving down gradient catchment</u> ;"
16.32	PC7-207.44	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Oppose in part	Amend section 5 of Schedule 32 as follows: "...5. An assessment of the actual and potential adverse environmental effects associated with the construction and operation of the managed aquifer recharge system, and a description of the proposed <u>monitoring and remediation and/or compensation</u> to avoid, mitigate or minimise these risks, <u>including adverse effects caused by raised groundwater levels on people, properties and land use activities in the entire receiving down gradient catchment of the discharge point</u> ; ..."
16.32	PC7-423.76	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 32 to add a new requirement for Managed Aquifer Recharge Plans as follows: " <u>An assessment on the effects on Ngai Tahu values and sites of significance.</u> "
16.32	PC7-423.77	Ngā Rūnanga	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 32 to add a new requirement for Managed Aquifer Recharge Plans as follows: " <u>An assessment of how MAR is supported by alternative land use mitigation measures that have been undertaken and will be undertaken to improve water quality and/or quantity in the receiving water body.</u> "
16.32	PC7-339.10	Fenwick, G D	Oppose in part	Amend clause 2.b.iv of Schedule 32 to: iv. rivers, streams, lakes, ponds, wetlands, springs and permanent or intermittent drains, <u>and any boundaries of any separate aquifers</u> ;
16.32	PC7-339.11	Fenwick, G D	Oppose in part	Amend clause 5 of Schedule 32 to: ... An assessment of the actual and potential adverse environmental effects <u>(including associated with the construction and operation on endemic groundwater biodiversity and ecosystem functioning,</u> of the managed aquifer recharge system, and a description of the proposed monitoring to avoid, <u>detect,</u> mitigate or minimise these risks; and

Plan Provision / Topic	Point No	Submitter	Support / Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
16.32	PC7-88.105	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause 4.a. of Schedule 32 as follows: a description of the quality and quantity of the receiving groundwater at the proposed discharge point <u>or zone</u> ; and
16.32	PC7-88.106	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause 4.c. of Schedule 32 as follows: the groundwater quality and quantity objectives beyond the proposed discharge point, <u>points or zone</u> , including at distances beyond 1km from the discharge point; and
16.32	PC7-88.107	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend clause 4.c. of schedule 32 as follows: water quality and quantity objectives for any hydraulically connected surface water bodies <u>or wetland restoration area</u> ; and
16.32	PC7-88.108	Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 32 to insert a new clause as follows: <u>An assessment of the potential ecological and social benefits from the managed aquifer recharge plan.</u>
16.32	PC7-472.197	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Section 2(a) of Schedule 32 to require a record of hydraulic gradient across many years and different seasons be used to assess the groundwater dynamics of the sub-catchment.
16.32	PC7-472.198	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Section 2 of Schedule 32 to require that groundwater interactions with nearby surface water are fully understood as part of the application.
16.32	PC7-472.199	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Section 2 of Schedule 32 to require an indication of nearby surface and groundwater takes.
16.32	PC7-472.200	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Schedule 32 to require preliminary and regular surveys of fish in the providing water bodies and groundwater invertebrate survey from both the providing and receiving environments.
16.32	PC7-472.201	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Section 3(e) of Schedule 32 to include a requirement to indicate the quality of the source water and the quality that is proposed for the deposition if processed or treated.
16.32	PC7-472.202	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Section 4(a) of Schedule 32 to require the further explanation of why the receiving groundwater is deteriorated and what has been done or is proposed to be done to change the cause of the deterioration other than managed aquifer recharge.
16.32	PC7-472.203	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Section 4(c) of Schedule 32 as follows: c. water quality and quantity objectives for any hydraulically connected surface <u>and ground</u> water bodies; and
16.32	PC7-472.204	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Section 5 of Schedule 32 to use terminology more consistent with section 5 of the RMA (i.e. "avoid, remedy, mitigate" rather than "avoid, mitigate, minimise").
16.32	PC7-472.205	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc.	Oppose in part	Amend Section 6 of Schedule 32 to require standardisation by the Council such that a statement of agreement to meeting the reporting requirements be submitted as part of the application and that the applicant may indicate any additional measurement criteria or reporting requirements that are over and above that which is required by the Council.

APPENDIX 1

<u>Land Use Capability – Natural Capital</u>							
<u>Class</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>	<u>VII</u>
<u>Year 1</u> <u>(Kg/N/ha/yr)</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Year 5</u> <u>(kgN/ha/yr)</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>8</u>

APPENDIX 2

<u>Duration of Level 2 Regime between 1 November and 31 March</u>	<u>Minimum Requirements for artificial freshes</u>
<u>Up to 1.5 months of Level 2 Regime apply</u>	<u>Either 2 small freshes or 1 large fresh</u>
<u>More than 1.5 months and up to 3.5 months of Level 2 Regime</u>	<u>1 small fresh</u>
<u>More than 3.5 months of Level 2 Regime</u>	<u>No freshes required</u>

APPENDIX 3

<u>Land Use Capability – Natural Capital</u>							
<u>Class</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>II</u>	<u>III</u>	<u>IV</u>	<u>V</u>	<u>VI</u>	<u>VII</u>
<u>Year 1</u> <u>(Kg/N/ha/yr)</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Year 5</u> <u>(kgN/ha/yr)</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>8</u>

APPENDIX 4

Freshwater Management Unit	Lake type	Lake name and measurement location	Total phosphorus	Total Nitrogen	Ammoniacal Nitrogen	
			Annual average	Annual average	Annual median ¹	Annual maximum ¹
			[mg/L]	[mg/L]	[mg/L]	[mg/L]
<u>Opihi</u>	<u>Artificial lakes – on river</u>	<u>Lake Opuha</u>	<u>0.011</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>0.03 <0.224</u>	<u>0.05 <0.03</u>
<u>Timaru</u>	<u>Coastal lakes</u>	<u>Waitarakao / Washdyke Lagoon</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>1.3</u>	<u>2.2</u>

APPENDIX 5

(i) Table 14(v(i)): Opihi Freshwater Management Unit Environmental Flow Regime – AA and BA Permits current

River	Location of recorder site, site where flow is measured	NZTM Map Reference	Lake Opuha	Minimum flow for AA and BA Permits (L/s)												Partial Restrictions
				Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	
Opihi mainstem	Saleyards Bridge	5098685N 1451845E	Above RL 375m	3,500	3,500	7,500	8,000	4,500	4,000	4,000	4,500	6,000	8,500	7,000	6,000	N/A
			At or below RL 375m, but above RL 370m	3,350	3,350	5,350	5,600	3,850	3,600	3,600	3,850	4,600	5,850	5,100	4,600	50%

(ii) Table 14(v)(ii) Opihi Freshwater Management Unit Environmental Flow Regime – AA and BA Permits from 1 January 2025

River	Location of recorder site, or site where flow is measured	NZTM Map Reference	Management Regime	Minimum flow for AA and BA Permits (L/s)												Partial Restrictions
				From 1 January 2025												
Opihi Mainstem	Opuha Dam Downstream Weir	5124591N 1431579E	Full Availability and Level 1 Regime	1,500 plus the sum of the AA and BA allocation block for the Opuha River												N/A
			Level 2 Regime	1,000 plus the sum of the AA and BA allocation block for the Opuha River												N/A
			Lake Opuha level < RL 370m	Discharge from Opuha Dam equals the lesser of the flows prescribed by the Level 2 Regime at Saleyards Bridge or the sum of the inflows to Lake Opuha from the North and South Rivers, and flows required for community supplies restricted in accordance with a Water Supply Strategy												100%
	Saleyards Bridge	5098685N 1451845E	Full Availability	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	N/A
			Alternative Management Regime													
	Level 1 Regime	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Refer Table 14(v)(iii)		
	Level 2 Regime	3,500												Refer Table 14(v)(iii)		

(iii) Table 14(v)(iii): Partial Restrictions for AA and BA Permits in the Opihi Freshwater Management Unit from 1 January 2025

Lake Level	Flow regime	Fortnightly volumetric restrictions (%)											
		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
N/A	Level 1*	25	25	25	25	50	75	75	75	50	50	25	25
	Level 2#	50	50	50	50	75	100	100	100	75	75	75	75
<373m (<5% operational volume available)		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

* Restrictions under a Level 1 Regime shall not apply to water permits to take and use water from the North Opuha, South Opuha, Upper Opihi or Te Ana Wai Rivers.

Under a Level 2 Regime, Level 2 partial restrictions shall apply to water permits to take and use water from North Opuha, South Opuha, Upper Opihi or Te Ana Wai Rivers except when the Lake Opuha Level graph on ECan’s website indicates the Lake level is rising, in which case partial restrictions for the Level 1 Regime shall apply to these permits.

APPENDIX 6

(iv) Table 14(x(i)): Alternative Management Regime Thresholds: Inflows (m³/s)

Day	Jan		Feb		Mar		Apr		May		Jun		Jul		Aug		Sep		Oct		Nov		Dec	
	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2
1	5.3	3.8	3.9	2.9	3.2	2.5	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.5	2.7	3.7	2.8	4.0	3.2	4.1	3.2	4.4	3.4	7.1	5.0	7.8	5.3
2	5.3	3.8	3.9	2.8	3.2	2.5	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.5	2.7	3.7	2.8	4.0	3.2	4.1	3.2	4.5	3.4	7.2	5.1	7.7	5.2
3	5.2	3.7	3.8	2.8	3.2	2.5	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.5	2.7	3.7	2.8	4.0	3.2	4.1	3.2	4.5	3.5	7.2	5.1	7.7	5.2
4	5.2	3.7	3.8	2.8	3.2	2.5	3.1	2.3	3.2	2.3	3.5	2.7	3.7	2.8	4.0	3.2	4.1	3.2	4.6	3.5	7.3	5.1	7.6	5.2
5	5.1	3.7	3.8	2.8	3.2	2.5	3.1	2.3	3.2	2.4	3.5	2.7	3.7	2.8	4.0	3.2	4.1	3.2	4.7	3.6	7.4	5.2	7.6	5.2
6	5.0	3.6	3.7	2.8	3.2	2.5	3.1	2.3	3.3	2.4	3.5	2.7	3.8	2.9	4.0	3.2	4.1	3.2	4.7	3.6	7.4	5.2	7.5	5.1
7	5.0	3.6	3.7	2.8	3.1	2.5	3.2	2.3	3.3	2.4	3.5	2.7	3.8	2.9	4.0	3.2	4.1	3.2	4.8	3.7	7.5	5.2	7.5	5.1
8	4.9	3.5	3.7	2.7	3.1	2.5	3.2	2.3	3.3	2.4	3.5	2.7	3.8	2.9	4.0	3.3	4.1	3.2	4.9	3.7	7.5	5.3	7.4	5.1
9	4.9	3.5	3.6	2.7	3.1	2.5	3.2	2.3	3.3	2.4	3.5	2.7	3.8	2.9	4.0	3.3	4.1	3.2	4.9	3.7	7.6	5.3	7.4	5.0
10	4.8	3.5	3.6	2.7	3.1	2.5	3.2	2.3	3.3	2.4	3.5	2.7	3.8	2.9	4.0	3.3	4.2	3.2	5.0	3.8	7.6	5.3	7.3	5.0
11	4.8	3.4	3.6	2.7	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.3	2.4	3.5	2.7	3.8	3.0	4.0	3.3	4.2	3.2	5.1	3.8	7.7	5.3	7.2	5.0
12	4.7	3.4	3.6	2.7	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.3	2.4	3.5	2.7	3.9	3.0	4.1	3.3	4.2	3.2	5.1	3.9	7.7	5.3	7.2	4.9
13	4.7	3.4	3.5	2.7	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.3	2.5	3.5	2.7	3.9	3.0	4.1	3.3	4.1	3.2	5.2	3.9	7.8	5.4	7.1	4.9
14	4.6	3.3	3.5	2.6	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.3	2.5	3.5	2.7	3.9	3.0	4.1	3.3	4.1	3.2	5.3	4.0	7.8	5.4	7.0	4.8
15	4.6	3.3	3.5	2.6	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.4	2.5	3.5	2.7	3.9	3.0	4.1	3.3	4.1	3.2	5.4	4.0	7.8	5.4	6.9	4.8
16	4.6	3.3	3.5	2.6	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.4	2.5	3.5	2.7	3.9	3.0	4.1	3.3	4.1	3.2	5.5	4.1	7.9	5.4	6.9	4.7
17	4.5	3.3	3.4	2.6	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.4	2.5	3.5	2.7	3.9	3.0	4.1	3.3	4.1	3.2	5.6	4.1	7.9	5.4	6.8	4.7
18	4.5	3.2	3.4	2.6	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.4	2.5	3.5	2.7	3.9	3.1	4.1	3.3	4.1	3.2	5.7	4.2	7.9	5.4	6.7	4.6
19	4.4	3.2	3.4	2.6	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.4	2.5	3.5	2.7	3.9	3.1	4.1	3.3	4.1	3.2	5.8	4.3	7.9	5.4	6.6	4.6
20	4.4	3.2	3.4	2.6	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.4	2.5	3.5	2.7	3.9	3.1	4.1	3.3	4.1	3.2	5.9	4.3	7.9	5.4	6.5	4.5
21	4.3	3.1	3.3	2.6	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.4	2.6	3.5	2.7	3.9	3.1	4.1	3.3	4.2	3.2	6.0	4.4	7.9	5.4	6.4	4.4
22	4.3	3.1	3.3	2.6	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.4	2.6	3.5	2.7	4.0	3.1	4.1	3.2	4.2	3.2	6.1	4.5	7.9	5.4	6.3	4.4
23	4.3	3.1	3.3	2.5	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.4	2.6	3.6	2.7	4.0	3.1	4.1	3.2	4.2	3.2	6.2	4.5	7.9	5.4	6.2	4.3
24	4.2	3.1	3.3	2.5	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.4	2.6	3.6	2.7	4.0	3.2	4.1	3.2	4.2	3.3	6.3	4.5	7.9	5.4	6.1	4.2
25	4.2	3.0	3.3	2.5	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.4	2.6	3.6	2.7	4.0	3.2	4.1	3.2	4.2	3.3	6.3	4.6	7.9	5.4	5.9	4.2
26	4.1	3.0	3.2	2.5	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.4	2.6	3.6	2.7	4.0	3.2	4.1	3.2	4.3	3.3	6.4	4.6	7.9	5.4	5.8	4.1
27	4.1	3.0	3.2	2.5	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.4	2.6	3.6	2.7	4.0	3.2	4.1	3.2	4.3	3.3	6.5	4.7	7.9	5.3	5.7	4.0
28	4.1	3.0	3.2	2.5	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.4	2.6	3.6	2.8	4.0	3.2	4.1	3.2	4.3	3.3	6.6	4.8	7.8	5.3	5.6	4.0
29	4.0	2.9	3.2	2.5	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.5	2.6	3.7	2.8	4.0	3.2	4.1	3.2	4.3	3.4	6.7	4.8	7.8	5.3	5.4	3.9
30	4.0	2.9			3.1	2.4	3.2	2.3	3.5	2.6	3.7	2.8	4.0	3.2	4.1	3.2	4.4	3.4	6.8	4.9	7.8	5.3	5.3	3.8
31	3.9	2.9			3.1	2.4			3.5	2.6			4.0	3.2	4.1	3.2			7.0	4.9			5.2	3.7

(v) Table 14(x(ii)): Alternative Management Regime Thresholds: Snow storage (Mm³ of water equivalent)

Day	Jan		Feb		Mar		Apr		May		Jun		Jul		Aug		Sep		Oct		Nov		Dec	
	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2
1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	14.7	27.0	19.5	24.4	17.9	17.8	13.7	9.8	6.7
2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.3	15.0	27.0	19.5	24.3	17.8	17.6	13.5	0.0	0.0
3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.6	15.2	27.1	19.5	24.1	17.8	17.4	13.3	0.0	0.0
4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.9	15.4	27.1	19.5	23.9	17.7	17.1	13.1	0.0	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.2	15.6	27.2	19.5	23.7	17.6	16.9	12.9	0.0	0.0
6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.4	15.8	27.2	19.5	23.5	17.5	16.6	12.7	0.0	0.0
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.7	16.0	27.2	19.5	23.3	17.4	16.4	12.4	0.0	0.0
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.0	16.2	27.2	19.5	23.1	17.4	16.1	12.2	0.0	0.0
9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.3	16.4	27.2	19.5	22.8	17.3	15.9	12.0	0.0	0.0
10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.6	16.6	27.2	19.4	22.6	17.2	15.6	11.7	0.0	0.0
11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.9	16.8	27.1	19.4	22.4	17.1	15.3	11.4	0.0	0.0
12	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.2	17.0	27.1	19.4	22.2	16.9	15.1	11.2	0.0	0.0
13	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.5	17.3	27.0	19.3	22.0	16.8	14.8	10.9	0.0	0.0
14	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.8	17.5	26.9	19.2	21.8	16.7	14.5	10.7	0.0	0.0
15	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.1	17.6	26.8	19.1	21.6	16.6	14.3	10.4	0.0	0.0
16	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.3	17.8	26.7	19.1	21.4	16.4	14.0	10.2	0.0	0.0
17	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.5	18.0	26.6	19.0	21.2	16.3	13.7	10.0	0.0	0.0
18	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.7	18.1	26.5	18.9	20.9	16.2	13.5	9.7	0.0	0.0
19	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.9	18.2	26.4	18.8	20.7	16.1	13.2	9.5	0.0	0.0
20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.1	18.4	26.3	18.8	20.5	15.9	12.9	9.3	0.0	0.0
21	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.3	18.5	26.2	18.7	20.3	15.8	12.7	9.0	0.0	0.0
22	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.6	18.6	26.1	18.7	20.1	15.6	12.4	8.8	0.0	0.0
23	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.8	18.8	25.9	18.6	19.8	15.5	12.1	8.6	0.0	0.0
24	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.0	18.9	25.7	18.5	19.6	15.3	11.8	8.3	0.0	0.0
25	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.1	19.0	25.6	18.4	19.4	15.1	11.5	8.1	0.0	0.0
26	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.3	19.1	25.4	18.4	19.2	15.0	11.3	7.8	0.0	0.0
27	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.5	19.2	25.2	18.3	19.0	14.8	11.0	7.6	0.0	0.0
28	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.6	19.3	25.0	18.2	18.7	14.6	10.7	7.4	0.0	0.0
29	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	19.4	24.8	18.1	18.5	14.4	10.4	7.2	0.0	0.0
30	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.8	19.4	24.6	18.0	18.3	14.2	10.1	6.9	0.0	0.0
31	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	26.9	19.5			18.1	14.0			0.0	0.0

(vi) **Table 14(x(iii)): Alternative Management Regime Thresholds: Lake level (Mm³ of water equivalent)**

Day	Jan		Feb		Mar		Apr		May		Jun		Jul		Aug		Sep		Oct		Nov		Dec	
	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2	L1	L2
1	388.3	387.0	387.0	385.5	385.0	383.3	383.3	381.4	384.2	382.4	385.5	383.9	387.0	385.5	387.9	386.5	388.5	387.2	389.2	387.9	389.8	388.5	389.2	387.9
2	388.3	386.9	386.9	385.4	385.0	383.3	383.4	381.4	384.2	382.5	385.5	383.9	387.0	385.5	387.9	386.6	388.6	387.3	389.2	387.9	389.7	388.5	389.1	387.9
3	388.2	386.9	386.8	385.3	384.9	383.2	383.4	381.5	384.3	382.5	385.6	384.0	387.0	385.6	387.9	386.6	388.6	387.3	389.2	387.9	389.7	388.5	389.1	387.8
4	388.2	386.8	386.8	385.3	384.9	383.2	383.4	381.5	384.3	382.6	385.6	384.0	387.0	385.6	388.0	386.6	388.6	387.3	389.2	388.0	389.7	388.5	389.1	387.8
5	388.1	386.8	386.7	385.2	384.8	383.1	383.5	381.5	384.4	382.6	385.7	384.1	387.1	385.6	388.0	386.6	388.6	387.3	389.2	388.0	389.7	388.5	389.1	387.8
6	388.1	386.7	386.6	385.1	384.8	383.0	383.5	381.6	384.4	382.6	385.7	384.1	387.1	385.7	388.0	386.6	388.7	387.3	389.3	388.0	389.7	388.4	389.0	387.8
7	388.0	386.7	386.6	385.1	384.7	383.0	383.5	381.6	384.5	382.7	385.8	384.2	387.1	385.7	388.0	386.7	388.7	387.4	389.3	388.0	389.6	388.4	389.0	387.7
8	388.0	386.6	386.5	385.0	384.7	382.9	383.5	381.6	384.5	382.7	385.8	384.3	387.2	385.7	388.1	386.7	388.7	387.4	389.3	388.1	389.6	388.4	389.0	387.7
9	388.0	386.6	386.4	384.9	384.6	382.9	383.6	381.7	384.5	382.8	385.9	384.3	387.2	385.8	388.1	386.7	388.7	387.4	389.3	388.1	389.6	388.4	388.9	387.7
10	387.9	386.5	386.4	384.8	384.6	382.8	383.6	381.7	384.6	382.8	385.9	384.4	387.2	385.8	388.1	386.7	388.7	387.4	389.3	388.1	389.6	388.4	388.9	387.6
11	387.9	386.5	386.3	384.8	384.5	382.7	383.6	381.7	384.6	382.9	386.0	384.4	387.3	385.8	388.1	386.8	388.8	387.5	389.4	388.1	389.6	388.3	388.9	387.6
12	387.8	386.5	386.2	384.7	384.4	382.7	383.7	381.8	384.7	382.9	386.0	384.5	387.3	385.9	388.1	386.8	388.8	387.5	389.4	388.1	389.5	388.3	388.9	387.6
13	387.8	386.4	386.2	384.6	384.4	382.6	383.7	381.8	384.7	383.0	386.1	384.5	387.3	385.9	388.2	386.8	388.8	387.5	389.4	388.2	389.5	388.3	388.8	387.5
14	387.7	386.4	386.1	384.5	384.3	382.6	383.7	381.8	384.8	383.0	386.1	384.6	387.4	385.9	388.2	386.8	388.8	387.5	389.4	388.2	389.5	388.3	388.8	387.5
15	387.7	386.3	386.0	384.5	384.3	382.5	383.7	381.9	384.8	383.1	386.2	384.6	387.4	386.0	388.2	386.9	388.8	387.5	389.4	388.2	389.5	388.3	388.8	387.5
16	387.7	386.3	385.9	384.4	384.2	382.4	383.8	381.9	384.8	383.1	386.2	384.7	387.4	386.0	388.2	386.9	388.9	387.6	389.4	388.2	389.5	388.2	388.7	387.5
17	387.6	386.2	385.9	384.3	384.2	382.4	383.8	381.9	384.9	383.2	386.3	384.8	387.5	386.0	388.2	386.9	388.9	387.6	389.5	388.2	389.4	388.2	388.7	387.4
18	387.6	386.2	385.8	384.2	384.1	382.3	383.8	382.0	384.9	383.2	386.3	384.8	387.5	386.1	388.3	386.9	388.9	387.6	389.5	388.3	389.4	388.2	388.7	387.4
19	387.5	386.1	385.7	384.1	384.1	382.2	383.9	382.0	385.0	383.3	386.4	384.9	387.5	386.1	388.3	386.9	388.9	387.6	389.5	388.3	389.4	388.2	388.7	387.4
20	387.5	386.1	385.7	384.1	384.0	382.2	383.9	382.0	385.0	383.3	386.4	384.9	387.5	386.1	388.3	387.0	388.9	387.7	389.5	388.3	389.4	388.1	388.6	387.3
21	387.4	386.0	385.6	384.0	384.0	382.1	383.9	382.1	385.0	383.4	386.5	385.0	387.6	386.2	388.3	387.0	389.0	387.7	389.5	388.3	389.4	388.1	388.6	387.3
22	387.4	386.0	385.5	383.9	383.9	382.1	383.9	382.1	385.1	383.4	386.5	385.0	387.6	386.2	388.3	387.0	389.0	387.7	389.6	388.3	389.3	388.1	388.6	387.3
23	387.4	385.9	385.5	383.8	383.8	382.0	384.0	382.1	385.1	383.5	386.6	385.1	387.6	386.2	388.4	387.0	389.0	387.7	389.6	388.4	389.3	388.1	388.6	387.2
24	387.3	385.9	385.4	383.7	383.8	381.9	384.0	382.2	385.2	383.5	386.6	385.1	387.7	386.3	388.4	387.1	389.0	387.8	389.6	388.4	389.3	388.1	388.5	387.2
25	387.3	385.8	385.3	383.7	383.7	381.9	384.0	382.2	385.2	383.5	386.7	385.2	387.7	386.3	388.4	387.1	389.0	387.8	389.6	388.4	389.3	388.0	388.5	387.2
26	387.2	385.8	385.2	383.6	383.7	381.8	384.1	382.2	385.2	383.6	386.7	385.2	387.7	386.3	388.4	387.1	389.1	387.8	389.6	388.4	389.3	388.0	388.5	387.1
27	387.2	385.7	385.2	383.5	383.6	381.7	384.1	382.3	385.3	383.6	386.8	385.3	387.8	386.4	388.4	387.1	389.1	387.8	389.7	388.4	389.2	388.0	388.4	387.1
28	387.1	385.7	385.1	383.4	383.6	381.7	384.1	382.3	385.3	383.7	386.8	385.3	387.8	386.4	388.5	387.1	389.1	387.8	389.7	388.5	389.2	388.0	388.4	387.1
29	387.1	385.6	385.0	383.3	383.5	381.6	384.1	382.3	385.4	383.7	386.9	385.4	387.8	386.4	388.5	387.2	389.1	387.9	389.7	388.5	389.2	387.9	388.4	387.0
30	387.0	385.6			383.4	381.5	384.2	382.4	385.4	383.8	386.9	385.4	387.8	386.5	388.5	387.2	389.1	387.9	389.7	388.5	389.2	387.9	388.4	387.0
31	387.0	385.5			383.4	381.5			385.4	383.8			387.9	386.5	388.5	387.2			389.7	388.5			388.3	387.0

APPENDIX 7

Zone	A allocation limit	T allocation limit
Rangitata-Orton	Sum of consented allocation at 20 July 2019	0
Fairlie	Sum of consented allocation at 20 July 2019	0
Levels Plains	32.9	0
Orari-Opihi	61.1	10
Pareora	Sum of consented allocation at 20 July 2019	0
Timaru	4.24	0
Upper Pareora	Sum of consented allocation at 20 July 2019	0

APPENDIX 8

Zone (see Planning Maps)	A Allocation Limit (million m ³ /yr)	T Allocation Limit (million m ³ /yr) ¹
Rangitata-Orton	<u>42.5</u> sum of consented allocation at 20 July 2019	N/A
Fairlie	<u>4.8</u> sum of consented allocation at 20 July 2019	N/A
Levels Plain	<u>32.922.9</u>	<u>N/A10</u>
Orari-Opihi	<u>43.861.1.</u>	<u>27.310</u>
Pareora	<u>7.19</u> sum of consented allocation at 20 July 2019	N/A
Timaru	<u>4.24</u> sum of consented allocation at 20 July 2019	N/A
Upper Pareora	<u>1.34</u> sum of consented allocation at 20 July 2019	N/A

¹ The transfer permit allocation is only available to holders of existing surface water or stream-depleting groundwater permits with a direct, high or moderate stream depletion effect, and only where the existing surface water or stream depleting groundwater permit is surrendered.

APPENDIX 9

	<u>Farming land use activity</u>	<u>Total reductions in nitrogen loss to be achieved by 1 January 2030</u>
<u>High Nitrogen Concentration Area (see planning maps)</u>	<u>Dairy</u>	<u>10%</u>
	<u>All other</u>	<u>5%</u>

APPENDIX 10

Delete existing Table 8-9 and replace with:

	Farming type	Total cumulative reduction in nitrogen loss and dates by which these are to be achieved.	
		By 1 January 2030	By 1 January 2040
Nitrate Priority Area (see planning maps)	Dairy	15%	30%
	All	5%	10%
	other		

And new Table 8-9A:

Table 8-9A: Load for the Waimakariri Irrigation Limited Irrigation Scheme

	Baseline load	Total cumulative reduction in nitrogen loss and dates by which these are to be achieved.	
	1 September 2020	By 1 January 2030	By 1 January 2040
Nitrate Priority Area (see planning maps)	[X]	[X] - 15%	[X] - 30%

1. The starting point for applying each percentage reduction in nitrogen loss in Table 8-9 is generally the Baseline GMP Loss Rate except as otherwise provided for in Policy 8.4.26 for individual farming activities and farming enterprises, and in Policy 8.4.29 or irrigation schemes. The starting point for the Waimakariri Irrigation Limited Irrigation Scheme is as set out in Table 8-9A.
2. For the purposes of applying the nitrogen reductions in Table 8-9, 'Dairy' farming does not include 'Dairy Support' activities. 'Dairy Support' is classified under 'All other' farming activities
3. The percentage reductions required by Table 8-9 are only to be applied to farming activities that require resource consent for farming land use and only where the required reduction for each stage is greater than 3 kg nitrogen per hectare for dairy, and 1kg per hectare for all other farming activities. Where a farming activity is not required to make reductions in a stage, it shall have no further requirement to make reductions in future stages.

